

Attachment 1: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 12, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the Department) proposes the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 12, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules (Bond Rules). The purpose of the proposed repeal is to eliminate an outdated rule while adopting a new updated rule under separate action.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.0221.

1. Mr. Bobby Wilkinson, Executive Director, has determined that, for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect, the proposed repeal does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the repeal, and simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, the issuance of Private Activity Bonds (PAB).

2. The proposed repeal does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor is the proposed repeal significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that any existing employee positions are eliminated.

3. The proposed repeal does not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed repeal does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department or in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed repeal is not creating a new regulation, except that it is being replaced by a new rule simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed action will repeal an existing regulation, but is associated with a simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, the issuance of PABs.

7. The proposed repeal will not increase or decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule's applicability.

8. The proposed repeal will not negatively or positively affect this state's economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2006.002.

The Department has evaluated this proposed repeal and determined that the proposed repeal will not create an economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2007.043.

The proposed repeal does not contemplate nor authorize a takings by the Department; therefore, no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the proposed repeal as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect there would be no

economic effect on local employment; therefore no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(5).

Mr. Wilkinson has determined that, for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the repealed section would be an updated and more germane rule for administering the issuance of PAB. There will not be economic costs to individuals required to comply with the repealed section.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(4).

Mr. Wilkinson also has determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the repeal does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 17, 2021, to October 8, 2021, to receive stakeholder comment on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Jon Galvan, Bond Rule Public Comment, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941, or by fax to (512) 475-3963, attn: Jon Galvan, Bond Rule Public Comments, or by email to jonathan.galvan@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 p.m., Austin local time OCTOBER 8, 2021.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 12, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rule

§12.1. General.

§12.2. Definitions.

§12.3. Bond Rating and Investment Letter.

§12.4. Pre-Application Process and Evaluation.

§12.5. Pre-Application Threshold Requirements.

§12.6. Pre-Application Scoring Criteria.

§12.7. Full Application Process.

§12.8. Refunding Application Process

§12.9. Occupancy Requirements.

§12.10. Fees.

Attachment 2 Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 12, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the Department) proposes new 10 TAC Chapter 12, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules (Bond Rule). The purpose of the proposed new section is to provide compliance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.67022 and to update the rule to make changes to the scoring criteria to reflect the competitive nature of the Private Activity Bond program. Moreover, the changes reflect minor administrative revisions, and to ensure that it is reflective of changes made in the Department's Qualified Allocation Plan where applicable.

Tex. Gov't Code §2001.0045(b) does not apply to the rule proposed for action pursuant to item (9), which excepts rule changes necessary to implement legislation. The proposed rule provides compliance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.359, which requires the Department to provide for specific scoring criteria and underwriting considerations for multifamily private activity bond activities.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.0221.

Mr. Bobby Wilkinson, Executive Director, has determined that, for the first five years the proposed new rule would be in effect:

1. The proposed rule does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the readoption of this rule which makes changes to an existing activity, the issuance of Private Activity Bonds (PAB).
2. The proposed new rule does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor are the rule changes significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that eliminates any existing employee positions.
3. The proposed rule changes do not require additional future legislative appropriations.
4. The proposed rule changes will not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department, but may, under certain circumstances, result in a decrease in fees paid to the Department regarding Tax-Exempt Bond Developments.
5. The proposed rule is not creating a new regulation, except that it is replacing a rule being repealed simultaneously to provide for revisions.
6. The proposed rule will not limit, expand or repeal an existing regulation but merely revises a rule.
7. The proposed rule will not increase or decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule's applicability.
8. The proposed rule will not negatively or positively affect the state's economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2006.002. The Department, in drafting this proposed rule, has attempted to reduce any adverse economic effect on small or micro-business or rural communities while remaining consistent with the statutory requirements of Tex. Gov't Code §2306.359. Although these rules mostly pertain to the filing of a bond pre-application, some stakeholders have

reported that their average cost of filing a full Application is between \$50,000 and \$60,000, which may vary depending on the specific type of Application, location of the Development Site, and other non-state of Texas funding sources utilized. The proposed rules do not, on average, result in an increased cost of filing an application as compared to the existing program rules.

1. The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that none of the adverse effect strategies outlined in Tex. Gov't Code §2006.002(b) are applicable.

2. This rule relates to the procedures in place for entities applying for multifamily PAB. Only those small or micro-businesses that participate in this program are subject to this rule. There are approximately 100 to 150 businesses, which could possibly be considered small or micro-businesses, subject to the proposed rule for which the economic impact of the rule would be a fee of approximately \$8,500 which includes the filing fees associated with submitting a bond pre-application.

The Department bases this estimate on the potential number of Applicants and their related parties who may submit applications to TDHCA for PAB (and accompanying housing tax credits). There could be additional costs associated with pre-applications depending on whether the small or micro-businesses outsource how the application materials are compiled. The fee for submitting an Application for PAB layered with LIHTC is may range from \$480 to \$2,400 which is based on \$30 per unit, and all Applicants are required to propose constructing, at a minimum, 16 Units.

These Application Fee costs are not inclusive of external costs required by the basic business necessities underlying any real estate transaction, from placing earnest money on land, conducting an Environmental Site Assessment, conducting a market study, potentially retaining counsel, hiring an architect and an engineer to construct basic site designs and elevations, and paying any other related, third-party fees for securing the necessary financing to construct multifamily housing. Nor does this estimate include fees from the Department for Applications that successfully attain an award.

There are approximately 1,300 rural communities potentially subject to the proposed rule for which the economic impact of the rule is projected to be \$0. 10 TAC Chapter 12 places no financial burdens on rural communities, as the costs associated with submitting an Application are born entirely by private parties. In an average year the volume of applications for PAB that are located in rural areas is not more than 20% of all PAB applications received. In those cases, a rural community securing a PAB Development will experience an economic benefit, not least among which is the potential increased property tax revenue from a large multifamily Development.

3. The Department has determined that because there are rural PAB awardees, this program helps promote construction activities and long term tax base in rural areas of Texas. Aside from the fees and costs associated with submitting an Application, there is a probable positive economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities that receive PAB awards and successfully use those awards to construct multifamily housing, although the specific impact is not able to be quantified in advance.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2007.043. The proposed rule does not contemplate or authorize a takings by the Department. Therefore, no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the rule as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the rule will be in effect the proposed rule may provide a possible positive economic effect on local employment in association with this rule since PAB Developments, layered with housing tax credits, often involve a total input of, typically at a minimum, \$5 million in capital, but often an input of \$10 million - \$30 million. Such a capital investment has concrete direct, indirect, and induced effects on the local and regional economies and local employment. However, because the exact location of where program funds or developments are directed is not determined in rule, and is driven by real estate demand, there is no way to determine during rulemaking where the positive effects may occur. Furthermore, while the Department knows that any and all impacts are positive, that impact is not able to be quantified for any given community until PABs and LIHTCs are actually awarded to a proposed Development, given the unique characteristics of each proposed multifamily Development and region in which it is being developed.

Tex. Gov't Code §2001.022(a) states that this "impact statement must describe in detail the probable effect of the rule on employment in each geographic region affected by this rule..." Considering that significant construction activity is associated with any PAB Development layered with LIHTC and each apartment community significantly increases the property value of the land being developed, there are no probable negative effects of the new rule on particular geographic regions. If anything, positive effects will ensue in those communities where developers receive PAB awards.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Mr. Wilkinson has determined that, for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the new section will be an updated and more germane rule for administering the issuances of PABs and corresponding allocation of housing tax credits. There is no change to the economic cost to any individuals required to comply with the new section because the same processes described by the rule have already been in place through the rule found at this section being repealed. The average cost of filing a pre-application and application remain unchanged based on these rules changes. The proposed rules do not, on average, result in an increased cost of filing an application as compared to the existing program rules.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Wilkinson also has determined that for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, enforcing or administering the new section does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments because the same processes described by the rule have already been in place through the rule found at this section being repealed.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 17, 2021, to October 8, 2021, to receive stakeholder comment on the new proposed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Jon Galvan, Bond Rule

Public Comment, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941, or by fax to (512) 475-3963, attn: Jon Galvan, Bond Rule Public Comments, or by email to jonathan.galvan@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 p.m., Austin local time OCTOBER 8, 2021.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The new sections are proposed pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed new sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

§12.1. General.

(a) Authority. The rules in this chapter apply to the issuance of multifamily housing revenue bonds (Bonds) by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (Department). The Department is authorized to issue Bonds pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306. Notwithstanding anything in this chapter to the contrary, Bonds which are issued to finance the Development of multifamily rental housing are subject to the requirements of the laws of the State of Texas, including but not limited to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapters 1372 and 2306, and federal law pursuant to the requirements of Internal Revenue Code (Code), §142.

(b) General. The purpose of this chapter is to state the Department's requirements for issuing Bonds, the procedures for applying for Bonds and the regulatory and land use restrictions imposed upon Bond financed Developments. The provisions contained in this chapter are separate from the rules relating to the Department's administration of the Housing Tax Credit program. Applicants seeking a Housing Tax Credit Allocation should consult Chapter 11 of this [titlepart](#) (relating to the Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan) for the current program year. In general, the Applicant will be required to satisfy the eligibility and threshold requirements of the Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) in effect at the time the Certificate of Reservation is issued by the Texas Bond Review Board (TBRB). If the applicable QAP contradicts rules set forth in this chapter, the applicable QAP will take precedence over the rules in this chapter except in an instance of a conflicting statutory requirement, which shall always take precedence. [To the extent applicable to each specific Bond issuance, the Department's conduit multifamily Bond transactions will be processed in accordance with 34 TAC Part 9, Chapter 181, Subchapter A \(relating to Bond Review Board Rules\) and Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 1372.](#)

(c) Costs of Issuance. The Applicant shall be responsible for payment of all costs related to the preparation and submission of the pre-application and Application, including but not limited to, costs associated with the publication and posting of required public notices and all costs and expenses associated with the issuance of the Bonds, regardless of whether the Application is ultimately approved or whether Bonds are ultimately issued. At any point during the process, the Applicant is solely responsible for determining whether to proceed with the Application and the Department disclaims any and all responsibility and liability in this regard.

(d) Taxable Bonds. The Department may issue taxable Bonds and the requirements associated with such Bonds, including occupancy requirements, shall be determined by the Department on a case by case basis. Taxable bonds will not be eligible for an allocation of tax credits.

(e) Waivers and Appeals. Requests for any permitted waivers of program rules must be made in accordance with §11.207 of this [titlepart](#) (relating to Waiver of Rules). [The process for appeals and grounds for appeals may be found under §1.7 of this titlepart \(relating to Appeals Process\).](#)

§12.2. Definitions.

The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Any capitalized terms not specifically mentioned in

this section shall have the meaning as defined in Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, §§141, 142, and 145 of the Internal Revenue Code, and Chapter 11 of this [titlepart](#) (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan).

(1) Institutional Buyer--Shall have the meaning prescribed under 17 CFR §230.501(a), but excluding any natural person or any director or executive officer of the Department (17 CFR §230.501(a)(4) - (6)), or as defined by 17 CFR §230.144(a), promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(2) Persons with Special Needs--Shall have the meaning prescribed under Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.511.

(3) Bond Trustee--A financial institution, usually a trust company or the trust department in a commercial bank, that holds collateral for the benefit of the holders of municipal securities. The Bond Trustee's obligations and responsibilities are set forth in the Indenture.

§12.3. Bond Rating and Investment Letter.

(a) Bond Ratings. All publicly offered Bonds issued by the Department to finance Developments shall have a debt rating the equivalent of at least an "A" rating assigned to long-term obligations by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, or Moody's Investors Service, Inc. If such rating is based upon credit enhancement provided by an institution other than the Applicant or Development Owner, the form and substance of such credit enhancement shall be subject to approval by the Board, evidenced by a resolution authorizing the issuance of the credit enhanced Bonds.

(b) Investment Letters. Bonds rated less than "A" or Bonds which are unrated must be placed with one or more Institutional Buyers and must be accompanied by an investor letter acceptable to the Department. Subsequent purchasers of such Bonds must also be qualified as Institutional Buyers and must execute and deliver to the Department an investor letter in a form satisfactory to the Department. Bonds rated less than "A" and Bonds which are unrated shall be issued in physical form, in minimum denominations of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), and must carry a legend requiring any purchasers of the Bonds to be Institutional Buyers and sign and deliver to the Department an investor letter in a form acceptable to the Department.

§12.4. Pre-Application Process and Evaluation.

(a) Pre-Inducement Questionnaire. Prior to the filing of a pre-application, the Applicant shall submit the Pre-Inducement Questionnaire, in the form prescribed by the Department, so the Department can have a preliminary understanding of the proposed Development plan before a pre-application and corresponding fees are submitted. After reviewing the pre-inducement questionnaire, Department staff will follow-up with the Applicant to discuss the next steps in the process and may schedule a pre-inducement conference call or meeting. Prior to the submission of a pre-application, it is essential that the Department and Applicant communicate regarding the Department's objectives and policies in the development of affordable housing throughout the State using Bond financing. The acceptance of the questionnaire by the Department does not constitute a pre-application or Application and does not bind the Department to any formal action

regarding an inducement resolution.

(b) Neighborhood Risk Factors. If the Development Site has any of the characteristics described in §11.101(a)(3)(B) of this ~~titlepart~~ (relating to Neighborhood Risk Factors), the Applicant must disclose the presence of such characteristics to the Department. Disclosure may be done at time of pre-application and handled in connection with the inducement or it can be addressed at the time of Application submission. The Applicant understands that any determination made by staff or the Board at the time of bond inducement regarding Site eligibility based on the documentation presented, is preliminary in nature. Should additional information related to any of the Neighborhood Risk Factors become available while the Tax-Exempt Bond Development Application is under review, or the information by which the original determination was made changes in a way that could affect eligibility, then such information will be re-evaluated and presented to the Board. The Application may be subject to termination should staff conclude that the Development Site has any characteristics found in §11.101(a)(3)(B) of this ~~titlepart~~ (relating to Neighborhood Risk Factors) and the Applicant failed to disclose.

(c) Pre-Application Process. An Applicant who intends to pursue Bond financing from the Department shall submit a pre-application by the corresponding pre-application submission deadline, as set forth by the Department. The required pre-application fee as described in §12.10 of this chapter (relating to Fees) must be submitted with the pre-application in order for the pre-application to be considered accepted by the Department. Department review at the time of the pre-application is limited and not all issues of eligibility, fulfillment of threshold requirements in connection with the full Application, and documentation submission requirements pursuant to Chapter 11 of this ~~titlepart~~ (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan) are reviewed. The Department is not responsible for notifying an Applicant of potential areas of ineligibility or other deficiencies at the time of pre-application. If the Development meets the criteria as described in §12.5 of this chapter (relating to Pre-Application Threshold Requirements), the pre-application will be scored and ranked according to the selection criteria as described in §12.6 of this chapter (relating to Pre-Application Scoring Criteria).

(d) Scoring and Ranking. The Department will rank the pre-application according to score within each priority defined by Tex. Gov't Code, §1372.0321. All Priority 1 pre-applications will be ranked above all Priority 2 pre-applications which will be ranked above all Priority 3 pre-applications. This priority ranking will be used throughout the calendar year. The selection criteria, as further described in §12.6 of this chapter, reflect a structure which gives priority consideration to specific criteria as outlined in Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.359.

(1) Tie Breakers. Should two or more pre-applications within the same priority receive the same score, the Department will utilize the factors in this section, which factors will be considered in the order they are presented herein, to determine which pre-application will receive preference in consideration of a Certificate of Reservation:

(i) go to To the pre-application that was on the waiting list with the TBRB but did not have an active receive Certificate of Reservation at the time of the TBRB lottery for the ~~the highest~~

~~number of points and~~ achieved points under §12.6(~~128~~) of this chapter (relating to Underserved Area/Waiting List); ~~and~~

(ii) To the pre-application with the highest number of points achieved under §12.6(13) of this chapter (relating to Tax-Exempt Bond 50% Test). ~~to determine which pre-application will receive preference in consideration of a Certificate of Reservation.~~

(e) Inducement Resolution. After the pre-applications have been scored and ranked, the pre-application will be presented to the Department's Board for consideration of an inducement resolution declaring the Department's initial intent to issue Bonds with respect to the Development. Approval of the inducement resolution does not guarantee final Board approval of the Bond Application. Department staff may recommend that the Board not approve an inducement resolution for a pre-application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Department staff may, but is not required to, recommend that an inducement resolution be approved despite the presence of neighborhood risk factors, undesirable site features, or requirements that may necessitate a waiver, that have not fully been evaluated by staff at pre-application. The Applicant recognizes the risk involved in moving forward should this be the case and the Department assumes no responsibility or liability in that regard. Each Development is unique, and therefore, making the final determination to issue Bonds is often dependent on the issues presented at the time the full Application is considered by the Board.

§12.5. Pre-Application Threshold Requirements.

The threshold requirements of a pre-application include the criteria listed in paragraphs (1) - (8) of this section. As the Department reviews the pre-application the assumptions as reflected in Chapter 11, Subchapter D of this titlepart (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) will be utilized even if not reflected by the Applicant in the pre-application. The threshold requirements of a pre-application include:

- (1) Submission of the required tabs of the Uniform Application as prescribed by the Department in the Multifamily Bond Pre-Application Procedures Manual;
- (2) Submission of the completed Bond Pre-Application Supplement in the form prescribed by the Department;
- (3) Completed Bond Review Board Residential Rental Attachment for the current program year;
- (4) Site Control, evidenced by the documentation required under §11.204(10) of this titlepart (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission). The Site Control must be valid through the date of both the Board meeting at which the inducement resolution is considered and subsequent submission of the application to the TBRB. ~~and~~ For Lottery applications, Site Control must have the option to extend through March 1 of the current program year. ~~must meet the requirements of §11.204(10) of this title at the time of Application;~~
- (5) Boundary survey or plat clearly identifying the location and boundaries of the subject Property;

(6) Organizational Chart showing the structure of the Development Owner and of any Developer and Guarantor, providing the names and ownership percentages of all Persons having an ownership interest in the Development Owner, Developer and Guarantor, as applicable, and completed List of Organizations form, as provided in the pre-application. The List of Organizations form, ~~as provided in the pre-application~~, must include all Persons identified on the organizational charts, and further identify which of those Persons listed exercise Control of the Development;

(7) Evidence of Entity Registration or Reservation with the Texas Office of the Secretary of State; and

(8) A certification, as provided in the pre-application, that the Applicant met the requirements and deadlines for public notifications as identified in §11.203 of this titlepart (relating to Public Notifications (§2306.6705(9))). In general, notifications should not be older than three months prior to the date of Application submission. Re-notification will be required by Applicants who have submitted a change from pre-application to Application that reflects a total Unit increase of greater than 10% or a 5% increase in density (calculated as Units per acre) as a result of a change in the size of the Development Site. In addition, should the jurisdiction of the official holding any position or role described in §11.203 of this titlepart change between the submission of a pre-application and the submission of an Application, Applicants are required to notify the new entity no later than the Full Application Delivery Date.

§12.6. Pre-Application Scoring Criteria.

This section identifies the scoring criteria used in evaluating and ranking pre-applications. The criteria identified below include those items required under Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.359 and other criteria considered important by the Department. Any scoring items that require supplemental information to substantiate points must be submitted in the pre-application, as further outlined in the Multifamily Bond Pre-Application Procedures Manual. Applicants proposing multiple sites will be required to submit a separate pre-application for each Development Site, unless staff determines that one pre-application is more appropriate based on the specifics of the transaction. Each individual pre-application will be scored on its own merits and the final score will be determined based on an average of all of the individual scores. Ongoing requirements, as selected in the pre-application, will be reflected in the Bond Regulatory and Land Use Restriction Agreement and must be maintained throughout the State Restrictive Period, unless otherwise stated or required in such Agreement otherwise.

(1) Income and Rent Levels of the Tenants. Pre-applications may qualify for up to ten (10 points) for this item.

(A) Priority 1 designation includes one of clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph. (10 points)

(i) set aside 50% of Units rent capped at 50% AMGI and the remaining 50% of Units rent capped at 60% AMGI; or

(ii) set aside 15% of Units rent capped at 30% AMGI and the remaining 85% of Units rent capped at 60% AMGI; or

(iii) set aside 100% of Units rent capped at 60% AMGI for Developments located in a census tract with a median income that is higher than the median income of the county, MSA, or PMSA in which the census tract is located.

(B) Priority 2 designation requires the set aside of at least 80% of the Units rent capped at 60% AMGI (7 points).

(C) Priority 3 designation. Includes any qualified residential rental development. Market rate Units can be included under this priority (5 points).

(2) Cost of Development per Square Foot. (1 point) For this item, costs shall be defined as either the Building Cost ~~or the Hard Costs voluntarily included in Eligible Basis~~, as represented in the Development Cost Schedule, as originally provided in the pre-application. This calculation does not include indirect construction costs or site work. Pre-applications that do not exceed \$95 per square foot of Net Rentable Area will receive one (1) point. Rehabilitation Developments will automatically receive one (1) point.

(3) Unit Sizes. (65 points) The Development must meet the minimum requirements identified in this subparagraph to qualify for points. Points for this item will be automatically granted for Applications involving Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction).

- (A) Five-hundred-fifty (550) square feet for an Efficiency Unit;
- (B) Six-hundred-fifty (650) square feet for a one Bedroom Unit;
- (C) Eight-hundred-fifty (850) square feet for a two Bedroom Unit;
- (D) One-thousand-fifty (1,050) square feet for a three Bedroom Unit; and
- (E) One-thousand, two-hundred-fifty (1,250) square feet for a four Bedroom Unit.

(4) Extended Affordability. ~~(32 points)~~ A pre-application may qualify for up to three (3) points under this item.

(A) ~~for~~ Development Owners that agree are willing to extend the State Restrictive Period for a Development to a total of 4035 years (3 points).

(B) Development Owners that agree to extend the State Restrictive Period for a Development to a total of 35 years (2 points).

(5) Unit and Development Construction Features. A pre-application may qualify for ~~minimum of nine (9) points~~ must be selected, as certified in the pre-application, for providing specific amenity and quality features in every Unit at no extra charge to the tenant. The amenities and corresponding point structure is provided in §11.101(b)(6)(B) of this titlepart (relating to Unit, Development Construction, and Energy and Water Efficiency Features), which includes a minimum number of points that must come from Energy and Water Efficiency Features. ~~The points selected at pre-application and/or Application will be required to be identified in the LURA and the points selected must be maintained throughout the State Restrictive Period.~~ Applications involving scattered site Developments must have a specific amenity located within each Unit to count for

points. Rehabilitation Developments will start with a base score of (53 points).

(6) Common Amenities. All Developments must provide at least the minimum threshold of points for common amenities based on the total number of Units in the Development as provided in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph. An Applicant may choose to exceed the minimum number of points necessary based on Development size; however, the maximum number of points under this item which a Development may be awarded receive under this section shall not exceed 25 points. The common amenities include those listed in §11.101(b)(5) of this ~~part~~-title and must meet the requirements as stated therein. The Owner may change, from time to time, the amenities offered; however, the overall points as selected at Application must remain the same.

- (A) Developments with 16 to 40 Units must qualify for (4 points);
- (B) Developments with 41 to 76 Units must qualify for (7 points);
- (C) Developments with 77 to 99 Units must qualify for (10 points);
- (D) Developments with 100 to 149 Units must qualify for (14 points);
- (E) Developments with 150 to 199 Units must qualify for (18 points); or
- (F) Developments with 200 or more Units must qualify for (22 points).

(7) Resident Supportive Services. ~~(108 points)~~ A pre-application may qualify for up to ten (10) points for this item. By electing points, the Applicant certifies that the Development will provide supportive services, which are listed in §11.101(b)(7) of this ~~title~~part, appropriate for the residents and that there will be adequate space for the intended services. ~~The provision and complete list of supportive services will be included in the LURA and must be maintained throughout the State Restrictive Period.~~ The Owner may change, from time to time, the services offered; however, the overall points as selected at ~~Application~~pre-application must remain the same. Should the QAP in subsequent years provide different services than those listed in §11.101(b)(7)(A) – (E), the Development Owner may be allowed to select services as listed therein upon written consent from the Department and any services selected must be of similar value to the service it is intending to replace. The Development Owner will be required to substantiate such service(s) at the time of compliance monitoring, if requested by staff. The services provided should be those that will directly benefit the Target Population of the Development and be accessible to all. No fees may be charged to the residents for any of the services. Services must be provided on-site or transportation to those off-site services identified on the list must be provided. The same service may not be used for more than one scoring item. These services are intended to be provided by a qualified and reputable provider in the specified industry such that the experience and background of the provider demonstrates sufficient knowledge to be providing the service. In general, on-site leasing staff or property maintenance staff would not be considered a qualified provider. Where applicable, the services must be documented by a written agreement with the provider.

(A) The Development Owner shall provide resident services sufficient to substantiate ten (10) points; or

(B) The Development Owner shall provide resident services sufficient to substantiate eight (8) points.

(8) Underserved Area. An Application may qualify to receive up to two (2) points if the Development Site meets the criteria described in §11.9(c)(5)(A) - (H) of this titlepart. The pre-application must include evidence that the Development Site meets this requirement.

(9) Development Support/Opposition. (Maximum +24 to -24 points) Each letter will receive a maximum of +3 to -3 points and must be received 10 business days prior to the Board's consideration of the pre-application. Letters must clearly state support or opposition to the specific Development. State Representatives or Senators as well as local elected officials must be in office when the pre-application is submitted and represent the district containing the proposed Development Site. Letters of support from State or local elected officials that do not represent the district containing the proposed Development Site will not qualify for points. Neutral letters that do not specifically refer to the Development or do not explicitly state support will receive (zero points). A letter that does not directly express support but expresses it indirectly by inference (i.e., "the local jurisdiction supports the Development and I support the local jurisdiction") counts as a neutral letter except in the case of State elected officials. A letter from a State elected official that does not directly indicate support by the official, but expresses support on behalf of the official's constituents or community (i.e., "My constituents support the Development and I am relaying their support") counts as a support letter.

(A) State Senator and State Representative of the districts whose boundaries include the proposed Development Site;

(B) Mayor of the municipality (if the Development is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(C) All elected members of the Governing Body of the municipality (if the Development is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(D) Presiding officer of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located;

(E) All elected members of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located;

(F) Superintendent of the school district in which the Development Site is located; and

(G) Presiding officer of the board of trustees of the school district in which the Development Site is located.

(10) Preservation Initiative. (10 points) Preservation Developments, including Rehabilitation proposals on Properties which are nearing expiration of an existing affordability requirement within the next two years or for which there has been a rent restriction requirement in the past 10 years may qualify for points under this item. Evidence must be submitted in the pre-application.

(11) Declared Disaster Areas. (7 points) A pre-application may receive points if the Development Site is located in an area declared a disaster area under Tex. Gov't Code §418.014 at the time of submission, or at any time within the two-year period preceding the date of submission.

(12) Waiting List. (5 points) A pre-application that is on the Department's waiting list with the TBRB and does not have an active Certificate of Reservation at the time of the Private Activity Bond

Lottery may ~~have~~ receive five (5) points added to their pre-application score if participating in the Lottery for the upcoming program year. These points will be added by staff once all of the scores for Lottery applications have been finalized.

(13) Tax-Exempt Bond 50% Test. (5 points) A pre-application may receive points under this item based on the amount of the Development financed with Tax-Exempt Bond proceeds relative to the amount necessary to meet the 50% Test. -The 50% Test is calculated by dividing the Tax-Exempt Bond proceeds by the aggregate basis of the Development and shall be based on such amounts as reflected in the pre-application once staff's review is complete and all Administrative Deficiencies have been resolved. Normal rounding shall apply.

(A) The pre-application reflects a 50% Test amount that is ~~between~~ greater than or equal to 55.0% and less than 60% ~~59%~~ (5 points); or

(B) The pre-application reflects a 50% Test amount that is ~~between~~ greater than or equal to 60% and less than or equal to 64% (3 points).

§12.7. Full Application Process.

(a) Application Submission. Once the inducement resolution has been approved by the Board, an Applicant who elects to proceed with submitting a full Application to the Department must submit the complete tax credit Application pursuant to §11.201 of this titlepart (relating to Procedural Requirements for Application Submission). While a Certificate of Reservation is required under §11.201 of this titlepart (relating to Procedural Requirements for Application Submission) prior to submission of the complete tax credit Application, staff may allow the Application to be submitted prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Reservation depending on circumstances associated with the Development Site, structure of the transaction, volume cap environment, or other factors in the Department's sole discretion.

(b) Eligibility Criteria. The Department will evaluate the Application for eligibility and threshold at the time of full Application pursuant to Chapter 11 of this titlepart (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan). If there are changes to the Application at any point prior to closing that have an adverse effect on the score and ranking order and that would have resulted in the pre-application being placed below another pre-application in the ranking, the Department will terminate the Application and withdraw the Certificate of Reservation from the Bond Review Board (with the exception of changes to deferred developer's fees and support or opposition points). The Development and the Applicant must satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 11 of this titlepart in addition to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 1372, the applicable requirements of Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, and the Code. The Applicant will also be required to select a Bond Trustee from the Department's approved list as published on its website.

(c) Bond Documents. Once the Application has been submitted and the Applicant has deposited funds to pay initial costs, the Department's bond counsel shall draft Bond documents.

(d) Public Hearings. The Department will hold a public hearing to receive comments pertaining to

the Development and the issuance of the Bonds. A representative of the Applicant or member of the Development Team must be present at the public hearing and will be responsible for conducting a brief presentation on the proposed Development and providing handouts at the hearing that should include at minimum, a description of the Development, maximum rents and income restrictions. If the proposed Development is Rehabilitation, the presentation should include the proposed scope of work that is planned for the Development. The handouts must be submitted to the Department for review at least two days prior to the public hearing. Publication of all notices required for the public hearing shall be at the sole expense of the Applicant, as well as any facility rental fees or required deposits.

(e) Approval of the Bonds. Subject to the timely receipt and approval of commitments for financing, an acceptable evaluation for eligibility, [financial feasibility](#), the satisfactory negotiation of Bond documents, and the completion of a public hearing, the Board, ~~upon presentation by Department staff~~, will consider the approval of the final Bond resolution relating to the issuance, [substantially](#) final Bond documents and in the instance of privately placed Bonds, the pricing, terms and interest rate of the Bonds. [For Applications that include local funding, Department staff may choose to delay Board consideration of the Bond issuance until such time it has been confirmed that the amount or terms associated with such local funding will not change and remain consistent with what was represented in the Department's underwriting analysis.](#) ~~The process for appeals and grounds for appeals may be found under §1.7 of this title (relating to Appeals Process). To the extent applicable to each specific Bond issuance, the Department's conduit multifamily Bond transactions will be processed in accordance with 34 TAC Part 9, Chapter 181, Subchapter A (relating to Bond Review Board Rules) and Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 1372.~~

(f) Local Permits. Prior to closing on the Bond financing, all necessary approvals, including building permits from local municipalities, counties, or other jurisdictions with authority over the Development Site must have been obtained or evidence that the permits are obtainable subject only to payment of certain fees. For Rehabilitation Developments, in instances where such permits will be not received prior to bond closing, the Department may, on a limited and case-by-case basis allow for the closing to occur, subject to receipt of confirmation, acceptable to the Department, by the lender and/or equity investor that they are comfortable proceeding with closing.

§12.8. Refunding Application Process.

(a) Application Submission. Owners who wish to refund or modify tax-exempt bonds that were previously issued by the Department must submit to the Department a summary of the proposed refunding plan or modifications. To the extent such modifications constitute a re-issuance under state law the Applicant shall then be required to submit a refunding Application in the form prescribed by the Department pursuant to the Bond Refunding Application Procedures Manual.

(b) Bond Documents. Once the Department has received the refunding Application and the Applicant has deposited funds to pay initial costs, the Department's bond counsel will draft the necessary Bond documents.

(c) Public Hearings. Depending on the proposed modifications to existing Bond covenants a public

hearing may be required. Such hearing must take place prior to obtaining Board approval and must meet the requirements pursuant to §12.7(d) of this chapter (relating to Full Application Process) regarding the presence of a member of the Development Team and providing a summary of proposed Development changes.

(d) Rule Applicability. Refunding Applications must meet the applicable requirements pursuant to Chapter 11 of this [titlepart](#) (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan). At the time of the original award the Application would have been subject to eligibility and threshold requirements under the QAP in effect the year the Application was awarded. Therefore, it is anticipated the Refunding Application would not be subject to the site and development requirements and restrictions pursuant to §11.101 of this [titlepart](#) (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions). The circumstances surrounding a refunding Application are unique to each Development; therefore, upon evaluation of the refunding Application, the Department is authorized to utilize its discretion in the applicability of the Department's rules as it deems appropriate.

§12.9. Occupancy Requirements.

(a) Filing and Term of Regulatory Agreement. A Bond Regulatory and Land Use Restriction Agreement will be filed in the property records of the county in which the Development is located for each Development financed from the proceeds of Bonds issued by the Department. ~~The Such~~ Regulatory [and Land Use Restriction](#) Agreement shall include provisions relating to the Qualified Project Period, the State Restrictive Period, ~~including any points claimed under §12.6(4) of this chapter for extending such term,~~ [along with points claimed for other provisions that will be required to be monitored throughout the State Restrictive Period,](#) and shall [also](#) include provisions relating to Persons with Special Needs. The minimum term of the Regulatory Agreement will be based on the criteria as described in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection, as applicable:

- (1) ~~The longer of~~ 30 years, [or such longer period as elected under §12.6\(4\) of this chapter \(relating to Extended Affordability\),](#) from the date the Development Owner takes legal possession of the Development;
- (2) The end of the remaining term of the existing federal government assistance pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.185; or
- (3) The period required by the Code.

(b) Federal Set Aside Requirements.

(1) Developments which are financed from the proceeds of Private Activity Bonds must be restricted under one of the two minimum set-asides as described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph. Regardless of an election that may be made under Section 42 of the Code relating to income averaging, a Development will be required under the Bond Regulatory and Land Use Restriction Agreement to meet one of the two minimum set-asides described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph. Any proposed market rate Units shall be limited to 140% of the area

median income and be considered restricted units under the Bond Regulatory [and Land Use Restriction](#) Agreement for purposes of using Bond proceeds to construct such Units.

(A) At least 20% of the Units within the Development shall be occupied or held vacant and available for occupancy at all times by persons or families whose income does not exceed 50% of the area median income; or

(B) At least 40% of the Units within the Development shall be occupied or held vacant and available for occupancy at all times by persons or families whose income does not exceed 60% of the area median income.

(2) The Development Owner must, at the time of Application, indicate which of the two federal set-asides will apply to the Development and must also designate the selected priority for the Development in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §1372.0321. Units intended to satisfy set-aside requirements must be distributed equally throughout the Development, and must include a reasonably proportionate amount of each type of Unit available in the Development.

(3) No tenant qualifying under either of the minimum federal set-asides shall be denied continued occupancy of a Unit in the Development because, after commencement of such occupancy, such tenant's income increases to exceed the qualifying limit. However, should a tenant's income, as of the most recent determination thereof, exceed 140% of the applicable federal set-aside income limit and such tenant constitutes a portion of the set-aside requirement of this section, then such tenant shall only continue to qualify for so long as no Unit of comparable or smaller size is rented to a tenant that does not qualify as a Low-Income Tenant.

§12.10. Fees.

(a) Pre-Application Fees. The Applicant is required to submit, at the time of pre-application, [a pre-application fee of the following fees: \\$1,000, along with the fees noted on the Schedule of Fees posted on the Department's website specific](#) ~~(payable to TDHCA), \$2,500 (payable to the Department's bond counsel)~~ and ~~\$5,000 (payable to~~ the Texas Bond Review Board (TBRB) pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, §1372.006(a)). These fees cover the costs of pre-application review by the Department ~~and,~~ its bond counsel and filing fees associated with [application submission for](#) the Certificate of Reservation to the TBRB.

(b) Application Fees. At the time of Application the Applicant is required to submit a tax credit application fee of \$30 per Unit based on the total number of Units and a bond application fee of \$20 per Unit based on the total number of Units, unless otherwise modified by a specific program NOFA. Such fees cover the costs associated with Application review and the Department's expenses in connection with providing financing for a Development. For Developments proposed to be structured as a portfolio the bond application fees may be reduced on a case by case basis at the discretion of Department staff.

(c) Closing Fees. The closing fee for Bonds, other than refunding Bonds, is equal to 50 basis points (0.005%) of the issued principal amount of the Bonds, unless otherwise modified by a program

NOFA. The Applicant will also be required to pay at closing of the Bonds the first two years of the administration fee equal to 20 basis points (0.002%) of the issued principal amount of the Bonds, with the first year prorated based on the actual closing date, and a Bond compliance fee equal to \$25/Unit (excludes market rate Units). Such compliance fee shall be applied to the third year following closing.

(d) Application and Issuance Fees for Refunding Applications. For refunding an Application the application fee will be \$10,000 unless the refunding is not required to have a public hearing, in which case the fee will be \$5,000. The closing fee for refunding Bonds is equal to 25 basis points (0.0025%) of the issued principal amount of the refunding Bonds. If applicable, administration and compliance fees due at closing may be prorated based on the current billing period of such fees. If additional volume cap is being requested other fees may be required as further described in the Bond Refunding Applications Procedures Manual. Transactions previously issued that involved a financing structure that would constitute a re-issuance under state law, but do not fit under §12.8, will be required to pay a closing fee that shall not exceed 25 basis points (0.0025%) of the re-issued principal amount of the bonds which may be reduced in the sole determination of the Department as commensurate with the review by staff in obtaining Board approval at the time of conversion.

(e) Administration Fee. The annual administration fee is equal to 10 basis points (0.001%) of the outstanding bond amount at the inception of each payment period and is paid as long as the Bonds are outstanding, unless otherwise modified by a specific program NOFA.

(f) Bond Compliance Fee. The Bond compliance monitoring fee is equal to \$25/Unit (excludes market rate Units), and is paid for the duration of the State Restrictive Period under the Regulatory Agreement, regardless of whether the Bonds have been paid off and are no longer outstanding. [For Developments for which \(1\) the Department's Bonds are no longer outstanding and \(2\) new bonds or notes have been issued and delivered, the bond compliance monitoring fee may be reduced on a case by case basis upon a written request to, and at the discretion, of Department staff.](#)