RULES COMMITTEE MEETING
SEPTEMBER 5, 2018

Leo Vasquez, III, Chair
Leslie Bingham Escareño, Member
Paul A. Braden, Member
CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL

CERTIFICATION OF QUORUM

PUBLIC COMMENT
The Rules Committee of the Board of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs will solicit public comment at the end of the meeting and will also provide for public comment on each agenda item after the presentation made by the Department staff and motions made by the Committee.

The Committee of the Board of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs will meet to consider and possibly act on the following:

ACTION ITEMS
1. Presentation, discussion and possible action to make recommendations to the Governing Board on the 2019 Qualified Allocation Plan
   Marni Holloway
   Director of MF Finance
2. Presentation, discussion and possible action to make recommendations to the Governing Board on the Multifamily Loan Program Rules (10 TAC Chapter 13)
   Andrew Sinnott
   Administrator of Multifamily Loan Programs
3. Presentation, discussion and possible action to make recommendations to the Governing Board on the Post Award and Asset Management Rules (10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E)
   Rosalio Banuelos
   Acting Director of Multifamily Asset Management
4. Presentation, discussion and possible action to make recommendations to the Governing Board on the Migrant Labor Housing Facilities Rule (10 TAC Chapter 90)
   Tom Gouris
   Director of Special Initiatives

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS OTHER THAN ITEMS FOR WHICH THERE WERE POSTED AGENDA ITEMS.

EXECUTIVE SESSION
The Committee may go into Executive Session (close its meeting to the public) on any agenda item if appropriate and authorized by the Open Meetings Act, Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 551 and under Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.039.
1. Pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §551.071(2) the Committee may go into executive session for the purpose of seeking the advice of its attorney about a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the governmental body under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 551

OPEN SESSION
If there is an Executive Session, the Committee will reconvene in Open Session. Except as specifically authorized by applicable law, the Committee may not take any actions in Executive Session
ADJOURN

To access this agenda and details on each agenda item in the board book, please visit our website at www.tdhca.state.tx.us or contact Michael Lyttle, 512-475-4542, TDHCA, 221 East 11th Street, Austin, Texas 78701, and request the information.

Individuals who require auxiliary aids, services or sign language interpreters for this meeting should contact Terri Roeber, ADA Responsible Employee, at 512-475-3959 or Relay Texas at 1-800-735-2989, at least five (5) days before the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Non-English speaking individuals who require interpreters for this meeting should contact Elena Peinado, 512-475-3814, at least five (5) days before the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

PERSONAS QUE HABLAN ESPAÑOL Y REQUIEREN UN INTÉRPRETE, FAVOR DE LLAMAR A ELENA PEINADO, AL SIGUIENTE NÚMERO 512-475-3814 POR LO MENOS TRES DÍAS ANTES DE LA JUNTA PARA HACER LOS PREPARATIVOS APROPIADOS.

NOTICE AS TO HANDGUN PROHIBITION DURING THE OPEN MEETING OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY IN THIS ROOM ON THIS DATE:

Pursuant to Section 30.06, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with a concealed handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a concealed handgun.

De acuerdo con la sección 30.06 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola oculta), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola oculta.

Pursuant to Section 30.07, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with an openly carried handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a handgun that is carried openly.

De acuerdo con la sección 30.07 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola a la vista), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola a la vista.

NONE OF THESE RESTRICTIONS EXTEND BEYOND THIS ROOM ON THIS DATE AND DURING THE MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.
ACTION ITEMS
Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 11 concerning the Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan, and a proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 11 concerning the Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan (which will incorporate into Chapter 11 substance from the Uniform Multifamily Rules being repealed from 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapters A, B, C, D, and G), and directing its publication for public comment in the Texas Register

RECOMMENDED ACTION

WHEREAS, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) is authorized by Tex. Gov’t Code Ch. 2306, Subchapter DD, to make Housing Tax Credit allocations for the State of Texas;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.053 the Department is authorized to adopt rules governing the administration of the Department and its programs;

WHEREAS, the Department, as required by §42(m)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.67022, developed this proposed Qualified Allocation Plan to establish the procedures and requirements relating to an allocation of Housing Tax Credits; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6724, the Board shall adopt a proposed Qualified Allocation Plan no later than September 30 and, on or before November 15, submit it to the Governor, to approve, reject, or modify and approve not later than December 1;

NOW, therefore, it is hereby

RESOLVED, that the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 11, and a proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 11 concerning the Housing Tax Credit Qualified Allocation Plan together with the preambles presented to this meeting, are hereby approved for publication in the Texas Register for public comment; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Executive Director and his designees be and each of them are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, for and on behalf of proposed the Department, to cause the proposed Qualified Allocation Plan, together with the changes, if any, made at this meeting and the preambles, in the form presented to this meeting, to be published in the Texas Register for public comment and, in connection therewith, make such non-substantive technical corrections, including any required revisions to the preambles, as they may deem necessary to effectuate the foregoing.

BACKGROUND

General Information: Attached to this Board Action Request is the Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP"),
which reflects staff’s recommendations for the Board’s consideration. The attached QAP identifies the differences between the 2018 QAP and other 2018 rules that are being addressed in this proposed QAP and the proposed 2019 QAP in blackline format. The QAP submitted to the Texas Register will be a “clean” version of the 2019 QAP and will not identify the changes between 2018 and 2019. However, the Department’s Public Comment page will reflect a blackline version of the proposed 2019 QAP as approved by the Board.

Beginning in December of 2017, staff began meeting with stakeholders to discuss the 2019 QAP. Staff and stakeholders met a total of six times and discussed items such as financial feasibility and construction costs, the 2017 Resident Survey, building and Unit features, common amenities, resident services, tie-breaker factors, Section 811 Program Based Rental Assistance, previous participation rules, changes to the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee, and the new 8609 Income Averaging election. Staff also posted several topics to the Department’s Online Forum, where stakeholders were invited to comment on aspects of the QAP and new proposals from staff. Staff made a concerted effort in the month of August to solicit stakeholder input on the staff draft of the 2019 QAP. Staff published a staff draft on August 9, 2018, and stakeholders were invited to submit their input by letter, email, or phone. The window for receiving stakeholder input closed on August 17, 2018, at 5:00 p.m. Austin local time. Staff received input from many stakeholders, including the development community and local government officials. Some input spoke of the general policy goals of certain scoring items or threshold criteria, and some input offered targeted feedback on the mechanics of the rules, pointing out grammatical/clerical errors, omissions, and inconsistencies. Staff has considered the input received in the 2019 proposed QAP provided in this action item.

After consideration of the input and feedback provided and after evaluating the 2018 Application round, staff believes that the proposed 2019 QAP will serve the State of Texas’ interests well, furthering the policies of both statute and the Board. In keeping with those policies, staff has proposed changes to specific sections of the QAP.

Rule-Making Timeline: Upon Board approval, the proposed 2019 QAP will be posted to the Department’s website and published in the Texas Register. Public comment will be accepted between September 21, 2018, and October 12, 2018. Staff will then consider and prepare reasoned responses to public comment as part of the final action on the QAP that will be brought before the Board on November 8, 2018, for approval. Subsequently, the QAP will be submitted to the Office of the Governor not later than November 15, 2018, for him to approve, approve with changes, or reject the QAP. Upon the Governor’s approval, approval with modifications, or rejection, which must occur no later than December 1, 2018, the adopted 2019 QAP will be published in the Texas Register and posted to the Department’s website.

Statutory Changes: There were no statutorily mandated changes to the QAP for 2019.

Summary of Proposed Changes: While purely administrative in nature, a significant change to the QAP for 2019 involves returning the QAP to one comprehensive document addressing all facets of the allocation of Housing Tax Credits. This required combining the following Uniform Multifamily Rules into the traditional QAP chapter found at 10 TAC Chapter 11: General Information and Definitions (previously 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter A); Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions (previously 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter B); Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of rules or Pre-Clearance for Applications (previously 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter C); Underwriting and Loan Policy (previously 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter D); and Fee Schedule, Appeals and Other Provisions (previously 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter G). This consolidation more accurately adheres to the requirements of Tex. Gov’t Code
Chapter 2306, places all of the rules pertaining to the awarding of Housing Tax Credits under one Chapter, and makes the Department’s rules more clear and accessible to stakeholders, especially those looking to enter the multifamily affordable housing market in Texas. In order to combine the above noted rules into one chapter, various components or sections of those rules, may have been reorganized or repositioned to reflect the organizational logic of the 2019 QAP. For reviewing purposes, those sections were first pasted and consolidated and only then were changes tracked.

While not inclusive of all changes proposed, a description of some of the significant recommendations considered changes of policy are described below. Citation and page references are indicated for ease of reference.

10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter A

§ 11.1(d) - Definitions (Page 2 of 146). In combining the previous 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter A (Uniform Multifamily Rules) into the QAP staff incorporated the numbered list of definitions under this subsection. Staff has added definitions for Common Area and Preservation; removed definitions for Housing Quality Standards, Qualified Purchaser, and Related Party; and made revisions to several other definitions.

§ 11.2 - Program Calendar (Page 19 of 146). This section is modified to reflect dates for the 2019 Application round.

11.3(g) - Proximity of Development Sites (Page 24 of 146). In the 2018 QAP, staff had added language that precluded the awarding of HTCs to two or more Developments that were on “contiguous” Development Sites. Given the inadequacy of that language, staff proposed clarifying language that provides a minimum distance of 1,000 feet based on stakeholder input. Additional language also provides parameters for this issue. If two or more Applications were within 1,000 feet of each other, the lower-scoring Application(s) will be considered a non-priority Application(s), unless the higher-scoring Application withdraws.

§ 11.4(a) - Credit Amount (Page 24 of 146). Applicants that may have Applications pending in excess of the $3 million cap are now given until July 15, as opposed to the previous deadline of June 29, to provide the Department with their notification of which application they will not pursue.

§ 11.4(c) - Increase in Eligible Basis (30 percent Boost) (Page 25 of 146). The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (H.R. 1892) created a tax vehicle for encouraging investment in areas in need of targeted revitalization efforts by private and nonprofit investors. These areas are referred to as “Qualified Opportunity Zones.” Staff has added Developments located in these areas to the factors that allow an Application to automatically qualify for the 30% boost in eligible basis.

§ 11.6(1) - Regional Allocation Formula (Page 29 of 146). Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.1115 directs the Department to reserve $500,000 or more for the allocation of tax credits in rural areas in each uniform state service region. The Department has used that figure of $500,000 since 2001. The Department has increased that reservation amount to $600,000 at the request of the Texas Association of Affordable Housing Developers, and in concurrence with rural developers, who have found it increasingly difficult to develop within that cap. In evaluating the formula within this scenario, staff realized that if implemented, one subregion (Urban 2) would be the sole subregion with an allocation amount below $600,000. To maintain an equal footing for all areas, a $600,000 floor is being suggested for all subregions. Also in this section, in an effort to improve transparency on this issue, staff has added that it will release information on the Elderly Development maximum percentages and credit limits in
December 2018, and periodically thereafter; and will also release similar but more expanded information one week prior to the meeting in July at which final awards of credits will be made.

§ 11.7 – Tie-Breaker Factors (Page 33 of 146). Staff has removed three previous tie-breaker factors, added a new tie-breaker, and revised one remaining tie-breaker. In crafting the tie-breaker factors for 2019, staff has avoided factors that duplicate scoring and instead proposes two factors that further the Department’s and Board’s policy directives. The first tie-breaker factor is determined by whether or not, of two or more tied Applications, the poverty rate for the census tract of an Application is lower than the median poverty rate for all the census tracts in which pre-applications proposed to construct Developments, as of January 9, 2019. So, in effect, staff will take a snapshot of all census tracts in which, on the day of final pre-application submission, Developments are proposed, and take the median of all those values. The full Application whose census tract’s poverty rate is below that median value can move to the next component of this tie breaker factor, which is based on rent burden. Staff will rank all census tracts in the state, based on which tract has the highest percentage of statewide rent-burdened households that earn up to 80% Area Median Family Income (“AMFI”). Thus, if two tied Applications each have poverty rates below that median value, then the Applicant in the census tract with the most rent burden will win this tie-breaker factor.

Staff would like to emphasize that the January 9 snapshot of census tracts that will be used to determine this median value is irrevocable and, once determined, static; Applications that may be withdrawn by Applicants or terminated by the Board after the close of the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date will not affect this median value. In the staff draft of the QAP, staff had originally suggested that the date of determination be the End of the Application Acceptance Period, or March 1, but stakeholders preferred that the date be sooner so that they can make informed decisions on which pre-applications to take to full Application.

Staff believes that this tie-breaker factor furthers two goals of the Department: first, it encourages the dispersion of affordable housing; and second, unlike previous years’ tie breaker factors, it leaves undetermined the census tracts most likely to score highest on geographic measures, thereby limiting Applicants bidding on the same land in the same census tract. Indeed, this tie-breaker factor may even allow multiple census tracts to “score highest” in each subregion, which staff hopes decreases the competitive bidding on land and further aids the Department’s goal of dispersion.

The final tie-breaker factor continues to be distance. In light of stakeholder input received, staff has amended this factor for 2019 by indicating that the tie will be granted for the Application proposed to be located farthest from an existing Housing Tax Credit (excluding those Developments under the Control of the same Owner as the Application being considered in the tie) assisted Development that serves the same Target Population and that was not awarded less than 15 years ago wins the tie-breaker. Staff believes this tie-breaker factor is an effective means of dispersion and ensures that all ties can be resolved. The exclusion for Developments under the Control of the same Owner as the Application being considered in the tie is intended to not penalize the economies of scale that can often be achieved by a developer in locating properties near to one another.

§ 11.8 – Pre-Application Requirements (Competitive HTC Only) (Page 34 of 146). Staff has clarified that only one pre-application can be submitted for each Site Control document. Staff has also added language that prevents a pre-application from being withdrawn after the Full Application Delivery Date. Staff also added clarifying language relating to acceptable means for searching for Neighborhood Organizations, for purposes of notification, and clarified the terms “on record with the county” and “on record with the State.”

§ 11.9 – Competitive HTC Selection Criteria (Begins Page 37 of 146). Staff has made several changes to
this section. They are addressed separately.

- **(b)(1) Size and Quality of the Units** (Page 37 of 146). While this section remains worth 15 points, staff has shifted the points of the two components that together compose this subsection. Points for Unit sizes have decreased from eight to six points; points for Unit and Development features have increased from seven to nine points. In raising the number of points available for Unit and Development features, staff has ensured that there are many additional options that Developers can select from in meeting this point requirement.

- **(b)(2) Sponsor Characteristics** (Page 38 of 146). In response to input received, revisions were made to better facilitate the preservation of HUD 202 Rehabilitation projects, and removed a restriction against a Principal of a HUB or Nonprofit Organization from being a Related Party.

- **(c)(1) Income Levels of Tenants** (Page 38 of 146). To accommodate changes made to IRC §42(g)(1) by Congress’s passing of the 2018 Omnibus Spending Bill, staff has added scoring criteria to the QAP that reflects an Applicants’ ability to make the Income Averaging election on their 8609s. In determining the income averages that are incentivized now in rule, staff looked to historical precedent in order to identify percentages that most closely align with the existing election options.

- **(c)(4) Opportunity Index** (Page 40 of 146). Staff has made minor changes to some of the menu items. For example, a physician’s general practice with walk-in services now counts in rural areas as a “health related facility.” In light of stakeholder input, staff has also made changes to urban Developments seeking opportunity index points. Two points will be awarded to those sites within 1/2 mile of high-frequency transit, and urban hike-and-bike trails will now count as a park.

- **(c)(5) Underserved Area** (Page 44 of 146). In light of stakeholder input, staff has created two new Underserved Area scoring items. There are now seven mutually-exclusive options for scoring up to five Underserved Area points. The first new item awards two points to those census tracts where the poverty rate is greater than 20% and the median gross rent is greater than the county HUD Fair Market Rent estimate. These factors, taken together, may signal areas undergoing gentrification or potential gentrification. Staff foresees this item being a complement to Concerted Revitalization Plan Applications, which have previously had difficulty scoring points for Underserved Area. The second new scoring item awards three points to Applications for At-risk or USDA Developments that were placed in service 30 or more years ago, that are still occupied, and that have not yet received federal funding or LIHTC equity for the purposes of Rehabilitation for a Development.

 Staff also modified subparagraph (E), regarding the five point item for a census tract for which both it and all its contiguous census tracts have not received an award in the previous 15 years. In 2018, this scoring item was only available in cities with populations of 150,000 or more; for 2019, it is available in cities with populations of 100,000 or more.

- **(c)(6) Tenant Populations with Special Needs** (Page 45 of 146). Clarifications have been made to the scoring item regarding voluntary participation in the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program. Staff has better explained the process by which Applicants demonstrate their inability to have an existing development participate in the Section 811 PRA Program if they pursue points under this paragraph.

- **(c)(7) Proximity to Urban Core** (Page 46 of 146). Staff has readjusted the distance requirements from the urban core for cities, depending on their population sizes. For cities with populations above 750,000, the urban core radius remains four miles; for cities with populations that range
from 500,000 to 749,999, the urban core radius is now two miles; and for cities with populations that range from 200,000 to 499,999, the urban core radius is now one mile. The purpose of these changes is to better reflect what constitutes an “urban core,” based on a city’s population.

- (c)(8) Readiness-to-Proceed (Page 46 of 146). Staff has made two changes to the Readiness-to-proceed item for disaster impacted counties, which was added to the 2018 QAP by Governor Greg Abbott in 2017. First, the deadline for closing all financing and fully executing the construction contract is now the last day of November, instead of October. Second, in order to faithfully demonstrate that readiness to proceed is attainable to an Applicant, staff is requiring the submission of acknowledgement from all lenders and the syndicator of the final closing date. Staff also added language to address the fact that some Readiness to Proceed applications may be determined by staff to be in a non-priority status. In such cases, those non-priority applications, if ultimately awarded, will be able to receive an extension of the November deadline equivalent to the period of time they were in non-priority status.

- (d)(5) Community Support from State Representative (Page 50 of 146). Staff is reemphasizing a change made to this paragraph from the 2018 QAP with further clarification for the 2019 QAP. The intent of the added language is to be specific in the options State Representatives have in formulating and officially rendering their opinions and support, or lack thereof, of proposed affordable housing LIHTC Developments in their districts.

- (d)(7) Concerted Revitalization Plan (Page 52 of 146). Staff has added language that clarifies what constitutes a substantial plan of revitalization for an area that warrants a LIHTC capital investment. The Rule also now allows for a concerted revitalization plan that may not extend for another three years but that has already made substantial improvements to the neighborhood and that will accomplish all of its specified objectives within a three year period from the date of Application, if confirmed by a public official who oversees the plan.

- (e)(2) Cost of Development per Square Foot (Page 55 of 146). In response to significant public input over several years, the 2019 QAP reflects an increase across the board to all categories of maximum costs per square foot in this scoring section. The new amounts reflect a 5% increase from the prior figures; this is meant to address the overall comments from all types of applicants. Staff is committed to continuing to work with the development community, and consider internal data sources, to further fine tune and tailor this section for the 2020 QAP. Staff also increased the common area square footage per Unit permitted for Supportive Housing Developments from 50 to 75.

- (e)(4) Leveraging of Private, State, and Federal Resources (Page 57 of 146). Staff has increased each of the targeted leveraging percentages by one percent. A LIHTC request that is nine percent of the Total Housing Development Cost is worth three points; a LIHTC request that is 10 percent of the Total Development Cost is worth two points; and a LIHTC request that is 11 percent of the Total Development Cost is worth one point.

- (f) Factors Affecting Scoring and Eligibility in Current and Future Application Rounds (Page 58 of 144). Staff has sought to clarify the intent of this subsection, which grants the Governing Board the authority to affect an Applicant’s and/or Affiliate’s participation, or score, in the subsequent Application Round. The clarified rule language identifies four possible situations that may warrant point deductions for the Applications of any Applicant and/or Affiliate who may have previously violated the specified rules. The Governing Board may also find that an Applicant and/or Affiliate are ineligible to compete.

§11.10 - Third Party Request for Administrative Deficiency for Competitive HTC
Applications (Page 59 of 146). Staff has added language to clarify the Request for Administrative Deficiency ("RFAD") process, and has added a sentence that reiterates to Applicants and stakeholders that information received after the Request for Administrative Deficiency deadline will not be considered by staff or presented to the Board.

10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter B

§11.101 - Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions (Begin on Page 60 of 146). Staff has made several changes to this section. They are addressed separately.

- (a)(2) Undesirable Site Features (Page 60 of 146). Staff has added an additional means by which a Development Site located within 500 feet of an active railroad track may mitigate this particular undesirable site feature.

- (a)(3) Neighborhood Risk Factors (Page 62 of 146). Previously, these types of considerations were referred to as "Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics." However, they have been renamed to "Neighborhood Risk Factors." The same four factors remain—high poverty rate, high crime rate, the presence of blight, and poor school performance. In the case of crime, clarification was made to how the tract and proximity is determined.

Staff has also provided changes to how an Applicant may achieve mitigation of a particular Neighborhood Risk Factor, adding greater specificity and reorganizing the section relating to mitigation for crime. In the case of mitigation for schools not having Met Standards, new options were provided by which mitigation can be achieved.

- (b)(2) Development Size Limitations (Page 68 of 146). In response to input, staff is recommending that the maximum unit size in Rural Areas be increased from 80 to 120 for Tax Exempt Bond Developments.

- (b)(3) Rehabilitation Costs (Page 68 of 146). Input was received indicating that the minimum amount of Building Costs for rehabilitation should be reconsidered (lowered). In lieu of making that change, but in an effort to still find an alternate option for Applicants, without jeopardizing the long-term viability of rehabilitated properties, staff has proposed an alternate option. An Applicant can design their Application to achieve a series of rehabilitation standards; if all of those are achieved and reflected in the Application, the Application does not have to achieve the cost minimums.

- (b)(4) Mandatory Development Amenities (Page 69 of 146). In addition to stating the Board authority's to waive certain mandatory development amenities when the Development must request such in order to comply with the rules of the Texas Historical Commission, staff has removed one item relating to plumbing and added several other items, one requiring Energy-Star rated windows and the other requiring adequate accessible parking spaces. Staff has also stated that, if local parking requirements allow for decreased parking ratios in exchange for the provision of a car-sharing program, then the LURA will require the Owner to provide that car-sharing service at no cost to the tenants throughout its term.

- (b)(5) Common Amenities (Page 70 of 146). Staff has categorized the options available to Developments under this paragraph, simply to better organize the rule and better facilitate Developers' and Owners' consideration of their options. Developments are not required to select items from each category. Staff has also added several new common amenity options and has sought to calibrate items' point amounts to reflect the true cost of delivering each respective amenity.

- (b)(6)(B) Unit and Development Construction Features (Page 74 of 146). First, staff has increased the
number of required points from seven to nine. Second, staff categorized options under this subparagraph as either “Unit Features” or “Development Construction Features,” simply to better organize the rule and better facilitate Developers’ and Owners’ consideration of their options. Developments are not required to select items from each category. Under Unit Features, staff has added eight additional options, for a total of 22 options to attain the required number of points. Under Development Construction Features, staff has relocated “Green Building Features” to this subparagraph from another area of the rule. Staff has also readjusted the point value of some of the options in order to better reflect their true costs. Items that cost more to the Developer and Owner are, hence, worth more points than items that, comparatively speaking, cost less.

- (b)(7) Resident Supportive Services (Page 76 of 146). As with Common Amenities and Unit and Development Construction Features, staff has categorized the options available under this paragraph for resident services in order to better organize the rule and better facilitate Developers’ and Owners’ consideration of their options. Staff has sought to calibrate items’ point amounts to reflect the true cost of delivering each respective service. Staff has also created new services that Developments can select, partly by combining previously similar services into more expansive service packages that are worth a significant amount of points. In crafting these substantial service items, staff has sought to specify clear parameters around what actually constitutes substantial while allowing enough flexibility for Developments to respond to the immediate needs of their residents. For example, for Children Supportive Services, staff does not necessarily specify what the after-school and summer classes should consist of, but staff does specify how many hours should be devoted to that service each week. For those Developments that do not wish to offer these more substantive services, staff has ensured that enough 1- and 2-point options remain to reach the required number of points.

- (b)(8) Development Accessibility Requirements (Pages 78 of 146). Staff has clarified a policy that has been set by precedent over the past year. In regards to meeting the visitability requirements of 10 TAC §11.101(b)(8)(B) for a Rehabilitation Development with townhome Units that do not have a bathroom on the ground floor, the Applicant will not be required to add a bathroom to meet the bathroom-related requirements of the visitability rule. In regards to Unit distribution, staff has added several clauses to explain that for applications that do not include Multifamily Loan funds the appropriate distribution of accessible Units is based simply on the number of bedrooms and full bathrooms. Staff will also allow for alternative methods of calculating accessible Unit distribution, if that method is approved by the Department prior to award or allocation.

10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter C

§ 11.201 - Procedural Requirements for Application Submission (Page 80 of 146). Staff has made minor changes to the paragraph pertaining to the Deficiency Process (10 TAC 11.201(7)). Because staff has clarified “Administrative Deficiency” and defined “Material Deficiency,” staff has revised this paragraph to better explain how the Department will handle deficiencies.

§ 11.202 - Ineligible Applicants and Applications (Page 86 of 146). Staff has made changes to the paragraph pertaining to Applicant eligibility (10 TAC 11.202(1)(M) and (N)). If an Applicant fails to disclose in the Application any voluntary agreement with any governmental agency regarding noncompliance of any affordable housing Development requirements, that person may be found ineligible.

§ 11.203 - Public Notifications (Pages 89 of 146). Consistent with the changes made to the Pre-
Application section, noted above, staff added clarifying language relating to acceptable means for searching for Neighborhood Organizations, for purposes of notification, and clarified the terms “on record with the county” and “on record with the State.”

§11.204 – Required Documentation for Application Submission (Page 91 of 146). Staff has rearranged and expanded on the requirements of the site plan (10 TAC 11.204(9)(A)). Staff has made minor changes to the paragraph pertaining to Site Control (10 TAC 11.204(10)), regarding identifying transactions and demonstrating control over points of ingress and egress. Staff has also clarified in 10 TAC 11.204(14), concerning Nonprofit Ownership, that the majority of the board of directors of the nonprofit must indicate clear approval of the organization’s participation in each specific Application. A revision was made to allow a survey to be acceptable for up to 24 months, an increase from the previous 12 month requirement. Language was also added that prohibits a member of a nonprofit’s board of directors, other than a chief staff member currently serving as a board member, from receiving material compensation for service on the board. Language addressing Income Averaging as an election was also added as it relates to what is needed for a real estate analysis evaluation.

Staff would also like to highlight that, for all applicable Third Party reports, the following language, either verbatim or in similar fashion has been added to the rules: “The report must also include the following statement, ‘all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.’”

10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter D

Staff has not made any substantive changes to the Department’s Underwriting and Loan Policy rules. The only changes that stakeholders should bear in mind regard those where the underwriting rules had previously referenced the AMFI bands available to Applicants; those bands have been updated to reflect the availability of 20%, 70%, and 80% AMFI income and rent restrictions for Developments that make the new Average Income election, in addition to the 30%, 40%, 50%, and 60% AMFI income and rent restrictions already previously available for those Developments that made the 20/50 or 40/60 elections.

10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter E

The only substantive change to this subchapter is that staff has removed the fee required to be submitted for Third Party Deficiency Requests. Applicants will now be able to submit RFADs at no cost.
Attachment 1: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 11, Qualified Allocation Plan

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") proposes the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 11, Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP"). The purpose of the proposed repeal is to eliminate an outdated rule while adopting a new updated rule under separate action.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2001.0221.

1. Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect, the proposed repeal does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the repeal, and simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, concerning the allocation of Low Income Housing Tax Credits ("LIHTC").

2. The proposed repeal does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor is the proposed repeal significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that any existing employee positions are eliminated.

3. The proposed repeal does not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed repeal does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed repeal is not creating a new regulation, except that it is being replaced by a new rule simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed action will repeal an existing regulation, but is associated with a simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, concerning the allocation of LIHTC.

7. The proposed repeal will not increase nor decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule's applicability.

8. The proposed repeal will not negatively nor positively affect this state's economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2006.002.

The Department has evaluated this proposed repeal and determined that the proposed repeal will not create an economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2007.043. The proposed repeal does not contemplate nor authorize a takings by the Department; therefore, no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the proposed repeal as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect there would be no economic effect on local employment; therefore no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.
e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the repealed section would be an updated and more germane rule for administering the allocation of LIHTC. There will not be economic costs to individuals required to comply with the repealed section.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the repeal does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 21, 2018 to October 12, 2018 to receive stakeholder comment on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Patrick Russell, QAP Public Comment, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941, or by fax to (512) 475-1895, attn: Patrick Russell, QAP Public Comments, or by email to htc.public-comment@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 P.M. Austin local time OCTOBER 12, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to TEX. GOV'T CODE §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 11, Qualified Allocation Plan
Attachment 2 Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 11, Qualified Allocation Plan

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) proposes new 10 TAC Chapter 11, Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”). The purpose of the proposed new section is to provide compliance with Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.67022 and to update the rule to: combine all rules affecting the Competitive and non-Competitive Housing Tax Credits (“HTCs”) into one chapter of rules reflecting the Qualified Allocation Plan; update and revise definitions; update the program calendar; revise the distance requirement regarding proximity to proposed Development Sites in the same Application cycle; revise eligibility for the boost in Eligible Basis; increase the rural reservation for a region; clarify the search methods for identifying neighborhood organizations; revise tie-breaker factors for Competitive HTCs; revise Competitive HTC selection criteria; revise Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions, including, but not limited to, undesirable site features, neighborhood risk factors, mandatory Development amenities, common amenities, Unit and Development construction features, resident supportive services, and Development accessibility requirements; increase the mitigation options for neighborhood risk factors; increase the rural unit size limitation for tax-exempt bond developments; revise and clarify the deficiency process; expand on ineligibility criteria for Applicants; make minor revisions to Required Documentation for Application Submission; make minor changes to underwriting criteria that recognizes the availability of the Average Income election to HTC Developments; and, lastly, remove the Third Party Deficiency Request Fee, so that, in the 2019 QAP, the submission of a Request for an Administrative Deficiency (“RFAD”) will be free.

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.0045(b) does not apply to the rule proposed for action for two reasons: 1) the state’s adoption of the QAP is necessary to comply with IRC §42; and 2) the state’s adoption of the QAP is necessary to comply with Tx. Gov’t Code §2306.67022. The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.


Mr. Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for the first five years the proposed new rule would be in effect:

1. The proposed rule does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the readoption of this rule which makes changes to an existing activity, concerning the allocation of Low Income Housing Tax Credits (“LIHTC”).

2. The proposed new rule does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor are the rule changes significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that eliminates any existing employee positions.

3. The proposed rule changes do not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed rule changes will not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department, but will result in a decrease in fees paid to the Department regarding Competitive HTCs, since the Department has removed the $500 fee associated with the submission of a Third Party Deficiency Request. Program participants will now be able to submit a Third Party Deficiency Request free-of-charge.

5. The proposed rule is not creating a new regulation, except that it is replacing a rule being repealed simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed rule will not limit or repeal an existing regulation, but can be considered to “expand” the existing regulations on this activity because the proposed rule has added new scoring options and has sought to clarify Application requirements. Notably, the proposed 2019 QAP has added definitions (10 TAC §11.1(d)), adjusted the distance requirement for the proximity of Development Sites proposed in
the same Application cycle (10 TAC §11.3(g)), increased the rural reserve amount per region, increased
the maximum number of units that can be developed in rural areas for tax-exempt bond developments,
added a new tie breaker factor (10 TAC 11.7), added a scoring item that allows for the Average Income
election (10 TAC 11.9(c)(1)(C)-(D)), increased the allowable building costs per unit to qualify for points,
added new “underserved area” scoring items (10 TAC §11.9(c)(5)), provided for various options to
mitigate certain undesirable site features or neighborhood risk factors (10 TAC §11.101(a)(1) and §11.101(a)(2), respectively), increased in mandatory Development amenities (10 TAC §11.101(b)(4)),
increased available options for common amenities (10 TAC §11.101(b)(5)), increased available options for
Unit and Development construction features (10 TAC §11.101(b)(6)(B)), increased available options for
resident supportive services (10 TAC 11.101(b)(7)), added criteria that renders an Applicant ineligible (10
TAC 11.202(1)), expanded site plan requirements under the architecture drawings (10 TAC §11.204(9)),
expanded Site Control requirements (10 TAC §11.204(10)), added a requirement of Nonprofit boards
submitting Applications to the Department (10 TAC §11.204(14)), and provided other minor changes to
the rules in order to better clarify their purpose and intent.

Some “expansions” are offset by corresponding “contractions” in the rules, compared to the 2018 QAP.
Notably, the propose 2019 QAP has removed some definitions (10 TAC §11.1(d)), removed several tie
breaker factors (10 TAC §11.7), removed some language for concerted revitalization plan requirements
(10 TAC §11.9(d)(7)), removed Development Site eligibility rules if three or more neighborhood risk
factors are present (10 TAC §11.101(a)(3)(B)), removed one option for resident supportive services (10
TAC 11.101(b)(7)), provided an exemption of certain townhome Development Units from having to
meet visitability requirements (10 TAC 11.101(b)(8)(B)), and removed the Third Party Deficiency Request
Fee (previously 10 TAC 11.901(6)).

These additions, removals, and revisions to the QAP are necessary to ensure compliance with IRC §42
and Tx. Gov’t Code §2306.67022.

7. The proposed rule will not increase nor decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s
applicability; and

8. The proposed rule will not negatively affect the state’s economy, and may be considered to have a
positive effect on the state’s economy because changes at §11.9(c)(1)(C)-(D) will now allow Competitive
HTC Developments to make the Average Income election, which will allow for Units to be set aside for
households whose income ranges between 20% and 80% of Area Median Family Income (“AMFI”).
Previously, the only elections available to HTC Developments targeted 30%-60% AMFI households.
Non-Competitive HTC Developments will also be able to make the Average Income election. By serving
both extremely low income (20% AMFI) and modestly low-income (70%-80%) households, the
proposed rule will be able to serve more families in Texas. Lower household expenses, made possible by
living in a HTC Development, may boost discretionary income and savings among households making
20%-80% AMFI. Additionally, the revised resident supportive services available to Development Owners
in 10 TAC §11.101(b)(7) allow Owners to offer services that may help to equip households in HTC
Developments with the skills they need to pursue opportunities for upward mobility.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL
COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE
§2006.002. The Department, in drafting this proposed rule, has attempted to reduce any adverse
economic effect on small or micro-business or rural communities while remaining consistent with the
statutory requirements of Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.67022. Some stakeholders have reported that their
average cost of filing an application is between $50,000 and $60,000, which may vary depending on the
specific type of application, location of the development site, and other non-state of Texas funding
sources utilized. The proposed rules do not, on average, result in an increased cost of filing an application
as compared to the existing program rules. The proposed rules will result in a slightly lower cost of
participating in a Competitive HTC Application cycle, as the Department has removed the fee associated
with submitting a Third Party Deficiency Request. Additionally, because of revisions to how Applicants may mitigate neighborhood risk factors, recipients of HTC awards may be able to decrease the cost of having to comply with this rule.

1. The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that none of the adverse affect strategies outlined in Tex. Gov’t Code §2006.002(b) are applicable.

2. There are approximately 100 to 150 small or micro-businesses subject to the proposed rule for which the economic impact of the rule may range from $480 to many thousands of dollars, just to submit an Application for Competitive or non-Competitive HTCs. The Department bases this estimate on the potential number of Applicants and their related parties who may submit applications to TDHCA for LIHTC. The fee for submitting an Application for LIHTC is $30 per unit, and all Applicants are required to propose constructing, at a minimum, 16 Units. While, in theory, there is no limit to the number of Units that could be proposed in a single Application, practically speaking, the Department sees few proposed Developments larger than 350 Units, which, by way of example, would carry a fee schedule of $10,500. These Application Fee costs are not inclusive of external costs required by the basic business necessities underlying any real estate transaction, from placing earnest money on land, conducting an Environmental Site Assessment, conducting a market study, potentially retaining counsel, hiring an architect and an engineer to construct basic site designs and elevations, and paying any other related, third-party fees for securing the necessary financing to construct multifamily housing. Nor does this estimate include fees from the Department for Applications that successfully attain an award.

There are 1,296 rural communities potentially subject to the proposed rule for which the economic impact of the rule is projected to be $0. The proposed rule places no financial burdens on rural communities, as the costs associated with submitting an Application are born entirely by private actors. If anything, a rural community securing a LIHTC Development will experience an economic benefit, not least among which is the potential increased property tax revenue from a large multifamily Development. Additionally, the new rule provides an increase to the amount reserved in each region for rural development, helping to ensure investment increases in rural areas, and provides an increase to the number of units that may be constructed in rural areas for tax-exempt bond developments, which may provided greater incentive for bond investment in rural areas.

3. The Department has determined that because there are rural tax credit awardees, this program helps promote construction activities and long term tax base in rural areas of Texas. Aside from the fees and costs associated with submitting an Application, there is a probable positive economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities that receive LIHTC awards and successfully use those awards to construct multifamily housing, although the specific impact is not able to be quantified in advance.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2007.043. The proposed rule does not contemplate nor authorize a takings by the Department. Therefore, no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).
The Department has evaluated the rule as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the rule will be in effect the proposed rule may provide a possible positive economic effect on local employment in association with this rule since LIHTC Developments often involve a total input of, typically at a minimum, $5 million in capital, but often an input of $10 million - $30 million. Such a capital investment has concrete direct, indirect, and induced effects on the local and regional economies. However, because the exact location of where program funds and development are
directed is not determined in rule, there is no way to determine during rulemaking where the positive effects may occur. Furthermore, while the Department knows that any and all impacts are positive, that impact is not able to be quantified for any given community until a proposed Development is actually awarded LIHTC, given the unique characteristics of each proposed multifamily Development and region in which it is being developed.

Texas Gov’t Code §2001.022(a) states that this “impact statement must describe in detail the probable effect of the rule on employment in each geographic region affected by this rule...” Considering that significant construction activity is associated with any LIHTC Development and that each apartment community significantly increases the property value of the land being developed, there are no probable negative effects of the new rule on particular geographic regions. If anything, positive effects will ensue in those communities where developers receive LIHTC awards.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the new section will be an updated and more germane rule for administering the allocation of LIHTC. There is no change to the economic cost to any individuals required to comply with the new section because the same processes described by the rule have already been in place through the rule found at this section being repealed. The average cost of filing an application remains between $50,000 and $60,000, which may vary depending on the specific type of application, location of the development site, and other non-state of Texas funding sources utilized. The proposed rules do not, on average, result in an increased cost of filing an application as compared to the existing program rules. The proposed rules will result in a slightly lower cost of participating in a Competitive HTC Application cycle, as the Department has removed the fee associated with submitting a Third Party Deficiency Request. Additionally, because of revisions to how Applicants may mitigate neighborhood risk factors, recipients of HTC awards may be able to decrease the cost of having to comply with this rule.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOVT CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, enforcing or administering the new section does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments because the same processes described by the rule have already been in place through the rule found at this section being repealed. If anything, Departmental revenues may increase due to a comparatively higher volume of Applications, which slightly increases the amount of fees TDHCA receives.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 21, 2018 to October 12, 2018 to receive stakeholder comment on the new proposed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Patrick Russell, QAP Public Comment, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941, or by fax to (512) 475-1895, attn: Patrick Russell, QAP Public Comments, or by email to htc.public-comment@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 P.M. Austin local time OCTOBER 12, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The new sections are proposed pursuant to Texas Government Code, §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed new sections affect no other code, article, or statute.
Chapter 11, Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan

Subchapter A - Pre-application, Definitions, Threshold Requirements and Competitive Scoring


(a) Authority. This chapter applies to the awarding and allocation by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) of Competitive and non-Competitive Housing Tax Credits. The federal laws providing for the awarding and allocation of Housing Tax Credits require states to adopt a qualified allocation plan. Pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 2306, Subchapter D.D, the Department is assigned responsibility for this activity. As required by Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”), §42(m)(1), the Department has developed this Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”) and it has been duly approved to establish the procedures and requirements relating to an award and allocation of Housing Tax Credits. All requirements herein and all those applicable to a Housing Tax Credit Development or an Application under Chapter 10 of this title (relating to Asset Management and Compliance rules), or otherwise incorporated by reference herein collectively constitute the QAP required by Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.67022. Unless otherwise specified, certain provisions in sections §11.1 through §11.4 also apply to non-Competitive Housing Tax Credits. Subchapters B through E of this chapter also apply to non-Competitive Housing Tax Credits and Multifamily Direct Loans. Applicants pursuing such assistance from the Department are required to certify, among other things, that they have familiarized themselves with the rules that govern that specific program including, but not limited to, Chapter 1 of this title (relating to Administration), Chapter 8 of this title (relating to 811 Project Rental Assistance Program Rule), Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan), Chapter 12 of this title (relating to Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules), Chapter 13 (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule), and other Department rules. This chapter subchapter does not apply to any project-based rental assistance or operating assistance programs or funds unless incorporated by reference in whole or in part in a Notice of Funding Availability (“NOFA”) or rules for such a program except to the extent that Developments receiving such assistance and otherwise subject to this chapter remain subject to this chapter.

(b) Due Diligence and Applicant Responsibility. Department staff may, from time to time, make available for use by Applicants information and informal guidance in the form of reports, frequently asked questions, and responses to specific questions. The Department encourages communication with staff in order to clarify any issues that may not be fully addressed in the QAP or may be unclear when applied to specific facts. However, while these resources are offered to help Applicants prepare and submit accurate information, Applicants should also appreciate that this type of guidance is limited by its nature and that staff will apply the rules of the QAP to each specific situation as it is presented in the submitted Application. The Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual and Frequently Asked Questions website posting are provided as good faith guidance and assistance, but in all respects the statutes and rules governing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit program supercede these guidelines and are controlling. Moreover, after the time that an issue is initially presented and guidance is provided, additional information may be identified and/or the issue itself may continue to develop based upon additional research and guidance. Thus, until confirmed through final action of the Board, staff guidance must be considered merely as an aid and an Applicant continues to assume full responsibility for any actions Applicant takes regarding an Application. In addition, although the Department may compile data
from outside sources in order to assist Applicants in the Application process, it remains the sole responsibility of the Applicant to perform independently the necessary due diligence to research, confirm, and verify any data, opinions, interpretations, or other information upon which an Applicant bases an Application or includes in any submittal in connection with an Application. As provided by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6715(c) for Competitive HTC Applications, an Applicant is given until the later of the seventh day of the publication on the Department's website of a scoring log reflecting that applicant's score or the seventh day from the date of transmittal of a scoring notice; provided, however, that an Applicant may not appeal any scoring matter after the award of credits unless they are within the above-described time limitations and have appeared at the meeting when the Department's Governing Board makes competitive tax credit awards and stated on the record that they have an actual or possible appeal that has not been heard. Appeal rights may be triggered by the publication on the Department's website of the results of the evaluation process.

(c) Competitive Nature of Program. Applying for competitive Housing Tax Credits is a technical process that must be followed completely and correctly. Any person who desires to request any reasonable accommodation for any aspect of this process is directed to 10 TAC §1. As a result of the highly competitive nature of applying for Housing Tax Credits, an Applicant should proceed on the assumption that deadlines are fixed and firm with respect to both date and time and cannot be waived except where authorized and for truly extraordinary circumstances, such as the occurrence of a significant natural disaster that could not have been anticipated and makes timely adherence impossible. If an Applicant chooses, where permitted, to submit by delivering an item physically to the Department, it is the Applicant's responsibility to be within the Department's doors by the appointed deadline. Applicants should further ensure that all required documents are included, legible, properly organized, and tabbed, and that materials in required formats involving digital media are complete and fully readable. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit the required items well in advance of established deadlines. Staff, when accepting Applications, may conduct limited reviews at the time of intake as a courtesy only. If staff misses an issue in such a limited review, the fact that the Application was accepted by staff or that the issue was not identified does not operate to waive the requirement or validate the completeness, readability, or any other aspect of the Application.

(d) Definitions. The capitalized terms or phrases used herein are defined below, in §10.3 of this title (relating to Definitions), unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Any capitalized terms that are defined in Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, §42 of the Code, or other Department rules have, when capitalized, the meanings ascribed to them therein. Defined terms when not capitalized, are to be read in context and construed according to common usage. Terms defined in this chapter apply to the Housing Tax Credit Program, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Program, Direct Loan Program and any other programs for the development of affordable rental property administered by the Department and as may be defined in this title. Any capitalized terms not specifically mentioned in this section or any section referenced in this document shall have the meaning as defined in Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") §42, the HOME Final Rule, and other federal or Department rules, as applicable. Defined terms, when not capitalized, are to be read in context and construed according to common usage.

(1) Adaptive Reuse--The change-in-use of an existing building not, at the time of Application, being used, in whole or in part, for residential purposes (e.g., school, warehouse, office, hospital, hotel, etc.), into a building which will be used, in whole or in part, for residential purposes. Adaptive reuse requires that the exterior walls of the existing building remain in place. All units must be contained within the original exterior walls of the existing building. Porches and patios may protrude beyond the exterior walls a substantial portion of the original building remains at
Ancillary non-residential buildings, such as a clubhouse, leasing office and/or amenity center may be newly constructed outside the walls of the existing building or as detached buildings on the Development Site. Adaptive Reuse Developments will be considered as New Construction.

(2) Administrative Deficiencies--Information requested by Department staff that staff requires to clarify or explain one or more inconsistencies; to provide non-material missing information in the original Application; or to assist staff in evaluating the Application that, in the Department staff's reasonable judgment, may be cured by supplemental information or explanation which will not necessitate a substantial reassessment or re-evaluation of the Application. Administrative Deficiencies may be issued at any time while the Application or Contract is under consideration by the Department, including at any time while reviewing performance under a Contract, processing documentation for a Commitment of Funds, closing of a loan, processing of a disbursement request, close-out of a Contract, or resolution of any issues related to compliance. A matter may begin as an Administrative Deficiency but later be determined to have constituted a Material Deficiency. Any missing item(s) relating to a scoring item will be deemed by staff to have constituted a Material Deficiency that supports the non-award of the points. By way of example, if an Applicant checks a box for three points for a particular scoring item but provides supporting documentation that would support two points, staff would treat this as an inconsistency and issue an Administrative Deficiency which might ultimately lead to a correction of the checked boxes to align with the provided supporting documentation and support an award of two points. However, if the supporting documentation was missing altogether, this could not be remedied and the point item would be assigned no points.

(3) Affiliate--An individual, corporation, partnership, joint venture, limited liability company, trust, estate, association, cooperative or other organization or entity of any nature whatsoever that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, has Control of, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with any other Person. All entities that share a Principal are Affiliates.

(4) Affordability Period--The Affordability Period commences as specified in the Land Use Restriction Agreement ("LURA") or federal regulation, or commences on the first day of the Compliance Period as defined by the Code §42(i)(1), and continues through the appropriate program's affordability requirements or termination of the LURA, whichever is earlier. The term of the Affordability Period shall be imposed by the LURA or other deed restriction and may be terminated upon foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure. The Department reserves the right to extend the Affordability Period for Direct Loan Developments that fail to meet program requirements. During the Affordability Period, the Department shall monitor to ensure compliance with programmatic rules as applicable, regulations, and Application representations.

(5) Applicable Percentage--The percentage used to determine the amount of the Housing Tax Credit for any Development, as defined more fully in the Code, §42(b).

(A) for purposes of the Application, the Applicable Percentage will be projected at:

(i) nine percent for 70 percent present value credits, pursuant to the Code, §42(b); or

(ii) fifteen basis points over the current applicable percentage for 30 percent present value credits, unless fixed by Congress, pursuant to Code §42(b) of the Code for the month in which the Application is submitted to the Department.

(B) For purposes of making a credit recommendation at any other time, the Applicable Percentage will be based on:

(i) the percentage indicated in the Agreement and Election Statement, if executed; or
(ii) the percentage as calculated in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph if the Agreement and Election Statement has not been executed and no buildings have been placed in service.

(6) Applicant--Means any individual or a group of individuals and any Affiliates who file an Application for funding or tax credits subject to the requirements of this chapter or 10 TAC Chapters 11, 12, or 13 and who have undertaken or may contemplate the later formation of one or more business entities, such as a limited partnership, that is to be engaged in the ownership of a Development. In administering the application process the Department staff will assume that the applicant will be able to form any such entities and that all necessary rights, powers, and privileges including, but not limited to, site control will be transferable to that entity. The formation of the ownership entity, qualification to do business (if needed), and transfer of any such rights, powers, and privileges must be accomplished as required in this Chapter and 10 TAC Chapters 11, 12 and 13, as applicable.

(7) Application Acceptance Period--That period of time during which Applications may be submitted to the Department. --For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments it is the date the Application is submitted to the Department.

(8) Award Letter and Loan Term Sheet--A document that may be issued to an awardee of a Direct Loan before the issuance of a Commitment and/or Contract which preliminarily sets forth the terms and conditions under which the Direct Loan will be made available. An Award Letter and Loan Term Sheet will typically be contingent on the awardee satisfying certain requirements prior to executing a Commitment and/or Contract.

(9) Bank Trustee--A federally insured bank with the ability to exercise trust powers in the State of Texas.

(10) Bedroom--A portion of a Unit which is no less than 100 square feet; has no width or length less than 8 feet; is self contained with a door (or the Unit contains a second level sleeping area of 100 square feet or more); has at least one window that provides exterior access; and has at least one closet that is not less than 2 feet deep and 3 feet wide and high enough to accommodate 5 feet of hanging space. A den, study or other similar space that could reasonably function as a bedroom and meets this definition is considered a bedroom.

(11) Breakeven Occupancy--The occupancy level at which rental income plus secondary income is equal to all operating expenses, including replacement reserves and taxes, and mandatory debt service requirements for a Development.

(12) Building Costs--Cost of the materials and labor for the vertical construction or rehabilitation of buildings and amenity structures.

(13) Carryover Allocation--An allocation of current year tax credit authority by the Department pursuant to the provisions of the Code, §42(h)(1)(C) and U.S. Treasury Regulations, §1.42-6.

(14) Carryover Allocation Agreement--A document issued by the Department, and executed by the Development Owner, pursuant to §10.402(f) of this chapter (relating to Housing Tax Credit and Tax Exempt Bond Developments).

(15) Cash Flow--The funds available from operations after all expenses and debt service required to be paid have been considered.

(16) Certificate of Reservation or Traditional Carryforward Designation--The notice given by the Texas Bond Review Board (“TBRB”) to an issuer reserving a specific amount of the private activity bond state ceiling for a specific issue of bonds.
(17) Code--The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, together with any applicable regulations, rules, rulings, revenue procedures, information statements or other official pronouncements issued thereunder by the U.S. Department of the Treasury or the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”).


(19) Commitment (also referred to as Contract)--A legally binding written contract, setting forth the terms and conditions under which housing tax credits, loans, grants, or other sources of funds or financial assistance from the Department will be made available.

(20) Commitment of Funds--Occurs after the Development is approved by the Board and once a Commitment or Award Letter and Loan Term Sheet is executed between the Department and Development Owner. For Direct Loan Programs, this process is distinct from “Committing to a specific local project” as defined in 24 CFR Part 92, which may occur when the activity is set up in the disbursement and information system established by HUD, known as the Integrated Disbursement and Information System ("IDIS"). The Department's commitment of funds may not align with commitments made by other financing parties.

(21) Committee--See Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee.

(22) Common Area--Enclosed space outside of Net Rentable Area, whether conditioned or unconditioned, to include such area contained in: property management offices, resident service offices, 24-hour front desk office, clubrooms, lounges, community kitchens, community restrooms, exercise rooms, laundry rooms, mailbox areas, food pantry, meeting rooms, libraries, computer labs, classrooms, break rooms, flex space programmed for resident use, interior corridors, common porches and patios, and interior courtyards. Common area does not include individualized garages, maintenance areas, equipment rooms, or storage.

(23) Comparable Unit--A Unit, when compared to the subject Unit, is similar in net rentable square footage, number of bedrooms, number of bathrooms, overall condition, location (with respect to the subject Property based on proximity to employment centers, amenities, services and travel patterns), age, unit amenities, utility structure, and common amenities.

(24) Competitive Housing Tax Credits (“HTC”)--Tax credits available from the State Housing Credit Ceiling.

(25) Compliance Period--With respect to a building financed in part with proceeds of Housing Tax Credits, the period of fifteen (15) taxable years, beginning with the first taxable year of the credit period pursuant to Code, §42(i)(1) of the Code.

(26) Continuously Occupied--The same household has resided in the Unit for at least twelve months.

(27) Contract--See Commitment.

(28) Contract Rent--Net rent based upon current and executed rental assistance contract(s), typically with a federal, state or local governmental agency.

(29) Contractor--See General Contractor.

(30) Control (including the terms "Controlling," "Controlled by," and/or "under common Control with")--The power, ability, or authority, acting alone or in concert with others, directly or indirectly, to manage, direct, superintend, restrict, regulate, govern, administer, or oversee. As used herein “acting in concert” involves more than merely serving as a single member of a multi-
member body. For example a single director on a five person board is not automatically deemed to be acting in concert with the other members of the board because they retain independence of judgment. —However, by way of illustration, if that director is one of three directors on a five person board who all represent a single shareholder, they clearly represent a single interest and are presumptively acting in concert. —Similarly, a single shareholder owning only a five percent interest might not exercise control under ordinary circumstances, but if they were in a voting trust under which a majority block of shares were voted as a group, they would be acting in concert with others and in a control position. However, even if a member of a multi-member body is not acting in concert and therefore does not exercise control in that role, they may have other roles, such as executive officer positions, which involve actual or apparent authority to exercise control. Controlling entities of a partnership include the general partners, may include special limited partners when applicable, but not investor limited partners or special limited partners who do not possess other factors or attributes that give them Control. Controlling entities of a limited liability company include but are not limited to the managers, managing members, any members with 10 percent or more ownership of the limited liability company, and any members with authority similar to that of a general partner in a limited partnership, but not investor members who do not possess other factors or attributes that give them Control. Controlling individuals or entities of a corporation, including non-profit corporations where such powers have been specifically delegated to one or more members, include voting members of the corporation’s board, whether or not any one member did not participate in a particular decision due to recusal or absence. Multiple Persons may be deemed to have Control simultaneously.

(3031) Debt Coverage Ratio (“DCR")—Sometimes referred to as the "Debt Coverage" or "Debt Service Coverage." Calculated as Net Operating Income for any period divided by scheduled debt service required to be paid during the same period.

(3432) Deferred Developer Fee--The portion of the Developer Fee used as a source of funds to finance the development and construction of the Property.

(3233) Deobligated Funds--The funds released by the Development Owner or recovered by the Department canceling a Contract or award involving some or all of a contractual financial obligation between the Department and a Development Owner or Applicant.

(3344) Determination Notice--A notice issued by the Department to the Development Owner of a Tax-Exempt Bond Development which specifies the Department's preliminary determination as to the amount of tax credits that the Development may be eligible to claim pursuant to the Code, §42(m)(1)(D).

(3435) Developer--Any Person entering into a contractual relationship with the Owner to provide Developer Services with respect to the Development and receiving the right to earn a fee for such services and any other Person receiving any portion of a Developer Fee, whether by subcontract or otherwise, except if the Person is acting as a consultant with no Control. The Developer may or may not be a Related Party or Principal of the Owner.

(3536) Developer Fee--Compensation in amounts defined in §1011.302(e)(7) of this chapter (relating to Underwriting Rules and Guidelines) paid by the Owner to the Developer for Developer Services inclusive of compensation to a Development Consultant(s), Development Team member or any subcontractor that performs Developer Services or provides guaranties on behalf of the Owner will be characterized as Developer Fee. A person who is entitled to a Developer Fee assumes the risk that it may not be paid if the anticipated sources of repayment prove insufficient.
Developer Services--A scope of work relating to the duties, activities and responsibilities for pre-development, development, design coordination, and construction oversight of the Property generally including but not limited to:

(A) site selection and purchase or lease contract negotiation;

(B) identifying and negotiating sources of construction and permanent financing, including financing provided by the Department;

(C) coordination and administration of activities, including the filing of applications to secure such financing;

(D) coordination and administration of governmental permits, and approvals required for construction and operation;

(E) selection and coordination of development consultants including architect(s), engineer(s), third-party report providers, attorneys, and other design or feasibility consultants;

(F) selection and coordination of the General Contractor and construction contract(s);

(G) construction oversight;

(H) other consultative services to and for the Owner;

(I) guaranties, financial or credit support if a Related Party; and

(J) any other customary and similar activities determined by the Department to be Developer Services.

Development--A residential rental housing project that consists of one or more buildings under common ownership and financed under a common plan which has applied for Department funds. This includes a project consisting of a proposed qualified low income housing project, as defined by Code, §42(g), that consists of one or more buildings containing multiple units owned that is financed under a common plan, and that is owned by the same person for federal tax purposes and may consist of multiple buildings that are located on scattered sites and contain only rent restricted units. (§2306.6702)(a)(6)

Development Consultant or Consultant--Any Person who provides professional or consulting services relating to the filing of an Application, or post award documents as required by the program.

Development Owner (also referred to as “Owner”)--Any Person, General Partner, or Affiliate of a Person who owns or proposes a Development or expects to acquire Control of a Development under a purchase contract or ground lease approved by the Department and is responsible for performing under the allocation and/or Commitment with the Department. (§2306.6702)(a)(7)

Development Site--The area, or, if more than one tract (which may be deemed by the Internal Revenue Service and/or the Department to be a scattered site), areas on which the Development is proposed and to be encumbered by a LURA.

Development Team--All Persons and Affiliates thereof that play a role in the development, construction, rehabilitation, management and/or continuing operation of the subject Development, including any Development Consultant and Guarantor.

Direct Loan--Funds provided through the HOME Program, Neighborhood Stabilization Program, National Housing Trust Fund, Tax Credit Assistance Program Repayment Funds (“TCAP Repayment RF”) or State Housing Trust Fund or other program available through the
Department for multifamily development. The terms and conditions for Direct Loans will be
determined by provisions in Chapter 13 of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule)
and the NOFA under which they are awarded, the Contract or the loan documents. The tax-
exempt bond program is specifically excluded.

(4344) Economically Distressed Area—An area that is in a census tract that has a median
household income that is 75 percent or less of the statewide median household income and in a
municipality or, if not within a municipality, in a county that has been awarded funds under the
Economically Distressed Areas Program administered by the Texas Water Development Board
within the five (5) years ending at the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period.
Notwithstanding all other requirements, for funds awarded to another type of political
subdivision (e.g., a water district), the Development Site must be within the jurisdiction of the
political subdivision.

(4445) Effective Gross Income (“EGI”)—The sum total of all sources of anticipated or actual
income for a rental Development, less vacancy and collection loss, leasing concessions, and rental
income from employee-occupied units that is not anticipated to be charged or collected.

(4546) Efficiency Unit—A Unit without a separately enclosed Bedroom designed principally for
use by a single person.

(4647) Elderly Development—A Development that either meets the requirements of the Housing
for Older Persons Act (“HOPA”) under the Fair Housing Act or a Development that receives
federal funding that has a requirement for a preference or limitation for elderly persons or
households, but must accept qualified households with children.

Elderly Development—A Development that is subject to an Elderly Limitation or a Development
that is subject to an Elderly Preference.

(A) Elderly Limitation Development—A Development subject to an “elderly limitation” is a
Development that meets the requirements of the Housing for Older Persons Act (“HOPA”) under the Fair Housing Act and receives no funding that requires leasing to persons other than the elderly (unless the funding is from a federal program for which the Secretary of HUD has confirmed that it may operate as a Development that meets the requirements of HOPA); or

(B) Elderly Preference Development—A Development subject to an “elderly preference.” A Development subject to an Elderly Preference must lease to other populations, including in many cases elderly households with children. A property that is deemed to be a Development subject to an Elderly Preference must be developed and operated in a manner which will enable it to serve reasonable foreseeable demand for households with children, including, but not limited to, making provision for such in developing its unit mix and amenities.

(4748) Eligible Hard Costs—Hard Costs includable in Eligible Basis for the purposes of
determining a Housing Credit Allocation.

(4849) Environmental Site Assessment (“ESA”)—An environmental report that conforms to the
Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Assessment Process (ASTM
Standard Designation: E 1527) and conducted in accordance with §10.111.305 of this chapter
relating to Environmental Site Assessment Rules and Guidelines) as it relates to a specific
Development.

(4950) Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee (“EARAC” also referred to as the
"Committee")—The Department committee required by Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.1112.
(5051) Existing Residential Development--Any Development Site which contains existing residential units at any time after the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period.

(5452) Extended Use Period--With respect to an HTC building, the period beginning on the first day of the Compliance Period and ending the later of:

(A) the date specified in the LURA Land Use Restriction Agreement; or

(B) the date which is fifteen (15) years after the close of the Compliance Period.

(5253) First Lien Lender--A lender whose lien has first priority as a matter of law or by operation of a subordination agreement or other intercreditor agreement.

(5354) General Contractor (including "Contractor")--One who contracts to perform the construction or rehabilitation of an entire Development, rather than a portion of the work. The General Contractor hires subcontractors, such as plumbing contractors, electrical contractors, etc., coordinates all work, and is responsible for payment to the subcontractors. A prime subcontractor will also be treated as a General Contractor, and any fees payable to the prime subcontractor will be treated as fees to the General Contractor, in the scenarios described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph:

(A) any subcontractor, material supplier, or equipment lessor receiving more than 50 percent of the contract sum in the construction contract will be deemed a prime subcontractor; or

(B) if more than 75 percent of the contract sum in the construction contract is subcontracted to three or fewer subcontractors, material suppliers, and equipment lessors, such parties will be deemed prime subcontractors.

(5455) General Partner--Any person or entity identified as a general partner in a certificate of formation for the partnership or is later admitted to an existing partnership as a general partner that is the Development Owner and that Controls the partnership. Where a limited liability corporation is the legal structure employed rather than a limited partnership, the manager or managing member of that limited liability corporation is deemed, for the purposes of these rules, to be the functional equivalent of a general partner.

(5556) Governing Body--The elected or appointed body of public or tribal officials, responsible for the enactment, implementation, and enforcement of local rules and the implementation and enforcement of applicable laws for its respective jurisdiction.

(5657) Governmental Entity--Includes federal, state or local agencies, departments, boards, bureaus, commissions, authorities, and political subdivisions, special districts, tribal governments and other similar entities.

(5758) Gross Capture Rate--Calculated as the Relevant Supply divided by the Gross Demand.

(5859) Gross Demand--The sum of Potential Demand from the Primary Market Area ("PMA") and demand from other sources.

(5960) Gross Program Rent--Maximum rent limits based upon the tables promulgated by the Department's division responsible for compliance, which are developed by program and by county or Metropolitan Statistical Area ("MSA") or Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area ("PMSA") or national non-metro area.

(6061) Guarantor--Any Person that provides, or is anticipated to provide, a guaranty for all or a portion of the equity or debt financing for the Development.
HTC Development (also referred to as "HTC Property")—A Development subject to an active LURA for Housing Tax Credits allocated by the Department.

HTC Property—See HTC Development.

Hard Costs—The sum total of Building Costs, Site Work costs, Off-Site Construction costs and contingency.

Historically Underutilized Businesses ("HUB")—An entity that is certified as such under and in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2161.

Housing Contract System ("HCS")—The electronic information system established by the Department for tracking, funding, and reporting Department Contracts and Developments. The HCS is primarily used for Direct Loan Programs administered by the Department.

Housing Credit Allocation—An allocation of Housing Tax Credits by the Department to a Development Owner as provided for in Code, for a specific Application in accordance with the provisions of this chapter and Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan).

Housing Credit Allocation Amount—With respect to a Development or a building within a Development, the amount of Housing Tax Credits the Department determines to be necessary for the financial feasibility of the Development and its viability as a Development throughout the Affordability Period and which the Board allocates to the Development.

Housing Quality Standards ("HQS")—The property condition standards described in 24 CFR §982.401.

Initial Affordability Period—The Compliance Period or such longer period as shall have been elected by the Owner as the minimum period for which Units in the Development shall be retained for low-income tenants and rent restricted, as set forth in the LURA.

Integrated Disbursement and Information System ("IDIS")—The electronic grants management information system established by HUD to be used for tracking and reporting HOME funding and progress and which may be used for other sources of funds as established by HUD.

Land Use Restriction Agreement ("LURA")—An agreement, regardless of its title, between the Department and the Development Owner which is a binding covenant upon the Development Owner and successors in interest, that, when recorded, encumbers the Development with respect to the requirements of the programs for which it receives funds. (§2306.6702)

Low-Income Unit—A Unit that is intended to be restricted for occupancy by an income eligible household, as defined by the Department utilizing its published income limits.

Managing General Partner—A general partner of a partnership (or, as provided for in paragraph (55) of this subsection, its functional equivalent) that is vested with the authority to take actions that are binding on behalf of the partnership and the other partners. The term Managing General Partner can also refer to a manager or managing member of a limited liability company where so designated to bind the limited liability company and its members under its Agreement or any other person that has such powers in fact, regardless of their organizational title.
(74) Market Analysis--Sometimes referred to as "Market Study." An evaluation of the economic conditions of supply, demand and rental rates conducted in accordance with §4011.303 of this chapter (relating to Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines) as it relates to a specific Development.

(75) Market Analyst--A real estate appraiser or other professional familiar with the subject property's market area who prepares a Market Analysis.

(76) Market Rent--The achievable rent at the subject Property for a Unit without rent and income restrictions determined by the Market Analyst or Underwriter after adjustments are made to actual rents on Comparable Units to account for differences in net rentable square footage, functionality, overall condition, location (with respect to the subject Property based on proximity to primary employment centers, amenities, services and travel patterns), age, unit amenities, utility structure, and common area amenities. The achievable rent conclusion must also consider the proportion of market units to total units proposed in the subject Property.

(77) Market Study--See Market Analysis.

(78) Material Deficiency--Any deficiency in a Pre-Application or an Application or other documentation that exceeds the scope of an Administrative Deficiency. May include a group of Administrative Deficiencies that, taken together, create the need for a substantial reassessment or reevaluation of the Application. Inability to provide documentation that existed prior to submission of an Application to substantiate claimed points or meet threshold requirements is material and may result in denial of the requested points or a termination in the case of threshold items. It is possible that multiple deficiencies that could individually be characterized as Administrative Deficiencies, when taken as a whole would create a need for substantial re-review of the Application and as such would be characterized as constituting a Material Deficiency.

(79) Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual--The manual produced and amended from time to time by the Department which reiterates and implements the rules and provides guidance for the filing of multifamily related documents.

(80) Net Operating Income ("NOI")--The income remaining after all operating expenses, including replacement reserves and taxes that have been paid.

(81) Net Program Rent--Calculated as Gross Program Rent less Utility Allowance.

(82) Net Rentable Area ("NRA")--The unit space that is available exclusively to the tenant and is typically heated and cooled by a mechanical HVAC system. NRA is measured to the outside of the studs of a unit or to the middle of walls in common with other units. NRA does not include common hallways, stairwells, elevator shafts, janitor closets, electrical closets, balconies, porches, patios, or other areas not actually available to the tenants for their furnishings, nor does NRA include the enclosing walls of such areas.

(83) Non-HTC Development--Sometimes referred to as Non-HTC Property. Any Development not utilizing Housing Tax Credits or Exchange funds.

(84) Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA")--A notice issued by the Department that announces funding availability, usually on a competitive basis, for multifamily rental programs requiring Application submission from potential Applicants.

(85) Off-Site Construction--Improvements up to the Development Site such as the cost of roads, water, sewer, and other utilities to provide access to and service the Site.

(86) Office of Rural Affairs--An office established within the Texas Department of Agriculture; formerly the Texas Department of Rural Affairs.
(87) One Year Period ("1YP")--The period commencing on the date on which the Department and the Owner agree to the Qualified Contract price in writing and continuing for twelve (12) calendar months.

(88) Owner--See Development Owner.

(89) Person--Without limitation, any natural person, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, joint venture, limited liability company, trust, estate, association, cooperative, government, political subdivision, agency or instrumentality or other organization or entity of any nature whatsoever, and shall include any group of Persons acting in concert toward a common goal, including the individual members of the group.

(90) Person or Persons with Disabilities--With respect to an individual, means that such person has:

(A) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities of such individual;

(B) a record of such an impairment; or

(C) is regarded as having such an impairment, to include persons with severe mental illness and persons with substance abuse disorders.

(91) Physical Needs Assessment--See Property Condition Assessment.

(92) Place--An area defined as such by the United States Census Bureau, which, in general, includes an incorporated city, town, or village, as well as unincorporated areas known as census designated places. Any part of a census designated place that, at the time of Application, is within the boundaries of an incorporated city, town or village will be considered as part of the incorporated area. The Department may provide a list of Places for reference.

(93) Post Award Activities Manual--The manual produced and amended from time to time by the Department which explains the post award requirements and provides guidance for the filing of such documentation.

(94) Potential Demand--The number of income-eligible, age-, size-, and tenure-appropriate target households in the designated market area at the proposed placement in service date.

(95) Preservation--Activities that extend the affordability period for rent-restricted Developments that are at risk of losing low-income use restrictions or subsidies.

(96) Primary Market--Sometimes referred to as "Primary Market Area." The area defined by the Market Analyst as described in §10.303 of this chapter from which a proposed or existing Development is most likely to draw the majority of its prospective tenants or homebuyers.

(97) Primary Market Area ("PMA")--See Primary Market.

(98) Principal--Persons that will be capable of exercising Control (which includes may include voting board members pursuant to §10.3(a11.1(d)(2) of this chapter) over a partnership, corporation, limited liability company, trust, or any other private entity. In the case of:

(A) partnerships, Principals include all General Partners, and Principals with ownership interest and special limited partners with ownership interest who also possess factors or attributes that give them Control;

(B) corporations, Principals include any officer authorized by the board of directors, regardless of title, given broad or general authority to act on behalf of the corporation, including but not limited to the president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, and all other executive officers, and
each stock holder having a 10 percent or more interest in the corporation, and any individual who
has Control with respect to such stock holder; and

(C) limited liability companies, Principals include all managers, managing members, members
having a 10 percent or more interest in the limited liability company, any individual Controlling
such members, or any officer authorized to act on behalf of the limited liability company.

(99) Pro Forma Rent--For a restricted Unit, the lesser of the Net Program Rent or the Market
Rent. For an unrestricted unit, the Market Rent. Contract Rents, if applicable, will be used as the
Pro Forma Rent.

(99100) Property--The real estate and all improvements thereon which are the subject of the
Application (including all items of personal property affixed or related thereto), whether currently
existing or proposed to be built or rehabilitated thereon in connection with the Application.

(101) Property Condition Assessment ("PCA")--Sometimes referred to as "Physical Needs
provides an evaluation of the physical condition of an existing Property to evaluate the immediate
cost to rehabilitate and to determine costs of future capital improvements to maintain the
Property. The PCA must be prepared in accordance with §1011.306 of this chapter (relating to
Property Condition Assessment Guidelines) as it relates to a specific Development.

(102) Qualified Contract ("QC")--A bona fide contract to acquire the non-low-income portion
of the building for fair market value and the low-income portion of the building for an amount
not less than the Applicable Fraction (specified in the LURA) of the calculation as defined within
§42(h)(6)(F) of the Code.

(103) Qualified Contract Price ("QC Price")--Calculated purchase price of the Development as
defined within Code, §42(h)(6)(F) of the Code and as further delineated in §10.408 of this
Title chapter (relating to Qualified Contract Requirements).

(104) Qualified Contract Request ("Request")--A request containing all information and items
required by the Department relating to a Qualified Contract.

(105) Qualified Entity--Any entity permitted under Code, §42(i)(7)(A) of the Code and any
entity controlled by such a qualified entity.

(106) Qualified Nonprofit Development--A Development which meets the requirements of
Code, §42(h)(5) of the Code, includes the required involvement of a Qualified Nonprofit
Organization, and is seeking Competitive Housing Tax Credits.

(107) Qualified Nonprofit Organization--An organization that meets the requirements of Code
§42(h)(5)(C) of the Code for all purposes, and for an allocation in the nonprofit set-aside or
subsequent transfer of the property, when applicable, meets the requirements of Tex. Gov’t Code
§2306.6706, and §2306.6729, and Code, §42(h)(5) of the Code.

(108) Qualified Purchaser--Proposed purchaser of the Development who meets all eligibility and
qualification standards stated in this chapter of the year the Request is received, including
attending, or assigning another individual to attend, the Department's Property Compliance
Training.

(109) Reconstruction--The demolition of one or more residential buildings in an Existing
Residential Development and the construction of an equal number of units or less on the same or
another Development Site. At least one Unit must be reconstructed in order to qualify as
Reconstruction. The total number of units to be reconstructed will be determined by program
requirements. Developments using Multifamily Direct Loan funds are required to follow the applicable federal requirements.

(109) Rehabilitation--The improvement or modification of an Existing Residential Development through alteration, incidental addition or enhancement. The term includes the demolition of an Existing Residential Development and the Reconstruction of a Development on the Development Site, but does not include Adaptive Reuse. (§2306.004(26-a)) More specifically, Rehabilitation is the repair, refurbishment and/or replacement of existing mechanical and/or structural components, fixtures and finishes. Rehabilitation will correct deferred maintenance, reduce functional obsolescence to the extent possible and may include the addition of: energy efficient components and appliances, life and safety systems; site and resident amenities; and other quality of life improvements typical of new residential Developments.

(110) Related Party--As defined in Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6702.

(110) Relevant Supply--The supply of Comparable Units in proposed and Unstabilized Developments targeting the same population including:

(A) the proposed subject Units;

(B) Comparable Units in another proposed development within the PMA with a priority Application over the subject, based on the Department's evaluation process described in §4911.201(6) of this chapter (relating to Procedural Requirements for Application Submission) that may not yet have been presented to the Board for consideration of approval; and

(C) Comparable Units in previously approved but Unstabilized Developments in the PMA.


(113) Request--See Qualified Contract Request.

(114) Reserve Account--An individual account:

(A) created to fund any necessary repairs or other needs for a multifamily rental housing Development; and

(B) maintained by a First Lien Lender or Bank Trustee.

(115) Right of First Refusal ("ROFR")--An Agreement to provide a priority rights to negotiate for the purchase the Property of a Property by a Qualified Entity or a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, as applicable, with priority to that of any other buyer at aQOrganization at a negotiated price at or above the minimum purchase price as defined in Code, §42(i)(7) or as established in accordance with an applicable LURA.

(116) Rural Area--

(A) a Place that is located:

(i) outside the boundaries of a primary metropolitan statistical area or a metropolitan statistical area;

(ii) within the boundaries of a primary metropolitan statistical area or a metropolitan statistical area, if the statistical area has a population of 25,000 or less and does not share a boundary with an Urban Area; or

(iii) within the boundaries of a local political subdivision that is outside the boundaries of an Urban Area.
(B) for areas not meeting the definition of a Place, the designation as a Rural Area or Urban Area is assigned in accordance with §101.204(5)(A) of this chapter (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission) or as requested in accordance with §101.204(5)(B).

(117) Single Room Occupancy ("SRO")--An Efficiency Unit that meets all the requirements of a Unit except that it may, but is not required, to be rented on a month to month basis to facilitate Transitional Housing. Buildings with SRO Units have extensive living areas in common and are required to be Supportive Housing and include the provision for substantial supports from the Development Owner or its agent on site.

(118) Site Control--Ownership or a current contract or series of contracts, that meets the requirements of §101.204(10) of this chapter, that is legally enforceable giving the Applicant the ability, not subject to any legal defense by the owner or anyone else, to develop and operate a Property and subject it to a LURA reflecting the requirements of any awards of assistance it may receive from the Department.

(119) Site Work--Materials and labor for the horizontal construction generally including excavation, grading, paving, underground utilities, and site amenities.

(120) State Housing Credit Ceiling--The aggregate amount of Housing Credit Allocations that may be made by the Department during any calendar year, as determined from time to time by the Department in accordance with applicable federal law, including Code, §42(h)(3)(C) of the Code, and Treasury Regulation §1.42-14.

(121) Sub-Market--An area defined by the Underwriter based on general overall market segmentation promulgated by market data tracking and reporting services from which a proposed or existing Development is most likely to draw the majority of its prospective tenants or homebuyers.

(122) Supportive Housing--A residential rental Development; that is:

(A) that is intended for occupancy by households in need of specialized and specific non-medical services in order to maintain independent living;

(B) in which the provision of services are provided primarily on-site by the Applicant, an Affiliate of the Applicant or a third party provider and the service provider must be able to -demonstrate a record of providing substantive services similar to those proposed in the subject Application in residential settings for at least three years prior to the Application Acceptance Period;

(C) in which the services offered must include case management and tenant resident services that either aid tenants in addressing debilitating conditions or assist tenants residents in securing the skills, assets, and connections needed for independent living. Resident populations primarily include the homeless and those at-risk of homelessness; and

(D) for which the Applicant, General Partner, or Guarantor must meet the following:

(i) demonstrate that it, alone or in partnership with a third party provider, has at least three years experience in developing and operating housing similar to the proposed housing;

(ii) demonstrate that it has secured sufficient funds necessary to maintain the Development’s operations through the Affordability Period;

(iii) provide evidence of a history of fundraising activities reasonably deemed to be sufficient to address any unanticipated operating losses; and

(E) that is not financed, except for construction financing, with any debt containing foreclosure provisions or debt that contains must-pay repayment provisions (including cash-flow debt).
Permanent foreclosable, must-pay debt is permissible if sourced by federal funds, but the Development will not be exempted from Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy). In addition, permanent foreclosable, cash-flow debt provided by an Affiliate is permissible if originally sourced from charitable contributions or pass-through local government non-federal funds. Any amendment to an Application or LURA resulting in the addition of debt prohibited under this definition will result in the revocation of IRS Form(s) 8609.

(123) TDHCA Operating Database--Sometimes referred to as "TDHCA Database." A consolidation of recent actual income and operating expense information collected through the Department's Annual Owner Financial Certification process, as required and described in Chapter 10, Subchapter F of this title (relating to Compliance Monitoring), and published on the Department's web site (www.tdhca.state.tx.us).

(124) Target Population--The designation of types of housing populations shall include Elderly Developments, and those that are Supportive Housing. All others will be considered to serve general populations without regard to any subpopulations.

(125) Tax-Exempt Bond Development--A Development requesting or having been awarded Housing Tax Credits and which receives a portion of its financing from the proceeds of tax-exempt bonds which are subject to the state volume cap as described in Code, §42(h)(4) of the Code, such that the Development does not receive an allocation of tax credit authority from the State Housing Credit Ceiling.

(126) Tax-Exempt Bond Process Manual--The manual produced and amended from time to time by the Department which explains the process and provides guidance for the filing of a Housing Tax Credit Application utilizing Tax-Exempt Bonds.

(127) Third Party--A Person who is not:
   (A) an Applicant, General Partner, Developer, or General Contractor; or
   (B) an Affiliate to the Applicant, General Partner, Developer, or General Contractor; or
   (C) anyone receiving any portion of the administration, contractor, or Developer fees from the Development; or
   (D) any individual that is an executive officer or member of the governing board or has greater than 10 percent ownership interest in any of the entities are identified in subparagraphs (A)-(C) of this paragraph, in Control with respect to the Development Owner.

(128) Total Housing Development Cost--The sum total of the acquisition cost, Hard Costs, soft costs, Developer fee and General Contractor fee incurred or to be incurred through lease-up by the Development Owner in the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, and financing of the Development.

(129) Transitional Housing--A Supportive Housing development that includes living Units with more limited individual kitchen facilities and is:
   (A) used exclusively to facilitate the transition of homeless individuals and those at-risk of becoming homeless, to independent living within twenty-four (24) months; and
   (B) is owned by a Development Owner that includes a governmental entity or a nonprofit which provides temporary housing and supportive services to assist such individuals in, among other things, locating and retaining permanent housing. The limited kitchen facilities in individual Units must be appropriately augmented by suitable, accessible shared or common kitchen facilities.
U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA")--Texas Rural Development Office ("TRDO") serving the State of Texas.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD")-regulated Building--A building for which the rents and utility allowances of the building are reviewed by HUD.

Underwriter--The author(s) of the Underwriting Report.

Underwriting Report--Sometimes referred to as the "Report." A decision making tool prepared by the Department’s Real Estate Analysis Division that is used by the Department and Board containing a synopsis and reconciliation of the Application information submitted by the Applicant and that Division’s conclusion that the Development will be financially feasible as required by Code §42(m).

Uniform Multifamily Application Templates--The collection of sample resolutions and form letters, produced by the Department, as may be required under this chapter, Chapter 11, or Chapters 12 and 13 of this title that may be used, (but are not required to be used), to satisfy the requirements of the applicable rule.

Uniform Physical Condition Standards ("UPCS")--As developed by the Real Estate Assessment Center of HUD.

Unit--Any residential rental unit in a Development consisting of an accommodation, including a single room used as an accommodation on a non-transient basis, that contains complete physical facilities and fixtures for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation.

Unit Type--Units will be considered different Unit Types if there is any variation in the number of bedrooms, full bathrooms or a square footage difference equal to or more than 120 square feet. For example: A two Bedroom/one full bath Unit is considered a different Unit Type than a two Bedroom/two full bath Unit. A three Bedroom/two full bath Unit with 1,000 square feet is considered a different Unit Type than a three Bedroom/two full bath Unit with 1,200 square feet. A one Bedroom/one full bath Unit with 700 square feet will be considered an equivalent Unit Type to a one Bedroom/one full bath Unit with 800 square feet. A powder room is the equivalent of a half-bathroom but does not by itself constitute a change in Unit Type.

Unstabilized Development--A development with Comparable Units that has been approved for funding by the Department’s Board of Directors or is currently under construction or has not maintained a 90 percent occupancy level for at least ninety (90) days following completion. A development may be deemed stabilized by the Underwriter based on factors relating to a development’s lease-up velocity, Sub-Market rents, Sub-Market occupancy trends and other information available to the Underwriter. The Market Analyst may not consider such development stabilized in the Market Study.

Urban Area--A Place that is located within the boundaries of a primary metropolitan statistical area or a metropolitan statistical area other than a Place described by paragraph (116115)(A) of this subsection. For areas not meeting the definition of a Place, the designation as a Rural Area or Urban Area is assigned in accordance with §10.204(5) of this chapter.

Utility Allowance--The estimate of tenant-paid utilities made in accordance with Treasury Regulation, §1.42-10 and §10.614 of this Title (relating to Utility Allowances).

Work Out Development--A financially distressed Development for which the Owner and/or a primary financing participant is seeking a change in the terms of Department funding or program restrictions.
(e) **Data.** Where this chapter requires the use of American Community Survey or Housing & Urban Development data, the Department shall use the most current data available as of October 1, 2018, unless specifically otherwise provided in federal or state law or in the rules. All American Community Survey data must be 5-year estimates, unless otherwise specified. The availability of more current data shall be disregarded. Where other data sources are specifically required, such as NeighborhoodScout, the data available after October 1, but before Pre-Application Final Delivery Date, will be permissible. The NeighborhoodScout report submitted in the Application must include the report date.

(f) **Deadlines.** Where a specific date or deadline is identified in this chapter, the information or documentation subject to the deadline must be submitted received by the Department on or before 5:00 p.m. Austin local time on the day of the deadline. If the deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, the deadline is 5:00 p.m. Austin local time on the next day which is not a weekend or holiday and on which the Department is open for general operation. Unless otherwise noted or provided in statute, deadlines are based on calendar days.

(g) **Documentation to Substantiate Items and Representations in an Application.** In order to ensure the appropriate level of transparency in this highly competitive program, Applications and all correspondence and other information relating to each Application are posted on the Department’s website and updated on a regular basis. Applicants must use the Application form posted online to provide appropriate support for each item substantiating a claim or representation, such as claims for points, qualification for set-asides, or meeting of threshold requirements, or timely requesting a waiver or determination. Any Application that staff identifies as having insufficient support information will be directed to cure the matter via the Administrative Deficiency process, unless the missing documentation is determined to be a Material Deficiency. Applicants are reminded that this process may not be used to increase a scoring item’s points or to change any aspect of the proposed Development, financing structure, or other element of the Application. The sole purpose of this mandatory Administrative Deficiency will be to substantiate one or more aspects of the Application to enable an efficient and effective review by staff. Although a responsive narrative will be created after Application submission, all facts and materials to substantiate any item in response to such an Administrative Deficiency must have been clearly established at the time of submission of the Application.

(h) **Board Standards for Review.** Some issues may require or benefit from board review. The Board is not constrained to a particular standard, and while its actions on one matter are not binding as to how it will address another matter, the Board does seek to promote consistency with its policies, including the policies set forth in this chapter.

(i) **Public Information Requests.** Pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6717, any pre-application and any full Application, including all supporting documents and exhibits, must be made available to the public, in their entirety, on the Department’s website. The filing of a pre-application or Application with the Department shall be deemed as consent to the release of any and all information contained therein, including supporting documents and exhibits. As part of its certifications, the Applicant shall certify that the authors of the reports and other information and documents submitted with the Application have given their consent to the Applicant to submit all reports and other information and documents to the Department, and for the Department to publish anything submitted with the Application on its website and use such information and documents for authorized purposes.

(j) **Responsibilities of Municipalities and Counties.** In providing resolutions regarding housing de-concentration issues, threshold requirements, or scoring criteria, municipalities and counties should consult their own staff and legal counsel as to whether such resolution(s) will be consistent
with Fair Housing laws as they may apply, including, as applicable, consistency with any Fair Housing Activity Statement-Texas (“FHA-ST”) form on file, any current Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, any current Assessment of Fair Housing, or any current plans such as one year action plans or five year consolidated plans for HUD block grant funds, such as HOME or CDBG funds.

(k) Request for Staff Determinations. Where the definitions of Development, Development Site, New Construction, Rehabilitation, Reconstruction, Adaptive Reuse, and Target Population where the requirements of this Chapter do not readily align with the activities proposed in an Application, an Applicant may request and Department staff may provide a determination to an Applicant explaining how staff will review an Application in relation to these specific terms and their usage within the applicable rules. Such In no instance will staff provide a determination regarding a scoring item. Any such request must be received by the Department prior to submission of the pre-application (if applicable to the program) or Application (if no pre-application was submitted). Staff may, in its sole discretion, provide the request to the Board for it to make the determination. Staff’s determination may take into account the purpose the articulated purpose of or policies addressed by a particular rule or requirement, materiality of elements, substantive elements of the development plan that relate to the term or definition, the common usage of the particular term, or other issues relevant to the rule or requirement. All such determinations will be conveyed in writing. If the determination is finalized after submission of the pre-application or Application, the Department may allow corrections to the pre-application or the Application that are directly related to the issues in the determination. It is an Applicant’s sole responsibility to request a determination and an Applicant may not rely on any determination for another Application regardless of similarities in a particular fact pattern. For any Application that does not request and subsequently receive a determination, the definitions and applicable rules will be applied as used and defined herein. Such a determination is intended to provide clarity with regard to Applications proposing activities such as scattered site development or combinations of construction activities (e.g., Rehabilitation with some New Construction). An Applicant may appeal a determination for their Application if the determination provides for a treatment that relies on factors other than the explicit definition. A Board determination or a staff determination not timely appealed cannot be further appealed or challenged.

§11.2. Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits.

(a) Competitive HTC Deadlines. Non-statutory deadlines specifically listed in the Program Calendar may be extended by the Department for a period of not more than five (5) business days provided that the Applicant has, in writing, requested an extension prior to the date of the original deadline and has established to the reasonable satisfaction of the Department that there is good cause for the extension. Except as provided for under 10 TAC §1.1 relating to Reasonable Accommodation Requests, extensions relating to Administrative Deficiency deadlines may only be extended if documentation needed to resolve the item is needed from a Third Party or the documentation involves signatures needed on certifications in the Application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Documentation Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/ 09/ 2018/2019</td>
<td>Pre-Application Final Delivery Date (including waiver requests).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>Documentation Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/16/2018 15/2019</td>
<td>Deadline for submission of application. Application for .ftp access if pre-application not submitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/01/2018 2019</td>
<td>Full Application Delivery Date. End of Application Acceptance Period. (including Quantifiable Community Participation documentation; Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs), Property Condition Assessments (PCAs); Appraisals; Primary Market Area Map; Site Design and Development Feasibility Report; all Resolutions necessary under §11.3 of this chapter related to Housing De-Concentration Factors). Final Input from Elected Officials Delivery Date (including Resolution for Local Government Support pursuant to §11.9(d)(1) of this chapter and State Representative Input pursuant to §11.9(d)(5) of this chapter).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/02/2018 2019</td>
<td>Market Analysis Delivery Date pursuant to §1011.205 of this title.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2018 2019</td>
<td>Deadline for Third Party Request for Administrative Deficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-May 2019</td>
<td>Scoring Notices Issued for Majority of Applications Considered “Competitive.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/2018 21/2019</td>
<td>Public Comment to be included in the Board materials relating to presentation for awards are due in accordance with 10 TAC §1.10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>On or before June 30, publication of the list of Eligible Applications for Consideration for Award in July.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>Final Awards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-August</td>
<td>Commitments are Issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/01/2018 2019</td>
<td>Carryover Documentation Delivery Date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/11/3129/2019</td>
<td>Deadline for closing under §11.9(c)(8) (if applicable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/01/2019 2020</td>
<td>10 Percent Test Documentation Delivery Date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five (5) business days after the date on the Administrative Deficiency Response Deadline (unless an extension has been granted).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Tax-Exempt Bond and Direct Loan Development Dates and Deadlines. Program Dates.

This section reflects key dates for all multifamily development programs except for the Competitive Housing Tax Credit Program. A program calendar for the Competitive Housing Tax Credit Program is provided in Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan). Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit the required items well in advance of established deadlines. Non-statutory deadlines specifically listed in this section may be extended by the Department for a period of not more than five (5) business days provided; however, that the Applicant requests an extension prior to the date of the original deadline and has established to the reasonable satisfaction of the Department that there is good cause for the extension. Except as provided for under 10 TAC §1.1 relating to Reasonable Accommodation Requests, extensions relating to Administrative Deficiency deadlines may only be extended if documentation needed to resolve the item is needed from a Third Party or the documentation involves signatures needed on certifications in the Application.

(1) Full Application Delivery Date. The deadline by which the Application must be received by the Department. For Direct Loan Applications, such deadline will generally be defined in the applicable NOFA and for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, such deadlines are more fully explained in §4011.201(2) of this chapter (relating to Procedural Requirements for Application Submission).

(2) Notice to Submit Lottery Application Delivery Date. No later than December 15, 2017, Applicants that participated in the Texas Bond Review Board Lottery must submit the complete tax credit Application to the Department.

(3) Administrative Deficiency Response Deadline. Such deadline shall be five (5) business days after the date on the deficiency notice without incurring a penalty fee pursuant to §1114.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule).

(4) Third Party Report Delivery Date (Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Property Condition Assessment (PCA), Appraisal (if applicable), Market Analysis and the Site Design and Development Feasibility Report). For Direct Loan Applications, the Third Party reports meeting specific requirements described in §4011.205 of this chapter must be submitted with the Application in order for it to be considered a complete Application, unless the Application is made in conjunction with an Application for Housing Tax Credits or Tax-Exempt Bond, in which case the Delivery Date for those programs will apply. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, the Third Party Reports must be received by the Department no later than seventy-five (75) calendar days prior to the Board meeting at which the tax credits will be considered. The seventy-five (75) calendar day deadlines are available on the Department’s website.

(5) Resolutions Delivery Date. Resolutions required for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments must be received by the Department no later than fourteen (14) calendar days before the Board meeting at which consideration of the award will occur. If the Direct Loan Application is made in
conjunction with an Application for Housing Tax Credits, or Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, the Resolution Delivery Date for those programs will apply to the Direct Loan Application.

(76) Challenges to Neighborhood Organization Opposition Delivery Date. Challenges must be received by the Department no later than forty-five (45) calendar days prior to the Board meeting at which consideration of the award will occur.

§11.3. Housing De-Concentration Factors.

(a) Rules reciting statutory limitations are provided as a convenient reference only, and to the extent there is any deviation from the provisions of statute, the statutory language is controlling.

(b) Two Mile Same Year Rule (Competitive HTC Only). As required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6711(f), staff will not recommend for award, and the Board will not make an award to an Application that proposes a Development Site located in a county with a population that exceeds one million if the proposed Development Site is also located less than two linear miles from the proposed Development Site of another Application within said county that is awarded in the same calendar year. If two or more Applications are submitted that would violate this rule, the lower scoring Application will be considered a non-priority Application and will not be reviewed unless the higher scoring Application is terminated or withdrawn.

(c) Twice the State Average Per Capita (Competitive and Tax-Exempt Bond Only). As provided for in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6703(a)(4), if a proposed Development is located in a municipality, or if located completely outside a municipality, a county, that has more than twice the state average of units per capita supported by Housing Tax Credits or private activity bonds at the time the Application Acceptance Period Begins (or for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, Applications submitted after the Application Acceptance Period Begins), then the Applicant must obtain prior approval of the Development from the Governing Body of the appropriate municipality or county containing the Development. Such approval must include a resolution adopted by the Governing Body of the municipality or county, as applicable, setting forth a written statement of support, specifically citing Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6703(a)(4) in the text of the actual adopted resolution, and authorizing an allocation of Housing Tax Credits for the Development. An acceptable, but not required, form of resolution may be obtained in the Uniform Multifamily Application Templates. Required documentation must be submitted by the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits) or Resolutions Delivery Date in §11.2(b) of this title chapter (relating to Tax-Exempt Bond and Multifamily Loan Development Dates and Deadlines Program Dates), as applicable.

(d) One Mile Three Year Rule. (Competitive and Tax-Exempt Bond Only). (§2306.6703(a)(3))

(1) An Application that proposes the New Construction or Adaptive Reuse of a Development that is located one linear mile or less (measured between closest boundaries by a straight line on a map) from another development that meets all of the criteria in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph shall be considered ineligible.

(A) The Development serves the same type of household as the proposed Development, regardless of whether the Development serves families, elderly individuals, or another type of household; and

(B) The Development has received an allocation of Housing Tax Credits or private activity bonds for any New Construction at any time during the three-year period preceding the date the Application Round begins (or for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments the three-year period preceding the date the Certificate of Reservation is issued); and
(C) The Development has not been withdrawn or terminated from the Housing Tax Credit Program.

(2) Paragraph (1) of this subsection does not apply to a Development:
(A) that is using federal HOPE VI (or successor program) funds received through HUD;
(B) that is using locally approved funds received from a public improvement district or a tax increment financing district;
(C) that is using funds provided to the state under the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. §§12701 et seq.);
(D) that is using funds provided to the state and participating jurisdictions under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. §§5301 et seq.);
(E) that is located in a county with a population of less than one million;
(F) that is located outside of a metropolitan statistical area; or
(G) that the Governing Body of the appropriate municipality or county where the Development is to be located has by vote specifically allowed the construction of a new Development located within one linear mile or less from a Development described under paragraph (1)(A) of this subsection. An acceptable, but not required, form of resolution may be obtained in the Uniform Multifamily Application Templates. Required documentation must be submitted by the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter or Resolutions Delivery Date in §11.24(b) of this chapter, as applicable.

(3) Where a specific source of funding is referenced in paragraph (2)(A) - (D) of this subsection, a commitment or resolution documenting a commitment of the funds must be provided in the Application.

(e) Limitations on Developments in Certain Census Tracts. An Application that proposes the New Construction or Adaptive Reuse of a Development proposed to be located in a census tract that has more than 20 percent Housing Tax Credit Units per total households as established by the 5-year American Community Survey shall be considered ineligible unless the Governing Body of the appropriate municipality or county containing the Development has, by vote, specifically allowed the Development and submits to the Department a resolution stating the proposed Development is consistent with the jurisdiction’s obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Rehabilitation Developments are not required to obtain such resolution. The resolution must be submitted by the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter or Resolutions Delivery Date in §11.24(b) of this chapter, as applicable.

(f) Additional Phase. Applications proposing an additional phase of an existing tax credit Development that is under common ownership serving the same Target Population or Applications proposing Developments that are adjacent to an existing tax credit Development that is under common ownership serving the same Target Population, shall be considered ineligible unless the other Developments or phase(s) of the Development have been completed and have maintained occupancy of at least 90 percent for a minimum six (6) month period as reflected in the submitted rent roll. If the Additional Phase is proposed by any Principal of the existing tax credit Development, the Developer Fee included in Eligible Basis for the Additional Phase may not exceed 15 percent, regardless of the number of Units. If the Application proposes the Rehabilitation or replacement of existing federally-assisted affordable housing units or federally-assisted affordable housing units demolished on the same site within two years of the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period, this provision does not apply.
(g) Proximity of Development Sites. If two or more Competitive HTC Applications that are proposing Developments serving the same Target Population on contiguous sites or on sites separated by not more than 1,000 feet where the intervening property does not have a clear and apparent economic reason and/or was not created for the apparent purpose of creating separation under this rule, or on sites carved out of either a single parcel or a group of contiguous parcels that were under common ownership or control at any time during the preceding twenty-four month period are submitted in the same program year, the lower scoring Application, including consideration of tie-breaker factors if there are tied scores, will be considered a non-priority Application and will not be reviewed unless the higher scoring Application is terminated or withdrawn.

§11.4. Tax Credit Request and Award Limits.

(a) Credit Amount (Competitive HTC Only). (§2306.6711(b)) The Board may not award or allocate to an Applicant, Developer, Affiliate, or Guarantor (unless the Guarantor is also the General Contractor or provides the guaranty only during the construction period, and is not a Principal of the Applicant, Developer or Affiliate of the Development Owner) Housing Tax Credits in an aggregate amount greater than $3 million in a single Application Round. Prior to June 29, an Applicant that has Applications pending for more than $3 million in credit may notify staff in writing or by email of the Application(s) they will not pursue in order to bring their request within the $3 million cap. Any other Applications they do not wish to pursue will remain on the waiting list if not otherwise terminated. If the Applicant has not made this self-selection by this date, staff may make the selection. The methodology for making this determination will be to staff will assign first priority to an Application that will enable the Department to comply with the state and federal non-profit set-asides and second to the highest scoring Application, including consideration of tie-breakers if there are tied scores. The Application(s) that does not meet Department criteria will not be considered a priority Application and will not be reviewed unless the Applicant withdraws a priority Application. The non-priority Application(s) will be terminated when the Department awards $3 million to other Applications. Any Application terminated for this reason is subject to reinstatement if necessary to meet a required set-aside. All entities that are under common Control are Affiliates. For purposes of determining the $3 million limitation, a Person is not deemed to be an Applicant, Developer, Affiliate, or Guarantor solely because it:

1. raises or provides equity;
2. provides "qualified commercial financing;"
3. is a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or other not-for-profit entity that is providing solely loan funds, grant funds or social services; or
4. receives fees as a consultant or advisor that do not exceed $200,000.

(b) Maximum Request Limit (Competitive HTC Only). For any given Development, an Applicant may not request more than 150 percent of the credit amount available in the subregion based on estimates released by the Department on December 1, or $1,500,000, whichever is less, or $2,000,000 for Applications under the At-Risk Set-Aside. In addition, for Elderly Developments in a Uniform State Service Region containing a county with a population that exceeds one million, the request may not exceed the final amount published on the Department’s website after the release of the Internal Revenue Service notice regarding the 2018/2019 credit ceiling. For all Applications, the Department will consider the amount in the funding request of the pre-application and Application to be the amount of Housing Tax Credits requested and will automatically reduce the Applicant’s request to the maximum allowable under this subsection if exceeded. Regardless of the credit
amount requested or any subsequent changes to the request made by staff, the Board may not award to any individual Development more than $2 million in a single Application Round. (§2306.6711(b))

(c) Increase in Eligible Basis (30 percent Boost). Applications will be evaluated for an increase of up to but not to exceed 30 percent in Eligible Basis provided they meet the criteria identified in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection, or if required under §42 of the Code. Staff will recommend no increase or a partial increase in Eligible Basis if it is determined it would cause the Development to be over sourced, as evaluated by the Real Estate Analysis division, in which case a credit amount necessary to fill the gap in financing will be recommended. In no instance will the boost exceed more than the amount of credits required to create the HTC rent-restricted units, as determined by the Real Estate Analysis division of TDHCA. The criteria in paragraph (3) of this subsection are not applicable to Tax-Exempt Bond Developments.

(1) The Development is located in a Qualified Census Tract (“QCT”) (as determined by the Secretary of HUD) that has less than 20 percent Housing Tax Credit Units per total households in the tract as established by the U.S. Census Bureau for the 5-year American Community Survey. New Construction or Adaptive Reuse Developments located in a QCT that has in excess of 20 percent Housing Tax Credit Units per total households in the tract are not eligible to qualify for a 30 percent increase in Eligible Basis, which would otherwise be available for the Development Site pursuant to §42(d)(5) of the Code. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, as a general rule, a QCT designation would have to coincide with the program year the Certificate of Reservation is issued in order for the Department to apply the 30 percent boost in its underwriting evaluation. For New Construction or Adaptive Reuse Developments located in a QCT with 20 percent or greater Housing Tax Credit Units per total households, the Development is eligible for the boost if, unless the Application includes a resolution stating that the Governing Body of the appropriate municipality or county containing the Development has by vote specifically allowed the construction of the new Development and referencing this rule. Rehabilitation Developments located in a QCT with 20 percent or greater Housing Tax Credit Units per total households are eligible to qualify for the boost and are not required to obtain such a resolution from the Governing Body. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, as a general rule and unless federal guidance states otherwise, a QCT designation would have to coincide with the program year the Certificate of Reservation is issued in order for the Department to apply the 30 percent boost in its underwriting evaluation. An acceptable, but not required, form of resolution may be obtained in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual. Required documentation must be submitted by the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter or Resolutions Delivery Date in §1011.4-2(b) of this title, chapter, as applicable. Applicants must submit a copy of the census map that includes the 11-digit census tract number and clearly shows that the proposed Development is located within a QCT; OR

(2) The Development is located in a Small Area Difficult Development Area (“SADDA”) (based on Small Area Fair Market Rents (“FMRs”) as determined by the Secretary of HUD) that has high construction, land and utility costs relative to the AMGI. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, as a general rule, a SADDA designation would have to coincide with the program year in which the Certificate of Reservation is issued in order for the Department to apply the 30 percent boost in its underwriting evaluation. Applicants must submit a copy of the SADDA map that clearly shows the proposed Development is located within the boundaries of a SADDA; OR

(3) The Development meets one of the criteria described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph pursuant to Code §42(d)(5)(B)(v) of the Code:

(A) the Development is located in a Rural Area;
(B) the Development is proposing entirely Supportive Housing and is expected to be debt free or have no foreclosable or non-cash flow debt;

(C) the Development meets the criteria for the Opportunity Index as defined in §11.9(c)(4) of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Selection Criteria);

(D) the Applicant elects to restrict an additional 10 percent of the proposed low income Units for households at or below 30 percent of AMGI. These Units must be in addition to Units required under any other provision of this chapter, or required under any other funding source from the Multifamily Direct Loan program; or

(E) the Development is in an area covered by a concerted revitalization plan, is not an Elderly Development, and is not located in a QCT. A Development will be considered to be in an area covered by a concerted revitalization plan if it is eligible for and elects points under §11.9(d)(7) of this chapter; or

(F) the Development is located in a Qualified Opportunity Zone designated under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (H.R. 1892).

§11.5. Competitive HTC Set-Asides. (§2306.111(d)) This section identifies the statutorily-mandated Set-asides which the Department is required to administer. An Applicant may elect to compete in each of the Set-asides for which the proposed Development qualifies. In order to be eligible to compete in the Set-aside, the Application must meet the requirements of the Set-aside as of the Full Application Delivery Date. Election to compete in a Set-aside does not constitute eligibility to compete in the Set-aside, and Applicants who are ultimately deemed not to qualify to compete in the Set-aside will be considered not to be participating in the Set-aside for purposes of qualifying for points under §11.9(e)(3) of this chapter (related to pre-application Participation). Commitments of Competitive HTCs issued by the Board in the current program year will be applied to each Set-aside, Rural regional allocation, Urban regional allocation, and/or USDA Set-aside for the current Application round as appropriate.

(1) Nonprofit Set-Aside. (§2306.6729 and §2306.6706(b)) At least 10 percent of the State Housing Credit Ceiling for each calendar year shall be allocated to Qualified Nonprofit Developments which meet the requirements of Code, §42(h)(5) of the Code and Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6729 and §2306.6706(b). Qualified Nonprofit Organizations must have the controlling interest in the Development Owner applying for this Set-aside (i.e., greater than 50 percent ownership in the General Partner). If the Application is filed on behalf of a limited partnership, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization must be the Managing General Partner. If the Application is filed on behalf of a limited liability company, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization must be the controlling Managing Member. Additionally, for Qualified Nonprofit Development in the Nonprofit Set-aside the nonprofit entity or its nonprofit Affiliate or subsidiary must be the Developer or a co-Developer as evidenced in the development agreement. An Applicant that meets the requirements to be in the Qualified Nonprofit Set-aside is deemed to be applying under that Set-aside unless their Application specifically includes an affirmative election to not be treated under that Set-aside and a certification that they do not expect to receive a benefit in the allocation of tax credits as a result of being affiliated with a nonprofit. The Department reserves the right to request a change in this election and/or not recommend credits for those unwilling to change elections if insufficient Applications in the Nonprofit Set-Aside are received. Applicants may not use different organizations to satisfy the state and federal requirements of the Set-aside.

(2) USDA Set-Aside. (§2306.111(d-2)) At least 5 percent of the State Housing Credit Ceiling for each calendar year shall be allocated to Rural Developments which are financed through USDA. If an Application in this Set-aside involves Rehabilitation it will be attributed to and come from the At-
Risk Development Set-aside; if an Application in this set-aside involves New Construction it will be attributed to and come from the applicable Uniform State Service Region and will compete within the applicable subregion unless the Application is receiving USDA Section 514 funding. Applications must also meet all requirements of Tex Gov't Code §2306.111(d-2).

(A) Eligibility of Certain Developments to Participate in the USDA or Rural Set-asides. (§2306.111(d-4)) A proposed or Existing Residential Development that, before September 1, 2013, has been awarded or has received federal financial assistance provided under Section 514, 515, or 516 of the Housing Act of 1949 (42 U.S.C. Section 1484, 1485, or 1486) may be attributed to and come from the At-Risk Development Set-aside or the Uniform State Service Region in which the Development is located, regardless of whether the Development is located in a Rural area.

(B) All Applications that can score under the USDA Set-aside will be considered Rural for all scoring items under this chapter. If a Property receiving USDA financing is unable to score under the USDA Set-aside and it is located in an Urban subregion, it will be scored as Urban.

(3) At-Risk Set-Aside. (§2306.6714; §2306.6702)

(A) At least 15 percent of the State Housing Credit Ceiling for each calendar year will be allocated under the At-Risk Development Set-aside and will be deducted from the State Housing Credit Ceiling prior to the application of the regional allocation formula required under §11.6 of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Allocation Process). Through this Set-aside, the Department, to the extent possible, shall allocate credits to Applications involving the preservation of Developments identified as At-Risk Developments. (§2306.6714) Up to five (5) percent of the State Housing Credit Ceiling associated with this Set-aside may be given priority to Rehabilitation Developments under the USDA Set-aside.

(B) An At-Risk Development qualifying under Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(A) must meet the following requirements:

   (i) Pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(A)(i), a Development must have received a subsidy in the form of a qualified below-market interest rate loan, interest rate reduction, rental subsidy, Section 8 housing assistance payment, rental supplement payment, rental assistance payment, or equity incentive. Applications participating in the At-Risk Set-Aside must include evidence of the qualifying subsidy.

   (ii) Any stipulation to maintain affordability in the contract granting the subsidy pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(A)(ii)(a), or any HUD-insured or HUD-held mortgage will be considered to be nearing expiration or nearing the end of its term if expiration will occur or the term will end within two (2) years of July 31 of the year the Application is submitted. Developments with HUD-insured or HUD-held mortgages qualifying as At-Risk under §2306.6702(a)(5)(A)(ii)(b) may be eligible if the HUD-insured or HUD-held mortgage is eligible for prepayment or has been prepaid.

   (iii) Developments with existing Department LURAs must have completed all applicable Right of First Refusal procedures prior to the pre-application Final Delivery Date.  

(C) An At-Risk Development qualifying under Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(B) must meet one of the following requirements:

   (i) Units to be Rehabilitated or Reconstructed must be owned by a public housing authority or a public facility corporation created by a public housing authority under Chapter 303, Local Government Code and received assistance under §9, United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. section 1437g); or
(ii) Units to be Rehabilitated or Reconstructed must have been disposed of or demolished by a public housing authority in the two-year period preceding the Application for housing tax credits; and

(iii) For Developments including Units to be Reconstructed, the Application will be categorized as New Construction; and

(iv) To the extent that an Application is eligible under Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(B)(iii), the Development must receive assistance through the Rental Assistance Demonstration (“RAD”) program administered by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”). Applications must include evidence that RAD participation is included in the applicable public housing plan that was most recently approved by HUD, and evidence (in the form of a Commitment to enter into a Housing Assistance Payment (“CHAP”)) that HUD has approved the units proposed for Rehabilitation or Reconstruction for participation in the RAD program; and

(v) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an At-Risk Development described by Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(B) that was previously allocated housing tax credits set aside under Subsection (a) does not lose eligibility for those credits if the portion of units reserved for public housing as a condition of eligibility for the credits under Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6714 (a-1)(2) are later converted under RAD.

(D) An Application for a Development that includes the demolition of the existing Units which have received the financial benefit described in Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6702(a)(5) will not qualify as an At-Risk Development unless the redevelopment will include at least a portion of the same site. Alternatively, pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(B), an Applicant may propose relocation of the existing units in an otherwise qualifying At-Risk Development if:

(i) the affordability restrictions and any At-Risk eligible subsidies are approved to be transferred with the units proposed for Rehabilitation or Reconstruction prior to the tax credit Carryover deadline;

(ii) the Applicant seeking tax credits must propose the same number of restricted units (e.g., if the Applicant may, however, add market rate units); and

(iii) the new Development Site must either qualify for points on the Opportunity Index under §11.9(c)(4) of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Selection Criteria); OR

(iv) the local Governing Body of the applicable municipality or county (if completely outside of a municipality) in which that Development is located must submit a resolution confirming that the proposed Development is supported by the municipality or county in order to carry out a previously adopted plan that meets the requirements of §11.9(d)(7). Development Sites that cross jurisdictional boundaries must provide resolutions such resolutions from both local governing bodies.

(E) If Developments at risk of losing affordability from the financial benefits available to the Development are able to retain, renew, or replace the existing financial benefits and affordability they must do so unless regulatory barriers necessitate elimination of all or a portion of that benefit for the Development.

(i) Evidence of the legal requirements that will unambiguously cause the loss of affordability and that this will occur within the two calendar years after the year in which the Application is made must be included with the application.
For Developments qualifying under Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6702(a)(5)(B), only a portion of the subsidy must be retained for the proposed Development, but no less than 25 percent of the proposed Units must be public housing units supported by public housing operating subsidy. (§2306.6714(a-1)). If less than 100 percent of the public housing benefits are transferred to the proposed Development, an explanation of the disposition of the remaining public housing benefits must be included in the Application, as well as a copy of the HUD-approved plan for demolition and disposition.

(F) Nearing expiration on a requirement to maintain affordability includes Developments eligible to request a Qualified Contract under §42 of the Code, §42. Evidence must be provided in the form of a copy of the recorded LURA, the first year’s IRS Forms 8609 for all buildings showing Part II of the form completed and, if applicable, documentation from the original application regarding the Right of First Refusal. The Application must also include evidence that any applicable Right of First Refusal procedures have been completed prior to the pre-application Final Delivery Date.

(G) An amendment to any aspect of the existing tax credit property sought to enable the Development to qualify as an At-Risk Development, that is submitted to the Department after the Application has been filed and is under review will not be accepted.

§11.6. Competitive HTC Allocation Process. This section identifies the general allocation process and the methodology by which awards are made.

(1) Regional Allocation Formula. The Department shall initially make available in each Rural Area and Urban Area of each Uniform State Service Region (“subregion”) Housing Tax Credits in an amount not less than $600,000 in each Rural and Urban subregion, consistent with the Regional Allocation Formula developed in compliance with Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.1115. As authorized by Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.111(d-3), the Department will reserve $600,000 in housing tax credits for Applications in rural areas in each uniform state service region. The process of awarding the funds made available within each subregion shall follow the process described in this section. Where a particular situation that is not contemplated and addressed explicitly by the process described herein, Department staff shall formulate a recommendation for the Board’s consideration based on the objectives of the regional allocation together with other policies and purposes set out in Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 2306 and the Department shall provide Applicants the opportunity to comment on and propose alternatives to such a recommendation. In general, such a recommendation shall not involve broad reductions in the funding request amounts solely to accommodate regional allocation and shall not involve rearranging the priority of Applications within a particular subregion or set-aside except as described herein. If the Department determines that an allocation recommendation would cause a violation of the $3 million credit limit per Applicant, the Department will make its recommendation based on the criteria described in §11.4(a) of this chapter. Where sufficient credit becomes available to award an Application on the waiting list late in the calendar year, staff may allow flexibility in meeting the Carryover Allocation submission deadline and/or changes to the Application as necessary to ensure to the fullest extent feasible that available resources are allocated by December 31. The Department will, for each such Urban subregion, calculate the maximum percentage in accordance with Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6711(h) and will publish on its website on or before December 1, 2018, such initial estimates of Regional Allocation Formula percentages including the Elderly Development maximum percentage and limits of credits available, and the calculations periodically, if those calculations change, until the credits are fully allocated.

(2) Credits Returned and National Pool Allocated After January 1. For any credits returned after January 1 and eligible for reallocation (not including credit returned and reallocated under force
majeure provisions), the Department shall first return the credits to the subregion or set-aside from which the original allocation was made. The credits will be treated in a manner consistent with the allocation process described in this section and may ultimately flow from the subregion and be awarded in the collapse process to an Application in another region, subregion or set-aside. For any credit received from the “national pool” after the initial approval of awards in late July, the credits will be added to any remaining credits and awarded to the next Application on the waiting list for the state collapse, if sufficient credits are available to meet the requirements of the Application as may be amended after underwriting review.

(3) Award Recommendation Methodology. (§2306.6710(a) - (f); §2306.111) The Department will assign, as described herein, Developments for review by the program and underwriting divisions. In general, Applications will be prioritized for assignment, with highest priority given to those identified as most competitive based upon the Applicant self-score and an initial program review. The procedure identified in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph will also be used in making recommendations to the Board.

(A) USDA Set-Aside Application Selection (Step 1). The first level of priority review will be those Applications with the highest scores in the USDA Set-Aside until the minimum requirements stated in §11.5(2) of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Set-Asides, (§2306.111(d)) are attained. The minimum requirement may be exceeded in order to award the full credit request or underwritten amount of the last Application selected to meet the USDA At-Risk Set-Aside requirement;

(B) At-Risk Set-Aside Application Selection (Step 2). The second level of priority review will be those Applications with the highest scores in the At-Risk Set-Aside statewide until the minimum requirements stated in §11.5(3) of this chapter are attained. This may require the minimum requirement to be exceeded in order to award the full credit request or underwritten amount of the last Application selected to meet the At-Risk Set-Aside requirement. This step may leave less than originally anticipated in the 26 subregions to award under the remaining steps;

(C) Initial Application Selection in Each Subregion (Step 3). The highest scoring Applications within each of the 26 subregions will then be selected provided there are sufficient funds within the subregion to fully award the Application. Applications electing the At-Risk or USDA Set-Asides will not be eligible to receive an award from funds made generally available within each of the subregions. The Department will, for each such Urban subregion, calculate the maximum percentage in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6711(h) and will publish such percentages on its website.

(i) In Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds one million, the Board may not allocate more than the maximum percentage of credits available for Elderly Developments, unless there are no other qualified Applications in the subregion.

(ii) In accordance with Tex Gov't Code, §2306.6711(g), in Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds 1.7 million, the Board shall allocate competitive tax credits to the highest scoring development, if any, that is part of a concerted revitalization plan that meets the requirements of §11.9(d)(7) (except for §11.9(d)(7)(A)(ii)(III) and §11.9(d)(7)(B)(iii)), is located in an urban subregion, and is within the boundaries of a municipality with a population that exceeds 500,000.

(D) Rural Collapse (Step 4). If there are any tax credits set-aside for Developments in a Rural Area in a specific Uniform State Service Region (“Rural subregion”) that remain after award under subparagraph (C) of this paragraph, those tax credits shall be combined into one "pool" and then be made available in any other Rural Area in the state to the Application in the most
underserved Rural subregion as compared to the subregion's allocation. This rural redistribution will continue until all of the tax credits in the "pool" are allocated to Rural Applications and at least 20 percent of the funds available to the State are allocated to Applications in Rural Areas. (§2306.111(d)(3)) In the event that more than one subregion is underserved by the same percentage, the priorities described in clauses (i) - (ii) of this subparagraph will be used to select the next most underserved subregion:

(i) the subregion with no recommended At-Risk Applications from the same Application Round; and

(ii) the subregion that was the most underserved during the Application Round during the year immediately preceding the current Application Round.

(E) Statewide Collapse (Step 5). Any credits remaining after the Rural Collapse, including those in any subregion in the State, will be combined into one “pool.” The funds will be used to award the highest scoring Application (not selected or eliminated in a prior step) in the most underserved subregion in the State compared to the amount originally made available in each subregion. In Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds one million, the Board may not allocate more than the maximum percentage of credits available as calculated through the RAF for Elderly Developments, unless there are no other qualified within an urban subregion of that service region. Therefore, certain Applications in the subregion, for Elderly Developments may be excluded from the collapse. The Department will, for each such Urban subregion, calculate the maximum percentage in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6711(h) and will publish such percentages on its website. This process will continue until the funds remaining are insufficient to award the next highest scoring Application that is not rendered ineligible through application of the elderly cap in the next most underserved subregion. At least seven calendar days prior to the July Board meeting of the Department at which final awards of credits are authorized, the Department will post on its website the most current 2019 State of Texas Competitive Housing Tax Credit Ceiling Accounting Summary which includes the Regional Allocation Formula percentages including the maximum funding request/award limits, the Elderly Development maximum percentages and limits of credits available, and the methodology used for the determination of the award determinations within the State Collapse. In the event that more than one subregion is underserved by the same degree, the priorities described in clauses (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph will be used to select the next most underserved subregion:

(i) the subregion with no recommended At-Risk Applications from the same Application Round; and

(ii) the subregion that was the most underserved during the Application Round during the year immediately preceding the current Application Round.

(F) Contingent Qualified Nonprofit Set-aside Step (Step 6). If an insufficient number of Applications participating in the Nonprofit Set-Aside are selected after implementing the criteria described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph to meet the requirements of the 10 percent Nonprofit Set-Aside, action must be taken to modify the criteria described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph to ensure the Set-aside requirements are met. Therefore, the criteria described in subparagraphs (C) - (E) of this paragraph will be repeated after selection of the highest scoring Application(s) under the Nonprofit Set-Aside statewide are selected to meet the minimum requirements of the Nonprofit Set-Aside. This step may cause some lower scoring Applications in a subregion to be selected instead of a higher scoring Application not participating in the Nonprofit Set-Aside.
(4) Waiting List. The Applications that do not receive an award by July 31 and remain active and eligible will be recommended for placement on the waiting list. The waiting list is not static. The allocation process will be used in determining the next Application to award. For example, if credits are returned, those credits will first be made available in the set-aside or subregion from which they were originally awarded. This means that the first Application on the waiting list is in part contingent on the nature of the credits that became available for award. The Department shall hold all credit available after the late-July awards until September 30 in order to collect credit that may become available when tax credit Commitments are submitted. Credit confirmed to be available, as of September 30, may be awarded to Applications on the waiting list unless insufficient credits are available to fund the next Application on the waiting list. For credit returned after September 30, awards from the waiting list will be made when the remaining balance is sufficient to award the next Application as may be amended on the waiting list based on the date(s) of returned credit. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if decisions related to any returns or rescissions of tax credits are under appeal or are otherwise contested, the Department may delay awards until resolution of such issues. The Department will evaluate all waiting list awards for compliance with requested Set-asides. This may cause some lower scoring Applications to be selected instead of a higher scoring Application. (§2306.6710(a) - (f); §2306.111)

(5) Credit Returns Resulting from Force Majeure Events. In the event that the Department receives a return of Competitive HTCs during the current program year from an Application that received a Competitive Housing Tax Credit award during any of the preceding three years, such returned credit will, if the Board determines that all of the requirements of this paragraph are met to its satisfaction, be allocated separately from the current year’s tax credit allocation, and not be subject to the requirements of paragraph (2) of this section. The Board determination must indicate the year of the Multifamily Rules to be applied to the Development. Requests to allocate returned credit separately where all of the requirements of this paragraph have not been met or requests for waivers of any part of this paragraph will not be considered. For purposes of this paragraph, credits returned after September 30 of the preceding program year may be considered to have been returned on January 1 of the current year in accordance with the treatment described in §(b)(2)(C)(iii) of Treasury Regulation 1.42-14. The Department’s Governing Board may approve the execution of a current program year Carryover Agreement regarding the returned credits with the Development Owner that returned such credits only if:

(A) The credits were returned as a result of "Force Majeure" events that occurred after the start of construction and before issuance of Forms 8609. Force Majeure events are the following sudden and unforeseen circumstances outside the control of the Development Owner: acts of God such as fire, tornado, flooding, significant and unusual rainfall or subfreezing temperatures, or loss of access to necessary water or utilities as a direct result of significant weather events; explosion; vandalism; orders or acts of military authority; unrelated party litigation; changes in law, rules, or regulations; national emergency or insurrection; riot; acts of terrorism; supplier failures; or materials or labor shortages. If a Force Majeure event is also a presidentially declared disaster, the Department may treat the matter under the applicable federal provisions. Force Majeure events must make construction activity impossible or materially impede its progress;

(B) Acts or events caused by the negligent or willful act or omission of the Development Owner, Affiliate or a Related Party shall under no circumstance be considered to be caused by Force Majeure;

(C) A Development Owner claiming Force Majeure must provide evidence of the type of event, as described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, when the event occurred, and that the loss was a direct result of the event;
(D) The Development Owner must prove that reasonable steps were taken to minimize or mitigate any delay or damages, that the Development Owner substantially fulfilled all obligations not impeded by the event, including timely closing of all financing and start of construction, that the Development and Development Owner was properly insured and that the Department was timely notified of the likelihood or actual occurrence of an event described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph;

(E) The event prevents the Development Owner from meeting the placement in service requirements of the original allocation;

(F) The requested current year Carryover Agreement allocates the same amount of credit as that which was returned; and

(G) The Department’s Real Estate Analysis Division determines that the Development continues to be financially viable in accordance with the Department’s underwriting rules after taking into account any insurance proceeds related to the event.

§11.7. Tie Breaker Factors.

In the event there are Competitive HTC Applications that receive the same number of points in any given set-aside category, rural regional allocation or urban regional allocation, or rural or statewide collapse, the Department will utilize the factors in this section, in the order they are presented, to determine which Development will receive preference in consideration for an award. For the purposes of this section, all measurements will include ingress/egress requirements and any easements regardless of how they will be held. The tie breaker factors are not intended to specifically address a tie between equally underserved subregions in the rural or statewide collapse.

(1) Applications having achieved a score on Proximity to the Urban Core. This item does not apply to the At-Risk Set-Aside.

(2) Applications scoring higher on the Opportunity Index under §11.9(c)(4) or Concerted Revitalization Plan under §11.9(d)(7) of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Selection Criteria) as compared to another Application with the same score.

(3) Applications proposed to be located in a Place, or if located completely outside a Place, a county, that has the fewest HTC units per capita, as compared to another Application with the same score. The HTCs per capita measure (by Place or county) is located in the 2018 HTC Site Demographic Characteristics Report.

(4) Applications proposed to be located in a census tract with the lowest poverty rate as compared to another Application with the same score below the median poverty rate for all the census tracts in which pre-applications were submitted for the current cycle, as of the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date. If a tie still persists, then the Development in the census tract with the highest percentage of statewide rent burden for renter households at or below 80% Area Median Family Income ("AMFI"), as determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy ("CHAS") dataset and as reflected in the Department’s current Site Demographic Characteristics Report. A census tract’s median poverty will not be counted more than once if multiple Applications propose to construct Developments in the same census tract.

(5) Applications proposed to be located the greatest linear distance from the nearest Housing Tax Credit assisted Development (excluding those Developments under the Control of the same Owner as the Application being considered in the tie) that serves the same Target Population and that was awarded less than 15 years ago according to the Department’s property inventory tab of the Site Demographic Characteristics Report. Developments awarded Housing Tax
Credits but do not yet have a Land Use Restriction Agreement in place will be considered Housing Tax Credit assisted Developments for purposes of this paragraph according to the property inventory included in the HTC Site Demographic Characteristics Report. The linear measurement will be performed from closest boundary to closest boundary.

§11.8. Pre-Application Requirements (Competitive HTC Only).

(a) General Submission Requirements. The pre-application process allows Applicants interested in pursuing an Application to assess potential competition across the thirteen (13) state service regions, subregions and set-asides. Based on an understanding of the potential competition they can make a more informed decision about whether they wish to proceed to prepare and submit an Application. A complete pre-application is a pre-application that meets all of the Department's criteria, as outlined in subsections (a) and (b) of this section,

(1) The pre-application must be submitted using the URL provided by the Department, as outlined in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual, along with the required pre-application fee as described in §1011.901 of this title (relating to Fee Schedule), not later than the pre-application Final Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits). If the pre-application and corresponding fee is not submitted on or before this deadline the Applicant will be deemed to have not made a pre-application.

(2) Only one pre-application may be submitted by an Applicant for each Development Site, and for each Site Control document.

(3) Department review at this stage is limited, and not all issues of eligibility and threshold are reviewed or addressed at pre-application. Acceptance by staff of a pre-application does not ensure that an Applicant satisfies all Application eligibility, threshold or documentation requirements. While the pre-application is more limited in scope than the Application, pre-applications are subject to the same limitations, restrictions, or causes for disqualification or termination as Applications, and pre-applications will thus be subject to the same consequences for violation, including but not limited to loss of points and termination of the pre-application.

(4) The pre-application becomes part of the full Application if the full Application claims pre-application points.

(5) Regardless of whether a Full Application is submitted, a pre-application may not be withdrawn after the Full Application Delivery Date described in 10 TAC §11.2(a) related to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits.

(b) Pre-Application Threshold Criteria. Pursuant to Tex Gov't Code, §2306.6704(c) pre-applications will be terminated unless they meet the threshold criteria described in subsection (a) of this section and paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection:

(1) Submission of the competitive Competitive HTC pre-application in the form prescribed by the Department which identifies at a minimum:

   (A) Site Control meeting the requirements of §1011.204(10) of this title (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission). For purposes of meeting this specific requirement related to pre-application threshold criteria, proof of consideration and any documentation required for identity of interest transactions is not required at the time of pre-application submission but will be required at the time of full application submission;
   (B) Funding request;
   (C) Target Population;
(D) Requested set-asides (At-Risk, USDA, Nonprofit, and/or Rural);

(E) Total Number of Units proposed;

(F) Census tract number in which the Development Site is located, and a map of that census tract with an outline of the proposed Development Site;

(G) Expected score for each of the scoring items identified in the pre-application materials;

(H) Proposed name of ownership entity; and

(I) Disclosure of the following Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics Risk Factors under §1011.101(a)(3):

(i) The Development Site is located in a census tract (or for any adjacent census tract with a boundary less than 500 feet from the proposed Development Site that is not separated from the Development Site by a natural barrier such as a river or lake, or an intervening restricted area, such as a military installation) or within 1,000 feet of any census tract in an Urban Area and the rate of Part I violent crime is greater than 18 per 1,000 persons (annually) as reported on neighborhoodscout.com.

(ii) The Development Site is located within the attendance zones of an elementary school, a middle school, or a high school that does not have a Met Standard rating by the Texas Education Agency.

(2) Evidence in the form of a certification provided in the pre-application, that all of the notifications required under this paragraph have been made. (§2306.6704)

(A) The Applicant must list in the pre-application all Neighborhood Organizations on record with the county or state whose boundaries include the entire proposed Development Site as of the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period. An Applicant should retain and be prepared to produce evidence of a reasonable search of those records and the search results, including in the scope of the search at least the following terms: name of the neighborhoods/subdivisions, name of the public schools for the Development Site, term ‘homeowner,’ term ‘neighborhood,’ names of historically significant nearby areas or features, and colloquially used descriptions of the area (e.g., Fifth Ward, SoCo, EaDo). As referenced, “on record with the county” includes bylaws or articles of incorporation filed with a county and/or a database of neighborhood organizations, but does not include documents filed in the name of the neighborhood that provide no indication of good standing (e.g., a DBA). As referenced, “on record with the state” means an organization in good standing with the Secretary of State.

(B) Notification Recipients. No later than the date the pre-application is submitted, notification must be sent to all of the persons or entities prescribed in clauses (i) – (viii) of this subparagraph. Developments located in an ETJ of a municipality are required to notify both municipal and county officials. The notifications may be sent by e-mail, fax or mail with registered return receipt or similar tracking mechanism in the format required included in the Public Notification Template provided in the Uniform 2018-2019 Multifamily Application Template or in an alternative format that meets the applicable requirements and achieves the intended purpose. The Applicant is required to retain proof of delivery in the event the Department requests proof of notification. Acceptable evidence of such delivery is demonstrated by signed receipt for mail or courier delivery and confirmation of delivery for fax and e-mail. Officials to be notified are those officials in office at the time the pre-application is submitted. Note that between the time of pre-application (if made) and full Application, such officials may change and the boundaries of their jurisdictions may
change. By way of example, if there is a change between pre-application and not by way of limitation, events such as redistricting may cause changes which will necessitate the Full Application Delivery Date, additional notifications must be made at full Application to any person or entity that has not been previously notified by the Applicant. Meetings and discussions do not constitute notification. Only a timely and compliant written notification to the correct person constitutes notification.

(i) Neighborhood Organizations on record with the state or county as of the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period whose boundaries include the entire proposed Development Site;

(ii) Superintendent of the school district in which the Development Site is located;

(iii) Presiding officer of the board of trustees of the school district in which the Development Site is located;

(iv) Mayor of the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(v) All elected members of the Governing Body of the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(vi) Presiding officer of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located;

(vii) All elected members of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located; and

(viii) State Senator and State Representative of the districts whose boundaries include the proposed Development Site;

(C) Contents of Notification.

(i) The notification must include, at a minimum, all of the information described in subclauses (I) – (V) of this clause.

(I) the Applicant’s name, address, an individual contact name and phone number;

(II) the Development name, address, city, and county;

(III) a statement informing the entity or individual being notified that the Applicant is submitting a request for Housing Tax Credits with the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs;

(IV) whether the Development proposes New Construction, Reconstruction, Adaptive Reuse, or Rehabilitation;

(V) the physical type of Development being proposed (eg single family homes, duplex, apartments, high-rise, etc.); and

(VI) the approximate total number of Units and approximate total number of Low-Income Units.

(ii) The Applicant must disclose that, in accordance with the Department’s rules, aspects of the Development may not yet have been determined or selected or may be subject to change, such as changes in the amenities ultimately selected and provided;

(iii) The notification may not contain any false or misleading statements. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the notification may not create the impression...
that the proposed Development will serve a Target Population exclusively or as a preference unless such targeting or preference is documented in the Application and is in full compliance with all applicable state and federal laws, including state and federal fair housing laws; and

(iii) Notifications or any other communications may not contain any statement that violates Department rules, statute, code, or federal requirements.

(c) Pre-Application Results. Only pre-applications which have satisfied all of the pre-application requirements, including those in §11.9(e)(3) of this chapter, will be eligible for pre-application points. The order and scores of those Developments released on the pre-application Submission Log do not represent a Commitment on the part of the Department or the Board to allocate tax credits to any Development and the Department bears no liability for decisions made by Applicants based on the results of the pre-application Submission Log. Inclusion of a pre-application on the pre-application Submission Log does not ensure that an Applicant will receive points for a pre-application.

§11.9.Competitive HTC Selection Criteria.

(a) General Information. This section identifies the scoring criteria used in evaluating and ranking Applications. The criteria identified in subsections (b) - (e) of this section include those items required under Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, Code §42 of the Code, and other criteria established in a manner consistent with Chapter 2306 and Code §42 of the Code. There is no rounding of numbers in this section for any of the calculations in order to achieve the desired requirement or limitation, unless rounding is explicitly stated as allowed for that particular calculation or criteria. The Application must include one or more maps indicating the location of the Development Site and the related distance to the applicable facility. Distances are to be measured from the nearest boundary of the Development Site to the nearest boundary of the property or easement containing the facility, unless otherwise noted. For the purposes of this section, all measurements will include ingress/egress requirements and any easements regardless of how they will be held. Due to the highly competitive nature of the program, Applicants that elect points where supporting documentation is required but fail to provide any supporting documentation will not be allowed to cure the issue through an Administrative Deficiency. However, Department staff may provide the Applicant an opportunity to explain how they believe the Application, as submitted, meets the requirements for points or otherwise satisfies the requirements.

(b) Criteria promoting development of high quality housing.

(1) Size and Quality of the Units. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(D); §42(m)(1)(C)(iii)) An Application may qualify for up to fifteen (15) points under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph.

(A) Unit Sizes (86 points). The Development must meet the minimum requirements identified in this subparagraph to qualify for points. Points for this item will be automatically granted for Applications involving Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction), for Developments receiving funding from USDA, or for Supportive Housing Developments without meeting these square footage minimums only if requested in the Self Scoring Form.

(i) five-hundred fifty (550) square feet for an Efficiency Unit;
(ii) six-hundred fifty (650) square feet for a one Bedroom Unit;
(iii) eight-hundred fifty (850) square feet for a two Bedroom Unit;
(iv) one-thousand fifty (1,050) square feet for a three Bedroom Unit; and
(v) one-thousand two-hundred fifty (1,250) square feet for a four Bedroom Unit.
(B) Unit and Development Features (79 points). Applicants that elect in an Application to provide specific amenity and quality features in every Unit at no extra charge to the tenant will be awarded points based on the point structure provided in §411.101(b)(6)(B) of this title (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions) and as certified to in the Application. The amenities will be required to be identified in the LURA. Rehabilitation Developments will start with a base score of three (3) points and Supportive Housing Developments will start with a base score of five (5) points.

(2) Sponsor Characteristics. (§42(m)(1)(C)(iv)) An Application may qualify to receive either one (1) or two (2) points if it meets one of the following conditions. Any Application that includes a HUB must include a narrative description of the HUB’s experience directly related to the housing industry.

(A) The ownership structure contains either a HUB certified by the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts by the Full Application Delivery Date or it contains a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, provided the Application is under the Nonprofit Set-Aside. The HUB or Qualified Nonprofit Organization must have some combination of ownership interest in the General Partner of the Applicant, Cash Flow from operations, and Developer Fee which taken together equal at least 50 percent and no less than 5 percent for any category. For example, a HUB or Qualified Nonprofit Organization may have 20 percent ownership interest, 25 percent of the Developer Fee, and 5 percent of Cash Flow from operations. For HUD 202 Rehabilitation projects which prohibit for-profit ownership, ownership will not be required for a HUB, only for Cash Flow and Developer Fee. The HUB or Qualified Nonprofit Organization must also materially participate in the Development and operation of the Development throughout the Compliance Period and must have experience directly related to the housing industry, which may include experience with property management, construction, development, financing, or compliance. Material participation means that the HUB or Qualified Nonprofit is regularly, continuously, and substantially involved in providing services integral to the Development Team; providing services as an independent contractor is not sufficient. A Principal of the HUB or Qualified Nonprofit Organization cannot be a Related Party to any other Principal of the Applicant or Developer (excluding another Principal of said HUB or Qualified Nonprofit Organization). (2 points)

(B) The HUB or Nonprofit Organization must be involved with the Development Services or in the provision of on-site tenant services during the Development’s Affordability Period. Selecting this item because of the involvement of a Nonprofit Organization does not make an Application eligible for the Nonprofit Set-Aside. A Principal of the HUB or Nonprofit Organization cannot be a Related Party to any other Principal of the Applicant or Developer (excluding another Principal of said HUB or Nonprofit Organization). (1 point)

(c) Criteria to serve and support Texans most in need.

(1) Income Levels of Tenants. (§§2306.111(g)(3)(B) and (E); 2306.6710(b)(1)(C) and (e); and §42(m)(1)(B)(ii)(I)) An Application may qualify for up to sixteen (16) points for rent and income restricting a Development for the entire Affordability Period at the levels identified in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (BD) of this paragraph.

(A) For any Development located within a non-Rural Area of the Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, San Antonio, or Austin MSAs that propose to use either the 20-50 or 40-60 election under §42(g)(1)(A) or §42(g)(1)(B) of the Code, respectively:

(i) At least 40 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (16 points);
(ii) At least 30 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (14 points); or

(iii) At least 20 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (12 points).

(B) For Developments proposed to be located in areas other than those listed in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph and that propose to use either the 20-50 or 40-60 election under §42(g)(1)(A) or §42(g)(1)(B) of the Code, respectively:

(i) At least 20 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (16 points);

(ii) At least 15 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (14 points); or

(iii) At least 10 percent of all Low-Income Units at 50 percent or less of AMGI (12 points).

(C) For any Development located within a non-Rural Area of the Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, San Antonio, or Austin MSAs that propose to use the Average Income election under §42(g)(1)(C) of the Code:

(i) The Average Income for the proposed Development will be 54% or lower (16 points);

(ii) The Average Income for the proposed Development will be 55% or lower (14 points); or

(iii) The average income for the proposed Development will be 56% or lower (12 points).

(D) For Developments proposed to be located in the areas other than those listed in subparagraph (C) of this paragraph and that propose to use the Average Income election under §42(g)(1)(C) of the Code:

(i) The Average Income for the proposed Development will be 55% or lower (16 points);

(ii) The Average Income for the proposed Development will be 56% or lower (14 points); or

(iii) The Average Income for the proposed Development will be 57% or lower (12 points).

(2) Rent Levels of Tenants. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(E)) An Application may qualify to receive up to thirteen (13) points for rent and income restricting a Development for the entire Affordability Period. These levels are in addition to those committed under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

(A) At least 20 percent of all Low-Income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI for Supportive Housing Developments proposed by a Qualified Nonprofit (13 points);

(B) At least 10 percent of all Low-Income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI or, for a Development located in a Rural Area, 7.5 percent of all low-income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI (11 points); or

(C) At least 5 percent of all Low-Income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI (7 points).
(3) Tenant Resident Services. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(G) and §2306.6725(a)(1)) A Supportive Housing Development proposed by a Qualified Nonprofit may qualify to receive up to eleven (11) points and all other Developments may receive up to ten (10) points.

(A) By electing points, the Applicant certifies that the Development will provide a combination of supportive services, which are listed in §10.101(b)(7) of this chapter, appropriate for the proposed tenants residents and that there is adequate space for the intended services. The provision and complete list of supportive services will be included in the LURA. The Owner may change, from time to time, the services offered; however, the overall points as selected at Application will remain the same. No fees may be charged to the tenants residents for any of the services. Services must be provided on-site or transportation to those off-site services identified on the list must be provided. The same service may not be used for more than one scoring item. (10 points for Supportive Housing, 9 points for all other Development)

(B) The Applicant certifies that the Development will contact local nonprofit and governmental providers of services that would support the health and well-being of the Department’s tenants residents, and will make Development community space available to them on a regularly-scheduled basis to provide outreach services and education to the tenants. Applicants may contact service providers on the Department list, or contact other providers that serve the general area in which the Development is located. (1 point)

(4) Opportunity Index. The Department may refer to locations qualifying for points under this scoring item as high opportunity areas in some materials. A Development is eligible for a maximum of seven (7) opportunity index points.

(A) A proposed Development is eligible for up to two (2) opportunity index points if it is located entirely within a census tract with a poverty rate of less than the greater of 20% or the median poverty rate for the region and meets the requirements in (i) or (ii) below.

(i) The Development Site is located entirely within a census tract that has a poverty rate of less than the greater of 20% or the median poverty rate for the region and a median household income rate in the two highest quartiles within the uniform service region. (2 points)

(ii) The Development Site is located entirely within a census tract that has a poverty rate of less than the greater of 20% or the median poverty rate for the region, with a median household income in the third quartile within the region, and is contiguous to a census tract in the first or second quartile, without physical barriers such as highways or rivers between, and the Development Site is no more than 2 miles from the boundary between the census tracts. For purposes of this scoring item, a highway is a limited-access road with a speed limit of 50 miles per hour or more; and, (1 point)

(B) An Application that meets the foregoing criteria may qualify for additional points for any one or more of the following factors. Each amenity may be used only once for scoring purposes, unless allowed within the scoring item, regardless of the number of categories it fits. All members of the Applicant or Affiliates cannot have had an ownership position in the amenity or served on the board or staff of a nonprofit that owned or managed that amenity within the year preceding the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date. All amenities must be operational or have started Site Work at the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date. Any age restrictions associated with an amenity must positively correspond to the target population of the proposed Development.
(i) For Developments located in an Urban Area (other than Applicants competing in the USDA Set-Aside), an Application may qualify to receive points through a combination of requirements in subclauses (I) through (XIV) of this clause.

(I) The Development Site is located on a route, with sidewalks for pedestrians, that is 1/2 mile or less from the entrance to a public park with a playground or from a multiuse hike-bike trail. The entirety of the sidewalk route must consist of smooth hard surfaces, curb ramps, and marked pedestrian crossings when traversing a street. (1 point)

(II) The Development Site is located on a route, with sidewalks for pedestrians, that is 1/2 mile or less within a specified distance from the entrance of a public transportation stop or station with a route schedule that provides regular service to employment and basic services. The entirety of the sidewalk route must consist of smooth hard surfaces, curb ramps, and marked pedestrian crossings when traversing a street. Only one of the following may be selected.

(-a-) For purposes of this scoring item, regular is defined as: The Development Site is 1/2 mile or less from the stop or station and the scheduled service is beyond 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., plus weekend service (both Saturday and Sunday). (1 point); or

(-b-) The Development Site is 1/2 mile or less from the stop or station and the scheduled service arrives every 15 minutes, on average, between 6 a.m. and 8 p.m., every day of the week. (2 points)

(III) The Development Site is located within 1 mile of a full-service grocery store. A full service grocery store is a store of sufficient size and volume to provide for the needs of the surrounding neighborhood including the proposed Development; and the space of the store is dedicated primarily to offering a wide variety of fresh, frozen, canned and prepared foods, including but not limited to a variety of fresh meats, poultry, and seafood; a wide selection of fresh produce including a selection of different fruits and vegetables; a selection of baked goods and a wide array of dairy products including cheeses; and a wide variety of household goods, paper goods and toiletry items. (1 point)

(IV) The Development Site is located within 1 mile of a pharmacy. For the purposes of this menu item only, the pharmacy may be claimed if it is within the same building as a grocery store. (1 point)

(V) The Development Site is located within 3 miles of a health-related facility, such as a full service hospital, community health center, minor emergency center, emergency room or urgent care facility. Physician offices and physician specialty offices are not considered in this category. (1 point)

(VI) The Development Site is within 2 miles of a center that is licensed by the Department of Family and Protective Services (“DFPS”) specifically to provide a school-age program or to provide a child care program for infants, toddlers, and/or pre-kindergarten. The Application must include evidence from DFPS that the center meets the above requirements. (1 point)

(VII) The Development Site is located in a census tract with a property crime rate of 26 per 1,000 persons or less as defined by neighborhoodscout.com, or local law enforcement data sources. If employing the latter source, the formula for
determining the crime rate will include only data relevant to the census tract in which the Development Site is located. (1 point)

(VIII) The development Site is located within 1 mile of a public library that has indoor meeting space, physical books that can be checked out and that are of a general and wide-ranging subject matter, computers and internet access, and that is open 50 hours or more per week. The library must not be age or subject-restricted and must be at least partially funded with government funding. (1 point)

(IX) The Development Site is located within 5 miles of an accredited university or community college, as confirmed by the Texas Higher Education Coordination Board (“THECB”). To be considered a university for these purposes, the provider of higher education must have the authority to confer bachelor’s degrees. Two-year colleges are considered community colleges, and to be considered for these purposes must confer at least associate’s degrees. The university or community college must have a physical campus, where classes are regularly held for students pursuing their degrees, within the required distance; online-only institutions do not qualify under this item. (1 point)

(X) Development Site is located in a census tract where the percentage of adults age 25 and older with an Associate's Degree or higher is 27% or higher as tabulated by the most recent 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimate. (1 point)

(XI) Development Site is within 1 mile of an indoor recreation facility available to the public. Examples include a gym, health club, a bowling alley, a theater, or a municipal or county community center. (1 point)

(XII) Development Site is within 1 mile of an outdoor, dedicated, and permanent recreation facility available to the public. Examples include swimming pools or splash pads, tennis courts, golf courses, softball fields, or basketball courts. (1 point)

(XIII) Development Site is within 1 mile of community, civic or service organizations that provide regular and recurring substantive services, beyond exclusively congregational or member-affiliated activities, available to the entire community (this could include religious organizations or organizations like the Kiwanis or Rotary Club as long as they make services available without regard to affiliation or membership). (1 point)

(XIV) Development Site is in the current service area of Meals on Wheels or similar nonprofit service that provides regular visits and meals to individuals in their homes. (1 point)

(ii) For Developments located in a Rural Area and any Application qualifying under the USDA set-aside, an Application may qualify to receive points through a combination of requirements in subclauses (I) through (XIII) of this clause.

(I) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a full-service grocery store. A full service grocery store is a store of sufficient size and volume to provide for the needs of the surrounding neighborhood including the proposed Development; and the space of the store is dedicated primarily to offering a wide variety of fresh, frozen, canned and prepared foods, including but not limited to a variety of fresh meats, poultry, and seafood; a wide selection of fresh produce including a selection of different fruits and vegetables; a selection of baked goods and a wide array of
dairy products including cheeses, and a wide variety of household goods, paper goods and toiletry items. (1 point)

(II) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a pharmacy. For the purposes of this menu item only, the pharmacy may be claimed if it is within the same building as a grocery store. (1 point)

(III) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a health-related facility, such as a full service hospital, community health center, or minor emergency center, or a doctor with a general practice that takes walk-in patients. Physician offices and physician-specialty offices are not considered in this category. (1 point)

(IV) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a center that is licensed by the Department of Family and Protective Services (“DFPS”) specifically to provide a school-age program or to provide a child care program for infants, toddlers, and/or pre-kindergarten. The Application must include evidence from DFPS that the center meets the above requirements. (1 point)

(V) The Development Site is located in a census tract with a property crime rate 26 per 1,000 or less, as defined by neighborhoodscout.com, or local law enforcement data sources. If employing the latter source, the formula for determining the crime rate will include only data relevant to the census tract in which the Development Site is located. (1 point)

(VI) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a public library that has indoor meeting space, physical books that can be checked out and that are of a general and wide-ranging subject matter, computers and internet access, and that is open 40 hours or more per week. The library must not be age or subject-restricted and must be at least partially funded with government funding. (1 point)

(VII) The Development Site is located within 4 miles of a public park with a playground. (1 point)

(VIII) The Development Site is located within 15 miles of an accredited university or community college, as confirmed by the Texas Higher Education Coordination Board (“THECB”). To be considered a university for these purposes, the provider of higher education must have the authority to confer bachelor’s degrees. Two-year colleges are considered community colleges, and to be considered for these purposes must confer at least associate’s degrees. The university or community college must have a physical campus, where classes are regularly held for students pursuing their degrees, within the required distance; online-only institutions do not qualify under this item. (1 point)

(IX) Development Site is located in a census tract where the percentage of adults age 25 and older with an Associate’s Degree or higher is 27% or higher. (1 point)

(X) Development Site is within 3 miles of an indoor recreation facility available to the public. Examples include a gym, health club, a bowling alley, a theater, or a municipal or county community center. (1 point)

(XI) Development Site is within 3 miles of an outdoor, dedicated, and permanent recreation facility available to the public. Examples include swimming pools or splash pads, tennis courts, golf courses, softball fields, or basketball courts. (1 point)
(XII) Development Site is within 3 miles of community, civic or service organizations that provide regular and recurring substantive services, beyond exclusively congregational or member-affiliated activities, available to the entire community (this could include religious organizations or organizations like the Kiwanis or Rotary Club as long as they make services available without regard to affiliation or membership). (1 point)

(XIII) Development Site is in the current service area of Meals on Wheels or similar nonprofit service that provides regular visits and meals to individuals in their homes. (1 point)

(5) Underserved Area. (§§2306.6725(b)(2); 2306.127(3), 42(m)(1)(C)(ii)) An Application may qualify to receive up to five (5) points if the Development Site is located in one of the areas described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph, and the Application contains evidence substantiating qualification for the points. Points are not cumulative and an Applicant is therefore limited to selecting one subparagraph. If an Application qualifies for points under paragraph §11.9(c)(4) of this subsection, then the Application is not eligible for points under subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) of this paragraph. The Application must include evidence that the Development Site meets the requirements.

(A) The Development Site is located wholly or partially within the boundaries of a colonia as such boundaries are determined by the Office of the Attorney General and within 150 miles of the Rio Grande River border. For purposes of this scoring item, the colonia must lack water, wastewater, or electricity provided to all residents of the colonia at a level commensurate with the quality and quantity expected of a municipality and the proposed Development must make available any such missing water, wastewater, and electricity supply infrastructure physically within the borders of the colonia in a manner that would enable the current dwellings within the colonia to connect to such infrastructure (2 points);

(B) The Development Site is located entirely within the boundaries of an Economically Distressed Area (1 point);

(C) The Development Site is located entirely within a census tract that does not have another Development that was awarded less than 30 years ago according to the Department’s property inventory tab of the Site Demographic Characteristics Report; (3 points);

(D) For areas not scoring points for (C) above, the Development Site is located entirely within a census tract that does not have another Development that was awarded less than 15 years ago according to the Department’s property inventory tab of the Site Demographic Characteristics Report. (2 points);

(E) The Development Site is located entirely within a census tract whose boundaries are wholly within an incorporated area and the census tract itself and all of its contiguous census tracts do not have another Development that was awarded less than 15 years ago according to the Department’s property inventory tab of the Site Demographic Characteristics Report. This item will apply in Places with a population of 150,000 or more, and will not apply in the At-Risk Set-Aside (5 points).

(F) The Development Site is located entirely within a census tract that, according to American Community Survey 5-year Estimates, has both a poverty rate greater than 20% and a median gross rent greater than its county’s 2016 HUD Fair Market Rent for a two-bedroom unit. This measure is referred to as the Affordable Housing Needs Indicator in the Site Demographic Characteristics Report (2 points).
(G) An At-risk or USDA Development placed in service 30 or more years ago, that is still occupied, and that has not yet received federal funding, or LIHTC equity, for the purposes of Rehabilitation for the Development (3 points).

(6) Tenant Populations with Special Housing Needs. (§42(m)(1)(C)(v)) An Application may qualify to receive two (2) points by serving Tenants with Special Housing Needs. Points will be awarded as described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of this paragraph. If pursuing these points, Applicants must try to score first with subparagraph (A) and then subparagraph (B), unless the Applicant can establish its lack of legal authority to commit Section 811 PRA Program units in a Development. Subparagraphs (A) and (B) through (D) of this paragraph. Subparagraphs (B) and (C) pertain to the requirements of the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program (“Section 811 PRA Program”) (10 TAC Chapter 8). Only if an Applicant or Affiliate cannot meet the requirements of subparagraphs (A) or (B) may an Application qualify for subparagraph (C).

(A) If selecting points under this scoring item, Applicants must first attempt to meet the requirements in subparagraph (B). If the Applicant is not able to meet the requirements in subparagraph (B), then the Applicant must attempt to meet the requirements in subparagraph (C), unless the Applicant can establish its lack of legal authority to commit Section 811 PRA Program units in a Development. To establish its lack of legal authority where an Applicant Owns or Controls an Existing Development that otherwise meets the criteria established by 10 TAC §11.9(c)(6)(B) of this chapter, the Application must include the information as described in clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph in the Section 811 PRA Program Supplement Packet. The Department may request additional information from the Applicant as needed.

(i) Evidence that a Third Party has a legal right to withhold approval for a property to commit voluntarily to the Section 811 PRA Program. The specific legally enforceable agreement or other instrument that gives the Third Party, such as a lender, the unambiguous legal right to withhold consent must be provided;

(ii) Documentation that the Third Party, such as a lender, that has the legal right to withhold a required consent was asked to give their consent; AND

(iii) Documentation that the Third Party possessing the legal right to withhold a required consent has provided notice of their decision not to provide a required consent.

(B) An Applicant or Affiliate that Owns or Controls an Existing Development that is eligible to participate in the Department’s Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program (“Section 811 PRA Program”), as evidenced by its appearance on the List of Qualified Existing Developments referenced in 10 TAC §8.5, must do so in order to receive two (2) points. In order to qualify for points, the Existing Development must commit to the Section 811 PRA Program at minimum 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units, unless the Integrated Housing Rule, 10 TAC §1.15, or the 811 Program Project Rental Assistance Rule (“811 Rule”), 10 TAC Chapter 8, limits the Existing Development to fewer than 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units. The same Section 811 PRA Program Units cannot be used to qualify for points in more than one HTC Application. The Applicant or Affiliate will comply with the requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8. (2 points)

(BC) An Applicant or Affiliate that does not meet the Existing Development requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8 but still meets the requirements of 10 TAC Chapter §8.3 is eligible to receive two (2) points by committing Units in the proposed Development to participate in the Department’s Section 811 PRA Program. In order to be eligible for points, Applicants
must commit at least 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units in the proposed Development for participation in the Section 811 PRA Program unless the Integrated Housing Rule, 10 TAC §1.15, or the 811 Program Rental Assistance Rule ("811 Rule"), 10 TAC Chapter 8, limits the Development to fewer than 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units. The same Section 811 PRA Program Units cannot be used to qualify for points in more than one HTC Application. The Applicant will comply with the requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8. (2 points)

(C) Applications that are unable to meet the requirements of subparagraphs (AB) or (BC) of this paragraph may qualify for two (2) points by meeting the requirements of this subparagraph, (CD). In order to qualify for points, Applicants must agree to set-aside at least 5 percent of the total Units for Persons with Special Needs. The units identified for this scoring item may not be the same units identified for the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Demonstration program. For purposes of this subparagraph, Persons with Special Needs is defined as households where one individual has alcohol and/or drug addictions, Colonia resident, Persons with Disabilities, Violence Against Women Act Protections (domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking), persons with HIV/AIDS, homeless populations, veterans, wounded warriors (as defined by the Caring for Wounded Warriors Act of 2008), and farmworkers. Throughout the Compliance Period, unless otherwise permitted by the Department, the Development Owner agrees to specifically market Units to Persons with Special Needs. In addition, the Department will require an initial minimum twelve-month period during which Units must either be occupied by Persons with Special Needs or held vacant, unless the units receive HOME funds from any source. After the initial twelve-month period, the Development Owner will no longer be required to hold Units vacant for Persons with Special Needs, but will be required to continue to specifically market Units to Persons with Special Needs. (2 points)

(7) Proximity to the Urban Core. A Development in a Place, as defined by the US Census Bureau, with a population over 200,000 may qualify for points under this item. The Development Site must be located within 4 miles of the main municipal government administration building if the population of the Place is 500,000 or more, or within 2 miles of the main municipal government administration building if the population of the city is 500,000 – 749,999, or within 1 mile of the main municipal government administration building if the population of the city is 200,000 – 499,999. The main municipal government administration building will be determined by the location of regularly scheduled municipal governing body meetings. Distances are measured from the nearest property boundaries, not inclusive of non-contiguous parking areas. This scoring item will not apply to Applications under the At-Risk Set-Aside. (5 points)

(8) Readiness to proceed in disaster impacted counties. An Application for a proposed Development, that is located in a county declared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency to be eligible for individual assistance within the year preceding the Full Application Delivery Date, that provides a certification that they will close all financing and fully execute the construction contract on or before the last business day of October. November. (5 points)

(A) Applications must include evidence that appropriate zoning will be in place at award, and acknowledgement from all lenders and the syndicator of the required closing date.

(B) The Board cannot and will not waive the deadline and will not consider waiver under its general rule regarding waivers. Failure to close all financing and provide evidence of an executed
construction contract by the November deadline will result in penalty under 10 TAC §11.9(f), as determined solely by the Board. (5 points)

(C) Non-priority Applications seeking points under this paragraph will receive an extension of the November deadline equivalent to the period of time they were in non-priority status, if they ultimately receive an award. The period of non-priority status begins on the date the Department publishes a list showing an Application is not in priority status.

(d) Criteria promoting community support and engagement.

(1) Local Government Support. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(B)) An Application may qualify for up to seventeen (17) points for a resolution or resolutions voted on and adopted by the bodies reflected in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph, as applicable. The resolution(s) must be dated prior to Final Input from Elected Officials Delivery Date and must be submitted to the Department no later than the Final Input from Elected Officials Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter. Such resolution(s) must specifically identify the Development whether by legal description, address, Development name, Application number or other verifiable method. In providing a resolution a municipality or county should consult its own staff and legal counsel as to whether such resolution will be consistent with Fair Housing laws as they may apply, including, as applicable, consistency with any Fair Housing Activity Statement-Texas (“FHAST”) form on file, any current Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, or any current plans such as one year action plans or five year consolidated plans for HUD block grant funds, such as HOME or CDBG funds. Resolutions received by the Department setting forth that the municipality and/or county objects to or opposes the Application or Development will result in zero points awarded to the Application for that Governing Body. Such resolutions will be added to the Application posted on the Department’s website. Once a resolution is submitted to the Department it may not be changed or withdrawn. For an Application with a proposed Development Site that, at the time of the initial filing of the Application, is:

(A) Within a municipality, the Application will receive:

(i) seventeen (17) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality expressly setting forth that the municipality supports the Application or Development;

or

(ii) fourteen (14) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality expressly setting forth that the municipality has no objection to the Application or Development.

(B) Within the extraterritorial jurisdiction of a municipality, the Application may receive points under clause (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph and under clause (iii) or (iv) of this subparagraph:

(i) eight and one-half (8.5) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality expressly setting forth that the municipality supports the Application or Development;

or

(ii) seven (7) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality expressly setting forth that the municipality has no objection to the Application or Development; and

(iii) eight and one-half (8.5) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that county expressly setting forth that the county supports the Application or Development; or
(iv) seven (7) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that county expressly setting forth that the county has no objection to the Application or Development.

(C) Within a county and not within a municipality or the extraterritorial jurisdiction of a municipality:

(i) seventeen (17) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that county expressly setting forth that the county supports the Application or Development; or

(ii) fourteen (14) points for a resolution from the Governing Body of that county expressly setting forth that the county has no objection to the Application or Development.

(2) Commitment of Development Funding by Local Political Subdivision. (§2306.6725(a)(5)) An Application may receive one (1) point for a commitment of Development funding from the city (if located in a city) or county in which the Development Site is located. The commitment of Development funding must be reflected in the Application as a financial benefit to the Development, i.e. reported as a source of funds on the Sources and Uses Form and/or reflected in a lower cost in the Development Cost Schedule, such as notation of a reduction in building permits and related costs. Documentation must include a letter from an official of the municipality, county, or other instrumentality with jurisdiction over the proposed Development stating they will provide a loan, grant, reduced fees or contribution of other value that equals $500 or more for Applications located in Urban subregions or $250 or more for Applications located in Rural subregions for the benefit of the Development. The letter must describe the value of the contribution, the form of the contribution, e.g. reduced fees or gap funding, and any caveats to delivering the contribution. Once a letter is submitted to the Department it may not be changed or withdrawn.

(3) Declared Disaster Area. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(H)) An Application may receive ten (10) points if at the time of Application submission or at any time within the two-year period preceding the date of submission, the Development Site is located in an area declared to be a disaster area under the Tex Gov't Code, §418.014.

(4) Quantifiable Community Participation. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(J); §2306.6725(a)(2)) An Application may qualify for up to nine (9) points for written statements from a Neighborhood Organization. In order for the statement to qualify for review, the Neighborhood Organization must have been in current, valid existence with boundaries that contain the entire Development Site as of 30 days prior to the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date. Once a letter is submitted to the Department it may not be changed or withdrawn. The written statement must meet all of the requirements in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph. Letters received by the Department setting forth that the eligible Neighborhood Organization objects to or opposes the Application or Development will be added to the Application posted on the Department’s website. Written statements from the Neighborhood Organizations included in an Application and not received by the Department from the Neighborhood Organization will not be scored but will be counted as public comment.

(A) Statement Requirements. If an organization cannot make the following affirmative certifications or statements then the organization will not be considered a Neighborhood Organization for purposes of this paragraph.

(i) the Neighborhood Organization’s name, a written description and map of the organization’s boundaries, signatures and contact information (phone, email and mailing
address) of at least two individual members with authority to sign on behalf of the organization;

(ii) certification that the boundaries of the Neighborhood Organization contain the entire Development Site and that the Neighborhood Organization meets the definition pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.004(23-a) and includes at least two separate residential households;

(iii) certification that no person required to be listed in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6707 with respect to the Development to which the Application requiring their listing relates participated in any way in the deliberations of the Neighborhood Organization, including any votes taken;

(iv) certification that at least 80 percent of the current membership of the Neighborhood Organization consists of homeowners and/or tenants living within the boundaries of the Neighborhood Organization; and

(v) an explicit expression of support, opposition, or neutrality. Any expression of opposition must be accompanied with at least one reason forming the basis of that opposition. A Neighborhood Organization should be prepared to provide additional information with regard to opposition.

(B) Technical Assistance. For purposes of this paragraph, if and only if there is no Neighborhood Organization already in existence or on record, the Applicant, Development Owner, or Developer is allowed to provide technical assistance in the creation of and/or placing on record of a Neighborhood Organization. Technical assistance is limited to:

(i) the use of a facsimile, copy machine/copying, email and accommodations at public meetings;

(ii) assistance in completing the QCP Neighborhood Information Packet, providing boundary maps and assisting in the Administrative Deficiency process; and

(iii) presentation of information and response to questions at duly held meetings where such matter is considered.

(C) Point Values for Quantifiable Community Participation. An Application may receive points based on the values in clauses (i) - (vi) of this subparagraph. Points will not be cumulative. Where more than one written statement is received for an Application, the average of all statements received in accordance with this subparagraph will be assessed and awarded.

(i) nine (9) points for explicit support from a Neighborhood Organization that, during at least one of the three prior Application Rounds, provided a written statement that qualified as Quantifiable Community Participation opposing any Competitive Housing Tax Credit Application and whose boundaries remain unchanged;

(ii) eight (8) points for explicitly stated support from a Neighborhood Organization;

(iii) six (6) points for explicit neutrality from a Neighborhood Organization that, during at least one of the three prior Application Rounds provided a written statement, that qualified as Quantifiable Community Participation opposing any Competitive Housing Tax Credit Application and whose boundaries remain unchanged;

(iv) four (4) points for statements of neutrality from a Neighborhood Organization or statements not explicitly stating support or opposition, or an existing Neighborhood Organization.
Organization provides no statement of either support, opposition or neutrality, which will be viewed as the equivalent of neutrality or lack of objection;

(v) four (4) points for areas where no Neighborhood Organization is in existence, equating to neutrality or lack of objection, or where the Neighborhood Organization did not meet the explicit requirements of this section; or

(vi) zero (0) points for statements of opposition meeting the requirements of this subsection.

(D) Challenges to opposition. Any written statement from a Neighborhood Organization expressing opposition to an Application may be challenged if it is contrary to findings or determinations, including zoning determinations, of a municipality, county, school district, or other local Governmental Entity having jurisdiction or oversight over the finding or determination. If any such statement is challenged, the challenger must declare the basis for the challenge and submit such challenge by the Challenges to Neighborhood Organization Opposition Delivery Date May 1, 2018. The Neighborhood Organization expressing opposition will be given seven (7) calendar days to provide any information related to the issue of whether their assertions are contrary to the findings or determinations of a local Governmental Entity. All such materials and the analysis of the Department's staff will be provided to a fact finder, chosen by the Department, for review and a determination of the issue presented by this subsection. The fact finder will not make determinations as to the accuracy of the statements presented, but only with regard to whether the statements are contrary to findings or determinations of a local Governmental Entity. The fact finder's determination will be final and may not be waived or appealed.

(5) Community Support from State Representative. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(J); §2306.6725(a)(2)) Applications may receive up to eight (8) points or have deducted up to eight (8) points for this scoring item. To qualify under this paragraph letters must be on the State Representative's letterhead, be signed by the State Representative, identify the specific Development and express whether the letter conveys support, neutrality, or opposition. This documentation will be accepted with the Application or through delivery to the Department from the Applicant or the State Representative and must be submitted no later than the Final Input from Elected Officials Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter. Letters received by the Department setting forth that the State Representative objects to or opposes the Application or Development will be added to the Application posted on the Department's website. Once a letter is submitted to the Department it may not be changed or withdrawn. Therefore, it is encouraged that letters not be submitted well in advance of the specified deadline in order to facilitate consideration of all constituent comment and other relevant input on the proposed Development. State Representatives to be considered are those in office at the time the letter is submitted and whose district boundaries include the Development Site. If the office is vacant, the Application will be considered to have received a neutral letter. Neutral letters, letters of opposition, or letters that do not specifically refer to the Development will receive zero (0) points. A letter from a state representative expressing the level of community support may be expressly based on the representative's understanding or assessments of indications of support by others, such as local government officials, constituents, and/or other applicable representatives of the community. In providing this letter, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6710(b)(1)(J), a representative may either express their position of support, opposition, or neutrality regarding the Application, which shall be presumed to reflect their assessment of the views of their constituents, or they may provide a statement of the support, opposition, or neutrality of their constituents regarding the Application without expressing their personal views on the matter.
(6) Input from Community Organizations. (§2306.6725(a)(2)) Where, at the time of Application, the Development Site does not fall within the boundaries of any qualifying Neighborhood Organization, then, in order to ascertain if there is community support, an Application may receive up to four (4) points for letters that qualify for points under subparagraphs (A), (B), and/or (C) of this paragraph. No more than four (4) points will be awarded under this point item under any circumstances. All letters of support must be submitted within the Application. Once a letter is submitted to the Department it may not be changed or withdrawn. Should an Applicant elect this option and the Application receives letters in opposition, then one (1) point will be subtracted from the score under this paragraph for each letter in opposition, provided that the letter is from an organization that would otherwise qualify under this paragraph. However, at no time will the Application receive a score lower than zero (0) for this item. Letters received by the Department setting forth that the community organization objects to or opposes the Application or Development will be added to the Application posted on the Department’s website.

(A) An Application may receive two (2) points for each letter of support submitted from a community or civic organization that serves the community in which the Development Site is located. Letters of support must identify the specific Development and must state support of the specific Development at the proposed location. To qualify, the organization must be qualified as tax exempt and have as a primary (not ancillary or secondary) purpose the overall betterment, development, or improvement of the community as a whole or of a major aspect of the community such as improvement of schools, fire protection, law enforcement, city-wide transit, flood mitigation, or the like. The Applicant must provide evidence that the community or civic organization remains in good standing by providing evidence from a federal or state government database confirming that the exempt status continues. An Organization must also provide evidence of its participation in the community in which the Development Site is located including, but not limited to, a listing of services and/or members, brochures, annual reports, etc. Letters of support from organizations that cannot provide reasonable evidence that they are active in the area that includes the location of the Development Site will not be awarded points. For purposes of this subparagraph, community and civic organizations do not include neighborhood organizations, governmental entities (excluding Special Management Districts as described in subparagraph C), or taxing entities.

(B) An Application may receive two (2) points for a letter of support from a property owners association created for a master planned community whose boundaries include the Development Site and that does not meet the requirements of a Neighborhood Organization for the purpose of awarding points under paragraph (4) of this subsection.

(C) An Application may receive two (2) points for a letter of support from a Special Management District whose boundaries, as of the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this chapter (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits), include the Development Site.

(D) Input that evidences unlawful discrimination against classes of persons protected by Fair Housing law or the scoring of which the Department determines to be contrary to the Department’s efforts to affirmatively further fair housing will not be considered. If the Department receives input that could reasonably be suspected to implicate issues of non-compliance under the Fair Housing Act, staff will refer the matter to the Texas Workforce Commission for investigation, but such referral will not, standing alone, cause staff or the
Department to terminate the Application. Staff will report all such referrals to the Board and summarize the status of any such referrals in any recommendations.

(7) Concerted Revitalization Plan. An Application may qualify for points under this paragraph only if no points are elected under subsection (c)(4) of this section, related to Opportunity Index.

(A) For Developments located in an Urban Area:

(i) An Application may qualify to receive points if the Development Site is located in a distinct area that was once vital and has lapsed into a situation requiring concerted revitalization, and where a concerted revitalization plan (“plan” or “CRP”) has been developed and executed.

(ii) A plan may consist of one or multiple, but complementary, local planning documents that together create a cohesive agenda for the plan’s specific area. The plan and supporting documentation must be submitted using the CRP Application Packet. No more than 2 local plans may be submitted for each proposed Development. A Consolidated Plan, One-year Action Plan or any other plan prepared to meet HUD requirements will not meet the requirements under this clause, unless evidence is presented that additional efforts have been undertaken to meet the requirements in clause (iii) of this subparagraph. The concerted revitalization plan may be a Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone (“TIRZ”) or Tax Increment Finance (“TIF) or similar plan. A city- or county-wide comprehensive plan, by itself, does not equate to a concerted revitalization plan.

(iii) The area targeted for revitalization must be larger than the assisted housing footprint and should be a neighborhood or small group of contiguous neighborhoods with common attributes and problems. The Application must include a copy of the plan or a link to the online plan and a description of where specific information required below can be found in the plan. The plan must meet the criteria described in subclauses (I) - (V) of this clause:

(I) The concerted revitalization plan, or each of the local planning documents that compose the plan, must have been adopted by the municipality or county in which the Development Site is located. The resolution adopting the plan, or if development of the plan and budget were delegated, the resolution of delegation and other evidence in the form of certifications by authorized persons confirming the adoption of the plan and budget, must be submitted with the application.

(II) The problems in the revitalization area must be identified through a process in which affected local residents had an opportunity to express their views on problems facing the area, and how those problems should be addressed and prioritized. These eligible problems that are appropriate for a concerted revitalization plan may include the following:

(-a-) long-term disinvestment, such as significant presence of residential and/or commercial blight, streets infrastructure neglect such as inadequate drainage, and/or sidewalks in significant disrepair;

(-b-) declining quality of life for area residents, such as high levels of violent crime, property crime, gang activity, or other significant criminal matters such as the manufacture or distribution of illegal substances or overt illegal activities;
(III) Staff will review the target area for presence of the problems identified in the plan and for targeted efforts within the plan to address those problems. In addition, but not in lieu of, such a plan may be augmented with targeted efforts to promote a more vital local economy and a more desirable neighborhood, including but not limited to:

(a) creation of needed affordable housing by improvement of existing affordable housing that is in need of replacement or major renovation;

(b) attracting private sector development of housing and/or business;

(c) developing health care facilities;

(d) providing public transportation;

(e) developing significant recreational facilities; and/or

(f) improving under-performing schools.

(IV) lack of a robust economy for that neighborhood area, or, if economic revitalization is already underway, lack of new affordable housing options for long-term residents.

(III) The goals of the adopted plan must have a history of sufficient, documented and committed funding to accomplish its purposes on its established timetable. This funding must have been flowing in accordance with the plan, such that the problems identified within the plan will be currently being or have been sufficiently mitigated and addressed prior to the Development being placed into service.

(IV) The plan must either be current at the time of Application and must officially continue for a minimum of three years thereafter OR the work to address the items in need of mitigation or rehabilitation has begun and, additionally, the Applicant must include confirmation from a public official who oversees the plan that accomplishment of those objectives is on schedule and there are no budgetary or other obstacles to accomplishing the purposes of the plan.

(iv) Up to seven (7) points will be awarded based on:

(I) Applications will receive four (4) points for a letter from the appropriate local official providing documentation of measurable improvements within the revitalization area based on the targeted efforts outlined in the plan and in reference to the requirements of 10 TAC §11.9(d)(7)(A)(iii)(I-IV). The letter must also discuss how the improvements will lead to an appropriate area for the placement of housing; and

(II) Applications may receive (2) points in addition to those under subclause (I) of this clause if the Development is explicitly identified in a resolution by the municipality, or county as contributing more than any other to the concerted revitalization efforts of the municipality or county (as applicable). A municipality or county may only identify one Development per CRP area during each Application Round for the additional points under this subclause, unless the concerted revitalization plan includes more than one distinct area within the city or county, in which case a resolution may be provided for each Development in its respective area. The resolution from the Governing Body of the municipality or county that approved the plan is required to be submitted in the Application. If multiple Applications submit resolutions under this subclause from the same Governing Body for the same CRP area, none of the
Applications shall be eligible for the additional points, unless the resolutions address the respective and distinct areas described in the plan; and

(III) Applications will receive (1) point in addition to those under subclause (I) and (II) if the development is in a location that would score at least 4 points under Opportunity Index, §11.9(c)(4)(B), except for the criteria found in §11.9(c)(4)(A) and subparagraphs §11.9(c)(4)(A)(i) and §11.9(c)(4)(A)(ii).

(B) For Developments located in a Rural Area.

(i) Applications will receive 4 points for the rehabilitation or demolition and reconstruction of a development in a rural area that has been leased at 85% or greater for the six months preceding Application by low income households and which was initially constructed 25 or more years prior to Application submission as either public housing or as affordable housing with support from USDA, HUD, the HOME program, or the CDBG program. The occupancy percentage will not include units that cannot be occupied due to needed repairs, as confirmed by the PCA or CNA. Demolition and relocation of units must be determined locally to be necessary to comply with the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule, or if necessary to create an acceptable distance from Undesirable Site Features or Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics.

(ii) Applications may receive (2) points in addition to those under clause (i) of this subparagraph if the Development is explicitly identified in a resolution by the municipality (or county if the Development Site is completely outside of a city) as contributing more than any other to the concerted revitalization efforts of the municipality or county (as applicable). Where a Development Site crosses jurisdictional boundaries, resolutions from all applicable governing bodies must be submitted. A municipality or county may only identify one single Development during each Application Round for each specific area to be eligible for the additional points under this subclause. If multiple Applications submit resolutions under this subclause from the same Governing Body for a specific area described in the plan, none of the Applications shall be eligible for the additional points; and

(iii) Applications may receive (1) additional point if the development is in a location that would score at least 45 points under Opportunity Index, §11.9(c)(4)(B), except for the criteria found in §11.9(c)(4)(A) and subparagraphs §11.9(c)(4)(A)(i) and §11.9(c)(4)(A)(ii).

(e) Criteria promoting the efficient use of limited resources and applicant accountability.

(1) Financial Feasibility. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(A)) An Application may qualify to receive a maximum of eighteen (18) points for this item. To qualify for points, a 15-year pro forma itemizing all projected income including Unit rental rate assumptions, operating expenses and debt service, and specifying the underlying growth assumptions and reflecting a minimum must-pay debt coverage ratio of 1.15 for each year must be submitted. The pro forma must include the signature and contact information evidencing that it has been reviewed and found to be acceptable by an authorized representative of a proposed Third Party construction or permanent lender. In addition to the signed pro forma, a lender approval letter must be submitted. An acceptable form of lender approval letter may be obtained in the Uniform Multifamily Application Templates. If the letter evidences review of the Development alone it will receive
sixteen (16) points. If the letter evidences review of the Development and the Principals, it will receive eighteen (18) points.

(2) Cost of Development per Square Foot. (§2306.6710(b)(1)(F); §42(m)(1)(C)(iii)) An Application may qualify to receive up to twelve (12) points based on either the Eligible Building Cost or the Eligible Hard Costs per square foot of the proposed Development voluntarily included in eligible basis as originally submitted in the Application. For purposes of this-scoring item, Eligible Building Costs will be defined as Building Costs includable in Eligible Basis for the purposes of determining a Housing Credit Allocation. Eligible Building Costs will exclude structured parking or commercial space that is not included in Eligible Basis, and Eligible Hard Costs will include general contractor overhead, profit, and general requirements. Structured parking or commercial space costs must be supported by a cost estimate from a Third Party General Contractor or subcontractor with experience in structured parking or commercial construction, as applicable. The square footage used will be the Net Rentable Area (NRA). The calculations will be based on the cost listed in the Development Cost Schedule and NRA shown in the Rent Schedule. If the proposed Development is a Supportive Housing Development, the NRA will include common area up to 750 square feet per Unit.

(A) A high cost development is a Development that meets one of the following conditions:

(i) the Development is elevator served, meaning it is either a Elderly Development with an elevator or a Development with one or more buildings any of which have elevators serving four or more floors;

(ii) the Development is more than 75 percent single family design;

(iii) the Development is Supportive Housing; or

(iv) the Development Site qualifies for a minimum of five (5) points under subsection (c)(4) of this section, related to Opportunity Index, and is located in an Urban Area.

(B) Applications proposing New Construction or Reconstruction will be eligible for twelve (12) points if one of the following conditions is met:

(i) The voluntary Eligible Building Cost per square foot is less than $76.472.80 per square foot;

(ii) The voluntary Eligible Building Cost per square foot is less than $81.9078 per square foot, and the Development meets the definition of a high cost development;

(iii) The voluntary Eligible Hard Cost per square foot is less than $98.2893.60 per square foot; or

(iv) The voluntary Eligible Hard Cost per square foot is less than $109.20404 per square foot, and the Development meets the definition of high cost development.

(C) Applications proposing New Construction or Reconstruction will be eligible for eleven (11) points if one of the following conditions is met:

(i) The voluntary Eligible Building Cost per square foot is less than $81.9078 per square foot;

(ii) The voluntary Eligible Building Cost per square foot is less than $87.3683.20 per square foot, and the Development meets the definition of a high cost development;

(iii) The voluntary Eligible Hard Cost per square foot is less than $103.7498.80 per square foot; or
(iv) The voluntary Eligible Hard Cost per square foot is less than $114.66 per square foot, and the Development meets the definition of high cost development.

(D) Applications proposing New Construction or Reconstruction will be eligible for ten (10) points if one of the following conditions is met:

(i) The voluntary Eligible Building Cost is less than $98.2893.60 per square foot; or

(ii) The voluntary Eligible Hard Cost is less than $120.12144.40 per square foot.

(E) Applications proposing Adaptive Reuse or Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) will be eligible for points if one of the following conditions is met:

(i) Twelve (12) points for Applications which include voluntary Eligible Hard Costs plus acquisition costs included in Eligible Basis that are less than $109.20104 per square foot;

(ii) Twelve (12) points for Applications which include voluntary Eligible Hard Costs plus acquisition costs included in Eligible Basis that are less than $141.96135.20 per square foot, located in an Urban Area, and that qualify for 5 or 7 points under subsection (c)(4) of this section, related to Opportunity Index; or

(iii) Eleven (11) points for Applications which include voluntary Eligible Hard Costs plus acquisition costs included in Eligible Basis that are less than $141.96135.20 per square foot.

(3) Pre-application Participation. (§2306.6704) An Application may qualify to receive up to six (6) points provided a pre-application was submitted by the Pre-Application Final Delivery Date. Applications that meet the requirements described in subparagraphs (A) - (G-H) of this paragraph will qualify for six (6) points:

(A) The total number of Units does not increase by more than ten (10) percent from pre-application to Application;

(B) The designation of the proposed Development as Rural or Urban remains the same;

(C) The proposed Development serves the same Target Population;

(D) The pre-application and Application are participating in the same set-asides (At-Risk, USDA, Non-Profit, and/or Rural);

(E) The Application final score (inclusive of only scoring items reflected on the self score form) does not vary by more than four (4) points from what was reflected in the pre-application self score;

(F) The Development Site at Application is at least in part the Development Site at pre-application, and the census tract number listed at pre-application is the same at Application. The site at full Application may not require notification to any person or entity not required to have been notified at pre-application;

(G) The Development Site does not have the following Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics as described in 10 TAC §10.101(a)(3) that were not disclosed with the pre-application:

(i) The Development Site is located in a census tract (or for any adjacent census tract with a boundary less than 500 feet from the proposed Development Site that is not separated from the Development Site by a natural barrier such as a river or lake, or an intervening restricted area, such as a military installation) or within 1,000 feet of any census tract in an Urban Area and the rate of Part I
violent crime is greater than 18 per 1,000 persons (annually) as reported on neighborhoodscout.com.

(ii) The Development Site is located within the attendance zones of an elementary school, a middle school or a high school that does not have a Met Standard rating by the Texas Education Agency.

(H) The pre-application met all applicable requirements.

(4) Leveraging of Private, State, and Federal Resources. (§2306.6725(a)(3))

(A) An Application may qualify to receive up to three (3) points if at least five (5) percent of the total Units are restricted to serve households at or below 30 percent of AMGI (restrictions elected under other point items may count) and the Housing Tax Credit funding request for the proposed Development meet one of the levels described in clauses (i) - (iv) of this subparagraph:

(i) the Development leverages CDBG Disaster Recovery, HOPE VI, RAD, or Choice Neighborhoods funding and the Housing Tax Credit Funding Request is less than 9 percent of the Total Housing Development Cost (3 points). The Application must include a commitment of such funding; or

(ii) If the Housing Tax Credit funding request is less than eight (8) nine (9) percent of the Total Housing Development Cost (3 points); or

(iii) If the Housing Tax Credit funding request is less than nine (9) ten (10) percent of the Total Housing Development Cost (2 points); or

(iv) If the Housing Tax Credit funding request is less than ten (10) eleven (11) percent of the Total Housing Development Cost (1 point).

(B) The calculation of the percentages stated in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph will be based strictly on the figures listed in the Funding Request and Development Cost Schedule. Should staff issue an Administrative Deficiency that requires a change in either form, then the calculation will be performed again and the score adjusted, as necessary. However, points may not increase based on changes to the Application. In order to be eligible for points, no more than 50 percent of the developer fee can be deferred. Where costs or financing change after completion of underwriting or award (whichever occurs later), the points attributed to an Application under this scoring item will not be reassessed unless there is clear evidence that the information in the Application was intentionally misleading or incorrect.

(5) Extended Affordability. (§§2306.6725(a)(5); 2306.111(g)(3)(C); 2306.185(a)(1) and (c); 2306.6710(e)(2); and 42(m)(1)(B)(ii)(II)) In accordance with the Code, each Development is required to maintain its affordability for a 15-year Compliance Period and, subject to certain exceptions, an additional 15-year Extended Use Period. Development Owners that agree to extend the Affordability Period for a Development to thirty-five (35) years total may receive two (2) points.

(6) Historic Preservation. (§2306.6725(a)(5)) At least seventy-five percent of the residential units shall reside within the Certified Historic Structure and the Development must reasonably be expected to qualify to receive and document receipt of historic tax credits before or by the issuance of Forms 8609. The Application must include either documentation from the Texas Historical Commission that the property is currently a Certified Historic Structure, or documentation determining preliminary eligibility for Certified Historic Structure status (5 points).
(7) Right of First Refusal. (§2306.6725(b)(1); §42(m)(1)(C)(viii)) An Application may qualify to receive (1 point) for Development Owners that will agree to provide a right of first refusal to purchase the Development upon or following the end of the Compliance Period in accordance with Tex Gov’t Code, §2306.6726 and the Department’s rules including §10.407 of this title (relating to Right of First Refusal) and §10.408 of this title (relating to Qualified Contract Requirements).

(8) Funding Request Amount. An Application may qualify to receive one (1) point if the Application reflects a Funding Request of Housing Tax Credits, as identified in the original Application submission, of no more than 100% of the amount available within the subregion or set-aside as determined by the application of the regional allocation formula on or before December 1, 2017.

(f) Factors Affecting Scoring and Eligibility in the 2019 Application Round

Staff may recommend to the Board and the Board may find that an Applicant or Affiliate should be ineligible to compete in the following year’s competitive Application Round or that it should be assigned a penalty deduction in the following year’s competitive Application Round of no more than one (1) points for each submitted Application (Tex. Gov’t Code 2306.6710(b)(2)) because it made a deduction of up to five (5) points for meets the conditions for any of the items listed in paragraphs (1) – (4) of this subsection, unless the person approving the extension (the Executive Director, as applicable) makes an affirmative finding setting forth that the facts which gave rise to the need for the extension were of the deadline was beyond the reasonable control of the Applicant and could not have been reasonably anticipated. Any such matter to be presented for final determination of deduction by the Board must include notice from the Department to the affected party not less than fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduled Board meeting. The Executive Director may, but is not required, to issue a formal notice after disclosure if it is determined that the matter does not warrant point deductions. (§2306.6710(b)(2)) The Executive Director may make a determination that the matter does not warrant point deduction only for paragraph (1).

(1) If the Applicant or Affiliate failed to meet the original Carryover submission or 10 percent Test deadline(s) or has requested an extension of the Carryover submission deadline, or the 10 percent Test deadline (relating to either submission or expenditure).

(2) If the Applicant or Affiliate failed to meet the commitment or expenditure requirements or benchmarks of their Contract with the Department for a HOME or National Housing Trust Fund award from the Department.

(3) If the Developer or Principal of the Applicant violates the Adherence to Obligations.

(4) Any deductions assessed by the Board for paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection based on a Housing Tax Credit Commitment from the preceding Application Round will be attributable to the Applicant or Affiliate of an Application submitted in the Application round referenced above.

(5) If the Applicant or Affiliate fails to meet the deadline to both close financing and provide evidence of an executed construction contract under 10 TAC §11.9(c)(8) related to construction in specific disaster counties.
§11.10. Third Party Request for Administrative Deficiency for Competitive HTC Applications.

The purpose of the Third Party Request for Administrative Deficiency ("RFAD") process is to allow an unrelated person or entity to bring new, material information about an Application to staff’s attention. Such Person may request the staff to consider whether a matter in an Application in which the Person has no involvement should be the subject of an Administrative Deficiency. Staff will consider the request and proceed as it deems appropriate under the applicable rules including, if the Application in question is determined by staff to not be a priority Application, not reviewing the matter further. Requestors must provide, at the time of filing the challenge, information that the requestor offers in support of the deficiency. A copy of the request and supporting information must be provided by the requestor directly to the Applicant at the same time it is provided to the Department. Requestors must provide sufficient credible evidence that, if confirmed, would substantiate the deficiency request. Assertions not accompanied by supporting documentation susceptible to confirmation will not be considered. Staff shall provide to the Board a written report summarizing each third party request for administrative deficiency and the manner in which it was addressed. Interested persons may provide testimony on this report before the Board takes any formal action to accept the report. The results of a RFAD may not be appealed by the Requestor. A scoring notice or termination notice that results from a RFAD may be appealed by the Applicant as further described in §11.902 of this chapter. Information received after the RFAD deadline will not be considered by staff or presented to the Board, unless the information is of such a matter as to warrant a termination notice. When the Board receives a report on the disposition of RFADs it may, for any staff disposition contained in the report, change the conclusion if it believes the change is necessary to bring the result into compliance with applicable laws and rules as construed by the Board; or if based on public testimony, it believes staff’s conclusion should be revisited, it may remand the RFAD to staff for further consideration, which may result in a reaffirmation, reversal, or modification.

(4) If the Developer or Principal of the Applicant has violated and/ or violates the Adherence to Obligations.
Subchapter B – Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions

§ 11.101 Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions.

(a) Site Requirements and Restrictions. The purpose of this section is to identify specific requirements and restrictions related to a Development Site seeking multifamily funding or assistance from the Department.

(1) Floodplain. New Construction or Reconstruction Developments located within a one-hundred (100) year floodplain as identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (“FEMA”) Flood Insurance Rate Maps must develop the site in full compliance with the National Flood Protection Act and all applicable federal and state statutory and regulatory requirements. The Applicant will have to use floodplain maps and comply with regulation as they exist at the time of commencement of construction. Even if not required by such provisions, the Site must be developed so that all finished ground floor elevations are at least one foot above the floodplain and parking and drive areas are no lower than six inches below the floodplain. If there are more stringent local requirements they must also be met. If no FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps are available for the proposed Development Site, flood zone documentation must be provided from the local government with jurisdiction identifying the one-hundred (100) year floodplain. Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments with existing and ongoing federal funding assistance from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) or U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) are exempt from this requirement. However, where existing and ongoing federal assistance is not applicable such Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments will be allowed in the one-hundred (100) year floodplain provided the local government has undertaken and can substantiate sufficient mitigation efforts and such documentation is submitted in the Application or the existing structures meet the requirements that are applicable for New Construction or Reconstruction Developments, as certified to by a Third Party engineer.

(2) Undesirable Site Features. Development Sites within the applicable distance of any of the undesirable features identified in subparagraphs (A) - (K) of this paragraph will be considered ineligible unless it is determined by the Board that information regarding mitigation of the applicable undesirable site feature(s) is sufficient and supports Site eligibility. Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments with ongoing and existing federal assistance from HUD, USDA, or Veterans Affairs (“VA”) may be granted an exemption by the Board; however, depending on the undesirable site feature(s) staff may recommend mitigation still be provided as appropriate. Such an exemption must be requested at the time of or prior to the filing of an Application. Historic Developments that would otherwise qualify under §11.9(e)(6) of this chapter (relating to the Qualified Allocation Plan) may be granted an exemption by the Board, and such exemption must be requested at the time of or prior to the filing of an Application. The distances are to be measured from the nearest boundary of the Development Site to the nearest boundary of the property or easement containing the undesirable feature, unless otherwise noted below. Where there is a local ordinance that regulates the proximity of such undesirable feature to a multifamily development that has smaller distances than the minimum distances noted below, then such smaller distances may be used and documentation such as a copy of the local ordinance identifying such distances relative to the Development Site must be included in the Application. If a state or federal cognizant agency would require a new facility under its jurisdiction to have a minimum separation from housing, the Department will defer to that agency and require the same separation for a new housing facility near an existing regulated or registered facility. In addition to these limitations, a Development Owner must ensure that the proposed Development Site and all construction thereon comply with all applicable state and federal requirements regarding separation for safety purposes. If Department
staff identifies what it believes would constitute an undesirable site feature not listed in this paragraph or covered under subparagraph (K) of this paragraph, staff may request a determination from the Board as to whether such feature is acceptable or not. If the Board determines such feature is not acceptable and that, accordingly, the Site is ineligible, the Application shall be terminated and such determination of Site ineligibility and termination of the Application cannot be appealed.

(A) Development Sites located within 300 feet of junkyards. For purposes of this paragraph, a junkyard shall be defined as stated in Texas Transportation Code, §396.001;

(B) Development Sites located within 300 feet of a solid waste facility or sanitary landfill facility or illegal dumping sites (as such dumping sites are identified by the local municipality);

(C) Development Sites located within 300 feet of a sexually-oriented business. For purposes of this paragraph, a sexually-oriented business shall be defined in Local Government Code, §243.002, or as zoned, licensed and regulated as such by the local municipality;

(D) Development Sites in which the buildings, any of the buildings or designated recreational areas (including pools) are to be located within 100 feet of the nearest line or structural element of any overhead high voltage transmission line, support structures for high voltage transmission lines, or other similar structures. This does not apply to local service electric lines and poles;

(E) Development Sites located within 500 feet of active railroad tracks, measured from the closest rail to the boundary of the Development Site, unless:

   (i) the Applicant provides evidence that the city/community has adopted a Railroad Quiet Zone; or
   (ii) the Applicant has engaged a qualified Third Party to perform a noise assessment and the Applicant commits to perform sound mitigation in accordance with HUD standards as if they were directly applicable to the Development; or
   (iii) the railroad in question is commuter or light rail;

(F) Development Sites located within 500 feet of heavy industry (i.e. facilities that require extensive use of land and machinery, produce high levels of external noise such as manufacturing plants, or maintains fuel storage facilities (excluding gas stations);

(G) Development Sites located within 10 miles of a nuclear plant;

(H) Development Sites in which the buildings are located within the accident potential zones or the runway clear zones of any airport;

(I) Development Sites that contain one or more pipelines, situated underground or aboveground, which carry highly volatile liquids, or Development Sites located adjacent to a pipeline easement (for a pipeline carrying highly volatile liquids), the Application must include a plan for developing near the pipeline(s) and mitigation, if any, in accordance with a report conforming to the Pipelines and Informed Planning Alliance ("PIPA");

(J) Development Sites located within 2 miles of refineries capable of refining more than 100,000 barrels of oil daily; or

(K) Any other Site deemed unacceptable, which would include, without limitation, those with exposure to an environmental factor that may adversely affect the health and safety of the residents or render the Site inappropriate for housing use and which cannot be adequately mitigated. If staff believe that a Site should be deemed unacceptable under this provision it will provide the Applicant with written notice and an opportunity to respond and place the matter before the Board for a determination.
(3) Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics

(A) If the Development Site has any of the characteristics described in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, the Applicant must disclose the presence of such characteristics in the Application submitted to the Department. For Competitive HTC Applications, an Applicant must disclose at pre-application as required by 11.8(b) of this title chapter relating to Pre-Application Requirements. For all other Applications, an Applicant may choose to disclose the presence of such characteristics at the time the pre-application (if applicable) is submitted to the Department. Requests for pre-determinations of Site eligibility prior to pre-application or Application submission will not be binding on full Applications submitted at a later date. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments where the Department is the Issuer, the Applicant may submit the documentation described under subparagraphs (C) and (D) of this paragraph at pre-application or for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments utilizing a local issuer such documentation may be submitted with the request for a pre-determination and staff may perform an assessment of the Development Site to determine Site eligibility. The Applicant understands and should understand that any determination made by staff or the Board at that point in time regarding Site eligibility based on the documentation presented, is preliminary in nature. Should additional information related to any of the undesirable neighborhood characteristics become available while the full Application is under review, or the information by which the original determination was made changes in a way that could affect eligibility, then such information will be re-evaluated and presented to the Board, for its determination. Should staff determine that the Development Site has any of the characteristics described in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph and such characteristics were not disclosed, the Application may be subject to termination. An Applicant’s own non-disclosure is not appealable as such appeal is in direct conflict with certifications made in the Application and within the control of the Applicant. The presence of any characteristics listed in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph will prompt staff to perform an assessment of the Development Site and neighborhood, which may include a site visit, and include, where applicable, a review as described in subparagraph (C) of this paragraph. The assessment of the Development Site and neighborhood will be presented to the Board with a staff recommendation with respect to the eligibility of the Development Site. Factors to be considered by the Board, despite the existence of the undesirable neighborhood characteristics, are identified in subparagraph (E) of this paragraph. Preservation of affordable units alone does not present a compelling reason to support a conclusion of eligibility. Should the Board make a determination that a Development Site is ineligible, the termination of the Application resulting from such Board action is final and not subject to appeal.

(B) The undesirable neighborhood characteristics include those noted in clauses (i) – (iv) of this subparagraph and additional information as applicable to the undesirable neighborhood characteristic disclosed as provided in subparagraphs (C) and (D) of this paragraph must be submitted in the Application. If an Application for a Development Site involves three or more undesirable neighborhood characteristics, in order to be found eligible it will be expected that, in addition to demonstrating satisfactory mitigation for each characteristic disclosed, the Development Site must be located within an area in which there is a concerted plan of revitalization already in place or that private sector economic forces, such as those referred to as gentrification are already underway and indicate a strong likelihood of a reasonably rapid transformation of the area to a more economically vibrant area. In order to be considered as an eligible Site despite the presence of such undesirable neighborhood characteristic, an Applicant must demonstrate actions being taken that would lead a reader, staff and/or the Board to conclude that there is a high probability and reasonable expectation the undesirable characteristic will be sufficiently mitigated or significantly improved within a reasonable time, typically prior to placement in service, and that the undesirable characteristic
factor demonstrates a positive trend and continued improvement. Conclusions for such reasonable expectation may need to be affirmed by an industry professional, as appropriate, and may be dependent upon the severity of the undesirable neighborhood characteristic risk factor disclosed.

(i) The Development Site is located within a census tract that has a poverty rate above 40 percent for individuals (or 55 percent for Developments in regions 11 and 13).

(ii) The Development Site is located in a census tract (or for any adjacent census tract with a boundary less than 500 feet from the proposed Development Site that is not separated from the Development Site by a natural barrier such as a river or lake, or an intervening restricted area, such as a military installation) or within 1,000 feet of any census tract in an Urban Area and the rate of Part I violent crime is greater than 18 per 1,000 persons (annually) as reported on neighborhoodscout.com.

(iii) The Development Site is located within 1,000 feet (measured from nearest boundary of the Site to the nearest boundary of blighted structure) of multiple vacant structures that have fallen into such significant disrepair, overgrowth, and/or vandalism that they would commonly be regarded as blighted or abandoned.

(iv) The Development Site is located within the attendance zones of an elementary school, a middle school or a high school that does not have a Met Standard rating by the Texas Education Agency. Any school in the attendance zone that has not achieved Met Standard for three consecutive years and has failed by at least one point in the most recent year, unless there is a clear trend indicating imminent compliance, shall be unable to mitigate due to the potential for school closure as an administrative remedy pursuant to Chapter 39 of the Texas Education Code. In districts with district-wide enrollment or choice districts an Applicant shall use the rating of the closest elementary, middle and high school, respectively, which may possibly be attended by the tenants in determining whether or not disclosure is required. Schools with an application process for admittance, limited enrollment or other requirements that may prevent a child from attending will not be considered as the closest school or the school which attendance zone contains the site. The applicable school rating will be the 2017 accountability rating assigned by the Texas Education Agency, unless the school is "Not Rated" because it meets the TEA Hurricane Harvey Provision, in which case the 2017 rating will apply. School ratings will be determined by the school number, so that in the case where a new school is formed or named or consolidated with another school but is considered to have the same number that rating will be used. A school that has never been rated by the Texas Education Agency will use the district rating. If a school is configured to serve grades that do not align with the Texas Education Agency's conventions for defining elementary schools (typically grades K-5 or K-6), middle schools (typically grades 6-8 or 7-8) and high schools (typically grades 9-12), the school will be considered to have the lower of the ratings of the schools that would be combined to meet those conventions. In determining the ratings for all three levels of schools, ratings for all grades K-12 must be included, meaning that two or more schools' ratings may be combined. For example, in the case of an elementary school which serves grades K-4 and an intermediate school that serves grades 5-6, the elementary school rating will be the lower of those two schools' ratings. Also, in the case of a 9th grade center and a high school that serves grades 10-12, the high school rating will be considered the lower of those two schools' ratings. Sixth grade centers will be considered as part of the middle school rating. Elderly Developments Sites subject to an Elderly Limitation are considered exempt and does not have to disclose the presence of this characteristic.

(C) Should any of the undesirable neighborhood characteristics described in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph exist, the Applicant must submit the Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics Report that contains the information described in clauses
(i) - (viii) of this subparagraph and mitigation pursuant to subparagraph (D) of this paragraph as such information might be considered to pertain to the undesirable neighborhood characteristic risk factor(s) disclosed so that staff may conduct a further Development Site and neighborhood review.

(i) A determination regarding neighborhood boundaries, which will be based on the review of a combination of natural and manmade physical features (rivers, highways, etc.), apparent changes in land use, the Primary Market Area as defined in the Market Analysis, census tract or municipal boundaries, and information obtained from any Site visits;

(ii) An assessment of general land use in the neighborhood, including comment on the prevalence of residential uses;

(iii) An assessment concerning any of the features reflected in paragraph (2) of this subsection if they are present in the neighborhood, regardless of whether they are within the specified distances referenced in paragraph (2) of this subsection;

(iv) An assessment of the number of existing affordable rental units (generally includes rental properties subject to TDHCA, HUD, or USDA restrictions) in the Primary Market Area, including comment on concentration based on the size of the Primary Market Area;

(v) An assessment of the percentage of households residing in the census tract that have household incomes equal to or greater than the median household income for the MSA or county where the Development Site is located;

(vi) An assessment of the number of market rate multifamily units in the neighborhood and their current rents and levels of occupancy;

(vii) An assessment of school performance for each of the schools in the attendance zone containing the Development that did not achieve a 2017/2018 Met Standard rating, for the previous two academic years (regardless of whether the school Met Standard in those years), as included in the TEA Accountability Rating Report, a discussion of performance indicators and what progress has been made over the prior year, and progress relating to the goals and objectives identified in the campus improvement plan or turnaround plan pursuant to §39.107 of the Texas Education Code in effect. This is not just the submission of the campus improvement plan, but an update to the plan or if such update is not available, information from a school official that speaks to progress made under the plan as further indicated under subparagraph (D)(iv) of this paragraph; and

(viii) Any additional information necessary to complete an assessment of the Development Site, as requested by staff.

(D) Information regarding mitigation of undesirable neighborhood characteristics should be relevant to the undesirable characteristics that are present in the neighborhood. Mitigation must include documentation of efforts underway at the time of Application and may include, but is not limited to, the measures described in clauses (i) - (iv) of this subparagraph. In addition to those measures described herein, documentation from the local municipality may also be submitted stating the Development is consistent with their obligation to affirmatively further fair housing.

(i) Evidence that the poverty rate within the census tract has decreased over the five-year period preceding the date of Application, or that the census tract is contiguous to a census tract with a poverty rate below 20% and there are no physical barriers between them such as highways or rivers which would be reasonably considered as separating or dividing the neighborhood containing the proposed Development from the low poverty area must be submitted. Other mitigation may include, but is not limited to, evidence of sustained job growth and employment opportunities, career training opportunities or job placement services, evidence of gentrification in the area
(including an increase in property values) which may include contiguous census tracts that could conceivably be considered part of the neighborhood containing the proposed Development, and a clear and compelling reason that the Development should be located at the Site.

(ii) Evidence in the form of data and testimony maintained by the most qualified person (as described in subclause (IV) of this clause) that either subclause (I) or (II) can be supported as further described in subclause (III):

(I) the data and evidence establish that there is a reasonable basis to proceed on the belief that the crime data shows, or will show, a favorable trend such that within the next two years Part I violent crime for that location is expected to be less than 18 per 1,000 persons; or crime rates are decreasing

(II) the data and evidence reveal that the data reported on neighborhoodscout.com does not accurately reflect the true nature of what is occurring and what is actually occurring does not rise to the level to cause a concern to the Board over the level of Part I violent crime for the location.

(III) The data and testimony must be in the form of an affidavit from the most qualified person as described in subclause (IV) of this clause. Evidence may be based on violent crime data from the city's police department or county sheriff's department, as applicable based on the location of the Development, for the police beat or patrol area within which the Development Site is located, based on the population of the police beat or patrol area that would yield a crime rate below the threshold indicated in this section or that would yield a crime rate below the threshold indicated in this section by the time the Development is placed into service. The instances of violent crimes within the police beat or patrol area that encompass the census tract, calculated based on the population of the census tract, may also be used. A map plotting all instances of violent crimes within a one-half mile radius of the Development Site may also be submitted, provided that it reflects that the crimes identified are not at a level that would warrant an ongoing concern. The data must include incidents reported during the entire 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 calendar year. Violent crimes reported through the date of Application submission may be requested by staff as part of the assessment performed under subparagraph (C) of this paragraph. A written statement from the most qualified person local police department or local law enforcement agency, including a description of efforts by such enforcement agency addressing issues of crime and the results of their efforts may be provided, and depending on the data provided by the Applicant, such written statement may be required, as determined by staff. It is expected that such written statement would also speak to whether there is a reasonable expectation that based on the efforts underway crime data reflects a favorable downward trend. For Rehabilitation or Reconstruction Developments, to the extent that the high level of criminal activity is concentrated at the Development Site, documentation may be submitted to indicate such issue(s) could be remedied by the proposed Development. Evidence of such remediation should go beyond what would be considered a typical scope of work and should include a security plan, partnerships with external agencies, or other efforts to be implemented that would deter criminal activity. Information on whether such security features have been successful at any of the Applicant’s existing properties should also be submitted, if applicable.

(IV) The most qualified person is the Chief of Police or his/her designee, in the case of a municipality or other unit of local government that has a police department; or the Sheriff or his/her designee, in the case of an area not within a municipality or served by a police department.

(iii) Evidence of mitigation efforts to address blight or abandonment may include new construction in the area already underway that evidences public and/or private investment. Acceptable mitigation to address extensive blight should include a plan, including an adequately funded budget, whereby it is contemplated such blight and/or infestation will have been remediated within no more than two
years from the date of the award and that a responsible party will use the blighted property in a manner that complies with local ordinances. In instances where blight exists but may only include a few properties, mitigation efforts could include partnerships with local agencies to engage in community-wide clean-up efforts, or other efforts to address the overall condition of the neighborhood.

(iv) Evidence of mitigation for all of the schools in the attendance zone that have not achieved Met Standard will include, but is not limited to, jointly satisfying the requirements of subclauses (I) and (II); meeting the requirements of subclause (III) of this clause if the school that has not achieved Met Standard is an elementary school; or meeting the requirements of subclause (IV) of this clause if the school that has not achieved Met Standard is either a middle school or a high school.

(I) documentation from a person authorized to speak on behalf of the school district, a school official with oversight of the school in question that indicates the specific plans in place and current progress towards meeting the goals and performance objectives identified in the Campus Improvement Plan and in restoring the school(s) to an acceptable rating status. The documentation must include actual data from progress already made under such plan(s) to date demonstrating favorable trends and must provide the authorized person’s assessment that the plan(s) and the data support a reasonable conclusion that the school(s) will have an acceptable rating within the following two year period. The authorized person must also make a representation that they have been in regular communication with the Texas Education Agency, which has confirmed to the authorized person that it finds their assessment reasonable. For schools that have not achieved Met Standard for two consecutive years, a letter from the superintendent, member of the school board or a member of the transformation team that has direct experience, knowledge and oversight of the specific school must also be submitted. The letter should, at a minimum and to the extent applicable, identify the efforts that have been undertaken to increase student performance, decrease mobility rate, benchmarks for re-evaluation, increased parental involvement, plans for school expansion, plans to implement early childhood education, and long-term trends that would point toward their achieving Met Standard by the time the Development is placed in service. The letter from such education professional should also speak to why they believe the staff tasked with carrying out the plan will be successful at making progress towards acceptable student performance considering that prior Campus Improvement Plans were unable to do so. Such assessment could include whether the team involved has employed similar strategies at prior schools and were successful.

(II) The school district has confirmed that a school age person at the proposed Development Site may, as a matter of right, attend a school in the District that has a Met Standard rating or better, and the Applicant has committed that if the school district will not provide no-cost transportation to such a school, the Applicant will provide such no-cost transportation until such time as the school(s) in whose primary attendance zone(s) the proposed Development Site is located have all achieved a Met Standard rating or better.

(III) The Applicant provides evidence that it has entered into agreement with the applicable school district or elementary school that has not achieved Met Standard, a Head Start provider with capacity in their charter, or a charter school provider to provide suitable and appropriately designed space on-site for the provision of an early childhood pre-K program at no cost to residents of the proposed Development. Suitable and appropriately designed space includes at a minimum a bathroom and large closet in the classroom space, appropriate design considerations made for the safety and security of the students, and satisfaction of the requirements of the applicable building code for school facilities. Such provision must be made available to the school or provider, as applicable, until the later of the elementary school that had not Met Standard achieving an
acceptable rating, or the school or provider electing to end the agreement. If a charter school or Head Start provider is the provider in the named agreement and that provider becomes defunct or no longer elects to participate in the agreement prior to the achievement of a Met Standard rating, the Development Owner must document their attempt to identify an alternate agreement with one of the other acceptable provider choices. However if the contracted provider is the school district or the school who is lacking the Met Standard rating and they elect to end the agreement prior to the achievement of a Met Standard rating, the Development will not be considered to be in violation of its commitment to the Department.

(IV) The Applicant has committed that until such time that the school(s) that had not Met Standard have achieved an acceptable rating it will operate an after school learning center that offers at a minimum 15 hours of weekly, organized, on-site educational services provided middle and high school children by a dedicated service coordinator or Third-Party entity which includes at a minimum: homework assistance, tutoring, test preparation, assessment of skill deficiencies and provision of assistance in remediation of those deficiencies (e.g., if reading below grade level is identified for a student, tutoring in reading skills is provided), research and writing skills, providing a consistent weekly schedule, provides for the ability to tailor assistance to the age and education levels of those in attendance, and other evidence-based approaches and activities that are designed to In addition to the aforementioned letter from the school official, information should also be provided that addresses the types of services and activities offered at the Development or external partnerships that will facilitate and augment classroom performance. Up to twenty percent of the activities offered may also include other enrichment activities such as music, art, or technology.

(E) In order for the Development Site to be found eligible by the Board, despite the existence of neighborhood risk factors, the Board must find, based on testimony and data from the most appropriate professional with knowledge and details regarding the neighborhood risk factor(s) or based, that the use of Department funds at the Development Site must be consistent with achieving the goals in clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph. Pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, the Board shall document the reasons for a determination of eligibility that conflicts with the recommendations made by staff.

(i) Preservation of existing occupied affordable housing units to ensure they are safe and suitable or the new construction of high quality affordable housing units that are subject to federal rent or income restrictions; and

(ii) Determination that the undesirable risk characteristic factor(s) that has been disclosed are not of such a nature or severity that should render the Development Site ineligible based on the assessment and mitigation provided under subparagraphs (C) and (D) of this paragraph.

(iii) The Applicant has requested a waiver of the presence of undesirable neighborhood characteristics neighborhood risk factors on the basis that the Development is necessary to enable the state, a participating jurisdiction, or an entitlement community to comply with its obligation to affirmatively further fair housing, a HUD approved Conciliation Agreement, or a final and non-appealable court order and such documentation is submitted with the disclosure.

(b) Development Requirements and Restrictions. The purpose of this section is to identify specific restrictions on a proposed Development submitted for multifamily funding by the Department.

(1) Ineligible Developments. A Development shall be ineligible if any of the criteria in subparagraphs (A) or (B) of this paragraph are deemed to apply.

(A) General Ineligibility Criteria.
(i) Developments such as hospitals, nursing homes, trailer parks, dormitories (or other buildings that will be predominantly occupied by students) or other facilities that are usually classified as transient housing (as provided in the Code §42(i)(3)(B)(iii) and (iv) of the Code);

(ii) Any Development with any building(s) with four or more stories that does not include an elevator;

(iii) A Housing Tax Credit Development that provides on-site continual or frequent nursing, medical, or psychiatric services. Refer to IRS Revenue Ruling 98-47 for clarification of assisted living;

(iv) A Development that violates §1.15 of this title (relating to Integrated Housing Rule);

(v) A Development seeking Housing Tax Credits that will not meet the general public use requirement under Treasury Regulation, §1.42-9 or a documented exception thereto; or

(vi) A Development utilizing a Direct Loan that is subject to the Housing and Community Development Act, §104(d) requirements and proposing Rehabilitation or Reconstruction, if the Applicant is not proposing at least the one-for-one replacement of the existing unit mix. Adding additional units would not violate this provision.

(B) Ineligibility of Elderly Developments.

(i) Any Elderly Development of two stories or more that does not include elevator service for any Units or common areas above the ground floor;

(ii) Any Elderly Development with any Units having more than two bedrooms with the exception of up to three employee Units reserved for the use of the manager, maintenance, and/or security officer. These employee Units must be specifically designated as such;

(iii) Any Elderly Development (including Elderly in a Rural Area) proposing more than 70 percent two-bedroom Units.

(2) Development Size Limitations. The minimum Development size is 16 Units. New Construction or Adaptive Reuse Developments in Rural Areas are limited to a maximum of 80 total Units for Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments and Multifamily Loan Developments, and are limited to a maximum of 120 total Units for Tax Exempt Bond Developments. Other Developments do not have a limitation as to the maximum number of Units.

(3) Rehabilitation Costs. Developments involving Rehabilitation must establish a scope of work that will substantially improve the interiors of all units and exterior deferred maintenance and meet the minimum Rehabilitation amounts identified in subparagraphs (A) – (C) of this paragraph. Such amounts must be maintained through the issuance of IRS Forms 8609. For Developments with multiple buildings that have varying placed in service dates, the earliest date will be used for purposes of establishing the minimum Rehabilitation amounts. Applications must meet the minimum standards identified in subparagraphs (A), (B) or (C) of this paragraph, as applicable, or may alternatively meet the standards described in subparagraph (D) of this paragraph, in which case the minimums identified in (A), (B), or (C), are not applicable.

(A) For Housing Tax Credit Developments under the USDA Set-Aside the minimum Rehabilitation will involve at least $25,000 per Unit in Building Costs and Site Work;

(B) For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, less than twenty (20) years old, based on the placed in service date, the minimum Rehabilitation will involve at least $20,000 per Unit in Building Costs and Site Work. If such Developments are greater than twenty (20) years old, based on the placed in
service date, the minimum Rehabilitation will involve at least $30,000 per Unit in Building Costs and
Site Work; or

(C) For all other Developments, the minimum Rehabilitation will involve at least $30,000 per Unit in
Building Costs and Site Work.

(D) In lieu of meeting the minimum thresholds identified in subparagraphs (A), (B) or (C) of this
paragraph an Applicant may elect to design their Rehabilitation such that it satisfied all of the criteria
listed in clauses (i) through (xxix)

(i) Address fully all critical need matters identified in the Property Condition Assessment;
(ii) Ensure that all buildings have stable foundations and effective and compliant drainage;
(iii) All buildings have roofing with a functional life remaining, after rehabilitation, of at least 10
years;
(iv) New HVAC units unless the existing HVAC units are less than 8 years old;
(v) Have electrical systems with at least two electrical outlets per room;
(vi) Remove all popcorn texture on ceilings (unless asbestos encapsulation required);
(vii) Ensure that all wall texture is in like new condition, consistent texture throughout with no
obvious repairs showing;
(viii) Replace all baseboards and door trim;
(ix) Have new entry and interior paneled doors and door hardware;
(x) New energy-efficient windows (low-e on any windows with afternoon sun exposure) with solar
screens;
(xi) All cracked or damaged stair treads replaced;
(xii) New or like-new condition guardrails and exterior railings;
(xiii) Have new kitchen and bathroom cabinets, counters, and fixtures;
(xiv) Have LED lighting;
(xv) Have a new hot water heater for each unit;
(xvi) Have newly resurfaced and striped parking and on property roads that are in compliance with
all accessibility requirements;
(xvii) Have new Energy Star or equivalent appliances;
(xviii) Have low flow plumbing including showers and toilets;
(xix) Have internet connectivity in all units;
(xx) Have new security fencing or fencing brought to like new condition;
(xxi) All outdoor playground equipment is fully covered;
(xxii) All units include mini-blinds on all windows;
(xxiii) Building exteriors to be in like new condition with no obvious repairs;
(xxiv) Attic insulation of at least R38 specification and includes a radiant barrier;
(xxv) New building, parking and site signage;
(xxvi) Hardwired smoke and carbon monoxide detectors;
(xxvii) Fire suppression sprinklers (unless structurally not possible);
(xxviii) Exterior lighting that illuminates all parking and walkway areas; and

(4) Mandatory Development Amenities. (§2306.187) New Construction, Reconstruction or
Adaptive Reuse Units must include all of the amenities in subparagraphs (A) - (MN) of this
paragraph. Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments must provide the amenities in
subparagraphs (D) - (MN) of this paragraph unless stated otherwise. Supportive Housing
Developments are not required to provide the amenities in subparagraph (B), (E), (F), (G), (I), (L),
or (M) of this paragraph; however, access must be provided to a comparable amenity in a common
area. All amenities listed below must be at no charge to the tenants/residents. Tenants/Residents must be provided written notice of the applicable required amenities for the Development. The Board may waive one or more of the requirements of this paragraph for Developments that will include Historic Tax Credits, with evidence that the amenity has not be approved by the Texas Historical Commission.

(A) All bedrooms, the dining room and living room in Units must be wired with current cabling technology for data and phone;
(B) Laundry connections;
(C) Exhaust/vent fans (vented to the outside) in the bathrooms;
(D) Screens on all operable windows;
(E) Disposal and Energy-Star rated dishwasher (not required for USDA; Rehabilitation Developments exempt from dishwasher if one was not originally in the Unit);
(F) Energy-Star rated refrigerator;
(G) Oven/Range;
(H) Blinds or window coverings for all windows;
(I) At least one Energy-Star rated ceiling fan per Unit;
(J) Energy-Star rated lighting in all Units which may must include compact fluorescent or LED light bulbs;
(K) Plumbing fixtures must meet performance standards of Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 372;
(L) All areas of the Unit (excluding exterior storage space on an outdoor patio/balcony) must have heating and air-conditioning;
(M) Adequate parking spaces consistent with local code, unless there is no local code, in which case the requirement would be one and a half (1.5) spaces per Unit for non-Elderly Developments and one (1) space per Unit for Elderly Developments. The minimum number of required spaces must be available to the tenants at no cost. If parking requirements under local code rely on car sharing or similar arrangements, the LURA will require the Owner to provide the service at no cost to the tenants throughout its term;
(N) Energy-Star rated windows;

(5) Common Amenities.

(A) All Developments must include sufficient common amenities as described in subparagraph (C) of this paragraph to qualify for at least the minimum number of points required in accordance with clauses (i)-(vi) of this subparagraph.
(i) Developments with 16 to 40 Units must qualify for four (4) points;
(ii) Developments with 41 to 76 Units must qualify for seven (7) points;
(iii) Developments with 77 to 99 Units must qualify for ten (10) points;
(iv) Developments with 100 to 149 Units must qualify for fourteen (14) points;
(v) Developments with 150 to 199 Units must qualify for eighteen (18) points; or
(vi) Developments with 200 or more Units must qualify for twenty-two (22) points.

(B) These points are not associated with any selection criteria points. The amenities must be for the benefit of all tenants/Residents and made available throughout normal business hours and maintained throughout the Affordability Period. Tenants/Residents must be provided written notice of the elections made by the Development Owner. If fees or deposits in addition to rent are charged for amenities, then the amenity may not be included among those provided to satisfy the requirement. All amenities must meet all applicable accessibility standards, including those adopted by the Department, and where a specific space or size requirement for a listed amenity is not specified then the amenity must be reasonably adequate based on the Development size. Applications for non-contiguous scattered site housing, excluding non-contiguous single family sites, will have the test applied based on the number of Units per individual site and the amenities selected must be distributed proportionately across all sites. In the case of additional phases of a Development any amenities that are anticipated to be shared with the first phase development cannot be claimed for purposes of meeting this requirement for the second phase. The second phase must include enough points to meet this requirement that are provided on the Development Site. For example, if a swimming pool exists on the phase one property and it is anticipated that the second phase tenants will be allowed to use it, the swimming pool cannot be claimed for points for purposes of this requirement for the second phase Development. All amenities must be available to all units via an accessible route.

(C) The common amenities and respective point values are set out in clauses (i) - (xxxiv) of this subparagraph, which are grouped primarily for organizational purposes. Applicants are not required to select a specific number of amenities from each section. An Applicant can only count an amenity once; therefore combined functions (a library which is part of a community room) will only qualify for points under one category:

(i) Community Space for Resident Supportive Services

(I) Furnished and staffed Children’s Activity Center that must have age appropriate furnishings and equipment. Appropriate levels of staffing must be provided during after-school hours and during school vacations (3 points);

(II) Multifunctional learning and care center(s) or conference room(s) with the appropriate furnishings to deliver the Resident Supportive Services pertaining to classes or care for adults and/or children and selected by the Development Owner. The room(s) devoted to meeting this requirement must equal 15 square feet times the total number of Units, but need not exceed 2,000 square feet in total. It must be separate from any other community space but may include a full kitchen. The room(s) must include storage space, such as closets and/or cabinetry (4 points);

(II) Service provider office in addition to leasing offices (1 point);

(ii) Safety

(I) Controlled gate access for entrance and exit areas, intended to provide access that is limited to the Development’s tenancy (2 points);

(II) Secured Entry (applicable only if all Unit entries are within the building’s interior) (1 point);

(III) Twenty-four hour, seven days a week monitored camera/security system in each building. Monitoring may be on-site or off-site (23 points);
(IV) Twenty-four hour, seven days a week recorded camera / security system in each building (1 point);

(V) The provision of a courtesy patrol service that, at a minimum, answers after-hour resident phone calls regarding noise and crime concerns or apartment rules violations and that can dispatch to the apartment community a courtesy patrol officer in a timely manner (3 points);

(iii) Health / Fitness / Play

(I) Accessible walking/jogging path, equivalent to the perimeter of the Development or a length that reasonably achieves the same result, separate from a sidewalk and in addition to required accessible routes to Units or other amenities (1 point);

(II) Furnished fitness center. Equipped with a variety of fitness equipment that includes at least one of the following for every 40 Units: stationary bicycle, elliptical trainer, treadmill, rowing machine, universal gym, multi-functional weight bench, stair-climber, dumbbell set, or other similar equipment. Equipment shall be commercial use grade or quality. Fitness center must be located indoors or be a designated room with climate control. (2 points and allow for after-hours access. (1 point);

(III) Furnished fitness center. Equipped with a variety of fitness equipment that includes at least one of the following for every 20 Units: stationary bicycle, elliptical trainer, treadmill, rowing machine, universal gym, multi-functional weight bench, stair-climber, dumbbell set, or other similar equipment. Equipment shall be commercial use grade or quality. Fitness center must be located indoors or be a designated room with climate control and allow for after-hours access. (2 points);

IV) One Children's Playscape Equipped for 5 to 12 year olds, or one Tot Lot (2 points). Must be covered with a shade canopy or awning, intended to keep equipment cool, provide shade and ultraviolet protection. Can only select this item if clause (xxIV) of this subparagraph is not selected; or

(IV) Two Children's Playscapes Equipped for 5 to 12 year olds, two Tot Lots, or one of each (4 points). Must be covered with a shade canopy or awning, intended to keep equipment cool, provide shade and ultraviolet protection. Can only select this item if clause (xxIV) of this subparagraph is not selected; (V) Swimming pool (3 points);

(V) Swimming pool (3 points);

(VI) Horseshoe pit; putting green; shuffleboard court; pool table; or ping pong table in a dedicated location accessible to all residents to play such games (1 point);

(VII) Swimming pool (3 points);

(VIII) Splash pad/water feature play area (1 point);

(VIIIX) Sport Court (Tennis, Basketball or Volleyball) (2 points);

(iv) Design / Landscaping

(I) Full perimeter fencing that includes parking areas and all amenities (excludes guest or general public parking areas); (2 points);

(II) Enclosed community sun porch or covered community porch/patio (1 point);

(III) Dog Park area that is fully enclosed (the perimeter fencing may be used for part of the enclosure) and intended for tenant owned dogs to run off leash (requires that the Development allow dogs) (1 point);

(IV) Shaded rooftop or structural viewing deck of at least 500 square feet (2 points);

(V) Porte-cochere (1 point);
(VI) Lighted pathways along all accessible routes (1 point);
(VII) a resident-run community garden with annual soil preparation and mulch provided by the Owner and access to water (which may be subject to local water usage restrictions) (1 point);
(VII) Green Building Features. Points under this item are intended to promote energy and water conservation, operational savings and sustainable building practices. Points may be selected from only one of three categories: Enterprise Green Communities, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), and ICC 700 National Green Building Standard. A Development may qualify for no more than two (2) points total under this clause.
(a) Enterprise Green Communities. The Development must incorporate all mandatory and optional items applicable to the construction type (i.e. New Construction, Rehabilitation, etc.) as provided in the most recent version of the Enterprise Green Communities Criteria found at http://www.greencommunitiesonline.org.
(b) LEED. The Development must incorporate, at a minimum, all of the applicable criteria necessary to obtain a LEED Certification, regardless of the rating level achieved (i.e., Certified, Silver, Gold or Platinum).
(c) ICC 700 National Green Building Standard. The Development must incorporate, at a minimum, all of the applicable criteria necessary to obtain a NAHB Green Certification, regardless of the rating level achieved (i.e. Bronze, Silver, Gold, or Emerald).

(v) Community Resources
(I) Gazebo or covered pavilion w/ sitting area (seating must be provided) (1 point);
(II) Community laundry room with at least one washer and dryer for every 40 Units (32 points);
(III) Barbecue grill and picnic table with at least one of each for every 50 Units (1 point). Grill must be permanently installed (no portable grills);
(IV) Equipped business/computer center. Must be equipped with 1 computer for every 40 Units (maximum of 5 computers needed) loaded with basic applications/programs to enable email/workstations and seating internet access, word processing, Excel, etc., 1 laser printer per computer lab and at least one scanner which may be integrated with the printer, and either 2 desktop computers or laptops available to check-out upon request (2 points);
(V) Furnished Community room (2 points);
(VI) Library with an accessible sitting area (separate from the community room) (1 point);
(VIII) Regularly staffed service provider office in addition to leasing offices (3 points);
IX(VII) Activity Room stocked with supplies (Arts and Crafts, board games, etc.) (2 points);
(X) Horseshoe pit; putting green; shuffleboard court; pool table; or video game console(s) with a variety of games and a dedicated location accessible to all tenants to play such games (1 point);
XI(VIII) Community Dining Room with full or warming kitchen furnished with adequate tables and seating (3 points);
XIIIX) Community Theater Room equipped with a 52 inch or larger screen or projection with surround sound equipment; DVD player or a streaming service at no cost to tenants/residents; and theater seating (3 points);
(XIII) High-speed Wi-Fi of 10 Mbps download speed or more (with coverage throughout the clubhouse and/or community building) (1 point);
(XIV) High-speed Wi-Fi of 10 Mbps download speed or more with coverage throughout the Development (2 points);
(XII) Bicycle parking that allows for, at a minimum, 1 bicycle for every 5 Units, within reasonable proximity to each residential building that allows for bicycles to be secured with lock (lock not required to be provided to tenant) (1 point);

(6) Unit Requirements.

(A) Unit Sizes. Developments proposing New Construction or Reconstruction will be required to meet the minimum sizes of Units as provided in clauses (i) - (v) of this subparagraph. These minimum requirements are not associated with any selection criteria. Developments proposing Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) or Supportive Housing Developments will not be subject to the requirements of this subparagraph.

(i) five hundred (500) square feet for an Efficiency Unit;
(ii) six hundred (600) square feet for a one Bedroom Unit;
(iii) eight hundred (800) square feet for a two Bedroom Unit;
(iv) one thousand (1,000) square feet for a three Bedroom Unit; and
(v) one thousand, two-hundred (1,200) square feet for a four Bedroom Unit.

(B) Unit and Development Construction Features. Housing Tax Credit Applicants may select amenities for the score of an Application under this section, but must maintain the points associated with those amenities by maintaining the amenity selected or providing substitute amenities with equal or higher point values. Tax-Exempt Bond Developments must include enough amenities to meet a minimum of seven (7) nine (9) points. Direct Loan Applications not layered with Housing Tax Credits must include enough amenities to meet a minimum of four (4) points. The amenity shall be for every Unit at no extra charge to the tenant. The points selected at Application and corresponding list of amenities will be required to be identified in the LURA, and the points selected at Application must be maintained throughout the Affordability Period. Applications involving scattered site Developments must have a specific amenity located within each Unit to count for points. Rehabilitation Developments will start with a base score of three (3) points and Supportive Housing Developments will start with a base score of five (5) points.

(i) Unit Features

(I) Covered entries (0.5 point);
(II) Nine foot ceilings in living room and all bedrooms (at minimum) (0.5 point);
(III) Microwave ovens (0.5 point);
(IV) Self-cleaning or continuous cleaning ovens (0.5 point);
(V) Refrigerator with icemaker (0.5 point);
(VI) Storage room or closet, of approximately 9 square feet or greater, separate from and in addition to bedroom, entryway or linen closets and which does not need to be in the Unit but must be on the property site (0.5 point);
(VII) Energy-Star qualified laundry equipment (washers and dryers) for each individual Unit; must be front loading washer and dryer in required accessible Units (2 points);
(VIII) Covered patios or covered balconies (0.5 point);

(IX) High Speed Internet service to all Units (can be wired or wireless; required equipment for either must be provided) (1 point);

(X) Built-in (recessed into the wall) shelving unit (0.5 point);

(XI) Recessed or track LED lighting or LED lighting fixtures in kitchen and living areas (1 point);

(XII) Breakfast Bar (a space, generally between the kitchen and dining area, that includes an area for seating although actual seating such as bar stools does not have to be provided) (0.5 point);

(XIII) Walk-in closet in master or at least one bedroom (0.5 point);

(XIV) Ceiling fans in all bedrooms (0.5 point);

(XV) 48” upper kitchen cabinets (1 point);

(XVI) Kitchen island (0.5 point);

(XVII) Kitchen pantry with shelving (may include the washer/dryer unit for Rehabilitation Developments only) (0.5 point);

(XVIII) EPA WaterSense or equivalent qualified toilets in all bathrooms (0.5 point);

(XIX) EPA WaterSense or equivalent qualified showerheads and faucets in all bathrooms (0.5 point);

(XX) Natural stone or quartz countertops in kitchen and bath (1 point);

(XXI) Double vanity in at least one bathroom (0.5 point);

(XXII) Hard floor surfaces in over 50% of unit NRA (0.5 point).

(ii) Development Construction Features

(I) Covered parking (may be garages or carports, attached or freestanding) and include at least one covered space per Unit (1.5 points);

(II) 14.5 SEER HVAC (or greater) or for Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) where such systems are not being replaced as part of the scope of work, a radiant barrier in the attic is provided (1.5 points);

(III) Thirty (30) year roof (0.5 point);

(IV) Greater than 30 percent stucco or masonry (includes stone, cultured stone, and brick but excludes cementitious and metal siding) on all building exteriors; the percentage calculation may exclude exterior glass entirely (2 points);

(V) Electric Vehicle Charging Station (0.5 points); and

(VI) An Impact Isolation Class (“IIC”) rating of at least 55 and a Sound Transmission Class (“STC”) rating of 60 or higher in all Units, as certified by the architect or engineer of record (3 points)

(VII) Green Building Features. Points under this item are intended to promote energy and water conservation, operational savings and sustainable building practices. Points may be selected from only one of three categories: Enterprise Green Communities, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), and ICC 700 National Green Building Standard. A Development may qualify for no more than four (4) points total under this subclause. If the Development involves scattered sites, there must be green building features incorporated into each site in order to qualify for these points.
(-a-) Enterprise Green Communities. The Development must incorporate all mandatory and optional items applicable to the construction type (i.e. New Construction, Rehabilitation, etc.) as provided in the most recent version of the Enterprise Green Communities Criteria found at http://www.greencommunitiesonline.org.

(-b-) LEED. The Development must incorporate, at a minimum, all of the applicable criteria necessary to obtain a LEED Certification, regardless of the rating level achieved (i.e., Certified, Silver, Gold or Platinum).

(-c-) ICC 700 National Green Building Standard. The Development must incorporate, at a minimum, all of the applicable criteria necessary to obtain a NAHB Green Certification, regardless of the rating level achieved (i.e. Bronze, Silver, Gold, or Emerald).

**Tenant(7) Resident Supportive Services.** The supportive services include those listed in subparagraphs (A) - (ZE) of this paragraph, which are grouped primarily for organizational purposes. Applicants are not required to select a specific number of services from each section. Tax Exempt Bond Developments must select a minimum of eight (8) points; Direct Loan Applications not layered with Housing Tax Credits must include enough services to meet a minimum of four (4) points. The points selected and complete list of supportive services will be included in the LURA and the timeframe by which services are offered must be in accordance with §10.619 of this chapter (relating to Monitoring for Social Services) and maintained throughout the Affordability Period. The Owner may change, from time to time, the services offered; however, the overall points as selected at Application must remain the same. The services provided should be those that will directly benefit the Target Population of the Development. Tenants must be provided written notice of the elections made by the Development Owner. No fees may be charged to the tenants for any of the services, there must be adequate space for the intended services and services offered should be accessible to all (e.g., exercises classes must be offered in a manner that would enable a person with a disability to participate). Services must be provided on-site or transportation to those off-site services identified on the list must be provided. The same service may not be used for more than one scoring item. These services are intended to be provided by a qualified and reputable provider in the specified industry such that the experience and background of the provider demonstrates sufficient knowledge to be providing the service. In general, on-site leasing staff or property maintenance staff would not be considered a qualified provider. Where applicable, the services must be documented by a written agreement with the provider. Unless otherwise noted in a particular clause, courses and services must be offered by an onsite instructor(s).

**(A) Transportation Supportive Services.**

(i) daily transportation such as bus passes, cab vouchers, specialized van on-site (4 points); (ii) shuttle, at least three days a week, to a grocery store and pharmacy and/or a major, big-box retailer that includes a grocery store and pharmacy, OR a daily shuttle, during the school year, to and from nearby schools not served by a school bus system for children who live at the Development (3.5 points);

(ii) monthly transportation to community/social events such as mall trips, community theatre, bowling, organized tours, etc. (1 point);

**(B) Children Supportive Services**

(i) 12 hours of weekly, organized youth programs, on-site services provided to K-12 children by a dedicated service coordinator or other third-party entity. Services include after-school and summer care and tutoring, recreational activities such as games, movies or crafts offered by, mentor opportunities, test preparation, and similar activities that promote the Development (1 point);
(ii) scholastic tutoring (shall include daily (Monday – Thursday) homework help or other focus on academics) betterment and growth of children and young adults (3.5 points);

(C) Adult Supportive Services

(i) weekday 4 hours of weekly, organized, on-site classes provided to an adult audience by persons skilled or trained in the subject matter being presented, such as character building program (shall include at least on a monthly basis a curriculum-based character building presentation on relevant topics, for example teen dating violence, drug prevention, bullying, teambuilding, internet/social media dangers, stranger danger, etc.) (2 points);

(ii) programs, English as a second language classes (shall include an instructor providing on-site coursework and exam) (1 point);

(iii) quarterly financial planning/literacy courses (i.e. homebuyer, health education, credit counseling, investing advice, retirement plans, etc.). Courses must be offered through an on-site instructor, a CD or online course is not acceptable (1 point);

(iv) courses, certification courses, GED preparation classes (shall include an instructor providing on-site coursework, resume and interview preparatory classes, general presentations about community services and exam) (2 points), resources, and any other course, class, or presentation that may equip residents with new skills that they may wish to develop (3.5 points);

(v) annual income tax preparation (offered by an income tax prep service) or IRS-certified VITA (Volunteer Income Tax Assistance) program (offered by a qualified individual) that also emphasizes how to claim the Earned Income Tax Credit (1 point);

(vi) contracted career training and placement partnerships with local workforce offices, culinary programs, or vocational counseling services; also resident training programs that train and hire residents for job opportunities inside the development in areas like leasing, tenant services, maintenance, landscaping, or food and beverage operation (2 points);

(vii) external partnerships for provision of weekly substance abuse meetings at the Development Site (2 points)

(D) Health Supportive Services

(i) Food pantry consisting of an assortment of non-perishable food items and common household items (i.e. laundry detergent, toiletries, etc.) accessible to residents at least on a monthly basis or upon request by a tenant/resident. While it is possible that transportation may be provided to a local food bank to meet the requirement of this tenant/resident service, the tenant/resident must not be required to pay for the items they receive at the food bank (1 point, 2 points);

(ii) annual health fair provided by a health care professional (1 point);

(iii) quarterly health and nutritional courses (1 point);

(iv) weekly exercise classes (offered at times when most residents would be likely to attend) (2 points);

(v) contracted onsite occupational or physical therapy services for Elderly Developments or Developments where the service is provided for Persons with Disabilities and documentation to that effect can be provided for monitoring purposes (2 points);

(E) Community Supportive Services
(i) partnership with local law enforcement to provide quarterly on-site social and interactive activities intended to foster relationships with residents (such activities could include playing sports, having a cook-out, swimming, card games, etc.) (3 points);

(ii) Notary Services during regular business hours ($2306.6710(b)(3)) (1 point);

(iii) twice monthly arts, crafts, and other recreational activities (e.g. Book Clubs and creative writing classes) (2 points);

(iv) twice monthly on-site social events (i.e. potluck dinners, game night, sing-a-longs, movie nights, birthday parties, holiday celebrations, etc.) (1 point);

(v) specific case management services offered by a qualified Owner or Developer, qualified provider or through external, contracted parties for seniors, Persons with Disabilities or Supportive Housing (2 points);

(vi) weekly home chore services (such as valet trash removal, assistance with recycling, furniture movement, etc., and quarterly preventative maintenance including light bulb replacement) for Elderly Developments or Developments where the service is provided for Persons with Disabilities and documentation to that effect can be provided for monitoring purposes (2 points);

(vii) any of the programs described under Title IV-A of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. §§601, et seq.) which enables children to be cared for in their homes or the homes of relatives; ends the dependence of needy families on government benefits by promoting job preparation, work and marriage; prevents and reduces the incidence of unplanned pregnancies; and encourages the formation and maintenance of two-parent families (1 point);

(viii) a full-time resident services coordinator with a dedicated office space at the Development (2 points);

(ix) a resident-run community garden or a contract with annual soil preparation and mulch provided by the Owner and access to water (1 point); and

(x) Development Sites located within a one mile radius of one of the following can also qualify for one (1) point provided they also have a referral process in place and provide transportation to and from the facility:

(I) Facility for treatment equivalent of alcohol and/or drug dependency;

(II) Facility for treatment of PTSD and other significant psychiatric conditions;

(III) Facility providing therapeutic and/or rehabilitative services relating to mobility, sight, speech, cognitive, or hearing impairments, or at the Development (2 points);

(IV) Facility providing medical and/or psychological and/or psychiatric assistance for persons of limited financial means.

(ix) provision, by either the Development Owner or a community partner, of an education tuition- or savings-match program or scholarships to residents who may attend college (2 points).

(8) Development Accessibility Requirements. All Developments must meet all specifications and accessibility requirements as identified in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph and any other applicable state or federal rules and requirements. The accessibility requirements are further identified in the Certification of Development Owner as provided in the Application.
(A) The Development shall comply with the accessibility requirements under Federal law and as further defined in Chapter 1, Subchapter B of this title (relating to Accessibility Requirements). (§§2306.6722; 2306.6730)

(B) Regardless of building type, all Units accessed by the ground floor or by elevator (“affected units”) must comply with the visitability requirements in clauses (i) – (iii) of this subparagraph. Design specifications for each item must comply with the standards of the Fair Housing Act Design Manual. Buildings occupied for residential use on or before March 13, 1991 are exempt from this requirement. If the townhome Units of a Rehabilitation Development do not have a bathroom on the ground floor, the Applicant will not be required to add a bathroom to meet the requirements of 10 TAC §11.101(b)(8)(B)(iii).

(i) All common use facilities must be in compliance with the Fair Housing Design Act Manual;
(ii) To the extent required by the Fair Housing Design Act Manual, there must be an accessible or exempt route from common use facilities to the affected units;
(iii) Each affected unit must include the features in subclauses (a)–(e)–(V) of this clause.

(I) at least one zero-step, accessible entrance;
(II) at least one bathroom or half-bath with toilet and sink on the entry level. The layout of this bathroom or half-bath must comply with one of the specifications set forth in the Fair Housing Act Design Manual;
(III) the bathroom or half-bath must have the appropriate blocking relative to the toilet for the later installation of a grab bar, if ever requested by the tenant of that Unit;
(IV) there must be an accessible route from the entrance to the bathroom or half-bath, and the entrance and bathroom must provide usable width; and
(V) light switches, electrical outlets, and thermostats on the entry level must be at accessible heights.

(C) The Development Owner is and will remain in compliance with state and federal laws, including but not limited to, fair housing laws, including Chapter 301, Property Code, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.), the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.); the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§2000a et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. §§12101 et seq.); the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. §§701 et seq.); Fair Housing Accessibility; the Texas Fair Housing Act; and that the Development is designed consistent with the Fair Housing Act Design Manual produced by HUD, and the Texas Accessibility Standards. (§2306.257; §2306.6705(7))

(D) All Applications proposing Rehabilitation (including Reconstruction) will be treated as substantial alteration, in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter B of this title (relating to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Fair Housing Act). (F) Alternat.

(E) For all Developments other than Direct Loan Developments, for the purposes of determining the appropriate distribution of accessible Units across Unit Types, only the number of bedrooms and full bathrooms will be used to define the Unit Type, but accessible Units must have an equal or greater square footage than the square footage offered in the smallest non-accessible Unit with the same number of bedrooms and full bathrooms. For Direct Loan Developments, for purposes of determining the appropriate distribution of accessible Units across Unit Types, the definition of Unit Type will be used.

(F) Alternative methods of calculating the number of accessible Units required in a Development must be approved by the Department prior to award or allocation.
§ 11.201. Procedural Requirements for Application Submission. This subchapter establishes the procedural requirements for Application submission. Only one Application may be submitted for a Development Site in an Application Round. While the Application Acceptance Period is open or prior to the Application deadline, an Applicant may withdraw an Application and subsequently file a new Application utilizing the original pre-application fee (as applicable) that was paid as long as no substantive evaluation was performed by the Department and the re-submitted Application relates to the same Development Site, consistent with §11.9(e)(3) regarding pre-application Site changes. Applicants are subject to the schedule of fees as set forth in §10.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule). When providing a pre-application, Application (or notices thereof), or other materials to a state representative, local governmental body, Neighborhood Organization, or anyone else to secure support or approval that may affect the Applicant’s competitive posture, an Applicant must disclose that in accordance with the Department’s rules aspects of the Development may not yet have been determined or selected or may be subject to change, such as changes in the amenities ultimately selected and provided.

(I) General Requirements.

(A) An Applicant requesting funding from the Department must submit an Application in order to be considered for an award. An Application must be complete (including all required exhibits and supporting materials) and submitted by the required program deadline. If an Application, including the corresponding Application fee as described in §10.901 of this chapter, is not submitted to the Department on or before the applicable deadline, the Applicant will be deemed not to have made an Application; provided, however, that errors in the calculation of applicable fees may be cured via an Administrative Deficiency. The deficiency period for curing fee errors will be three business days and may not be extended. Failure to cure such an error timely will be grounds for termination.

(B) Applying for multifamily funds from the Department is a technical process that must be followed completely. As a result of the competitive nature of some funding sources, an Applicant should proceed on the assumption that deadlines are fixed and firm with respect to both date and time and cannot be waived except where authorized and for truly extraordinary circumstances, such as the occurrence of a significant natural disaster that makes timely adherence impossible. If an Applicant chooses to submit by delivering an item physically to the Department, it is the Applicant’s responsibility to be within the Department’s doors by the appointed deadline. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit the required items well in advance of established deadlines. Applicants must ensure that all documents are legible, properly organized and tabbed, and that materials provided in digital media are fully readable by the Department. Department staff receiving an application may perform a cursory review to see if there are any glaring or readily apparent problems. This is a cursory review and may not be relied upon as confirmation that the Application was complete or in proper form.

(C) The Applicant must timely upload a PDF copy and Excel copy of the complete Application to the Department’s secure web transfer server. Each copy must be in a single file and individually bookmarked as further described in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual. Additional files required for Application submission (e.g., Third Party Reports) outside the Uniform Application must also be uploaded to the secure web transfer server. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to confirm the upload to the Department’s secure web transfer server was successful and to do so in advance of the deadline. Where there are instances of computer problems, mystery glitches, etc. that prevents the Application from being received by the Department prior to the deadline the Application may be terminated.
(D) Applications must include materials addressing each and all of the items enumerated in this chapter and other chapters as applicable. If an Applicant does not believe that a specific item should be applied, the Applicant must include, in its place, a statement identifying the required item, stating that it is not being supplied, and a statement as to why the Applicant does not believe it should be required.

(2) Filing of Application for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments. Applications may be submitted to the Department as described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph. Multiple site applications by the same Applicant for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments will be considered to be one Application as identified in Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 1372. Applications will be required to satisfy the requirements of the Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”) and applicable Department rules Uniform Multifamily Rules in place at the time the Application is received by the Department. Applications that receive a Traditional Carryforward designation after November 15 will not be accepted until after January 2 and will be subject to the QAP and applicable Department rules Uniform Multifamily Rules in place at the time the Application is received by the Department.

(A) Lottery Applications. For Applicants participating in the TBRB lottery for private activity bond volume cap and whereby advance notice is given regarding a Certificate of Reservation, the Applicant must submit a Notice to Submit Lottery Application form to the Department no later than the Notice to Submit Lottery Application Delivery Date described in §1011.4-2(b) of this chapter. The complete Application, accompanied by the Application Fee described in §1011.901 of this chapter must be submitted no later than the Applications Associated with Lottery Delivery Date described in §1011.4-2(b) of this chapter.

(B) Waiting List Applications. Applications designated as Priority 1 or 2 by the TBRB and receiving advance notice of a Certificate of Reservation for private activity bond volume cap must submit parts 1 - 4 of the Application and the Application Fee described in §1011.901 of this chapter prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Reservation by the TBRB. The remaining parts of the Application must be submitted at least seventy-five (75) days prior to the Board meeting at which the decision to issue a Determination Notice would be made. An Application designated as Priority 3 will not be accepted until after the issuer has induced the bonds, with such documentation included in the Application, and is subject to the following additional timeframes:

(i) The Applicant must submit to the Department confirmation that a Certificate of Reservation from the TBRB has been issued not more than thirty (30) days after the Application is received by the Department. The Department may, for good cause, administratively approve an extension for up to an additional thirty (30) days to submit confirmation the Certificate of Reservation has been issued. The Application may be terminated if the Certificate of Reservation is not received within the required timeframe;

(ii) The Department will require at least seventy-five (75) days to review an Application, unless Department staff can complete its evaluation in sufficient time for Board consideration. Applicants should be aware that unusual financing structures, portfolio transactions, and the need to resolve Administrative Deficiencies may require additional time to review and the prioritization of Applications will be subject to the review priority established in paragraph (6) of this subsection;

(iii) Department staff may choose to delay presentation to the Board in instances in which an Applicant is not reasonably expected to close within sixty (60) days of the issuance of a Determination Notice. Applications that receive a Traditional Carryforward Designation will be subject to closing within the same timeframe as would be typical of the Certificate of Reservation. This will be a condition of the award and reflected in the Determination Notice.
(3) Certification of Tax Exempt Bond Applications with New Docket Numbers. Applications that receive an affirmative Board Determination, but for which closing on the bonds does not occur prior to the Certificate of Reservation expiration date, and which subsequently have that docket number withdrawn from the TBRB, may have their Determination Notice reinstated. In the event that the Department's Board has not yet approved the Application, the Application will continue to be processed and ultimately provided to the Board for consideration. The Applicant would need to receive a new docket number from the TBRB and meet the requirements described in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph:

(A) The Application must remain unchanged with regard to: Site Control, total number of Units, unit mix (bedroom sizes and income restrictions), design/site plan documents, financial structure including bond and Housing Tax Credit amounts, development costs, rent schedule, operating expenses, sources and uses, ad valorem tax exemption status, Target Population, scoring criteria (if TDHCA is bond issuer) or TBRB priority status including the effect on the inclusive capture rate. The entities involved in the Applicant entity and Developer cannot change; however, the certification can be submitted even if the lender, syndicator or issuer changes, as long as the financing structure and terms remain unchanged. Should any of the aforementioned items have changed, but in staff’s determination and review such change is determined not to be material or determined not to have an effect on the original underwriting or program review then the Applicant may be allowed to submit the certification and subsequently have the Determination Notice re-issued. Notifications under §1011.203 of this chapter (relating to Public Notifications (§2306.6705(9)) are not required to be reissued. A revised Determination Notice will be issued once notice of the assignment of a new docket number has been provided to the Department and the Department has confirmed that the capture rate and market demand remain acceptable. This certification must be submitted no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the date the TBRB issues the new docket number; or

(B) the new docket number may not be issued more than four (4) months from the date the original application was withdrawn from the TBRB. The new docket number must be from the same program year as the original docket number or, for Applications that receive a new docket number from the program year that is immediately succeeding the program year of the original docket number, the requirements in clauses (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph must be met:

(i) The Applicant must certify that the Development will meet all rules and requirements in effect at the time the new docket number is issued; and

(ii) The Department must determine that the changes in the rules applicable to the program(s) under which the Application was originally awarded are not of a material nature that would necessitate a new Application and that any new forms and clarifications to the Application are of a nature that can be resolved through the Administrative Deficiency process; or

(C) if there are changes to the Application as referenced in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph or if such changes in the rules pursuant to subparagraph (B)(ii) of this paragraph are of a material nature the Applicant will be required to submit a new Application in full, along with the applicable fees, to be reviewed and evaluated in its entirety for a new Determination Notice to be issued. If there is public opposition but the Application remains the same pursuant to subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, a new Application will not be required to be submitted; however, the Application must be presented before the Board for consideration of the re-issuance of the Determination Notice.

(4) Withdrawal of Application. An Applicant may withdraw an Application prior to or after receiving an award of funding by submitting to the Department written notice of the withdrawal. For Tax-Exempt Bond Applications that are under review by staff and there are changes to or a
lapse in the financing structure or there are still aspects of the Application that are in flux, staff may consider the Application withdrawn and will provide the Applicant of notice to that effect. Once it is clear to staff that the various aspects of the Application have been solidified staff may re-instate the Application and allow the updated information, exhibits, etc. to supplement the existing Application, or staff may require an entirely new Application be submitted if it is determined that such changes will necessitate a new review of the Application. This provision does not apply to Direct Loan Applications that may be layered with Tax-Exempt Bonds.

(5) Evaluation Process. Priority Applications, which shall include those Applications believed likely to be competitive, will undergo a program review for compliance with submission requirements and selection criteria, as applicable. In general, Application reviews by the Department shall be prioritized based upon the likelihood that an Application will be competitive for an award based upon the set-aside, self score, received date, or other ranking factors. Thus, non-competitive or lower scoring Applications may never be reviewed. The Director of Multifamily Finance will identify those Applications that will receive a full program review based upon a reasonable assessment of each Application’s priority, but no Application with a competitive ranking shall be skipped or otherwise overlooked. This initial assessment may be a high level assessment, not a full assessment. Applications deemed to be priority Applications may change from time to time. The Real Estate Analysis division shall underwrite Applications that received a full program review and remain competitive to determine financial feasibility and an appropriate funding amount. In making this determination, the Department will use §1011.302 of this chapter (relating to Underwriting Rules and Guidelines) and §13.6 of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule). The Department may have an external party perform all or part of the underwriting evaluation and components thereof to the extent it determines appropriate. The expense of any external underwriting shall be paid by the Applicant prior to the commencement of the aforementioned evaluation pursuant to §1011.901(5) of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals and other Provisions). Applications will undergo a previous participation review in accordance with Chapter 1 Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation) and a Development Site may be evaluated by the Department or its agents through a physical site inspection or site visit, (which may include neighboring areas), independent of or concurrent with a site visit that may be performed in conjunction with §1011.101(a)(3) (relating to Undesirable Neighborhood Characteristics Risk Factors). The Department will, from time to time during the review process, publish an application log which shall include the self-score and any scoring adjustments made by staff. The posting of such scores on the application log may trigger appeal rights and corresponding deadlines pursuant to Tex. Gov’t. Code §2306.6715 and §1011.902 of this chapter (relating to Appeals Process). The Department may also provide a courtesy scoring notice reflecting such score to the Applicant.

(6) Prioritization of Applications under various Programs. This paragraph identifies how ties or other prioritization matters will be handled when dealing with de-concentration requirements, capture rate calculations, and general review priority of Applications submitted under different programs.

(A) De-concentration and Capture Rate. Priority will be established based on the earlier date associated with an Application. The dates that will be used to establish priority are as follows:

(i) For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, the issuance date of the Certificate of Reservation issued by the TBRB; or in instances where there is a Traditional Carryforward Designation associated with an Application the Department will utilize the date the complete HTC Application that is associated with the Traditional Carryforward Designation is submitted to the Department; and

(ii) For all other Developments, the date the Application is received by the Department; and
(iii) Notwithstanding the foregoing, after July 31 of the current program year, a Tax-Exempt Bond Development with a Certificate of Reservation from the TBRB will take precedence over any Housing Tax Credit Application from the current Application Round on the waiting list.

(B) General Review Priority. Review priority for Applications under various multifamily programs will be established based on Department staff’s consideration of any statutory timeframes associated with a program or Application in relation to the volume of Applications being processed. Those with statutory deadlines or more restrictive deadlines will be prioritized for review and processing ahead of those that are not subject to the same constraints. In general, any non-Competitive Housing Tax Credit Applications received during the competitive tax credit round that include a request to be placed on the May, June or July Board agendas will not be prioritized for review or underwriting due to the statutory constraints on the award and allocation of competitive tax credits. Applicants are advised to keep this in consideration when planning the submission of an Application and issuance of the Certificate of Reservation. Should an Applicant submit an Application regardless of this provision, the Department is not obligated to include the Application on the requested Board meeting agenda and the Applicant should be prepared to be placed on a subsequent Board meeting agenda. Moreover, Applications that have undergone a program review and there are threshold, eligibility or other items that remain unresolved, staff may suspend further review and processing of the Application, including underwriting and previous participation reviews, until such time the item(s) has been resolved or there has been a specific and reasonable timeline provided by which the item(s) will be resolved. By way of illustration, if during staff’s review a question has been raised regarding whether the Applicant has demonstrated sufficient site control, such Application will not be prioritized for further review until the matter has been sufficiently resolved to the satisfaction of staff.

(7) Administrative Deficiency Process. The purpose of the Administrative Deficiency process is to allow an Applicant to provide clarification, explanation, or non-material missing information to resolve inconsistencies in the original Application or to assist staff in evaluating the Application. Deficiencies may be Administrative or Material, in either case they will be treated similarly in that Applicants will receive a deficiency notice and have an opportunity to respond. Applicants are encouraged to utilize manuals, frequently asked questions, or other materials produced by staff, as additional guidance in conjunction with the rules to provide appropriate support for each item substantiating a claim or representation, such as claims for points, qualification for set-asides, or meeting of threshold requirements. Applicants are also encouraged to contact staff directly with questions regarding completing parts of the Application. Any Application that staff identifies as having insufficient support information will be directed to cure the matter via the Administrative Deficiency process. Applicants are reminded that this process may not be used to increase a scoring item’s points or to change any aspect of the proposed development, financing structure, or other element of the Application. The sole purpose of the Administrative Deficiency will be to substantiate one or more aspects of the Application to enable an efficient and effective review by staff. Any narrative created by response to the Administrative Deficiency cannot contain new information. Staff will request such information via a deficiency notice. Because the review of an Application occurs in several phases, deficiency notices may be issued during any of these phases. Staff will send the deficiency notice via an e-mail to the Applicant and one other contact party if identified by the Applicant in the Application. The time period for responding to a deficiency notice commences on the first business day following the deficiency notice date. Deficiency notices may be sent to an Applicant prior to or after the end of the Application Acceptance Period and may also be sent in response to reviews on post-award submissions. Responses are required to be submitted electronically as a PDF or multiple PDF files. A review of the response provided by the Applicant may reveal that issues initially identified as an Administrative
Deficiency are actually determined to be beyond the scope of an Administrative Deficiency process, meaning that they in fact implicated matters of a material nature not susceptible to being resolved. Department staff may in good faith provide an Applicant confirmation that an Administrative Deficiency response has been received or that such response is satisfactory. Communications from staff that the response was satisfactory do not establish any entitlement to points, eligibility status, or to any presumption of having fulfilled any requirements. Final determinations regarding the sufficiency of documentation submitted to cure an Administrative Deficiency as well as the distinction between material and non-material missing information are reserved for the Director of Multifamily Finance, Executive Director, and Board.

(A) It is critical that the use of the Administrative Deficiency process not unduly slow the review process, and since the process is intended to clarify or explain matters or obtain at the Department’s request missing information (that should already been in existence prior to Application submission), there is a reasonable expectation that a party responding to an Administrative Deficiency will be able to respond immediately. It is the responsibility of a person who receives an Administrative Deficiency to address the matter fully in a timely manner so that staff has the ability to review the response by the close of business on the date by which resolution must be complete and the Administrative Deficiency fully resolved. Merely submitting materials prior to that time places the responsibility on the responding party that if the materials do not fully resolve the matter there may be adverse consequences such as point deductions or termination.

(B) Administrative Deficiencies for Competitive HTC Applications. Unless an extension has been timely requested and granted, if an Administrative Deficiency is not fully resolved to the satisfaction of the Department by 5:00 p.m. on the fifth business day following the date of the deficiency notice, then (5 points) shall be deducted from the selection criteria score for each additional day the deficiency remains unresolved. If Administrative Deficiencies are not resolved by 5:00 p.m. on the seventh business day following the date of the deficiency notice, then the Application shall be terminated, subject to appeal of staff’s decision regarding the sufficiency of the response. An Applicant may not change or supplement any part of an Application in any manner after the filing deadline or while the Application is under consideration for an award, and may not add any set-asides, increase the requested credit amount, revise the Unit mix (both income levels and Bedroom mixes), or adjust their self-score except in response to a direct request from the Department to do so as a result of an Administrative Deficiency. To the extent that the review of Administrative Deficiency documentation or the imposing of point reductions for late responses alters the score assigned to the Application, such score will be reflected in the updated application log published on the Department’s website.

(C) Administrative Deficiencies for all other Applications or sources of funds. Administrative Deficiencies must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department by 5:00 p.m. on the fifth business day following the date of the deficiency notice. Applications with unresolved deficiencies after 5:00 p.m. on the seventh business day following the date of the deficiency notice will be suspended from further processing and the Applicant will be provided with notice to that effect, until such time the item(s) are sufficiently resolved to the satisfaction of the Department. If, during the period of time when the Application is suspended from review private activity bond volume cap or Direct Loan funds become oversubscribed, the Applicant will be informed that unless the outstanding item(s) are resolved within one business day the Application will be terminated. For purposes of priority under the Direct Loan set-asides, if the outstanding item(s) are resolved within one business day, the date by which the item is submitted shall be the new received date pursuant to
§13.5(c) of this chapter (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule). Applicants should be prepared for additional time needed for completion of staff reviews as described in paragraph (2)(B) of this section.

(8) Limited Priority Reviews. If, after the submission of the Application, an Applicant identifies an error in the Application that could likely be the subject of an Administrative Deficiency, the Applicant may request a limited priority review of the specific and limited issues in need of clarification or correction. The issue may not relate to the score of an Application. This limited priority review may only cover the specific issue and not the entire Application. If the limited priority review results in the identification of an issue that requires correction or clarification, staff will request such through the Administrative Deficiency process as stated in paragraph (7) of this section, if deemed appropriate. A limited priority review is intended to address:

(A) clarification of issues that Department staff would have difficulty identifying due to the omission of information that the Department may have access to only through Applicant disclosure, such as a prior removal from a tax credit transaction or participation in a Development that is not identified in the previous participation portion of the Application; or

(B) technical correction of non-material information that would cause an Application deemed non-competitive to be deemed competitive and, therefore, subject to a staff review. For example, failure to mark the Nonprofit Set-Aside in an Application that otherwise included complete submission of documentation for participation in the Nonprofit Set-Aside.

(9) Challenges to Opposition. Any written statement from a Neighborhood Organization expressing opposition to an Application may be challenged if it is contrary to findings or determinations, including zoning determinations, of a municipality, county, school district, or other local Governmental Entity having jurisdiction or oversight over the finding or determination. If any such comment is challenged, the challenger must declare the basis for the challenge and submit such challenge by the Challenges to Neighborhood Organization Opposition Delivery Date as identified in §10.11.42 of this chapter and no later than May 1, 2018 for Competitive HTC Applications.

The Neighborhood Organization expressing opposition will be given seven (7) calendar days to provide any information related to the issue of whether their assertions are contrary to the findings or determinations of a local Governmental Entity. All such materials and the analysis of the Department's staff will be provided to a fact finder, chosen by the Department, for review and a determination of the issue presented by this subsection. The fact finder will not make determinations as to the accuracy of the statements presented, but only with regard to whether the statements are contrary to findings or determinations of a local Governmental Entity. The fact finder's determination will be final and may not be waived or appealed.

§11.202. Ineligible Applicants and Applications. The purpose of this section is to identify those situations in which an Application or Applicant may be considered ineligible for Department funding and subsequently terminated. Such matters may be brought to the attention of staff by anyone, including members of the general public. If such ineligibility is raised by non-staff members it must be made in writing to the Executive Director and the Applicant and must cite the specific ineligible criteria under paragraph (1) of this section and provide factual evidence to support the claim. Any unsupported claim or claim determined to be untrue may be subject to all remedies available to the Department or Applicant. Staff will make enquiry as it deems appropriate and may send a notice to the Applicant and provide them the opportunity to explain how they believe they or their Application is eligible. Staff will present the matter to the Board, accompanied by staff's recommendation. The Board may take such action as it deems warranted by the facts presented, including any testimony that may be provided, either declining to take action, in which case the Applicant or Application, as applicable, remains eligible, or finding the Applicant is ineligible, or, for
a matter relating to a specific Application, that that Application is ineligible. A Board finding of
ineligibility is final. The items listed in this section include those requirements in Code, §42 of the
Code, Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, and other criteria considered important by the Department,
and does not represent an exhaustive list of ineligibility criteria that may otherwise be identified in
applicable rules or a NOFA specific to the programmatic funding. One or more of the matters
enumerated in paragraph (1) of this section may also serve as a basis for debarment, or the
assessment of administrative penalties, and nothing herein shall limit the Department’s ability to
pursue any such matter.

(1) Applicants. An Applicant may be considered ineligible if any of the criteria in subparagraphs (A) - (MN) of this paragraph apply to those identified on the organizational chart for the Applicant, Developer and Guarantor. An Applicant is ineligible if the Applicant, Developer, or Guarantor:

(A) has been or is barred, suspended, or terminated from participation in procurement in a state or Federal program, including listed in HUD’s System for Award Management (SAM); (§2306.0504)

(B) has been convicted of a state or federal felony crime involving fraud, bribery, theft, misrepresentation of material fact, misappropriation of funds, or other similar criminal offenses within fifteen (15) years preceding the Application submission;

(C) is, at the time of Application, subject to an order in connection with an enforcement or disciplinary action under state or federal securities law or by the NASD; subject to a federal tax lien (other than a contested lien for which provision has been made); or the subject of a proceeding in which a Governmental Entity has issued an order to impose penalties, suspend funding, or take adverse action based on an allegation of financial misconduct or uncured violation of material laws, rules, or other legal requirements governing activities considered relevant by the Governmental Entity;

(D) has materially breached a contract with a public agency, and, if such breach is permitted to be cured under the contract, has been given notice of the breach and a reasonable opportunity to cure, and failed to cure that breach within the time specified in the notice of breach;

(E) has misrepresented to a subcontractor the extent to which the Developer has benefited from contracts or financial assistance that has been awarded by a public agency, including the scope of the Developer’s participation in contracts with the agency, and the amount of financial assistance awarded to the Developer by the agency;

(F) has been found by the Board to be ineligible based on a previous participation review performed in accordance with Chapter 1 Subchapter C of this title;

(G) is delinquent in any loan, fee, or escrow payments to the Department in accordance with the terms of the loan, as amended, or is otherwise in default with any provisions of such loans;

(H) has failed to cure any past due fees owed to the Department within the time frame provided by notice from the Department and at least ten (10) days prior to the Board meeting at which the decision for an award is to be made;

(I) would be prohibited by a state or federal revolving door or other standard of conduct or conflict of interest statute, including Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.6733, or a provision of Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 572, from participating in the Application in the manner and capacity they are participating;

(J) has, without prior approval from the Department, had previous Contracts or Commitments that have been partially or fully deobligated during the twelve (12) months prior to the submission of the Application, and through the date of final allocation due to a failure to meet contractual obligations, and the Person is on notice that such deobligation results in ineligibility under this chapter;
(K) has provided false or misleading documentation or made other intentional or negligent material misrepresentations or omissions in or in connection with an Application (and certifications contained therein), Commitment, or Determination Notice for a Development;

(L) was the owner or Affiliate of the owner of a Department assisted rental development Development for which the federal affordability requirements were prematurely terminated and the affordability requirements have not re-affirmed or Department funds repaid; or

(M) fails to disclose, in the Application, any Principal or any entity or Person in the Development ownership structure who was or is involved as a Principal in any other affordable housing transaction, that has terminated voluntarily or involuntarily within the past ten (10) years or plans to or is negotiating to terminate their relationship with any other affordable housing development. Failure to disclose is grounds for termination. The disclosure must identify the person or persons and development involved, the identity of each other development, and contact information for the other Principals of each such development, a narrative description of the facts and circumstances of the termination or proposed termination, and any appropriate supporting documents. An Application may be referred to the Board for termination based upon factors in the disclosure. Staff shall present a determination to the Board as to a person’s fitness to be involved as a Principal with respect to an Application using the factors described in clauses (i) – (v) of this subparagraph as considerations:

(i) The amount of resources in a Development and the amount of the benefit received from the Development;

(ii) the legal and practical ability to address issues that may have precipitated the termination or proposed termination of the relationship;

(iii) the role of the person in causing or materially contributing to any problems with the success of the development;

(iv) the person’s compliance history, including compliance history on other developments; and

(v) any other facts or circumstances that have a material bearing on the question of the person’s ability to be a compliant and effective participant in their proposed role as described in the Application; and

(N) fails to disclose in the Application any voluntary compliance agreement or similar agreement with any governmental agency that is the result of negotiation regarding noncompliance of any affordable housing Development with any requirements. Any such agreement impacting the proposed Development or any other affordable housing Development controlled by the Applicant must be disclosed.

(2) Applications. An Application shall be ineligible if any of the criteria in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph apply to the Application:

(A) a violation of Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.1113, exists relating to Ex Parte Communication. An ex parte communication occurs when an Applicant or Person representing an Applicant initiates substantive contact (other than permitted social contact) with a board member, or vice versa, in a setting other than a duly posted and convened public meeting, in any manner not specifically permitted by Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.1113(b). Such action is prohibited. For Applicants seeking funding after initial awards have been made, such as waiting list Applicants, the ex parte communication prohibition remains in effect so long as the Application remains eligible for funding. The ex parte provision does not prohibit the Board from participating in social events at which a Person with whom communications are prohibited may, or will be present; provided that no matters related to any Application being considered by the Board may be discussed. An attempted but
unsuccessful prohibited ex parte communication, such as a letter sent to one or more board members but not opened, may be cured by full disclosure in a public meeting, and the Board may reinstate the Application and establish appropriate consequences for cured actions, such as denial of the matters made the subject to the communication.

(B) the Application is submitted after the Application submission deadline (time or date); is missing multiple parts of the Application; or has a Material Deficiency; or

(C) for any Development utilizing Housing Tax Credits or Tax-Exempt Bonds:

(i) at the time of Application or at any time during the two-year period preceding the date the Application Round begins (or for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments any time during the two-year period preceding the date the Application is submitted to the Department), the Applicant or a Related Party is or has been a person covered by Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.6703(a)(1) or §2306.6733;

(ii) the Applicant proposes to replace in less than fifteen (15) years any private activity bond financing of the Development described by the Application, unless the exceptions in Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.6703(a)(2) are met.

§11.203. Public Notifications ($2306.6705(9)). A certification, as provided in the Application, that the Applicant met the requirements and deadlines identified in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this section must be submitted with the Application. For Applications utilizing Competitive Housing Tax Credits, notifications must not be older than three (3) months from the first day of the Application Acceptance Period. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments notifications and proof thereof must not be older than three (3) months prior to the date Parts 5 and 6 of the Application are submitted, and for all other Applications no older than three (3) months prior to the date the Application is submitted. If notifications were made in order to satisfy requirements of pre-application submission (if applicable to the program) for the same Application, then no additional notification is required at Application. However, re-notification is required by all Applicants who have submitted a change from pre-application to Application that reflects a total Unit increase of greater than 10 percent or a 5 percent increase in density (calculated as units per acre) as a result of a change in the size of the Development Site. In addition, should the person holding any position or role described change in elected official occur between the submission of a pre-application and the submission of an Application, Applicants are required to notify the newly elected (or appointed) official of the person no later than the Full Application Delivery Date.

(I) Neighborhood Organization Notifications.

(A) The Applicant must identify and notify all Neighborhood Organizations on record with the county or state as of 30 days prior to the Fullbeginning of the Application Delivery DateAcceptance Period and whose boundaries include the entire proposed Development Site. As used in this section, “on record with the state” means on record with the Secretary of State.

(B) The Applicant must list, in the certification form provided in the pre-application and Application, all Neighborhood Organizations on record with the county or state as of 30 days prior to the Fullbeginning of the Application Delivery DateAcceptance Period and whose boundaries include the proposed Development Site as of the submission of the Application. An Applicant should retain and be prepared to produce evidence of a reasonable search of those records and the search results, including in the scope of the search at least the following terms; name of the neighborhoods/subdivisions, name of the public schools for the Development Site, term ‘homeowner,’ term ‘neighborhood,” names of historically significant nearby areas or features, and colloquially used descriptions of the area (e.g., Fifth Ward, SoCo, EaDo). As referenced, “on record with the county” includes bylaws or articles of incorporation filed with a county and/or a database of neighborhood
organizations, but does not include documents filed in the name of the neighborhood that provide no indication of good standing (e.g., a DBA). As referenced, “on record with the state” means an organization in good standing with the Secretary of State.

(2) Notification Recipients. No later than the date the Application is submitted, notification must be sent to all of the persons or entities identified in subparagraphs (A) - (H) of this paragraph. Developments located in an Extra Territorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) of a city are required to notify both city and county officials. The notifications may be sent by e-mail, fax or mail with return receipt requested or similar tracking mechanism in the format required. A template for the notification is included in the Application Notification Template provided in the Application. Evidence of notification is required in the form of a certification provided in the Application. The Applicant is required to retain proof of delivery in the event it is requested by the Department. Evidence of proof of delivery is demonstrated by a signed receipt for mail or courier delivery and confirmation of receipt by recipient for fax and e-mail. Officials to be notified are those officials in office at the time the Application is submitted. Note that between the time of pre-application (if made) and full Application, such officials may change and the boundaries of their jurisdictions may change. By way of example and not by way of limitation, events such as redistricting may cause changes which will necessitate additional notifications at full Application. Meetings and discussions do not constitute notification. Only a timely and compliant written notification to the correct person constitutes notification.

(A) Neighborhood Organizations on record with the state or county as of 30 days prior to the Full Application Delivery Date whose boundaries include the entire Development Site;

(B) Superintendent of the school district in which the Development Site is located;

(C) Presiding officer of the board of trustees of the school district in which the Development Site is located;

(D) Mayor of the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(E) All elected members of the Governing Body of the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction);

(F) Presiding officer of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located;

(G) All elected members of the Governing Body of the county in which the Development Site is located; and

(H) State Senator and State Representative of the districts whose boundaries include the Development Site.

(3) Contents of Notification.

(A) The notification must include, at a minimum, all information described in clauses (i) - (vii) of this subparagraph.

(i) the Applicant’s name, address, individual contact name, and phone number;

(ii) the Development name, address, city and county;

(iii) a statement indicating the program(s) to which the Applicant is applying with the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs;
(iv) whether the Development proposes New Construction, Reconstruction, Adaptive Reuse or Rehabilitation;

(v) the physical type of Development being proposed (e.g. single family homes, duplex, apartments, high-rise etc.); and

(vii) a statement that aspects of the Development may not yet have been determined or selected or may be subject to change, such as changes in the amenities ultimately selected and provided;

(C) The notification may not contain any false or misleading statements. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the notification may not create the impression that the proposed Development will serve a Target Population exclusively or as a preference unless such targeting or preference is documented in the Application and is or will be in full compliance with all applicable state and federal laws, including state and federal fair housing laws; and

(D) Notifications or any other communications may not contain any statement that violates Department rules, statute, code, or federal requirements.

§11.204. Required Documentation for Application Submission. The purpose of this section is to identify the documentation that is required at the time of Application submission, unless specifically indicated or otherwise required by Department rule. If any of the documentation indicated in this section is not resolved, clarified or corrected to the satisfaction of the Department through either original Application submission or the Administrative Deficiency process, the Application will be terminated. Unless stated otherwise, all documentation identified in this section must not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the close of the Application Acceptance Period or the date of Application submission as applicable to the program. The Application may include, or Department staff may request, documentation or verification of compliance with any requirements related to the eligibility of an Applicant, Application, Development Site, or Development.

(I) Certification, Acknowledgement and Consent of Development Owner. A certification of the information in this subchapter as well as Subchapter B of this chapter must be executed by the Development Owner and addresses the specific requirements associated with the Development. The Person executing the certification is responsible for ensuring all individuals referenced therein are in compliance with the certification and that they have given it with all required authority and with actual knowledge of the matters certified.

(A) The Development will adhere to the Texas Property Code relating to security devices and other applicable requirements for residential tenancies, and will adhere to local building codes or, if no local building codes are in place, then to the most recent version of the International Building Code.

(B) This Application and all materials submitted to the Department constitute records of the Department subject to Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 552. All persons who have a property interest in the Application, along with all plans and third-party reports, must acknowledge that the Department may publish them on the Department’s website, release them in response to a request for public information, and make other use of the information as authorized by law.

(C) All representations, undertakings and commitments made by Applicant in the Application process for Development assistance expressly constitute conditions to any Commitment, Determination Notice, Carryover Allocation, or Direct Loan Commitment for such Development.
which the Department may issue or award, and the violation of any such condition shall be
sufficient cause for the cancellation and rescission of such Commitment, Determination Notice,
Carryover Allocation, or Direct Loan Commitment by the Department. If any such representations,
undertakings and commitments concern or relate to the ongoing features or operation of the
Development, they shall each and all shall be enforceable even if not reflected in the Land Use
Restriction Agreement. All such representations, undertakings and commitments are also
enforceable by the Department and the tenants-residents of the Development, including
enforcement by administrative penalties for failure to perform, in accordance with the Land Use
Restriction Agreement.

(D) The Development Owner has read and understands the Department’s fair housing educational
materials posted on the Department’s website as of the beginning of the Application Acceptance
Period.

(E) The Development Owner agrees to implement a plan to use Historically Underutilized
Businesses (HUB) in the development process consistent with the Historically Underutilized
Business Guidelines for contracting with the State of Texas. The Development Owner will be
required to submit a report of the success of the plan as part of the cost certification documentation,
in order to receive IRS Forms 8609 or, if the Development does not have Housing Tax Credits,
release of retainage.

(F) The Applicant will attempt to ensure that at least 30 percent of the construction and
management businesses with which the Applicant contracts in connection with the Development are
Minority Owned Businesses as further described in Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.6734.

(G) The Development Owner will specifically market to veterans through direct marketing or
contracts with veteran’s organizations. The Development Owner will be required to identify how
they will specifically market to veterans and report to the Department in the annual housing report
on the results of the marketing efforts to veterans. Exceptions to this requirement must be approved
by the Department.

(H) The Development Owner will comply with any and all notices required by the Department.

(I) If the Development has an existing LURA with the Department, the Development Owner will
comply with the existing restrictions.

(2) Applicant Eligibility Certification. A certification of the information in this subchapter as
well as Subchapter B of this chapter must be executed by any individuals required to be listed on the
organizational chart and also identified in subparagraphs (A) – (D) below. The certification must
identify the various criteria relating to eligibility requirements associated with multifamily funding
from the Department, including but not limited to the criteria identified under §10
11.202 of this
chapter (relating to Ineligible Applicants and Applications).

(A) for for-profit corporations, any officer authorized by the board of directors, regardless of title, to
act on behalf of the corporation, including but not limited to the president, vice president, secretary,
treasurer, and all other executive officers, and each stock holder having a 10 percent or more interest
in the corporation, and any individual who has Control with respect to such stock holder;

(B) for non-profit corporations or governmental instrumentalities (such as housing authorities), any
officer authorized by the board, regardless of title, to act on behalf of the corporation, including but
not limited to the president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, and all other executive officers, the
Audit committee chair, the Board chair, and anyone identified as the Executive Director or
equivalent;
(C) for trusts, all beneficiaries that have the legal ability to Control the trust who are not just financial beneficiaries; and

(D) for limited liability companies, all managers, managing members, members having a 10 percent or more interest in the limited liability company, any individual Controlling such members, or any officer authorized to act on behalf of the limited liability company.

(3) Architect Certification Form. The certification, addressing all of the accessibility requirements applicable to the Development Site, must be executed by the Development engineer or accredited architect—after careful review of the Department’s accessibility requirements. (§2306.6722; §2306.6730) The certification must include a statement describing how the accessibility requirements relating to Unit distribution will be met, and certification that they have reviewed and understand the Department’s fair housing educational materials posted on the Department’s website as of the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period. The certification must also include the following statement, “all persons who have a property interest in this plan hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full plan on the Department’s website, release the plan in response to a request for public information, and make other use of the plan as authorized by law.” An acceptable, but not required, form of such statement may be obtained in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual.

(4) Notice, Hearing, and Resolution for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments. In accordance with Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.67071, the following actions must take place with respect to the filing of an Application and any Department awards for a Tax-Exempt Bond Development.

(A) Prior to submission of an Application to the Department, an Applicant must provide notice of the intent to file the Application in accordance with §1011.203 of this chapter (relating to Public Notifications (§2306.6705(9))).

(B) The Governing Body of a municipality must hold a hearing if the Development Site is located within a municipality or the extra territorial jurisdiction (“ETJ”) of a municipality. The Governing Body of a county must hold a hearing unless the Development Site is located within a municipality. For Development Sites located in an ETJ the county and municipality must hold hearings; however, the county and municipality may arrange for a joint hearing. The purpose of the hearing(s) must be to solicit public input concerning the Application or Development and the hearing(s) must provide the public with such an opportunity. The Applicant may be asked to substantively address the concerns of the public or local government officials.

(C) An Applicant must submit to the Department a resolution of no objection from the applicable Governing Body. Such resolution(s) must specifically identify the Development whether by legal description, address, Development name, Application number or other verifiable method. In providing a resolution, a municipality or county should consult its own staff and legal counsel as to whether such resolution will be consistent with Fair Housing laws as they may apply, including, as applicable, consistency with any FHAST form on file, any current Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, or any current plans such as one year action plans or five year consolidated plans for HUD block grant funds such as HOME or CDBG funds. For an Application with a Development Site that is:

(i) Within a municipality, the Applicant must submit a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality;

(ii) Within the ETJ of a municipality, the Applicant must submit both:

(I) a resolution from the Governing Body of that municipality; and

(II) a resolution from the Governing Body of the county; or
(iii) Within a county and not within a municipality or the ETJ of a municipality, a resolution from the Governing Body of the county.

(D) For purposes of meeting the requirements of subparagraph (C) of this paragraph, the resolution(s) must be submitted no later than the Resolutions Delivery Date described in §1011.42(b) of this chapter (relating to Tax-Exempt Bond and Multifamily Loan Development Dates and Deadlines Program Dates). An acceptable, but not required, form of resolution may be obtained in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual. Applicants should ensure that the resolutions all have the appropriate references and certifications or the resolution may be determined by staff to be invalid. The resolution(s) must certify that:

(i) Notice has been provided to the Governing Body in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.67071(a);

(ii) The Governing Body has had sufficient opportunity to obtain a response from the Applicant regarding any questions or concerns about the proposed Development;

(iii) The Governing Body has held a hearing at which public comment may be made on the proposed Development in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.67071(b); and

(iv) After due consideration of the information provided by the Applicant and public comment, the Governing Body does not object to the proposed Application.

(5) Designation as Rural or Urban.

(A) Each Application must identify whether the Development Site is located in an Urban Area or Rural Area of a Uniform State Service Region. The Department shall make available a list of Places meeting the requirements of Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.004(28-a)(A) and (B), for designation as a Rural Area and those that are an Urban Area in the Site Demographics Characteristics Report. Some Places are municipalities. For any Development Site located in the ETJ of a municipality and not in a Place, the Application shall have the Rural Area or Urban Area designation of the municipality whose ETJ within which the Development Site is located. For any Development Site not located within the boundaries of a Place or the ETJ of a municipality, the applicable designation is that of the closest Place.

(B) Certain areas located within the boundaries of a primary metropolitan statistical area or a metropolitan statistical area can request a Rural designation from the Department for purposes of receiving an allocation Housing Tax Credits (§2306.6740). In order to apply for such a designation, a letter must be submitted from a duly authorized official of the political subdivision or census designated place addressing the factors outlined in clauses (i) – (vi) of this subparagraph. Photographs and other supporting documentation are strongly encouraged. In order for the area to be designated Rural by the Department for the 2018 Application Round, such requests must be made no later than December 15, 2017. If staff is able to confirm the findings outlined in the request, the Rural designation will be granted without further action and will remain in effect until such time that the population as described in clause (i) of this subparagraph exceeds 25,000. In the event that staff is unable to confirm the information contained in the request, the Applicant will be given an opportunity to supplement their case. If, after receiving any supplemental information, staff still cannot confirm the rural nature of the Application, a recommendation for denial will be presented to the Board.

(i) The population of the political subdivision or census designated place does not exceed 25,000;

(ii) The characteristics of the political subdivision or census designated place and how those differ from the characteristics of the area(s) with which it shares a contiguous boundary;
(iii) The percentage of the total border of the political subdivision or census designated place that is contiguous with other political subdivisions or census designated places designated as urban. For purposes of this assessment, less than fifty percent contiguity with urban designated places is presumptively rural in nature;

(iv) The political subdivision or census designated place contains a significant number of unimproved roads or relies on unimproved roads to connect it to other places;

(v) The political subdivision or census designated place lacks major amenities commonly associated with urban or suburban areas; and

(vi) The boundaries of the political subdivision or census designated place contain, or are surrounded by, significant areas of undeveloped or agricultural land. For purposes of this assessment, significant being more than one-third of the total surface area of political subdivision/census designated place, or a minimum of 1,000 acres immediately contiguous to the border.

(6) Experience Requirement. Evidence that meets the criteria as stated in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph must be provided in the Application, unless an experience certificate was issued by the Department in the years 2014 through 2018, which may be submitted as acceptable evidence of this requirement. Experience of multiple parties may not be aggregated to meet this requirement.

(A) A natural Person, with control of the Development who intends and has the ability to remain in control through placement in service, who is also a Principal of the Developer, Development Owner, or General Partner must establish that they have experience that has included the development and placement in service of 150 units or more. Applicants requesting Multifamily Direct Loan funds only may meet the alternative requirement at §13.25(d)(1)of this title. Acceptable documentation to meet this requirement shall include any of the items in clauses (i) - (ix) of this subparagraph:

(i) American Institute of Architects (AIA) Document (A102) or (A103) 2007 - Standard Form of Agreement between Owner and Contractor;
(ii) AIA Document G 704-Certificate of Substantial Completion;
(iii) AIA Document G 702-Application and Certificate for Payment;
(iv) Certificate of Occupancy;
(v) IRS Form 8609 (only one per development is required);
(vi) HUD Form 9822;
(vii) Development agreements;
(viii) Partnership agreements; or
(ix) other documentation satisfactory to the Department verifying that a Principal of the Development Owner, General Partner, or Developer has the required experience.

(B) The names on the forms and agreements in subparagraph (A)(i) - (ix) of this paragraph must reflect that the individual seeking to provide experience is a Principal of the Development Owner, General Partner, or Developer as listed in the Application. For purposes of this requirement any individual attempting to use the experience of another individual or entity must demonstrate they had the authority to act on their behalf that substantiates the minimum 150 unit requirement.
(C) Experience may not be established for a Person who at any time within the preceding three years has been involved with affordable housing in another state in which the Person or Affiliate has been the subject of issued IRS Form 8823 citing non-compliance that has not been or is not being corrected with reasonable due diligence.

(D) If a Principal is determined by the Department to not have the required experience, an acceptable replacement for that Principal must be identified prior to the date the award is made by the Board.

(E) Notwithstanding the foregoing, no person may be used to establish such required experience if that Person or an Affiliate of that Person would not be eligible to be an Applicant themselves.

7) Financing Requirements.

(A) Non-Department Debt Financing. Interim and permanent financing sufficient to fund the proposed Total Housing Development Cost less any other funds requested from the Department must be included in the Application. For any Development that is a part of a larger development plan on the same site, the Department may request and evaluate information related to the other components of the development plan in instances in which the financial viability of the Development is in whole or in part dependent upon the other portions of the development plan. Any local, state or federal financing identified in this section which restricts household incomes at any level that is lower than restrictions required pursuant to this chapter or elected in accordance with this Chapter 11 or Chapter 13 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan Multifamily Direct Loan) must be identified in the rent schedule and the local, state or federal income restrictions must include corresponding rent levels in accordance with Code §42(g) of the Code, if the Development will receive housing tax credits. The income and corresponding rent restrictions will be memorialized in a recorded LURA and monitored for compliance. Financing amounts must be consistent throughout the Application and acceptable documentation shall include those described in clauses (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph.

(i) Financing is in place as evidenced by:

(I) a valid and binding loan agreement; and

(II) a valid recorded deed(s) of trust lien on the Development in the name of the Development Owner as grantor in favor of the party providing such financing and covered by a lender's policy of title insurance in their name;

(ii) Term sheets for interim and permanent loans issued by a lending institution or mortgage company that is actively and regularly engaged in the business of lending money must:

(I) have been signed by the lender;

(II) be addressed to the Development Owner or Affiliate;

(III) for a permanent loan, include a minimum loan term of fifteen (15) years with at least a thirty (30) year amortization;

(IV) include either a committed and locked interest rate, or the currently projected interest rate and the mechanism for determining the interest rate;

(V) include all required Guarantors, if known;

(VI) include the principal amount of the loan;
(VII) include an acknowledgement of the amounts and terms of all other anticipated sources of funds, and if the Application reflects an intent to elect income averaging there must be an acknowledgement to that effect in the term sheet; and

(VIII) include and address any other material terms and conditions applicable to the financing. The term sheet may be conditional upon the completion of specified due diligence by the lender and upon the award of tax credits, if applicable; or

(iii) For Developments proposing to refinance an existing USDA Section 514, 515, or 516 loan, a letter from the USDA confirming that it has been provided with the Preliminary Assessment Tool.

(iv) For Direct Loan Applications or Tax-Exempt Bond Development Applications utilizing FHA financing, the Application shall include the applicable pages from the HUD Application for Multifamily Housing Project. If the HUD Application has not been submitted at the time the Application is submitted then a statement to that effect should be included in the Application along with an estimated date for submission. Applicants should be aware that staff’s underwriting of an Application will not be finalized and presented to the Board until staff has evaluated the HUD Application relative to the Application.

(B) Gap Financing. Any anticipated federal, state, local or private gap financing, whether soft or hard debt, must be identified and described in the Application. Applicants must provide evidence that an application for such gap financing has been made. Acceptable documentation may include a letter from the funding entity confirming receipt of an application or a term sheet from the lending agency which clearly describes the amount and terms of the financing. Other Department funding requested with Housing Tax Credit Applications must be on a concurrent funding period with the Housing Tax Credit Application, and no term sheet is required for such a request. Permanent loans must include a minimum loan term of fifteen (15) years with at least a thirty (30) year amortization or for non-amortizing loan structures a term of not less than thirty (30) years. A term loan request must also comply with the applicable terms of the NOFA under which an Applicant is applying.

(C) Owner Contributions. If the Development will be financed in part by with a capital contribution or debt by the General Partner, Managing General Partner, any other partner or investor that is not a partner providing the syndication equity, a guarantor or a Principal in an amount that exceeds 5 percent of the Total Housing Development Cost, a letter from a Third Party CPA must be submitted that verifies the capacity of the contributor to provide the capital from funds that are not otherwise committed or pledged. Additionally, a letter from the contributor’s bank(s) or depository(ies) must be submitted confirming sufficient funds are readily available to the contributor. The contributor must certify that the funds are and will remain readily available at Commitment and until the required investment is completed. Regardless of the amount, all capital contributions other than syndication equity will be deemed to be a part of and therefore will be added to the Deferred Developer Fee for feasibility purposes under §1011.302(i)(2) of this chapter (relating to Underwriting Rules and Guidelines) or where scoring is concerned, unless the Development is a Supportive Housing Development, the Development is not supported with Housing Tax Credits, or the ownership structure includes a nonprofit organization with a documented history of fundraising sufficient to support the development of affordable housing.

(D) Equity Financing. (§2306.6705(2) and (3)) If applicable to the program, the Application must include a term sheet from a syndicator that, at a minimum, includes:

(i) an estimate of the amount of equity dollars expected to be raised for the Development;

(ii) the amount of Housing Tax Credits requested for allocation to the Development Owner;

(iii) pay-in schedules;
(iv) syndicator consulting fees and other syndication costs. No syndication costs should be included in the Eligible Basis; and

(v) include an acknowledgement of the amounts and terms of all other anticipated sources of funds and if the Application reflects an intent to elect income averaging there must be an acknowledgement to that effect in the term sheet.

(E) Financing Narrative. (§2306.6705(1)) A narrative must be submitted that describes all aspects of the complete financing plan for the Development, including but not limited to, the sources and uses of funds; construction, permanent and bridge loans, rents, operating subsidies, project-based assistance, and replacement reserves; and the status (dates and deadlines) for applications, approvals and closings, etc. associated with the commitments for all funding sources. For applicants requesting Direct Loan funds, Match in the amount of at least 5 percent of the Direct Loan funds requested as applicable must be documented with a letter from the anticipated provider of Match indicating the provider's willingness and ability to make a financial commitment should the Development receive an award of Direct Loan funds, if applicable. The information provided must be consistent with all other documentation in the Application.

(8) Operating and Development Cost Documentation.

(A) 15-year Pro forma. All Applications must include a 15-year pro forma estimate of operating expenses, in the form provided by the Department. Any "other" debt service included in the pro forma must include a description.

(B) Utility Allowances. This exhibit, as provided in the Application, must be submitted along with documentation from the source of the utility allowance estimate used in completing the Rent Schedule provided in the Application. This exhibit must clearly indicate which utility costs are included in the estimate and must comply with the requirements of §10.614 of this chapter (relating to Utility Allowances), including deadlines for submission. Where the Applicant uses any method that requires Department review, documentation indicating that the requested method has been granted by the Department must be included in the Application.

(C) Operating Expenses. This exhibit, as provided in the Application, must be submitted indicating the anticipated operating expenses associated with the Development. Any expenses noted as "other" in any of the categories must be identified. "Miscellaneous" or other nondescript designations are not acceptable.

(D) Rent Schedule. This exhibit, as provided in the Application, must indicate the type of Unit designation based on the Unit's rent and income restrictions. The rent and utility limits available at the time the Application is submitted should be used to complete this exhibit. Gross rents cannot exceed the maximum rent limits unless documentation of project-based rental assistance is provided and rents are consistent with such assistance and applicable legal requirements. The unit mix and net rentable square footages must be consistent with the site plan and architectural drawings. For Units restricted in connection with Direct Loans, the restricted Units will generally be designated "floating" unless specifically disallowed under the program specific rules. For Applications that propose utilizing Direct Loan funds, at least 90 percent of the Units restricted in connection with the Direct Loan program must be available to households or families whose incomes do not exceed 60 percent of the Area Median Income. For Applications that propose to elect income averaging, Units restricted by any fund source other than housing tax credits must be specifically identified, and all restricted Units, regardless of fund source, must be included in the average calculation.

(E) Development Costs. This exhibit, as provided in the Application, must include the contact information for the person providing the cost estimate and must meet the requirements of clauses (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph.
(i) Applicants must provide a detailed cost breakdown of projected Site Work costs (excluding site amenities), if any, prepared by a Third Party engineer or cost estimator. If Site Work costs (excluding site amenities) exceed $15,000 per Unit and are included in Eligible Basis, a letter must be provided from a certified public accountant allocating which portions of those site costs should be included in Eligible Basis.

(ii) If costs for Off-Site Construction are included in the budget as a line item, or embedded in the site acquisition contract, or referenced in the utility provider letters, then the Off-Site Cost Breakdown prepared by a Third Party engineer must be provided. The certification from a Third Party engineer must describe the necessity of the off-site improvements, including the relevant requirements of the local jurisdiction with authority over building codes. If any Off-Site Construction costs are included in Eligible Basis, a letter must be provided from a certified public accountant allocating which portions of those costs should be included in Eligible Basis. If off-site costs are included in Eligible Basis based on PLR 200916007, a statement of findings from a CPA must be provided which describes the facts relevant to the Development and affirmatively certifies that the fact pattern of the Development matches the fact pattern in PLR 200916007.

(F) Rental Assistance/Subsidy. (§2306.6705(4)) If rental assistance, an operating subsidy, an annuity, or an interest rate reduction payment is proposed to exist or continue for the Development, any related contract or other agreement securing those funds or proof of application for such funds must be provided. Such documentation shall, at a minimum, identify the source and annual amount of the funds, the number of units receiving the funds, and the term and expiration date of the contract or other agreement.

(G) Occupied Developments. The items identified in clauses (i) - (vi) of this subparagraph must be submitted with any Application where any structure on the Development Site is occupied at any time after the Application Acceptance Period begins or if the Application proposes the demolition of any housing occupied at any time after the Application Acceptance Period begins. For Applications that do not include Direct Loan funds or 811 PRA, if the Application includes a request for Direct Loan funds, applicants must follow the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (“URA”) and other HUD requirements including Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act. HUD Handbook 1378 provides guidance and template documents. Failure to follow URA or 104(d) requirements will make the proposed Development ineligible for Direct Loan funds and may lead to penalty under 10 TAC §13.11(b). If the current property owner is unwilling to provide the required documentation then a signed statement from the Applicant attesting to that fact must be submitted. If one or more of the items described in clauses (i) - (vi) of this subparagraph is not applicable based upon the type of occupied structures on the Development Site, the Applicant must provide an explanation of such non-applicability. Applicant must submit:

(i) at least one of the items identified in subclauses (I) - (IV) of this clause:

(I) historical monthly operating statements of the Existing Residential Development for twelve (12) consecutive months ending not more than three (3) months from the first day of the Application Acceptance Period;

(II) the two (2) most recent consecutive annual operating statement summaries;

(III) the most recent consecutive six (6) months of operating statements and the most recent available annual operating summary; or

(IV) all monthly or annual operating summaries available; and
(ii) a rent roll not more than six (6) months old as of the first day the Application Acceptance Period that discloses the terms and rate of the lease, rental rates offered at the date of the rent roll, Unit mix, and tenant names or vacancy;

(iii) a written explanation of the process used to notify and consult with the tenants in preparing the Application; (§2306.6705(6))

(iv) a relocation plan outlining relocation requirements and a budget with an identified funding source; (§2306.6705(6))

(v) any documentation necessary for the Department to facilitate, or advise an Applicant with respect to or to ensure compliance with the Uniform Relocation Act and any other relocation laws or regulations as may be applicable; and

(vi) if applicable, evidence that the relocation plan has been submitted to all appropriate legal or governmental agencies or bodies. (§2306.6705(6))

(9) Architectural Drawings. All Applications must include the items identified in subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph, unless specifically stated otherwise, and must be consistent with all applicable exhibits throughout the Application. The drawings must have a legible scale and show the dimensions of each perimeter wall and floor heights.

(A) For all New Construction, Reconstruction and Adaptive Reuse Developments a site plan is submitted that includes the items identified in clauses (i) – (v) of this subparagraph and for all Rehabilitation Developments, the site plan includes the items identified in clauses (i) – (ix) of this subparagraph:

(i) states the size of the site on its face;

(ii) includes a Unit and building type table matrix that is consistent with the Rent Schedule and Building/Unit Configuration forms provided in the Application in labeling buildings and Units;

(iii) includes a table matrix specifying the square footage of Common Area space on a building by building basis;

(iv) identifies all residential and common buildings in place on the Development Site and labels them consistently with the Rent Schedule and Building/Unit Type Configuration forms provided in the Application;

(v) shows the locations (by Unit and floor) of mobility and hearing/visual accessible Units (unless included in residential building floor plans);

(viii) clearly delineates the flood plain boundary lines and shows all easements or states there is no floodplain;

(ix) if applicable, indicates possible placement of detention/retention pond(s) or states there are no detention ponds;

(x) describes, if applicable, how flood mitigation or other required mitigation will be accomplished;

(xi) indicates the location and number of the parking spaces, garages, and carports;

(xii) indicates the location and number of the accessible parking spaces, including van accessible spaces;

(xii) includes information regarding local parking requirements; and

(x) describes, if applicable, how flood mitigation or any other required mitigation will be accomplished;
(viii) delineates compliant accessible routes; and 

(ix) indicates the distribution of accessible Units; and 

(ixii) indicates compliant accessible routes or if a route is not accessible a cite to the provision in the Fair Housing Design Manual providing for its exemption; and 

(B) Building floor plans must be submitted for each building type. Building floor plans must include the locations of the accessible Units and must also include square footage calculations for balconies, breezeways, corridors and any other areas not included in net rentable area; 

(C) Unit floor plans for each type of Unit must be included in the Application and must include the square footage for each type of Unit. Unit floor plans must be submitted for the accessible Units. Applications for Adaptive Reuse are only required to include Unit floor plans for each distinct floor plan such as one-bedroom, two-bedroom and for all floor plans that vary in Net Rentable Area by 10 percent from the typical floor plan; and 

(D) Elevations must be submitted for each side of each building type (or include a statement that all other sides are of similar composition as the front) and include a percentage estimate of the exterior composition and proposed roof pitch. Applications for Rehabilitation and Adaptive Reuse may submit photographs if the Unit configurations are not being altered and post-renovation drawings must be submitted if Unit configurations are proposed to be altered.

(10) Site Control.

(A) Evidence that the Development Owner has Site Control must be submitted. If the evidence is not in the name of the Development Owner, then an Affiliate of the Development Owner must have Site Control that allows for an ability to assign the Site Control to the Development Owner. All of the sellers of the proposed Property for the thirty-six (36) months prior to the first day of the Application Acceptance Period and their relationship, if any, to members of the Development Team must be identified at the time of Application. The Department may request documentation at any time after submission of an Application of the Development Owner's ability to compel title of any affiliated property acquisition(s) and the Development Owner must be able to promptly provide such documentation or the Application, award, or Commitment may be terminated. The Department acknowledges and understands that the Property may have one or more encumbrances at the time of Application submission and the Department will take into account whether any such encumbrance is reasonable within the legal and financial ability of the Development Owner to address without delaying development on the timeline contemplated in the Application. Tax-Exempt Bond Lottery Applications must have Site Control valid through December 1 of the prior program year with the option to extend through March 1 of the current program year.

(B) In order to establish Site Control, one of the items described in clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph must be provided. In the case of land donations, Applicants must demonstrate that the entity donating the land has Site Control as evidenced through one of the items described in clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph or other documentation acceptable to the Department.

(i) a recorded warranty deed vesting indefeasible title in the Development Owner or; if transferrable to the Development Owner, an Affiliate of the Owner, with corresponding executed settlement statement (or functional equivalent for an existing lease with at least forty-five (45) years remaining); or

(ii) a contract or option for lease with a minimum term of forty-five (45) years that includes a price; address and/or legal description; proof of consideration in the form specified in the contract; and expiration date; or
(iii) a contract for sale or an option to purchase that includes a price; address and/or legal description; proof of consideration in the form specified in the contract; and expiration date;

(C) If the acquisition can be characterized as an identity of interest transaction, as described in §11.302 of this chapter, then the documentation as further described therein must be submitted in addition to that of subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.

(D) If ingress and egress to a public right of way are not part of the property described in the site control documentation, the Applicant must provide evidence of an easement, leasehold, or similar documented access, along with evidence that the fee title owner of the property agrees that the LURA may extend to the access easement.

(11) Zoning. (§2306.6705(5)) Acceptable evidence of zoning for all Developments must include one of subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph. In instances where annexation of a Development Site occurs while the Application is under review, the Applicant must submit evidence of appropriate zoning with the Commitment or Determination Notice.

(A) No Zoning Ordinance in Effect. The Application must include a letter from a local government official with appropriate jurisdiction stating that the Development is located within the boundaries of a political subdivision that has no zoning.

(B) Zoning Ordinance in Effect. The Application must include a letter from a local government official with appropriate jurisdiction stating the Development is permitted under the provisions of the zoning ordinance that applies to the location of the Development.

(C) Requesting a Zoning Change. The Application must include evidence in the form of a letter from a local government official with jurisdiction over zoning matters that the Applicant or Affiliate has made formal application for a required zoning change and that the jurisdiction has received a release whereby the applicant for the zoning change has agreed to hold the political subdivision and all other parties harmless in the event the appropriate zoning is not granted. Documentation of final approval of appropriate zoning must be submitted to the Department with the Commitment or Determination Notice.

(D) Zoning for Rehabilitation Developments. In an area with zoning, the Application must include documentation of current zoning. If the Property is currently conforming but with an overlay that would make it a non-conforming use as presently zoned, the Application must include a letter from a local government official with appropriate jurisdiction which addresses the items in clauses (i) - (v) of this subparagraph:

(i) a detailed narrative of the nature of non-conformance;

(ii) the applicable destruction threshold;

(iii) that it will allow the non-conformance;

(iv) Owner’s rights to reconstruct in the event of damage; and

(v) penalties for noncompliance.

(12) Title Commitment/Policy. A title commitment or title policy must be submitted that includes a legal description that is consistent with the Site Control. If the title commitment or policy is dated more than six (6) months prior to the beginning date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications, then a letter from the title company indicating that nothing further has transpired during the six-month period on the commitment or policy must be submitted.
(A) The title commitment must list the name of the Development Owner as the proposed insured and lists the seller or lessor as the current owner of the Development Site.

(B) The title policy must show that the ownership (or leasehold) of the Development Site is vested in the name of the Development Owner.

(13) Ownership Structure and Previous Participation.

(A) Organizational Charts. A chart must be submitted that clearly illustrates the complete organizational structure of the final proposed Development Owner and of any Developer and Guarantor, identifying all Principals thereof and providing the names and ownership percentages of all Persons having an ownership interest in the Development Owner, Developer and Guarantor, as applicable, whether directly or through one or more subsidiaries. Nonprofit entities, public housing authorities, publicly traded corporations, individual board members, and executive directors must be included in this exhibit and trusts must list all beneficiaries that have the legal ability to control or direct activities of the trust and are not just financial beneficiaries. The List of Organizations form, as provided in the Application, must include all Persons identified on the organizational charts, and further identify which of thosePersons listed exercise Control of the Development.

(B) Previous Participation. Evidence must be submitted that each entity shown on the organizational chart described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph that the Development Owner and each Affiliate (with an ownership interest in the Development), including entities and individuals (unless excluded under 10 TAC Chapter 1, Subchapter C) has provided a copy of the completed previous participation information to the Department. Individual Principals of such entities identified on the organizational chart and on the List of Organizations form, must provide the previous participation information, unless excluded from such requirement pursuant to Chapter 1 Subchapter C of this title. The information must include a list of all developments that are, or were, previously under ownership or Control of the Applicant and/or each Principal, including any Person providing the required experience. All participation in any Department funded or monitored activity, including non-housing activities, as well as Housing Tax Credit developments or other programs administered by other states using state or federal programs must be disclosed. The individuals providing previous participation information will authorize the parties overseeing such assistance to release compliance histories to the Department.

(14) Nonprofit Ownership. Applications that involve a §501(c)(3) or (4) nonprofit General Partner or Owner shall submit the documentation identified in subparagraph (A) or (B) of this paragraph as applicable. A resolution approved at a regular meeting of the majority of the board of directors of the nonprofit, indicating clear approval of the organization’s participation in each specific Application, and naming all members of the board and employees who may act on its behalf, must be provided.

(A) Competitive HTC Applications. Applications for Competitive Housing Tax Credits involving a §501(c)(3) or (4) nonprofit General Partner and which meet the Nonprofit Set-Aside requirements, must submit all of the documents described in this subparagraph and indicate the nonprofit status on the carryover documentation and IRS Forms 8609. (§2306.6706) Applications that include an affirmative election to not be treated under the set-aside and a certification that they do not expect to receive a benefit in the allocation of tax credits as a result of being affiliated with a nonprofit only need to submit the documentation in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph.

(i) An IRS determination letter which states that the nonprofit organization has been determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be tax-exempt under §501(c)(3) or (4) of the Code;

(ii) The Nonprofit Participation exhibit as provided in the Application, including a list of the names and contact information for all board members, directors, and officers;
(iii) A Third Party legal opinion stating:

(I) that the nonprofit organization is not affiliated with or Controlled by a for-profit organization and the basis for that opinion;

(II) that the nonprofit organization is eligible, as further described, for a Housing Credit Allocation from the Nonprofit Set-Aside pursuant to Code, §42(h)(5) of the Code and the basis for that opinion;

(III) that one of the exempt purposes of the nonprofit organization is to provide low-income housing;

(IV) that the nonprofit organization prohibits a member of its board of directors, other than a chief staff member serving concurrently as a member of the board, from receiving material compensation for service on the board. If the Application includes a request for CHDO funds, no member of the board may receive compensation, including the chief staff member;

(V) that the Qualified Nonprofit Development will have the nonprofit entity or its nonprofit Affiliate or subsidiary be the Developer or co-Developer as evidenced in the development agreement;

(VI) that the nonprofit organization has the ability to do business as a nonprofit in Texas;

(iv) a copy of the nonprofit organization’s most recent financial statement as prepared by a Certified Public Accountant; and

(v) evidence in the form of a certification that a majority of the members of the nonprofit organization’s board of directors principally reside:

(I) in this state, if the Development is located in a Rural Area; or

(II) not more than ninety (90) miles from the Development, if the Development is not located in a Rural Area.

(B) All Other Applications. Applications that involve a §501(c)(3) or (4) nonprofit General Partner or Owner must submit an IRS determination letter which states that the nonprofit organization has been determined by the Internal Revenue Service to be tax-exempt under §501(c)(3) or (4) of the Code; and the Nonprofit Participation exhibit as provided in the Application. If the Application involves a nonprofit that is not exempt from taxation under §501(c)(3) or (4) of the Code, then they must disclose in the Application the basis of their nonprofit status.

(15) Site Design and Development Feasibility Report. This report, compiled by the Applicant or Third Party Consultant, and prepared in accordance with this paragraph, which reviews site conditions and development requirements of the Development and Development Site, is required for any New Construction or Reconstruction or Adaptive Re-use Development.

(A) Executive Summary as a narrative overview of the Development in sufficient detail that would help a reviewer of the Application better understand the site, the site plan, off site requirements (including discussion of any seller contributions or reimbursements), any other unique development requirements, and their impact on Site Work and Off Site Construction costs. The summary should contain a general statement regarding the level of due diligence that has been done relating to site development (including discussions with local government development offices). Additionally, the overview should contain a summary of zoning requirements, subdivision requirements, property identification number(s) and millage rates for all taxing jurisdictions, development ordinances, fire department requirements, site ingress and egress requirements, building codes, and local design requirements impacting the Development (include website links but do not attach copies of
ordinances). Careful focus and attention should be made regarding any atypical items materially impacting costs or the successful and timely execution of the Development plan. The report must also include the following statement, “all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.”

(B) Survey or current plat as defined by the Texas Society of Professional Surveyors in their Manual of Practice for Land Surveying in Texas (Category 1A - Land Title Survey or Category 1B - Standard Land Boundary Survey). Surveys may not be older than 24 twelve (12) months from the beginning of the Application Acceptance Period. Plats must include evidence that it has been recorded with the appropriate local entity and that, as of the date of submission, it is the most current plat. Applications proposing noncontiguous single family scattered sites are not required to submit surveys or plats at Application, but this information may be requested during the Real Estate Analysis review.

(C) Preliminary site plan prepared by the civil engineer with a statement that the plan materially adheres to all applicable zoning, site development, and building code ordinances. The site plan must identify all structures, site amenities, parking spaces (include handicap spaces and ramps) and driveways, topography (using either existing seller topographic survey or U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)/other database topography), site drainage and detention, water and waste water utility tie-ins, general placement of retaining walls, set-back requirements, and any other typical or locally required items. Off-site improvements required for utilities, detention, access or other requirement must be shown on the site plan or ancillary drawings.

(D) Architect or civil engineer prepared statement describing the entitlement, site development permitting process and timing, building permitting process and timing, and an itemization specific to the Development of total anticipated impact, site development permit, building permit, and other required fees.

§11.205. Required Third Party Reports. The Environmental Site Assessment, Property Condition Assessment, Appraisal (if applicable), and the Market Analysis must be submitted no later than the Third Party Report Delivery Date as identified in §10.11.4.2(b) of this chapter (relating to Tax-Exempt Bond and Multifamily Loan Development Dates and Deadlines, Program Dates). For Competitive HTC Applications, the Environmental Site Assessment, Property Condition Assessment, Appraisal (if applicable), and the Primary Market Area map (with definition based on census tracts, and site coordinates in decimal degrees, area of PMA in square miles, and list of census tracts included) must be submitted no later than the Full Application Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this title (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits) and the Market Analysis must be submitted no later than the Market Analysis Delivery Date as identified in §11.2(a) of this title (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits). The Department may request additional information from the report provider or revisions to the report as needed. In instances of non-response by the report provider, the Department may substitute in-house analysis. The Department is not bound by any opinions expressed in the report.

(1) Environmental Site Assessment. This report, required for all Developments and prepared in accordance with the requirements of §10.11.305 of this chapter (relating to Environmental Site
Assessment Rules and Guidelines), must not be dated more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of Application submission for non-Competitive Applications, or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications. If this timeframe is exceeded, then a letter or updated report must be submitted, dated not more than three (3) six (6) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications from the Person or organization which prepared the initial assessment confirming that the site has been re-inspected and reaffirming the conclusions of the initial report or identifying the changes since the initial report.

(A) Existing Developments funded by USDA will not be required to supply this information; however, it is the Applicant's responsibility to ensure that the Development is maintained in compliance with all state and federal environmental hazard requirements.

(B) If the report includes a recommendation that an additional assessment be performed, then a statement from the Applicant must be submitted with the Application indicating those additional assessments and recommendations will be performed prior to closing. If the assessments require further mitigating recommendations, then evidence indicating the mitigating recommendations have been carried out must be submitted at cost certification.

(2) Market Analysis. The Market Analysis, required for all Developments and prepared in accordance with the requirements of §10.303 of this chapter (relating to Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines), must not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the first day of the Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications. If the report is older than six (6) months, but not more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications, the Qualified Market Analyst that prepared the report may provide a statement that reaffirms the findings of the original Market Analysis. The statement may not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications and must be accompanied by the original Market Analysis.

(A) The report must be prepared by a disinterested Qualified Market Analyst approved by the Department in accordance with the approval process outlined in §10.303 of this chapter;

(B) Applications in the USDA Set-Aside proposing Rehabilitation with residential structures at or above 80 percent occupancy at the time of Application submission, the appraisal, required for Rehabilitation Developments and Identity of Interest transactions prepared in accordance with §10.304 of this chapter (relating to Appraisal Rules and Guidelines), will satisfy the requirement for a Market Analysis; however, the Department may request additional information as needed.

(C) It is the responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that this analysis forms a sufficient basis for the Applicant to be able to use the information obtained to ensure that the Development will comply with fair housing laws.

(3) Property Condition Assessment (PCA). This report, required for Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) and Adaptive Reuse Developments and prepared in accordance with the requirements of §10.306 of this chapter (relating to Property Condition Assessment Guidelines), must not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the first day of the Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications. If the report is older than six (6) months, but not more than twelve (12) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications, the report provider may provide a statement that reaffirms the findings of the original
PCA. The statement may not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications and must be accompanied by the original PCA. For Developments which require a capital needs assessment from USDA the capital needs assessment may be substituted and may be more than six (6) months old, as long as USDA has confirmed in writing that the existing capital needs assessment is still acceptable and it meets the requirements of §1011.306 of this chapter. All Rehabilitation Developments financed with Direct Loans must also submit a capital needs assessment estimating the useful life of each major system. This assessment must include a comparison between the local building code and the International Existing Building Code of the International Code Council. The report must be accompanied by the Department’s Property Condition Assessment Cost Schedule Supplement in the form of an excel workbook as published on the Department’s website.

(4) Appraisal. This report, required for all Rehabilitation Developments and prepared in accordance with the requirements of §1011.304 of this chapter, is required for any Application claiming any portion of the building acquisition in Eligible Basis, and Identity of Interest transactions pursuant to Subchapter D of this chapter, must not be dated more than six (6) months prior to the date of Application submission or the first day of the Application Acceptance Period for Competitive HTC Applications. For Developments that require an appraisal from USDA, the appraisal may be more than six (6) months old, as long as USDA has confirmed in writing that the existing appraisal is still acceptable.

§11.206. Board Decisions (§§2306.6725(c); 2306.6731; and 42(m)(1)(A)(iv)). The Board's decisions regarding awards shall be based upon the Department's and the Board's evaluation of the proposed Developments' consistency with, and fulfillment of, the criteria and requirements set forth in this chapter, Chapter 14 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan), Chapter 13 of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Program Rules), and other applicable Department rules and other applicable state, federal and local legal requirements, whether established in statute, rule, ordinance, published binding policy, official finding, or court order. The Board shall document the reasons for each Application's selection, including any discretionary factors used in making its determination, including good cause, and the reasons for any decision that conflicts with the recommendations made by Department staff. Good cause includes the Board's decision to apply discretionary factors where authorized. The Department reserves the right to reduce the amount of funds requested in an Application, condition the award recommendation or terminate the Application based on the Applicant's inability to demonstrate compliance with program requirements.

§11.207. Waiver of Rules.

This waiver section, unless otherwise specified, is applicable to Subchapter A of this chapter (relating to General Information and Definitions), Subchapter B of this chapter (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions), Subchapter C of this chapter (relating to Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions, and Waiver of Rules for Applications), Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy), Subchapter E of this chapter (relating to Post Award and Asset Management Requirements), Subchapter F of this chapter (relating to Compliance Monitoring) Subchapter G of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals, and Other Provisions), Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan), Chapter 12 of this title (relating to Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules), and Chapter 13 (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Program Rules). An Applicant may request a waiver in writing at or prior to the submission of the pre-application (if applicable) or the Application or subsequent to an award. Waiver requests on Competitive HTC Applications will not be accepted between submission of the Application and any award for the Application. Staff may identify and initiate a waiver request as part of another Board action request.
Where appropriate, the Applicant is encouraged to submit with the requested waiver any plans for mitigation or alternative solutions. Any such request for waiver must be specific to the unique facts and circumstances of an actual proposed Development and must be submitted to the Department in the format required in the Multifamily Programs Procedures Manual. Any waiver, if granted, shall apply solely to the Application and shall not constitute a general modification or waiver of the rule involved. All waiver requests must meet the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection.

(1) The waiver request must establish how the need for the waiver was both not reasonably foreseeable and was not preventable by the Applicant. In applicable circumstances, this may include limitations of local building or zoning codes, limitations of existing building structural elements for Adaptive Reuse or Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments, required amenities or design elements in buildings designated as historic structures that would conflict with retaining the historic nature of the building(s), or provisions of the design element or amenity that would not benefit the tenants due to limitations of the existing layout or design of the units for Adaptive Reuse or Rehabilitation (excluding Reconstruction) Developments. A recommendation for a waiver may be subject to the Applicant’s provision of alternative design elements or amenities of a similar nature or that serve a similar purpose. Waiver requests for items that were elected to meet scoring criteria or where the Applicant was provided a menu of options to meet the requirement will not be considered to satisfy this paragraph as such waiver request would be either or both foreseeable and preventable.

(2) The waiver request must establish how, by granting the waiver, it better serves the policies and purposes articulated in Tex. Gov’t Code, §§2306.001, 2306.002, 2306.359, and 2306.6701, (which are general in nature and apply to the role of the Department and its programs, including the Housing Tax Credit program) than not granting the waiver.

(3) The Board may not grant a waiver to provide directly or implicitly any forward commitments or any waiver that is prohibited by statute (i.e., statutory requirements may not be waived). The Board may grant a waiver that is in response to a natural, federally declared disaster that occurs after the adoption of the multifamily rules to the extent authorized by a governor declared disaster proclamation suspending regulatory requirements.
Subchapter D — Underwriting and Loan Policy


(a) Purpose. This Subchapter applies to the underwriting, Market Analysis, appraisal, Environmental Site Assessment, Property Condition Assessment, and Direct Loan standards employed by the Department. This Subchapter provides rules for the underwriting review of an affordable housing Development’s financial feasibility and economic viability that ensures the most efficient allocation of resources while promoting and preserving the public interest in ensuring the long-term health of the Department’s portfolio. In addition, this Subchapter guides staff in making recommendations to the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee (the “Committee”), Executive Director, and the Board to help ensure procedural consistency in the determination of Development feasibility (Texas Government Code, §§2306.081(c), 2306.185, and 2306.6710(d)). Due to the unique characteristics of each Development, the interpretation of the rules and guidelines described in this Subchapter is subject to the discretion of the Department and final determination by the Board.

(b) Appeals. Certain programs contain express appeal options. Where not indicated, §4011.902 of this chapter (relating to Appeals Process (§2306.0321; §2306.6715)) includes general appeal procedures. In addition, the Department encourages the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) methods, as outlined in §4011.904 of this chapter (relating to Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Policy).


(a) General Provisions. Pursuant to Texas Government Code, §2306.148 and §2306.185(b), the Board is authorized to adopt underwriting standards as set forth in this section. Furthermore for Housing Credit Allocation, Code §42(m)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the “Code”), requires the tax credits allocated to a Development not to exceed the amount necessary to assure feasibility. Additionally, 24 CFR Parts 92 and 93, as further described in CPD Notice 15-11 require the Department to adopt rules and standards to determine the appropriate Multifamily Direct Loan feasibility. The rules adopted pursuant to the Texas Government Code and the Code are developed to result in an Underwriting Report (“Report”) used by the Board in decision making with the goal of assisting as many Texans as possible by providing no more financing than necessary based on an independent analysis of Development feasibility. The Report generated in no way guarantees or purports to warrant the actual performance, feasibility, or viability of the Development.

(b) Report Contents. The Report provides a synopsis and reconciliation of the Application information submitted by the Applicant. For the purpose of this Subchapter the term Application includes additional documentation submitted after the initial award of funds that is relevant to any subsequent reevaluation. The Report contents will be based upon information that is provided in accordance with and within the timeframes set forth in the current Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”) (10 TAC Chapter 11, Subchapter A) or a Notice of Funds Availability (“NOFA”), as applicable, and the Uniform Multifamily Rules (10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapters A – E and G).

(c) Recommendations in the Report. The conclusion of the Report includes a recommended award of funds or Housing Credit Allocation Amount and states any feasibility or other conditions to be placed on the award. The award amount is based on the lesser of the following:

(1) Program Limit Method. For Housing Credit Allocations, this method is based upon calculation of Eligible Basis after applying all cost verification measures and program limits as described in this section. The Applicable Percentage used is defined in §10.311.1(d) of this chapter (relating to Definitions). For Department programs other than Housing Tax Credits, this method is
based upon calculation of the funding limit in current program rules or NOFA at the time of underwriting.

**2) Gap Method.** This method evaluates the amount of funds needed to fill the gap created by Total Housing Development Cost less total non-Department-sourced funds or Housing Tax Credits. In making this determination, the Underwriter resizes any anticipated deferred developer fee downward (but not less than zero) before reducing the amount of Department funds or Housing Tax Credits. In the case of Housing Tax Credits, the syndication proceeds needed to fill the gap in permanent funds are divided by the syndication rate to determine the amount of Housing Tax Credits. In making this determination and based upon specific conditions set forth in the Report, the Underwriter may assume adjustments to the financing structure (including treatment of cash flow loans as if fully amortizing over its term) or make adjustments to any Department financing, such that the cumulative Debt Coverage Ratio ("DCR") conforms to the standards described in this section. For Housing Tax Credit Developments at cost certification, timing adjusters may be considered as a reduction to equity proceeds for this purpose. Timing adjusters must be consistent with and documented in the original partnership agreement (at admission of the equity partner) but relating to causes outside of the Developer's or Owner's control. The equity partner must provide a calculation of the amount of the adjuster to be used by the Underwriter.

**3) The Amount Requested.** The amount of funds that is requested by the Applicant. For Housing Tax Credit Developments (exclusive of Tax-Exempt Bond Developments) this amount is limited to the amount requested in the original Application documentation.

**d) Operating Feasibility.** The operating feasibility of a Development funded by the Department is tested by analyzing its Net Operating Income ("NOI") to determine the Development’s ability to pay debt service and meet other financial obligations throughout the Affordability Period. NOI is determined by subtracting operating expenses, including replacement reserves and taxes, from rental and other income sources.

**(1) Income.** In determining the first year stabilized pro forma, the Underwriter evaluates the reasonableness of the Applicant’s income pro forma by determining the appropriate rental rate per unit based on subsidy contracts, program limitations including but not limited to utility allowances, actual rents supported by rent rolls and Market Rents and other market conditions. Miscellaneous income, vacancy and collection loss limits as set forth in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of this paragraph, respectively, are used unless well-documented support is provided and independently verified by the Underwriter.

**(A) Rental Income.** The Underwriter will review the Applicant’s proposed rent schedule and determine if it is consistent with the representations made throughout the Application. The Underwriter will independently calculate a Pro Forma Rent for comparison to the Applicant’s estimate in the Application.

**(i) Market Rents.** The Underwriter will use the Market Analyst’s conclusion of Market Rent if reasonably justified and supported by the attribute adjustment matrix of Comparable Units as described in §10.303 of this chapter (relating to Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines). Independently determined Market Rents by the Underwriter may be used based on rent information gained from direct contact with comparable properties, whether or not used by the Market Analyst and other market data sources. For a Development that contains less than 15% unrestricted units, the Underwriter will limit the Pro Forma Rents to the lesser of Market Rent or the Gross Program Rent at 60% AMI, or 80% if the Applicant will make the Income Average election. As an alternative, if the Applicant submits market rents that are up to 30% higher than the 60% AMI gross rent, or 80% AMI gross rent if the Applicant will make the Income Average election, and the Applicant...
submits an investor commissioned market study with the application, the Underwriter has the discretion to use the market rents supported by the investor commissioned market study in consideration of the independently determined rents. The Applicant must also provide a statement by the investor indicating that they have reviewed the market study and agree with its conclusions.

(ii) Gross Program Rent. The Underwriter will use the Gross Program Rents for the year that is most current at the time the underwriting begins. When underwriting for a simultaneously funded competitive round, all Applications are underwritten with the Gross Program Rents for the same year. If Gross Program Rents are adjusted by the Department after the close of the Application Acceptance Period, but prior to publication of the Report, the Underwriter may adjust the Effective Gross Income ("EGI") to account for any increase or decrease in Gross Program Rents for the purposes of determining the reasonableness of the Applicant's EGI.

(iii) Contract Rents. The Underwriter will review rental assistance contracts to determine the Contract Rents currently applicable to the Development. Documentation supporting the likelihood of continued rental assistance is also reviewed. The Underwriter will take into consideration the Applicant's intent to request a Contract Rent increase. At the discretion of the Underwriter, the Applicant's proposed rents may be used as the Pro Forma Rent, with the recommendations of the Report conditioned upon receipt of final approval of such an increase.

(iv) Utility Allowances. The Utility Allowances used in underwriting must be in compliance with all applicable federal guidance, and §10.614 of Subchapter F of this title-Chapter relating to Utility Allowances. Utility allowances must be calculated for individually metered tenant paid utilities.

(v) Net Program Rents. Gross Program Rent less Utility Allowance.

(vi) Actual Rents for existing Developments will be reviewed as supported by a current rent roll. For Unstabilized Developments, actual rents will be based on the most recent units leased with occupancy and leasing velocity considered. Actual rents may be adjusted by the Underwriter to reflect lease-up concessions and other market considerations.

(vii) Collected Rent. Represents the monthly rent amount collected for each Unit Type. For rent-assisted units, the Contract Rent is used. In absence of a Contract Rent, the lesser of the Net Program Rent, Market Rent or actual rent is used.

(B) Miscellaneous Income. All ancillary fees and miscellaneous secondary income, including, but not limited to, late fees, storage fees, laundry income, interest on deposits, carport and garage rent, washer and dryer rent, telecommunications fees, and other miscellaneous income, are anticipated to be included in a $5 to $20 per Unit per month range. Exceptions may be made at the discretion of the Underwriter and must be supported by either the normalized operating history of the Development or other existing comparable properties within the same market area.

(i) The Applicant must show that a tenant will not be required to pay the additional fee or charge as a condition of renting a Unit and must show that the tenant has a reasonable alternative.

(ii) The Applicant’s operating expense schedule should reflect an itemized offsetting line-item associated with miscellaneous income derived from pass-through utility payments, pass-through water, sewer and trash payments, and cable fees.

(iii) Collection rates of exceptional fee items will generally be heavily discounted.

(iv) If an additional fee is charged for the optional use of an amenity, any cost associated with the construction, acquisition, or development of the hard assets needed to produce the additional fee for such amenity must be excluded from Eligible Basis.
(C) **Vacancy and Collection Loss.** The Underwriter generally uses a normalized vacancy rate of 7.5 percent (5 percent vacancy plus 2.5 percent for collection loss). The Underwriter may use other assumptions based on conditions in the immediate market area. 100 percent project-based rental subsidy developments and other well documented cases may be underwritten at a combined 5 percent at the discretion of the Underwriter if the immediate market area’s historical performance reflected in the Market Analysis is consistently higher than a 95 percent occupancy rate.

**D) Effective Gross Income ("EGI").** EGI is the total of Collected Rent for all Units plus Miscellaneous Income less Vacancy and Collection Loss. If the Applicant’s pro forma EGI is within 5 percent of the EGI independently calculated by the Underwriter, the Applicant’s EGI is characterized as reasonable in the Report; however, for purposes of calculating the underwritten DCR the Underwriter’s pro forma will be used unless the Applicant’s pro forma meets the requirements of paragraph (3) of this subsection.

**2) Expenses.** In determining the first year stabilized operating expense pro forma, the Underwriter evaluates the reasonableness of the Applicant’s expense estimate based upon the characteristics of each Development, including the location, utility structure, type, the size and number of Units, and the Applicant’s management plan. Historical, stabilized and certified financial statements of an existing Development or Third Party quotes specific to a Development will reflect the strongest data points to predict future performance. The Underwriter may review actual operations on the Applicant’s other properties monitored by the Department, if any, or review the proposed management company’s comparable properties. The Department’s Database of properties located in the same market area or region as the proposed Development also provides data points; expense data from the Department’s Database is available on the Department’s website. Data from the Institute of Real Estate Management’s ("IREM") most recent Conventional Apartments Income/Expense Analysis book for the proposed Development’s property type and specific location or region may be referenced. In some cases local or project-specific data such as PHA Utility Allowances and property tax rates are also given significant weight in determining the appropriate line item expense estimate. Estimates of utility savings from green building components, including on-site renewable energy, must be documented by an unrelated contractor or component vendor.

(A) **General and Administrative Expense.** ("G&A")—Accounting fees, legal fees, advertising and marketing expenses, office operation, supplies, and equipment expenses. G&A does not include partnership related expenses such as asset management, accounting or audit fees. Costs of tenant services are not included in G&A.

(B) **Management Fee.** Fee paid to the property management company to oversee the operation of the Property and is most often based upon a percentage of EGI as documented in an existing property management agreement or proposal. Typically, 5 percent of EGI is used, though higher percentages for rural transactions may be used. Percentages as low as 3 percent may be used if well documented.

(C) **Payroll Expense.** Compensation, insurance benefits, and payroll taxes for on-site office, leasing and maintenance staff. Payroll does not include Third-Party security or tenant services contracts. Staffing specific to tenant services, security or other staffing not related to customary property operations should be itemized and included in other expenses or tenant services expense.

(D) **Repairs and Maintenance Expense.** Materials and supplies for the repairs and maintenance of the Development including Third-Party maintenance contracts. This line-item does not include costs that are customarily capitalized that would result from major replacements or renovations.
(E) Utilities Expense. Gas and electric energy expenses paid by the Development. Estimates of utility savings from green building components, including on-site renewable energy, must be documented by an unrelated contractor or component vendor.

(F) Water, Sewer, and Trash Expense ("WST"). Includes all water, sewer and trash expenses paid by the Development.

(G) Insurance Expense. Cost of Insurance coverage for the buildings, contents, and general liability, but not health or workman’s compensation insurance.

(H) Property Tax. Includes real property and personal property taxes but not payroll taxes.

(i) An assessed value will be calculated based on the capitalization rate published by the county taxing authority. If the county taxing authority does not publish a capitalization rate, a capitalization rate of 10 percent or a comparable assessed value may be used.

(ii) Other assessed values or property tax estimates may be used based on development specific factors as determined by the Underwriter.

(iii) If the Applicant proposes a property tax exemption or PILOT agreement the Applicant must provide documentation in accordance with §10.402(d) of this title. At the underwriter’s discretion, such documentation may be required prior to Commitment if deemed necessary.

(I) Replacement Reserves. Periodic deposits to a reserve account to pay for the future replacement or major repair of building systems and components (generally items considered capitalized costs). The Underwriter will use a minimum reserve of $250 per Unit for New Construction and Reconstruction Developments and $300 per Unit for all other Developments. The Underwriter may require an amount above $300 for the Development based on information provided in the Property Condition Assessment ("PCA") or, for existing USDA developments, an amount approved by USDA. The Applicant’s assumption for reserves may be adjusted by the Underwriter if the amount provided by the Applicant is insufficient to fund capital needs as documented by the PCA during the first fifteen (15) years of the long term pro forma. Higher reserves may be used if documented by a primary lender or syndicator.

(J) Other Operating Expenses. The Underwriter will include other reasonable, customary and documented property-level operating expenses such as audit fees, security expense, telecommunication expenses (tenant reimbursements must be reflected in EGI) and TDHCA’s compliance fees. This category does not include depreciation, interest expense, lender or syndicator’s asset management fees, or other ongoing partnership fees.

(K) Tenant-Resident Services. Tenant services are not included as an operating expense or included in the DCR calculation unless:

(i) There is a documented financial obligation on behalf of the Owner with a unit of state or local government to provide tenant supportive services at a specified dollar amount. The financial obligation must be identified by the permanent lender in their term sheet and the dollar amount of the financial obligation must be included in the DCR calculation on the permanent lender’s 15-year pro forma at Application. At cost certification and as a minimum, the estimated expenses underwritten at Application will be included in the DCR calculation regardless if actually incurred; or,

(ii) The Applicant demonstrates a history of providing comparable supportive services and expenses at existing affiliated properties within the local area. Except for Supportive Housing Developments, the estimated expense of supportive services must be identified by the permanent lender in their term sheet and included in the DCR calculation on the 15-year pro forma. At cost certification and
as a minimum, the estimated expenses underwritten at Application will be included in the DCR calculation regardless if actually incurred;

(iii) On-site staffing or pro ration of staffing for coordination of services only, not provision of services, can be included as a supportive services expense without permanent lender documentation.

(L) **Total Operating Expenses.** The total of expense items described above in 10 TAC 11.302(d)(2)(A) - (K). If the Applicant's total expense estimate is within 5 percent of the final total expense figure calculated by the Underwriter, the Applicant's figure is characterized as reasonable in the Report; however, for purposes of calculating DCR, the Underwriter's independent calculation will be used unless the Applicant's first year stabilized pro forma meets the requirements of paragraph (3) of this subsection.

(3) **Net Operating Income ("NOI").** The difference between the EGI and total operating expenses. If the Applicant's first year stabilized NOI figure is within 5 percent of the NOI calculated by the Underwriter, the Applicant's NOI is characterized as reasonable in the Report; however, for purposes of calculating the first year stabilized pro forma DCR, the Underwriter's calculation of NOI will be used unless the Applicant's first year stabilized EGI, total operating expenses, and NOI are each within 5 percent of the Underwriter's estimates. For Housing Tax Credit Developments at cost certification, actual NOI will be used as adjusted for stabilization of rents and extraordinary lease-up expenses. Permanent lender and equity partner stabilization requirements documented in the loan and partnership agreements will be considered in determining the appropriate adjustments and the NOI used by the Underwriter.

(4) **Debt Coverage Ratio.** DCR is calculated by dividing NOI by the sum of scheduled loan principal and interest payments for all permanent debt sources of funds. If executed loan documents do not exist, loan terms including principal and/or interest payments are calculated based on the terms indicated in the most current term sheet(s). Otherwise, actual terms indicated in the executed loan documents will be used. Term sheet(s) must indicate the DCR required by the lender for initial underwriting as well as for stabilization purposes. Unusual or non-traditional financing structures may also be considered.

(A) **Interest Rate.** The rate documented in the term sheet(s) or loan document(s) will be used for debt service calculations. Term sheets indicating a variable interest rate must provide a breakdown of the rate index and any component rates comprising an all-in interest rate. The term sheet(s) must state the lender's underwriting interest rate assumption, or the Applicant must submit a separate statement from the lender with an estimate of the interest rate as of the date of such statement. At initial underwriting, the Underwriter may adjust the underwritten interest rate assumption based on market data collected on similarly structured transactions or rate index history. Private Mortgage Insurance premiums and similar fees are not included in the interest rate but calculated on outstanding principal balance and added to the total debt service payment.

(B) **Amortization Period.** For purposes of calculating DCR, the permanent lender's amortization period will be used if not less than thirty (30) years and not more than forty (40) years. Up to fifty (50) years may be used for federally sourced or insured loans. For permanent lender debt with amortization periods less than thirty (30) years, thirty (30) years will be used. For permanent lender debt with amortization periods greater than forty (40) years, forty (40) years will be used. For non-Housing Tax Credit transactions a lesser amortization period may be used if the Department's funds are fully amortized over the same period as the primary senior debt.

(C) **Repayment Period.** For purposes of projecting the DCR over a thirty (30) year period for developments with permanent financing structures with balloon payments in less than thirty (30)
years, the Underwriter will carry forward debt service based on a full amortization at the interest rate stated in the term sheet(s).

(D) Acceptable Debt Coverage Ratio Range. Except as set forth in clauses (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph, the acceptable first year stabilized pro forma DCR for all priority or foreclosable lien financing plus the Department's proposed financing must be between a minimum of 1.15 and a maximum of 1.35 (maximum of 1.50 for Housing Tax Credit Developments at cost certification).

(i) If the DCR is less than the minimum, the recommendations of the Report may be based on a reduction to debt service and the Underwriter will make adjustments to the financing structure in the order presented in subclauses (I) - (III) of this clause subject to a Direct Loan NOFA and program rules:

(I) a reduction to the principal amount of a Direct Loan;

(II) in the case where the amount of the Direct Loan determined in (I) is insufficient to balance the sources and uses;

(-a-) a reduction to the interest rate;

(-b-) an increase in the amortization period;

(III) an assumed reduction in the permanent loan amount for non-Department funded loans based upon the rates and terms in the permanent loan term sheet(s) as long as they are within the ranges in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph.

(ii) If the DCR is greater than the maximum, the recommendations of the Report may be based on an increase to debt service and the Underwriter will make adjustments to the assumed financing structure in the order presented in subclauses (I) - (III) of this clause subject to a Direct Loan NOFA and program rules:

(I) an increase to the interest rate up to the highest interest rate on any senior debt or if no senior debt a market rate determined by the Underwriter based on current market interest rates;

(II) or a decrease in the amortization period but not less than thirty (30) years;

(III) an assumed increase in the permanent loan amount for non-Department funded loans based upon the rates and terms in the permanent loan term sheet as long as they are within the ranges in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph.

(iii) For Housing Tax Credit Developments, a reduction in the recommended Housing Credit Allocation Amount may be made based on the Gap Method described in subsection (c)(2) of this section as a result of an increased debt assumption, if any.

(iv) For Developments financed with a Direct Loan subordinate to FHA financing, DCR on the Direct Loan will be calculated using 75% of the Surplus Cash (as defined by the applicable FHA program).

(v) The Underwriter may limit total debt service that is senior to a Direct Loan to produce an acceptable DCR on the Direct Loan.

(5) Long Term Pro forma. The Underwriter will create a 30-year operating pro forma using the following:

(A) The Underwriter's or Applicant's first year stabilized pro forma as determined by paragraph (3) of this subsection.
(B) A 2 percent annual growth factor is utilized for income and a 3 percent annual growth factor is utilized for operating expenses except for management fees that are calculated based on a percentage of each year's EGI.

(C) Adjustments may be made to the long term pro forma if satisfactory support documentation is provided by the Applicant or as independently determined by the Underwriter.

(e) Total Housing Development Costs. The Department’s estimate of the Total Housing Development Cost will be based on the Applicant’s development cost schedule to the extent that costs can be verified to a reasonable degree of certainty with documentation from the Applicant and tools available to the Underwriter. For New Construction Developments, the Underwriter’s total cost estimate will be used unless the Applicant’s Total Housing Development Cost is within 5 percent of the Underwriter’s estimate. The Department’s estimate of the Total Housing Development Cost for Rehabilitation Developments will be based in accordance with the estimated cost provided in the PCA for the scope of work as defined by the Applicant and §1011.306(a)(5) of this chapter (relating to PCA Guidelines). If the Applicant’s cost estimate is utilized and the Applicant’s line item costs are inconsistent with documentation provided in the Application or program rules, the Underwriter may make adjustments to the Applicant’s Total Housing Development Cost.

(I) Acquisition Costs. The underwritten acquisition cost is verified with Site Control document(s) for the Property. At Cost Certification, the underwritten acquisition cost will be the amount verified by the settlement statement. For Identify of Interest acquisitions, the cost will be limited to the underwritten acquisition cost at initial Underwriting.

(A) Excess Land Acquisition. In cases where more land is to be acquired (by the Applicant or a Related Party) than will be utilized as the Development Site and the remainder acreage is not accessible for use by tenants or dedicated as permanent and maintained green space, the value ascribed to the proposed Development Site will be prorated based on acreage from the total cost reflected in the Site Control document(s). An appraisal containing segregated values for the total acreage, the acreage for the Development Site and the remainder acreage, or tax assessment value may be used by the Underwriter in making a proration determination based on relative value; however, the Underwriter will not utilize a prorated value greater than the total amount in the Site Control document(s).

(B) Identity of Interest Acquisitions.

(i) An acquisition will be considered an identity of interest transaction when an Affiliate of the seller is an Affiliate of, a Related Party to, any owner at any level of the Development Team or a Related Party lender; and

(I) is the current owner in whole or in part of the Property; or

(II) has or had within the prior 36 months, legal or beneficial ownership of the property or any portion thereof or interest therein prior to the first day of the Application Acceptance Period.

(ii) In all identity of interest transactions the Applicant is required to provide:

(I) the original acquisition cost in the most recent non-identity of interest transaction evidenced by an executed settlement statement or, if a settlement statement is not available, the original asset value listed in the most current financial statement for the identity of interest owner; and

(II) if the original acquisition cost evidenced by subclause (I) of this clause is less than the acquisition cost stated in the application:
(-a-) an appraisal that meets the requirements of §1011.304 of this chapter (relating to Appraisal Rules and Guidelines); and

(-b-) any other verifiable costs of owning, holding, or improving the Property, excluding seller financing, that when added to the value from subclause (I) of this clause justifies the Applicant's proposed acquisition amount.

(-1-) For land-only transactions, documentation of owning, holding or improving costs since the original acquisition date may include property taxes, interest expense to unrelated Third Party lender(s), capitalized costs of any physical improvements, the cost of zoning, platting, and any off-site costs to provide utilities or improve access to the Property. All allowable holding and improvement costs must directly benefit the proposed Development by a reduction to hard or soft costs. Additionally, an annual return of 10 percent may be applied to the original capital investment and documented holding and improvement costs; this return will be applied from the date the applicable cost is incurred until the date of the Department's Board meeting at which the Grant, Direct Loan and/ or Housing Credit Allocation will be considered.

(-2-) For transactions which include existing residential or non-residential buildings that will be rehabilitated or otherwise retained as part of the Development, documentation of owning, holding, or improving costs since the original acquisition date may include capitalized costs of improvements to the Property, and in the case of USDA financed Developments the cost of exit taxes not to exceed an amount necessary to allow the sellers to be made whole in the original and subsequent investment in the Property and avoid foreclosure. Additionally, an annual return of 10 percent may be applied to the original capital investment and documented holding and improvement costs; this return will be applied from the date the applicable cost was incurred until the date of the Department's Board meeting at which the Grant, Direct Loan and/or Housing Credit Allocation will be considered. For any period of time during which the existing residential or non-residential buildings are occupied or otherwise producing revenue, holding and improvement costs will not include capitalized costs, operating expenses, property taxes, interest expense or any other cost associated with the operations of the buildings.

(C) In no instance will the acquisition cost utilized by the Underwriter exceed the lesser of the original acquisition cost evidenced by clause (ii)(I) of this subparagraph plus costs identified in clause (ii)(II)(-b-) of this subparagraph, or if applicable the "as-is" value conclusion evidenced by clause (ii)(II)(-a-) of this subparagraph or the transfer value approved by USDA. Acquisition cost is limited to appraised land value for transactions which include existing buildings that will be demolished. The resulting acquisition cost will be referred to as the "Adjusted Acquisition Cost."

(D) Eligible Basis on Acquisition of Buildings. Building acquisition cost will be included in the underwritten Eligible Basis if the Applicant provided an appraisal that meets the Department's Appraisal Rules and Guidelines as described in §1011.304 of this chapter. The underwritten eligible building cost will be the lowest of the values determined based on clauses (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph:

(i) the Applicant's stated eligible building acquisition cost;

(ii) the total acquisition cost reflected in the Site Control document(s), or the Adjusted Acquisition Cost (as defined in subparagraph (B)(iii) of this paragraph), prorated using the relative land and building values indicated by the applicable appraised value;

(iii) total acquisition cost reflected in the Site Control document(s), or the Adjusted Acquisition Cost (as defined in subparagraph (B)(iii) of this paragraph), less the appraised "as-vacant" land value; or
(iv) the Underwriter will use the value that best corresponds to the circumstances presently affecting the Development that will continue to affect the Development after transfer to the new owner in determining the building value. These circumstances include but are not limited to operating subsidies, rental assistance, transfer values approved by USDA and/or property tax exemptions. Any value of existing favorable financing will be attributed prorata to the land and buildings.

(2) **Off-Site Costs.** The Underwriter will only consider costs of Off-Site Construction that are well documented and certified to by a Third Party engineer on the required Application forms with supporting documentation.

(3) **Site Work Costs.** The Underwriter will only consider costs of Site Work that are well documented and certified to by a Third Party engineer on the required Application forms with supporting documentation.

(4) **Building Costs.**

(A) **New Construction and Reconstruction.** The Underwriter will use the Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook, other comparable published Third-Party cost estimating data sources, historical final cost certifications of previous Housing Tax Credit developments and other acceptable cost data available to the Underwriter to estimate Building Cost. Generally, the “Average Quality” multiple, townhouse, or single family costs, as appropriate, from the Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook or other comparable published Third-Party data source, will be used based upon details provided in the Application and particularly building plans and elevations. The Underwriter will consider amenities, specifications and development types not included in the Average Quality standard. The Underwriter may consider a sales tax exemption for nonprofit General Contractors.

(B) **Rehabilitation and Adaptive Reuse.**

   (i) The Applicant must provide a scope of work and narrative description of the work to be completed. The narrative should speak to all Off-Site Construction, Site Work, building components including finishes and equipment, and development amenities. The narrative should be in sufficient detail so that the reader can understand the work and it should generally be arranged consistent with the line-items on the PCA Cost Schedule Supplement and must also be consistent with the development cost schedule of the Application.

   (ii) The Underwriter will use cost data provided on the PCA Cost Schedule Supplement.

(5) **Contingency.** Total contingency, including any soft cost contingency, will be limited to a maximum of 7 percent of Building Cost plus Site Work and Off-Site Construction for New Construction and Reconstruction Developments, and 10 percent of Building Cost plus Site Work and Off-Site Construction for Rehabilitation and Adaptive Reuse Developments. For Housing Tax Credit Developments, the percentage is applied to the sum of the eligible Building Cost, eligible Site Work costs and eligible Off-Site Construction costs in calculating the eligible contingency cost.

(6) **General Contractor Fee.** General Contractor fees include general requirements, contractor overhead, and contractor profit. General requirements include, but are not limited to, on-site supervision or construction management, off-site supervision and overhead, jobsite security, equipment rental, storage, temporary utilities, and other indirect costs. General Contractor fees are limited to a total of 14 percent on Developments with Hard Costs of $3 million or greater, the lesser of $420,000 or 16 percent on Developments with Hard Costs less than $3 million and greater than $2 million, and the lesser of $320,000 or 18 percent on Developments with Hard Costs at $2 million or less. Any contractor fees to Affiliates or Related Party subcontractors regardless of the percentage
of the contract sum in the construction contract(s) will be treated collectively with the General Contractor Fee limitations. For Housing Tax Credit Developments, the percentages are applied to the sum of the Eligible Hard Costs in calculating the eligible contractor fees. For Developments also receiving financing from USDA, the combination of builder's general requirements, builder's overhead, and builder's profit should not exceed the lower of TDHCA or USDA requirements. Additional fees for ineligible costs will be limited to the same percentage of ineligible Hard Costs but will not be included in Eligible Basis.

(7) Developer Fee.

(A) For Housing Tax Credit Developments, the Developer Fee included in Eligible Basis cannot exceed 15 percent of the project's eligible costs, less Developer fees, for Developments proposing fifty (50) Units or more and 20 percent of the project's eligible costs, less Developer fees, for Developments proposing forty-nine (49) Units or less. For Public Housing Authority Developments for conversion under the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration ("RAD") program that will be financed using tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds, the Developer Fee cannot exceed 20 percent of the project's eligible cost less Developer Fee.

(B) Any additional Developer Fee claimed for ineligible costs will be limited to the same percentage but applied only to ineligible Hard Costs (15 percent for Developments with fifty (50) or more Units, or 20 percent for Developments with forty-nine (49) or fewer Units). Any Developer Fee above this limit will be excluded from Total Housing Development Costs. All fees to Affiliates and/or Related Parties for work or guarantees determined by the Underwriter to be typically completed or provided by the Developer or Principal(s) of the Developer will be considered part of Developer fee.

(C) In the case of a transaction requesting acquisition Housing Tax Credits:

(i) the allocation of eligible Developer Fee in calculating Rehabilitation/New Construction Housing Tax Credits will not exceed 15 percent of the Rehabilitation/New Construction eligible costs less Developer fees for Developments proposing fifty (50) Units or more and 20 percent of the Rehabilitation/New Construction eligible costs less Developer Fees for Developments proposing forty-nine (49) Units or less; and

(ii) no Developer Fee attributable to an identity of interest acquisition of the Development will be included.

(D) Eligible Developer Fee is multiplied by the appropriate Applicable Percentage depending whether it is attributable to acquisition or rehabilitation basis.

(E) For non-Housing Tax Credit developments, the percentage can be up to 15 percent, but is based upon Total Housing Development Cost less the sum of the fee itself, land costs, the costs of permanent financing, excessive construction period financing described in paragraph (8) of this subsection, reserves, and any identity of interest acquisition cost.

(8) Financing Costs. All fees required by the construction lender, permanent lender and equity partner must be indicated in the term sheets. Eligible construction period interest is limited to the lesser of actual eligible construction period interest, or the interest on one (1) year's fully drawn construction period loan funds at the construction period interest rate indicated in the term sheet(s). For tax-exempt bond transactions up to twenty four (24) months of interest may be included. Any excess over this amount will not be included in Eligible Basis. Construction period interest on Related Party construction loans is only included in Eligible Basis with documentation satisfactory to the Underwriter that the loan will be at a market interest rate, fees and loan terms and the Related
Party lender can demonstrate that it is routinely engaged in construction financing to unrelated parties.

(9) **Reserves.** Except for the underwriting of a Housing Tax Credit Development at cost certification, the Underwriter will utilize the amount described in the Applicant's project cost schedule if it is within the range of two (2) to six (6) months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service. Alternatively, the Underwriter may consider a greater amount proposed by the first lien lender or syndicator if the detail for such greater amount is found by the Underwriter to be both reasonable and well documented. Reserves do not include capitalized asset management fees, guaranty reserves, tenant services reserves or other similar costs. Lease up reserves, exclusive of initial start-up costs, funding of other reserves and interim interest, may be considered with documentation showing sizing assumptions acceptable to the Underwriter. In no instance at initial underwriting will total reserves exceed twelve (12) months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service (and only for USDA or HUD financed rehabilitation transactions the initial deposits to replacement reserves and transferred replacement reserves for USDA or HUD financed rehabilitation transactions). Pursuant to §10.404(c) of this title and for the underwriting of a Housing Tax Credit Development at cost certification, operating reserves that will be maintained for a minimum period of five years and documented in the Owner's partnership agreement and/or the permanent lender's loan documents will be included as a development cost.

(10) **Soft Costs.** Eligible soft costs are generally costs that can be capitalized in the basis of the Development for tax purposes. The Underwriter will evaluate and apply the allocation of these soft costs in accordance with the Department's prevailing interpretation of the Code. Generally the Applicant's costs are used however the Underwriter will use comparative data to determine the reasonableness of all soft costs.

(11) **Additional Tenant Amenities.** For Housing Tax Credit Developments and after submission of the cost certification package, the Underwriter may consider costs of additional building and site amenities (suitable for the tenant population being served) proposed by the Owner in an amount not to exceed 1.5% of the originally underwritten Hard Costs. The additional amenities may be included in the LURA.

(12) **Special Reserve Account.** For Housing Tax Credit Developments at cost certification, the Underwriter may include a deposit of up to $2,500 per Unit into a Special Reserve Account as a Development Cost.

(f) **Development Team Capacity and Development Plan.**

(1) The Underwriter will evaluate and report on the overall capacity of the Development Team by reviewing aspects, including but not limited to those identified in subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph:

(A) personal credit reports for development sponsors, Developer fee recipients and those individuals anticipated to provide guarantee(s) in cases when warranted. The Underwriter may evaluate the credit report and identify any bankruptcy, state or federal tax liens or other relevant credit risks for compliance with eligibility and debarment requirements in this chapter;

(B) quality of construction, Rehabilitation, and ongoing maintenance of previously awarded housing developments by review of construction inspection reports, compliance on-site visits, findings of UPCS violations and other information available to the Underwriter;

(C) for Housing Tax Credit Developments, repeated or ongoing failure to timely submit cost certifications, requests for and clearance of final inspections, and timely response to deficiencies in the cost certification process;
(D) adherence to obligations on existing or prior Department funded developments with respect to program rules and documentation.

(2) While all components of the development plan may technically meet the other individual requirements of this section, a confluence of serious concerns and unmitigated risks identified during the underwriting process may result in an Application being referred to the Committee by the Director of Real Estate Analysis. The Committee will review any recommendation made under this subsection to deny an Application for a Grant, Direct Loan and/or Housing Credit Allocation prior to completion of the Report and posting to the Department's website.

(g) Other Underwriting Considerations. The Underwriter will evaluate additional feasibility elements as described in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection.

(1) Floodplains. The Underwriter evaluates the site plan, floodplain map, survey and other information provided to determine if any of the buildings, drives, or parking areas reside within the 100-year floodplain. If such a determination is made by the Underwriter, the Report will include a condition that:

(A) the Applicant must pursue and receive a Letter of Map Amendment ("LOMA") or Letter of Map Revision ("LOMR-F"); or

(B) the Applicant must identify the cost of flood insurance for the buildings and for the tenant’s contents for buildings within the 100-year floodplain and certify that the flood insurance will be obtained; and

(C) the Development must be proposed to be designed to comply with the QAP, Program Rules and NOFA, and applicable Federal or state requirements.

(2) Proximity to Other Developments. The Underwriter will identify in the Report any developments funded or known and anticipated to be eligible for funding within one linear mile of the subject. Distance is measured in a straight line from nearest boundary point to nearest boundary point.

(3) Supportive Housing. The unique development and operating characteristics of Supportive Housing Developments may require special consideration in these areas:

(A) Operating Income. The extremely-low-income tenant population typically targeted by a Supportive Housing Development may include deep-skewing of rents to well below the 50 percent AMGI level or other maximum rent limits established by the Department. The Underwriter should utilize the Applicant’s proposed rents in the Report as long as such rents are at or below the maximum rent limit proposed for the units or equal to any project based rental subsidy rent to be utilized for the Development if higher than the maximum rent limits;

(B) Operating Expenses. A Supportive Housing Development may have significantly higher expenses for payroll, management fee, security, resident supportive services, or other items than typical affordable housing developments. The Underwriter will rely heavily upon the historical operating expenses of other Supportive Housing Developments affiliated with the Applicant or otherwise available to the Underwriter. Expense estimates must be categorized as outlined in subsection (d)(2) of this section;

(C) DCR and Long Term Feasibility. Supportive Housing Developments may be exempted from the DCR requirements of subsection (d)(4)(D) of this section if the Development is anticipated to operate without conventional or "must-pay" debt. Applicants must provide evidence of sufficient financial resources to offset any projected 15-year cumulative negative Cash Flow. Such evidence will be evaluated by the Underwriter on a case-by-case basis to satisfy the Department's long term
feasibility requirements and may take the form of one or a combination of: executed subsidy commitment(s); set-aside of Applicant's financial resources to be substantiated by current financial statements evidencing sufficient resources; and/or proof of annual fundraising success sufficient to fill anticipated operating losses. If either a set aside of financial resources or annual fundraising are used to evidence the long term feasibility of a Supportive Housing Development, a resolution from the Applicant's governing board must be provided confirming their irrevocable commitment to the provision of these funds and activities; and/or

(D) Total Housing Development Costs. For Supportive Housing Developments designed with only Efficiency Units, the Underwriter may use "Average Quality" dormitory costs, or costs of other appropriate design styles from the Marshall & Swift Valuation Service, with adjustments for amenities and/or quality as evidenced in the Application, as a base cost in evaluating the reasonableness of the Applicant's Building Cost estimate for New Construction Developments.

(h) Work Out Development. Developments that are underwritten subsequent to Board approval in order to refinance or gain relief from restrictions may be considered infeasible based on the guidelines in this section, but may be characterized as "the best available option" or "acceptable available option" depending on the circumstances and subject to the discretion of the Underwriter as long as the option analyzed and recommended is more likely to achieve a better financial outcome for the property and the Department than the status quo.

(i) Feasibility Conclusion. An infeasible Development will not be recommended for a Grant, Direct Loan or Housing Credit Allocation unless the Underwriter can determine an alternative structure and/or conditions the recommendations of the Report upon receipt of documentation supporting an alternative structure. A Development will be characterized as infeasible if paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection applies. The Development will be characterized as infeasible if one or more of paragraphs (3) - (5) of this subsection applies unless paragraph (6)(B) of this subsection also applies.

(1) Gross Capture Rate, AMGI Band Capture Rates, and Individual Unit Capture Rate. The method for determining capture rates for a Development is defined in §1011.303 of this chapter. The Underwriter will independently verify all components and conclusions of the capture rates and may, at their discretion, use independently acquired demographic data to calculate demand and may make a determination of the capture rates based upon an analysis of the Sub-market. The Development:

(A) is characterized as an Elderly Development and the Gross Capture Rate or any AMGI band capture rate exceeds 10 percent; or

(B) is outside a Rural Area and targets the general population, and the Gross Capture Rate or any AMGI band capture rate exceeds 10 percent (or 15 percent for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments located in an MSA (as defined in the HTC Site Demographics Characteristics Report) with a population greater than 1 million if the average physical occupancy is 92.5% or greater for all stabilized affordable housing developments located within a 20 minute drive time, as supported by the Market Analyst, from the subject Development); or

(C) is in a Rural Area and targets the general population, and the Gross Capture Rate or any AMGI band capture rate exceeds 30 percent; or

(D) is Supportive Housing and the Gross Capture Rate or any AMGI band capture rate exceeds 30 percent; or

(E) has an Individual Unit Capture Rate for any Unit Type greater than 65 percent.
(F) Developments meeting the requirements of subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D) or (E) of this paragraph may avoid being characterized as infeasible if clause (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph apply.

(i) Replacement Housing. The proposed Development is comprised of affordable housing which replaces previously existing affordable housing within the Primary Market Area as defined in §10.303 of this chapter on a Unit for Unit basis, and gives the displaced tenants of the previously existing affordable housing a leasing preference.

(ii) Existing Housing. The proposed Development is comprised of existing affordable housing, whether defined by an existing land use and rent restriction agreement or if the subject rents are at or below 50% AMI rents, which is at least 50 percent occupied and gives displaced existing tenants a leasing preference as stated in a relocation plan.

(2) Deferred Developer Fee. Applicants requesting an allocation of tax credits where the estimated deferred Developer Fee, based on the underwritten capitalization structure, is not repayable from Cash Flow within the first fifteen (15) years of the long term pro forma as described in subsection (d)(5) of this section.

(3) Pro Forma Rent. The Pro Forma Rent for Units with rents restricted at 60 percent of AMGI, or above if the Applicant will make the Income Average election, is less than the Net Program Rent for Units with rents restricted at or below 50 percent of AMGI unless the Applicant accepts the Underwriter's recommendation, if any, that all restricted units have rents and incomes restricted at or below the 50 percent of AMGI level.

(4) Initial Feasibility.

(A) Except when underwritten at cost certification, the first year stabilized pro forma operating expense divided by the first year stabilized pro forma Effective Gross Income is greater than 68 percent for Rural Developments 36 Units or less and 65 percent for all other Developments.

(B) The first year DCR is below 1.15 (1.00 for USDA Developments).

(5) Long Term Feasibility. The Long Term Pro forma at any time during years two through fifteen, as defined in subsection (d)(5) of this section, reflects:

(A) a Debt Coverage Ratio below 1.15; or,

(B) negative cash flow (throughout the term of a Direct Loan).

(6) Exceptions. The infeasibility conclusions may be excepted when:

(A) Waived by the Executive Director of the Department or by the Committee if documentation is submitted by the Applicant to support unique circumstances that would provide mitigation.

(B) Developments not meeting the requirements of one or more of paragraphs (3), (4)(A) or (5) of this subsection will be re-characterized as feasible if one or more of clauses (i) - (v) of this subparagraph apply. A Development financed with a Direct Loan will not be re-characterized as feasible with respect to (5)(B).

(i) The Development will receive Project-based Section 8 Rental Assistance or the HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration Program for at least 50 percent of the Units and a firm commitment, with terms including Contract Rent and number of Units, is submitted at Application.

(ii) The Development will receive rental assistance for at least 50 percent of the Units in association with USDA financing.

(iii) The Development will be characterized as public housing as defined by HUD for at least 50 percent of the Units.
(iv) The Development will be characterized as Supportive Housing for at least 50 percent of the Units and evidence of adequate financial support for the long term viability of the Development is provided.

(v) The Development has other long term project based restrictions on rents for at least 50 percent of the Units that allow rents to increase based upon expenses and the Applicant's proposed rents are at least 10 percent lower than both the Net Program Rent and Market Rent.

§11.303 Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines

(a) General Provision. A Market Analysis prepared for the Department must evaluate the need for decent, safe, and sanitary housing at rental rates or sales prices that eligible tenants can afford. The analysis must determine the feasibility of the subject Property rental rates or sales price and state conclusions as to the impact of the Property with respect to the determined housing needs. The Market Analysis must include a statement that the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section. The Market Analysis must also include a statement that the person or company preparing the Market Analysis is a disinterested party and will not materially benefit from the Development in any other way than receiving a fee for performing the Market Analysis, and that the fee is in no way contingent upon the outcome of the Market Analysis. The report must also include the following statement, "all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby must acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.”

(b) Self-Contained. A Market Analysis prepared for the Department must allow the reader to understand the market data presented, the analysis of the data, and the conclusions derived from such data. All data presented should reflect the most current information available and the report must provide a parenthetical (in-text) citation or footnote describing the data source. The analysis must clearly lead the reader to the same or similar conclusions reached by the Market Analyst. All steps leading to a calculated figure must be presented in the body of the report.

(c) Market Analyst Qualifications. A Market Analysis submitted to the Department must be prepared and certified by an approved Qualified Market Analyst. (§2306.67055) The Department will maintain an approved Market Analyst list based on the guidelines set forth in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection.

(I) The approved Qualified Market Analyst list will be updated and published annually on or about November 1st. If not listed as an approved Qualified Market Analyst by the Department, a Market Analyst may request approval by submitting items in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the first day of the competitive tax credit Application Acceptance Period or thirty (30) calendar days prior to submission of any other application for funding for which the Market Analyst must be approved.

(A) Franchise Tax Account Status from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (not applicable for sole proprietorships).

(B) A current organization chart or list reflecting all members of the firm who may author or sign the Market Analysis. A firm with multiple offices or locations must indicate all members expected to be providing Market Analysis.

(C) Resumes for all members of the firm or subcontractors who may author or sign the Market Analysis.

(D) General information regarding the firm's experience including references, the number of previous similar assignments and timeframes in which previous assignments were completed.
(E) Certification from an authorized representative of the firm that the services to be provided will conform to the Department's Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines, as described in this section, in effect for the Application Round in which each Market Analysis is submitted.

(F) A sample Market Analysis that conforms to the Department's Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines, as described in this section, in effect for the year in which the sample Market Analysis is submitted.

An already approved Qualified Market Analyst will remain on the list so long as at least one (1) Market Analysis has been submitted to the Department in the previous 12 months or items (A), (B), (C) and (E) are submitted prior to October 1st. Otherwise, the Market Analyst will automatically be removed from the list.

(2) During the underwriting process each Market Analysis will be reviewed and any discrepancies with the rules and guidelines set forth in this section may be identified and require timely correction. Subsequent to the completion of the Application Round and as time permits, staff or a review appraiser will re-review a sample set of submitted market analyses to ensure that the Department's Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines are met. If it is found that a Market Analyst has not conformed to the Department's Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines, as certified to, the Market Analyst will be notified of the discrepancies in the Market Analysis and will be removed from the approved Qualified Market Analyst list.

(A) In and of itself, removal from the list of approved Market Analysts will not invalidate a Market Analysis commissioned prior to the removal date and at least ninety (90) days prior to the first day of the applicable Application Acceptance Period.

(B) To be reinstated as an approved Qualified Market Analyst, the Market Analyst must amend the previous report to remove all discrepancies or submit a new sample Market Analysis that conforms to the Department's Market Analysis Rules and Guidelines, as described in this section, in effect for the year in which the updated or new sample Market Analysis is submitted.

(d) Market Analysis Contents. A Market Analysis for a rental Development prepared for the Department must be organized in a format that follows a logical progression and must include, at minimum, items addressed in paragraphs (1) - (13) of this subsection.

(1) Title Page. Include Property address or location, effective date of analysis, date report completed, name and address of person authorizing report, and name and address of Market Analyst.

(2) Letter of Transmittal. The date of the letter must be the date the report was completed. Include Property address or location, description of Property, statement as to purpose and scope of analysis, reference to accompanying Market Analysis report with effective date of analysis and summary of conclusions, date of Property inspection, name of persons inspecting subject Property, and signatures of all Market Analysts authorized to work on the assignment. Include a statement that the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section.

(3) Table of Contents. Number the exhibits included with the report for easy reference.

(4) Market Analysis Summary. Include the Department's Market Analysis Summary exhibit.

(5) Assumptions and Limiting Conditions. Include a description of all assumptions, both general and specific, made by the Market Analyst concerning the Property.

(6) Identification of the Property. Provide a statement to acquaint the reader with the Development. Such information includes street address, tax assessor's parcel number(s), and Development characteristics.
(7) Statement of Ownership. Disclose the current owners of record and provide a three (3) year history of ownership for the subject Property.

(8) Primary Market Area. A limited geographic area from which the Development is expected to draw most of its demand. The size and shape of the PMA should be reflective of proximity to employment centers, services and amenities and contain the most significant areas from which to draw demand. All of the Market Analyst’s conclusions specific to the subject Development must be based on only one PMA definition. The Market Analyst must adhere to the methodology described in this paragraph when determining the market area. (§2306.67055)

(A) The PMA will be defined by the Market Analyst as:

(i) geographic size based on a base year population no larger than necessary to provide sufficient demand but no more than 100,000 people;

(ii) boundaries based on U.S. census tracts; and

(iii) the population of the PMA may exceed 100,000 if the amount over the limit is contained within a single census tract.

(B) The Market Analyst’s definition of the PMA must include:

(i) a detailed narrative specific to the PMA explaining:

(I) how the boundaries of the PMA were determined with respect to census tracts chosen and factors for including or excluding certain census tracts in proximity to the Development;

(II) whether a more logical market area within the PMA exists but is not definable by census tracts and how this subsection of the PMA supports the rationale for the defined PMA;

(III) what are the specific attributes of the Development’s location within the PMA that would draw prospective tenants from other areas of the PMA to relocate to the Development;

(IV) what are the specific attributes, if known, of the Development itself that would draw prospective tenants currently residing in other areas of the PMA to relocate to the Development;

(V) if the PMA crosses county lines, discuss the different income and rent limits in each county and how these differing amounts would affect the demand for the Development;

(VI) for rural Developments, discuss the relative draw (services, jobs, medical facilities, recreation, schools, etc.) of the Development’s immediate local area (city or populous area if no city) in comparison to its neighboring local areas (cities, or populous areas if no cities), in and around the PMA. A rural PMA should not include significantly larger more populous areas unless the analyst can provide substantiation and rationale that the tenants would migrate to the Development’s location from the larger cities;

(VII) discuss and quantify current and planned single-family and non-residential construction (include permit data if available); and

(VIII) other housing issues in general, if pertinent;

(ii) a complete demographic report for the defined PMA;

(iii) a scaled distance map indicating the PMA boundaries showing relevant U.S. census tracts with complete 11-digit identification numbers in numerical order with labels as well as the location of the subject Development and all comparable Developments. The map must indicate the total square miles of PMA; and,
(iv) a proximity table indicating distance from the Development to employment centers, medical facilities, schools, entertainment and any other amenities relevant to the potential residents and include drive time estimates.

(C) Comparable Units. Identify developments in the PMA with Comparable Units. In PMAs lacking sufficient rent comparables, it may be necessary for the Market Analyst to collect data from markets with similar characteristics and make quantifiable and qualitative location adjustments. Provide a data sheet for each comparable development consisting of:

(i) development name;
(ii) address;
(iii) year of construction and year of Rehabilitation, if applicable;
(iv) property condition;
(v) Target Population;
(vi) unit mix specifying number of Bedrooms, number of baths, Net Rentable Area; and
(I) monthly rent and Utility Allowance; or
(II) sales price with terms, marketing period and date of sale;
(vii) description of concessions;
(viii) list of unit amenities;
(ix) utility structure;
(x) list of common amenities;
(xi) narrative comparison of its proximity to employment centers and services relative to targeted tenant population of the subject property; and,
(xii) for rental developments only, the occupancy and turnover.

(9) Market Information.

(A) Identify the number of units for each of the categories in clauses (i) - (vi) of this subparagraph , if applicable:

(i) total housing;
(ii) all multi-family rental developments, including unrestricted and market-rate developments, whether existing, under construction or proposed;
(iii) Affordable housing;
(iv) Comparable Units;
(v) Unstabilized Comparable Units; and
(vi) proposed Comparable Units.

(B) Occupancy. The occupancy rate indicated in the Market Analysis may be used to support both the overall demand conclusion for the proposed Development and the vacancy rate assumption used in underwriting the Development described in §1011.302(d)(1)(C) of this chapter (relating to Underwriting Rules and Guidelines). State the overall physical occupancy rate for the proposed housing tenure (renter or owner) within the defined market areas by:

(i) number of Bedrooms;
(ii) quality of construction (class);
(iii) Target Population; and
(iv) Comparable Units.

(C) Absorption. State the absorption trends by quality of construction (class) and absorption rates for Comparable Units.

(D) Demographic Reports.

(i) All demographic reports must include population and household data for a five (5) year period with the year of Application submission as the base year;
(ii) All demographic reports must provide sufficient data to enable calculation of income-eligible, age-, size-, and tenure-appropriate household populations;
(iii) For Elderly Developments, all demographic reports must provide a detailed breakdown of households by age and by income; and
(iv) A complete copy of all demographic reports relied upon for the demand analysis, including the reference index that indicates the census tracts on which the report is based.

(E) Demand. Provide a comprehensive evaluation of the need for the proposed housing for the Development as a whole and each Unit type by number of Bedrooms proposed and rent restriction category within the defined market areas using the most current census and demographic data available. A complete demand and capture rate analysis is required in every Market Study, regardless of the current occupancy level of an existing Development.

(i) Demographics. The Market Analyst should use demographic data specific to the characteristics of the households that will be living in the proposed Development. For example, the Market Analyst should use demographic data specific to the elderly populations (and any other qualifying residents for Elderly Developments) to be served by an Elderly Development, if available, and should avoid making adjustments from more general demographic data. If adjustment rates are used based on more general data for any of the criteria described in subclauses (I) - (V) of this clause, they should be clearly identified and documented as to their source in the report.

(I) Population. Provide population and household figures, supported by actual demographics, for a five (5) year period with the year of Application submission as the base year.

(II) Target. If applicable, adjust the household projections for the qualifying demographic characteristics such as the minimum age of the population to be served by the proposed Development.

(III) Household Size-Appropriate. Adjust the household projections or target household projections, as applicable, for the appropriate household size for the proposed Unit type by number of Bedrooms proposed and rent restriction category based on 2 persons per Bedroom or one person for Efficiency Units.

(IV) Income Eligible. Adjust the household size appropriate projections for income eligibility based on the income bands for the proposed Unit Type by number of Bedrooms proposed and rent restriction category with:

(-a-) the lower end of each income band calculated based on the lowest gross rent proposed divided by 40 percent for the general population and 50 percent for elderly households; and
(-b-) the upper end of each income band equal to the applicable gross median income limit for the largest appropriate household size based on 2 persons per Bedroom (round up) or one person for Efficiency Units.

(V) Tenure-Appropriate. Adjust the income-eligible household projections for tenure (renter or owner). If tenure appropriate income eligible target household data is available, a tenure appropriate adjustment is not necessary.

(ii) Gross Demand. Gross Demand is defined as the sum of Potential Demand from the PMA, Demand from Other Sources, and External Demand.

(iii) Potential Demand. Potential Demand is defined as the number of income-eligible, age-, size-, and tenure-appropriate target households in the designated market area at the proposed placed in service date.

(I) Maximum eligible income is equal to the applicable gross median income limit for the largest appropriate household size.

(II) For Developments targeting the general population:
(-a-) minimum eligible income is based on a 40 percent rent to income ratio;
(-b-) appropriate household size is defined as 2 persons per Bedroom (rounded up); and
(-c-) the tenure-appropriate population for a rental Development is limited to the population of renter households.

(III) For Developments consisting solely of single family residences on separate lots with all Units having three (3) or more Bedrooms:
(-a-) minimum eligible income is based on a 40 percent rent to income ratio;
(-b-) appropriate household size is defined as 2 persons per Bedroom (rounded up); and
(-c-) Gross Demand includes both renter and owner households.

(IV) Elderly Developments:
(-a-) minimum eligible income is based on a 50 percent rent to income ratio; and
(-b-) Gross Demand includes all household sizes and both renter and owner households within the age range (and any other qualifying characteristics) to be served by the Elderly Development.

(V) Supportive Housing:
(-a-) minimum eligible income is $1; and
(-b-) households meeting the occupancy qualifications of the Development (data to quantify this demand may be based on statistics beyond the defined PMA but not outside the historical service area of the Applicant).

(VI) For Developments with rent assisted units (PBV’s, PHU’s):
(-a-) minimum eligible income for the assisted units is $1; and
(-b-) maximum eligible income for the assisted units is the minimum eligible income of the corresponding affordable unit.

(iv) External Demand: Assume an additional 10% of Potential Demand from the PMA to represent demand coming from outside the PMA.

(v) Demand from Other Sources:
(I) the source of additional demand and the methodology used to calculate the additional demand must be clearly stated;

(II) consideration of Demand from Other Sources is at the discretion of the Underwriter;

(III) Demand from Other Sources must be limited to households that are not included in Potential Demand; and

(IV) if households with Section 8 vouchers are identified as a source of demand, the Market Study must include:

(-a-) documentation of the number of vouchers administered by the local Housing Authority; and

(-b-) a complete demographic report for the area in which the vouchers are distributed.

(F) Employment. Provide a comprehensive analysis of employment trends and forecasts in the Primary Market Area. Analysis must discuss existing or planned employment opportunities with qualifying income ranges.

(10) Conclusions. Include a comprehensive evaluation of the subject Property, separately addressing each housing type and specific population to be served by the Development in terms of items in subparagraphs (A) - (I) of this paragraph. All conclusions must be consistent with the data and analysis presented throughout the Market Analysis.

(A) Unit Mix. Provide a best possible unit mix conclusion based on the occupancy rates by Bedroom type within the PMA and target, income-eligible, size-appropriate and tenure-appropriate household demand by unit type and income type within the PMA.

(B) Rents. Provide a separate Market Rent conclusion for each proposed Unit Type by number of Bedrooms and rent restriction category. Conclusions of Market Rent below the maximum Net Program Rent limit must be well documented as the conclusions may impact the feasibility of the Development under §1011.302(i) of this chapter. In support of the Market Rent conclusions, provide a separate attribute adjustment matrix for each proposed Unit Type by number of Bedrooms and rental restriction category.

(i) The Department recommends use of HUD Form 92273.

(ii) A minimum of three developments must be represented on each attribute adjustment matrix.

(iii) Adjustments for concessions must be included, if applicable.

(iv) Adjustments for proximity and drive times to employment centers and services narrated in the Comparable Unit description, and the rationale for the amount of the adjustments must be included.

(v) Total adjustments in excess of 15 percent must be supported with additional narrative.

(vi) Total adjustments in excess of 25 percent indicate the Units are not comparable for the purposes of determining Market Rent conclusions.

(C) Effective Gross Income. Provide rental income, secondary income, and vacancy and collection loss projections for the subject derived independent of the Applicant’s estimates.

(D) Demand:

(i) state the Gross Demand for each Unit Type by number of Bedrooms proposed and rent restriction category (e.g., one-Bedroom Units restricted at 50 percent of AMGI; two-Bedroom Units restricted at 60 percent of AMGI); and
(ii) state the Gross Demand for the proposed Development as a whole. If some households are eligible for more than one Unit Type due to overlapping eligible ranges for income or household size, Gross Demand should be adjusted to avoid including households more than once.

(iii) state the Gross Demand generated from each AMGI band. If some household incomes are included in more than one AMGI band, Gross Demand should be adjusted to avoid including households more than once.

(E) Relevant Supply. The Relevant Supply of proposed and unstabilized Comparable Units includes:

(i) the proposed subject Units to be absorbed;

(ii) Comparable Units in an Application with priority over the subject pursuant to §10.11.201(6) of this chapter; and

(iii) Comparable Units in previously approved Developments in the PMA that have not achieved 90% occupancy for a minimum of 90 days.

(F) Gross Capture Rate. The Gross Capture Rate is defined as the Relevant Supply divided by the Gross Demand. Refer to §10.11.302(i) of this chapter for feasibility criteria.

(G) Individual Unit Capture Rate. For each Unit Type by number of Bedrooms and rent restriction categories, the individual unit capture rate is defined as the Relevant Supply of proposed and unstabilized Comparable Units divided by the eligible demand for that Unit. Some households are eligible for multiple Unit Types. In order to calculate individual unit capture rates, each household is included in the capture rate for only one Unit Type.

(H) Capture Rate by AMGI Band. For each AMGI band (30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, and also 20%, 70%, and 80% if the Applicant will make the Income Average election), the capture rate by AMGI band is defined as Relevant Supply of proposed and unstabilized Comparable Units divided by the eligible demand from that AMGI band. Some households are qualified for multiple income bands. In order to calculate AMGI band rates, each household is included in the capture rate for only one AMGI band.

(I) Absorption. Project an absorption period for the subject Development to achieve Breakeven Occupancy. State the absorption rate.

(J) Market Impact. Provide an assessment of the impact the subject Development, as completed, will have on existing Developments supported by Housing Tax Credits in the Primary Market. (§2306.67055)

(12) Photographs. Provide labeled color photographs of the subject Property, the neighborhood, street scenes, and comparables. An aerial photograph is desirable but not mandatory.

(13) Appendices. Any Third Party reports including demographics relied upon by the Market Analyst must be provided in appendix form. A list of works cited including personal communications also must be provided, and the Modern Language Association (MLA) format is suggested.

(14) Qualifications. Current Franchise Tax Account Status from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (not applicable for sole proprietorships) and any changes to items listed in §10.11.303(c)(1)(B) and (C) of this chapter.

(e) The Department reserves the right to require the Market Analyst to address such other issues as may be relevant to the Department's evaluation of the need for the subject Development and the provisions of the particular program guidelines.
In the event that the PMA for a subject Development overlaps the PMA’s of other proposed or unstabilized comparable Developments, the Underwriter may perform an extended Sub-Market analysis considering the combined PMA’s and all proposed and unstabilized Units in the extended Sub-Market Area; the Gross Capture Rate from such an extended Sub-Market Area analysis may be used as the basis for a feasibility conclusion.

All Applicants shall acknowledge, by virtue of filing an Application, that the Department shall not be bound by any such opinion or Market Analysis, and may substitute its own analysis and underwriting conclusions for those submitted by the Market Analyst.


(a) General Provision. An appraisal prepared for the Department must conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) as adopted by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation. The appraisal must include a statement that the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section. The appraisal must include a statement that the person or company preparing the appraisal is a disinterested party and will not materially benefit from the Development in any other way than receiving a fee for performing the appraisal and that the fee is in no way contingent upon the outcome of the appraisal.

(b) Self-Contained. An appraisal prepared for the Department must describe sufficient and adequate data and analyses to support the final opinion of value. The final value(s) must be reasonable, based on the information included. Any Third Party reports relied upon by the appraiser must be verified by the appraiser as to the validity of the data and the conclusions.

c) Appraiser Qualifications. The qualifications of each appraiser are determined on a case-by-case basis by the Director of Real Estate Analysis or review appraiser, based upon the quality of the report itself and the experience and educational background of the appraiser. At minimum, a qualified appraiser must be appropriately certified or licensed by the Texas Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board.

(d) Appraisal Contents. An appraisal prepared for the Department must be organized in a format that follows a logical progression. In addition to the contents described in USPAP Standards Rule 2, the appraisal must include items addressed in paragraphs (1) - (12) of this subsection.

1) Title Page. Include a statement identifying the Department as the client, acknowledging that the Department is granted full authority to rely on the findings of the report, and name and address of person authorizing report. The title page must also include the following statement, “all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.”

2) Letter of Transmittal. Include reference to accompanying appraisal report, reference to all person(s) that provided significant assistance in the preparation of the report, date of report, effective date of appraisal, date of property inspection, name of person(s) inspecting the property, tax assessor's parcel number(s) of the site, estimate of marketing period, and signatures of all appraisers authorized to work on the assignment including the appraiser who inspected the property. Include a statement indicating the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section.

3) Table of Contents. Number the exhibits included with the report for easy reference.

4) Disclosure of Competency. Include appraiser's qualifications, detailing education and experience.
(5) Statement of Ownership of the Subject Property. Discuss all prior sales of the subject Property which occurred within the past three (3) years. Any pending agreements of sale, options to buy, or listing of the subject Property must be disclosed in the appraisal report.

(6) Property Rights Appraised. Include a statement as to the property rights (e.g., fee simple interest, leased fee interest, leasehold, etc.) being considered. The appropriate interest must be defined in terms of current appraisal terminology with the source cited.

(7) Site/Improvement Description. Discuss the site characteristics including subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph.

(A) Physical Site Characteristics. Describe dimensions, size (square footage, acreage, etc.), shape, topography, corner influence, frontage, access, ingress-egress, etc. associated with the Development Site. Include a plat map and/or survey.

(B) Floodplain. Discuss floodplain (including flood map panel number) and include a floodplain map with the subject Property clearly identified.

(C) Zoning. Report the current zoning and description of the zoning restrictions and/or deed restrictions, where applicable, and type of Development permitted. Any probability of change in zoning should be discussed. A statement as to whether or not the improvements conform to the current zoning should be included. A statement addressing whether or not the improvements could be rebuilt if damaged or destroyed, should be included. If current zoning is not consistent with the highest and best use, and zoning changes are reasonable to expect, time and expense associated with the proposed zoning change should be considered and documented. A zoning map should be included.

(D) Description of Improvements. Provide a thorough description and analysis of the improvements including size (Net Rentable Area, gross building area, etc.), number of stories, number of buildings, type/quality of construction, condition, actual age, effective age, exterior and interior amenities, items of deferred maintenance, energy efficiency measures, etc. All applicable forms of depreciation should be addressed along with the remaining economic life.

(E) Environmental Hazards. It is recognized appraisers are not experts in such matters and the impact of such deficiencies may not be quantified; however, the report should disclose any potential environmental hazards (such as discolored vegetation, oil residue, asbestos-containing materials, lead-based paint etc.) noted during the inspection.

(8) Highest and Best Use. Market Analysis and feasibility study is required as part of the highest and best use. The highest and best use analysis should consider paragraph (7)(A) - (E) of this subsection as well as a supply and demand analysis.

(A) The appraisal must inform the reader of any positive or negative market trends which could influence the value of the appraised Property. Detailed data must be included to support the appraiser's estimate of stabilized income, absorption, and occupancy.

(B) The highest and best use section must contain a separate analysis "as if vacant" and "as improved" (or "as proposed to be improved/renovated"). All four elements (legally permissible, physically possible, feasible, and maximally productive) must be considered.

(9) Appraisal Process. It is mandatory that all three approaches, Cost Approach, Sales Comparison Approach and Income Approach, are considered in valuing the Property. If an approach is not applicable to a particular property an adequate explanation must be provided. A land value estimate must be provided if the Cost Approach is not applicable.
(A) **Cost Approach.** This approach should give a clear and concise estimate of the cost to construct the subject improvements. The source(s) of the cost data should be reported.

(i) Cost comparables are desirable; however, alternative cost information may be obtained from Marshall & Swift Valuation Service or similar publications. The section, class, page, etc. should be referenced. All soft costs and entrepreneurial profit must be addressed and documented.

(ii) All applicable forms of depreciation must be discussed and analyzed. Such discussion must be consistent with the description of the improvements.

(iii) The land value estimate should include a sufficient number of sales which are current, comparable, and similar to the subject in terms of highest and best use. Comparable sales information should include address, legal description, tax assessor's parcel number(s), sales price, date of sale, grantor, grantee, three (3) year sales history, and adequate description of property transferred. The final value estimate should fall within the adjusted and unadjusted value ranges. Consideration and appropriate cash equivalent adjustments to the comparable sales price for subclauses (I) - (VII) of this clause should be made when applicable.

(I) Property rights conveyed.

(II) Financing terms.

(III) Conditions of sale.

(IV) Location.

(V) Highest and best use.

(VI) Physical characteristics (e.g., topography, size, shape, etc.).

(VII) Other characteristics (e.g., existing/proposed entitlements, special assessments, etc.).

(B) **Sales Comparison Approach.** This section should contain an adequate number of sales to provide the reader/staff and the Board with a description of the current market conditions concerning this property type. Sales data should be recent and specific for the property type being appraised. The sales must be confirmed with buyer, seller, or an individual knowledgeable of the transaction.

(i) Sales information should include address, legal description, tax assessor's parcel number(s), sales price, financing considerations and adjustment for cash equivalency, date of sale, recordation of the instrument, parties to the transaction, three (3) year sale history, complete description of the Property and property rights conveyed, and discussion of marketing time. A scaled distance map clearly identifying the subject and the comparable sales must be included.

(ii) The method(s) used in the Sales Comparison Approach must be reflective of actual market activity and market participants.

(I) **Sale Price/ Unit of Comparison.** The analysis of the sale comparables must identify, relate, and evaluate the individual adjustments applicable for property rights, terms of sale, conditions of sale, market conditions, and physical features. Sufficient narrative must be included to permit the reader to understand the direction and magnitude of the individual adjustments, as well as a unit of comparison value indicator for each comparable.

(II) **Net Operating Income/ Unit of Comparison.** The Net Operating Income statistics or the comparables must be calculated in the same manner. It should be disclosed if reserves for replacement have been included in this method of analysis. At least one other method should accompany this method of analysis.
(C) Income Approach. This section must contain an analysis of both the actual historical and projected income and expense aspects of the subject Property.

(i) Market Rent Estimate/Comparable Rental Analysis. This section of the report should include an adequate number of actual market transactions to inform the reader of current market conditions concerning rental Units. The comparables must indicate current research for this specific property type. The comparables must be confirmed with the landlord, tenant or agent and individual data sheets must be included. The individual data sheets should include property address, lease terms, description of the property (e.g., Unit Type, unit size, unit mix, interior amenities, exterior amenities, etc.), physical characteristics of the property, and location of the comparables. Analysis of the Market Rents should be sufficiently detailed to permit the reader to understand the appraiser's logic and rationale. Adjustment for lease rights, condition of the lease, location, physical characteristics of the property, etc. must be considered.

(ii) Comparison of Market Rent to Contract Rent. Actual income for the subject along with the owner's current budget projections must be reported, summarized, and analyzed. If such data is unavailable, a statement to this effect is required and appropriate assumptions and limiting conditions should be made. The Contract Rents should be compared to the market-derived rents. A determination should be made as to whether the Contract Rents are below, equal to, or in excess of market rates. If there is a difference, its impact on value must be qualified.

(iii) Vacancy/Collection Loss. Historical occupancy data and current occupancy level for the subject should be reported and compared to occupancy data from the rental comparables and overall occupancy data for the subject's Primary Market.

(iv) Expense Analysis. Actual expenses for the subject, along with the owner's projected budget, must be reported, summarized, and analyzed. If such data is unavailable, a statement to this effect is required and appropriate assumptions and limiting conditions should be made. Historical expenses should be compared to comparables expenses of similar property types or published survey data (such as IREM, BOMA, etc.). Any expense differences should be reconciled. Include historical data regarding the subject's assessment and tax rates and a statement as to whether or not any delinquent taxes exist.

(v) Capitalization. The appraiser should present the capitalization method(s) reflective of the subject market and explain the omission of any method not considered in the report.

(I) Direct Capitalization. The primary method of deriving an overall rate is through market extraction. If a band of investment or mortgage equity technique is utilized, the assumptions must be fully disclosed and discussed.

(II) Yield Capitalization (Discounted Cash Flow Analysis). This method of analysis should include a detailed and supportive discussion of the projected holding/investment period, income and income growth projections, occupancy projections, expense and expense growth projections, reversionary value and support for the discount rate.

(10) Value Estimates. Reconciliation of final value estimates is required. The Underwriter may request additional valuation information based on unique existing circumstances that are relevant for deriving the market value of the Property.

(A) All appraisals shall contain a separate estimate of the "as vacant" market value of the underlying land, based upon current sales comparables. The "as vacant" value assumes that there are no improvements on the property and therefore demolition costs should not be considered. The appraiser should consider the fee simple or leased fee interest as appropriate.
(B) For existing Developments with any project-based rental assistance that will remain with the property after the acquisition, the appraisal must include an "as-is as-currently-restricted value". For public housing converting to project-based rental assistance, the appraiser must provide a value based on the future restricted rents. The value used in the analysis may be based on the unrestricted market rents if supported by an appraisal. The Department may require that the appraisal be reviewed by a third-party appraiser acceptable to the Department but selected by the Applicant. Use of the restricted rents by the appraiser will not require an appraisal review. Regardless of the rents used in the valuation, the appraiser must consider any other on-going restrictions that will remain in place even if not affecting rents. If the rental assistance has an impact on the value, such as use of a lower capitalization rate due to the lower risk associated with rental rates and/or occupancy rates on project-based developments, this must be fully explained and supported to the satisfaction of the Underwriter.

(C) For existing Developments with rent restrictions, the appraisal must include the "as-is as-restricted" value. In particular, the value must be based on the proposed restricted rents when deriving the value based on the income approach.

(D) For all other existing Developments, the appraisal must include the "as-is" value.

(E) For any Development with favorable financing (generally below market debt) that will remain in place and transfer to the new owner, the appraisal must include a separate value for the existing favorable financing with supporting information.

(F) If required the appraiser must include a separate assessment of personal property, furniture, fixtures, and equipment ("FF&E") and/or intangible items. If personal property, FF&E, or intangible items are not part of the transaction or value estimate, a statement to such effect should be included.

(11) Marketing Time. Given property characteristics and current market conditions, the appraiser(s) should employ a reasonable marketing period. The report should detail existing market conditions and assumptions considered relevant.

(12) Photographs. Provide good quality color photographs of the subject Property (front, rear, and side elevations, on-site amenities, interior of typical Units if available). Photographs should be properly labeled. Photographs of the neighborhood, street scenes, and comparables should be included. An aerial photograph is desirable but not mandatory.

(e) Additional Appraisal Concerns. The appraiser(s) must be aware of the Department program rules and guidelines and the appraisal must include analysis of any impact to the subject's value.


(a) General Provisions. The Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) prepared for the Department must be conducted and reported in conformity with the standards of the American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM"). The initial report must conform with the Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Assessment Process (ASTM Standard Designation: E1527- 13 or any subsequent standards as published). Any subsequent reports should also conform to ASTM standards and such other recognized industry standards as a reasonable person would deem relevant in view of the Property's anticipated use for human habitation. The ESA shall be conducted by a Third Party environmental professional at the expense of the Applicant, and addressed to the Department as a User of the report (as defined by ASTM standards). Copies of reports provided to the Department which were commissioned by other financial institutions must either address Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs as a co-recipient of the report or letters from both the provider and the recipient of the report may be submitted extending
reliance on the report to the Department. The ESA report must also include a statement that the person or company preparing the ESA report will not materially benefit from the Development in any other way than receiving a fee for performing the ESA, and that the fee is in no way contingent upon the outcome of the assessment. The report must also include the following statement, “all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.” The ESA report must contain a statement indicating the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section.

(b) In addition to ASTM requirements, the report must:

(1) state if a noise study is recommended for a property in accordance with current HUD guidelines and identify its proximity to industrial zones, major highways, active rail lines, civil and military airfields, or other potential sources of excessive noise;

(2) provide a copy of a current survey, if available, or other drawing of the site reflecting the boundaries and adjacent streets, all improvements on the site, and any items of concern described in the body of the ESA or identified during the physical inspection;

(3) provide a copy of the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map showing the panel number and encompassing the site with the site boundaries precisely identified and superimposed on the map;

(4) if the subject Development Site includes any improvements or debris from pre-existing improvements, state if testing for Lead Based Paint and/or asbestos containing materials would be required pursuant to local, state, and federal laws, or recommended due to any other consideration;

(5) state if testing for lead in the drinking water would be required pursuant to local, state, and federal laws, or recommended due to any other consideration such as the age of pipes and solder in existing improvements. For buildings constructed prior to 1980, a report on the quality of the local water supply does not satisfy this requirement;

(6) assess the potential for the presence of Radon on the Property, and recommend specific testing if necessary;

(7) identify and assess the presence of oil, gas or chemical pipelines, processing facilities, storage facilities or other potentially hazardous explosive activities on-site or in the general area of the site that could potentially adversely impact the Development. Location of these items must be shown on a drawing or map in relation to the Development Site and all existing or future improvements. The drawing must depict any blast zones (in accordance with HUD guidelines) and include HUD blast zone calculations; and

(8) include a vapor encroachment screening in accordance with Vapor Intrusion E2600-10.

(c) If the report recommends further studies or establishes that environmental hazards currently exist on the Property, or are originating off-site, but would nonetheless affect the Property, the Development Owner must act on such a recommendation, or provide a plan for either the abatement or elimination of the hazard. Evidence of action or a plan for the abatement or elimination of the hazard must be presented upon Application submittal.

(d) For Developments in programs that allow a waiver of the Phase I ESA such as an existing USDA funded Development, the Development Owners are hereby notified that it is their responsibility to ensure that the Development is maintained in compliance with all state and federal environmental hazard requirements.
(e) Those Developments which have or are to receive first lien financing from HUD may submit HUD’s environmental assessment report, provided that it conforms to the requirements of this section.


(a) General Provisions. The objective of the Property Condition Assessment (PCA) for Rehabilitation Developments (excluding Reconstruction) and Adaptive Reuse Developments is to provide a self-contained report that provides an evaluation of the current conditions of the Development, identifies a scope of work and cost estimates for both immediate and long-term physical needs, evaluates the sufficiency of the Applicant’s scope of work under 10 TAC §101.302(e)(4)(B)(i) for the rehabilitation or conversion of the building(s) from a non-residential use to multifamily residential use and provides an independent review of the Applicant’s proposed costs based on the scope of work. The report should be in sufficient detail for the Underwriter to fully understand current conditions, scope of work and cost estimates. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that the scope of work and cost estimates submitted in the Application is provided to the PCA author. The PCA must include a copy of the Applicant’s scope of work narrative and Development Cost Schedule. The report must also include the following statement, “all persons who have a property interest in this report hereby acknowledge that the Department may publish the full report on the Department’s website, release the report in response to a request for public information and make other use of the report as authorized by law.”

(b) The PCA must be conducted and reported in conformity with the American Society for Testing and Materials “Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments. Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process (ASTM Standard Designation: E 2018”) except as provided for in subsections (f) and (g) of this section. Additional information is encouraged if deemed relevant by the PCA author.

(c) The PCA must include the Department’s Property Condition Assessment Cost Schedule Supplement (“PCA Supplement”). The purpose of the PCA Supplement is to consolidate and show reconciliation of the scope of work and costs of the immediate physical needs identified by the PCA author with the Applicant’s scope of work and costs provided in the Application. The consolidated scope of work and costs shown on the PCA Supplement will be used by the Underwriter in the analysis. The PCA Supplement also details the projected repairs and replacements through at least thirty (30) years.

(d) The PCA must include good quality color photographs of the subject Property (front, rear, and side elevations, on-site amenities, interior of the structure). Photographs should be properly labeled. Photographs of the neighborhood, street scenes, and comparables should be included. An aerial photograph is desirable but not mandatory.

(e) The PCA must also include discussion and analysis of:

(1) Description of Current Conditions. For both Rehabilitation and Adaptive Reuse, the PCA must contain a detailed description with good quality photographs of the current conditions of all major systems and components of the Development regardless of whether the system or component will be removed, repaired or replaced. For historic structures, the PCA must contain a description with photographs of each aspect of the building(s) that qualifies it as historic and must include a narrative explaining how the scope of work relates to maintaining the historic designation of the development. Replacement or relocation of systems and components must be described.

(2) Description of Scope of Work. The PCA must provide a narrative of the consolidated scope of work either as a stand-alone section of the report or included with the description of the current conditions for each major system and components. Any New Construction must be described.
Plans or drawings (that are in addition to any plans or drawings otherwise required by rule) and that relate to any part of the scope of work should be included, if available.

(3) **Useful Life Estimates.** For each system and component of the property the PCA must estimate its remaining useful life, citing the basis or the source from which such estimate is derived;

(4) **Code Compliance.** The PCA must review and document any known violations of any applicable federal, state, or local codes. In developing the cost estimates specified herein, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to ensure that the PCA adequately considers any and all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations which may govern any work performed to the subject Property. For Applications requesting Direct Loan funding from the Department, the PCA provider must include a comparison between the local building code and the International Existing Building Code of the International Code Council;

(5) **Program Rules.** The PCA must assess the extent to which any systems or components must be modified, repaired, or replaced in order to comply with any specific requirements of the housing program under which the Development is proposed to be financed, the Department's Uniform Physical Condition Standards, and any scoring criteria including amenities for which the Applicant may claim points;

(6) **Accessibility Requirements.** The PCA report must include an analysis of compliance with the Department's accessibility requirements pursuant to Chapter 1, Subchapter B and Section 101.101 (B)(8) and include identify the specific items in the scope of work and costs needed to ensure that the Development will meet these requirements upon Rehabilitation (including conversion and Adaptive Reuse).

(7) **Reconciliation of Scope of Work and Costs.** The PCA report must include the Department’s PCA Cost Schedule Supplement with the signature of the PCA provider; the costs presented on the PCA Cost Schedule Supplement are expected to be consistent with both the scope of work and immediate costs identified in the body of the PCA report, and with the Applicant’s scope of work and costs as presented on the Applicant’s development cost schedule; any significant variation between the costs listed on the PCA Cost Schedule Supplement and the costs listed in the body of the PCA report or on the Applicant’s development cost schedule must be reconciled in a narrative analysis from the PCA provider; and

(8) **Cost Estimates.** The Development Cost Schedule and PCA Supplement must include all costs identified below:

(A) **Immediately Necessary Repairs and Replacement.** For all Rehabilitation developments, and Adaptive Reuse developments if applicable, immediately necessary repair and replacement should be identified for systems or components which are expected to have a remaining useful life of less than one (1) year, which are found to be in violation of any applicable codes, which must be modified, repaired or replaced in order to satisfy program rules, or which are otherwise in a state of deferred maintenance or pose health and safety hazards. The PCA must provide a separate estimate of the costs associated with the repair, replacement, or maintenance of each system or component which is identified as being an immediate need, citing the basis or the source from which such cost estimate is derived.

(B) **Proposed Repair, Replacement, or New Construction.** If the development plan calls for additional scope of work above and beyond the immediate repair and replacement items described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, the additional scope of work must be evaluated and either the nature or source of obsolescence to be cured or improvement to the operations of the Property discussed. The PCA must provide a separate estimate of the costs associated with the additional scope of work, citing the basis or the source from which such cost estimate is derived.
(C) **Reconciliation of Costs.** The combined costs described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph should be consistent with the costs presented on the Applicant’s development cost schedule and the PCA Supplement.

(D) **Expected Repair and Replacement Over Time.** The term during which the PCA should estimate the cost of expected repair and replacement over time must equal the lesser of thirty (30) years or the longest term of any land use or regulatory restrictions which are, or will be, associated with the provision of housing on the Property. The PCA must estimate the periodic costs which are expected to arise for repairing or replacing each system or component or the property, based on the estimated remaining useful life of such system or component as described in paragraph (1) of this subsection adjusted for completion of repair and replacement immediately necessary and proposed as described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph. The PCA must include a separate table of the estimated long term costs which identifies in each line the individual component of the property being examined, and in each column the year during the term in which the costs are estimated to be incurred and no less than thirty (30) years. The estimated costs for future years should be given in both present dollar values and anticipated future dollar values assuming a reasonable inflation factor of not less than 2.5 percent per annum.

(f) Any costs not identified and discussed in the PCA as part of subsection (a)(6), (8)(A) and (8)(B) of this section will not be included in the underwritten Total Development Cost in the Report.

(g) If a copy of such standards or a sample report have been provided for the Department’s review, if such standards are widely used, and if all other criteria and requirements described in this section are satisfied, the Department will also accept copies of reports commissioned or required by the primary lender for a proposed transaction, which have been prepared in accordance with:

(1) Fannie Mae's criteria for Physical Needs Assessments;

(2) Federal Housing Administration's criteria for Project Capital Needs Assessments;

(3) Freddie Mac's guidelines for Engineering and Property Condition Reports;

(4) USDA guidelines for Capital Needs Assessment.

(h) The Department may consider for acceptance reports prepared according to other standards which are not specifically named in subsection (g) of this section, if a copy of such standards or a sample report have been provided for the Department’s review, if such standards are widely used, and if all other criteria and requirements described in this section are satisfied.

(i) The PCA shall be conducted by a Third Party at the expense of the Applicant, and addressed to Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs as the client. Copies of reports provided to the Department which were commissioned by other financial institutions should address Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs as a co-recipient of the report, or letters from both the provider and the recipient of the report should be submitted extending reliance on the report to Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

(j) The PCA report must include a statement that the individual and/ or company preparing the PCA report will not materially benefit from the Development in any other way than receiving a fee for performing the PCA. Because of the Department’s heavy reliance on the independent cost information, the provider must not be a Related Party to or an Affiliate of any other Development Team member. The PCA report must contain a statement indicating the report preparer has read and understood the requirements of this section.
§11.901. Fee Schedule. Any fees, as stated in this section, not paid will cause an Applicant to be ineligible to apply for Department funding, ineligible to receive additional Department funding associated with a Commitment, Determination Notice or Contract, and ineligible to submit extension requests, ownership transfers, and Application amendments until such time the Department receives payment. Payments of the fees shall be in the form of a check and to the extent there are insufficient funds available, it may cause the Application, Commitment, Determination Notice or Contract to be terminated or Allocation rescinded. Other forms of payment may be considered on a case-by-case basis. The Department may extend the deadline for specific extenuating and extraordinary circumstances, provided the Applicant submits a written request for an extension no later than ten (10) business days prior to the deadline associated with the particular fee. For those requests that do not have a specified deadline, the written request for a fee waiver and description of extenuating and extraordinary circumstances must be included in the original request cover letter.

(1) Competitive Housing Tax Credit Pre-Application Fee. A pre-application fee, in the amount of $10 per Unit, based on the total number of Units reflected in the pre-application, must be submitted with the pre-application in order for the pre-application to be considered accepted by the Department. Pre-applications in which a Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDO) or a private Qualified Nonprofit Organization intends to serve as the Managing General Partner of the Development Owner, or Control the Managing General Partner of the Development Owner, may be eligible to receive a discount of 10 percent off the calculated pre-application fee provided such documentation is submitted with the fee. (§2306.6716(d))

(2) Refunds of Pre-application Fees. (§2306.6716(c)) Upon written request from the Applicant, the Department shall refund the balance of the pre-application fee for a pre-application that is withdrawn by the Applicant and that is not fully processed by the Department. The amount of refund will be commensurate with the level of review completed. Initial processing will constitute 50 percent of the review, threshold review prior to a deficiency issued will constitute 30 percent of the review, and deficiencies submitted and reviewed constitute 20 percent of the review. In no instance will a refund of the pre-application fee be made after the Full Application Delivery Date.

(3) Application Fee. Each Application must be accompanied by an Application fee.

(A) Housing Tax Credit Applications. For Applicants having submitted a Competitive housing Tax Credit pre-application which met the pre-application threshold requirements, and for which a pre-application fee was paid, the Application fee will be $20 per Unit based on the total number of Units in the full Application. Otherwise, the Application fee will be $30 per Unit based on the total number of Units in the full Application. Applications in which a CHDO or Qualified Nonprofit Organization intends to serve as the Managing General Partner of the Development Owner, or Control the Managing General Partner of the Development Owner, may be eligible to receive a discount of 10 percent off the calculated Application fee provided such documentation is submitted with the fee. (§2306.6716(d))

(B) Direct Loan Applications. The fee will be $1,000 per Application except for those Applications that are layered with Housing Tax Credits and submitted simultaneously with the Housing Tax Credit Application. Pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.147(b), the Department is required to waive Application fees for private nonprofit organizations that offer expanded
services such as child care, nutrition programs, job training assistance, health services, or human services and if HOME funds are awarded. In lieu of the Application fee, these organizations must include proof of their exempt status and a description of their supportive services as part of the Application. The Application fee is not a reimbursable cost under the HOME Program.

(4) Refunds of Application Fees. Upon written request from the Applicant, the Department shall refund the balance of the Application fee for an Application that is withdrawn by the Applicant and that is not fully processed by the Department. The amount of refund will be commensurate with the level of review completed. Initial processing will constitute 10 percent, the site visit will constitute 10 percent, program review will constitute 40 percent, and underwriting review will constitute 40 percent. In no instance will a refund of the Application fee be made after Final Awards are made in July.

(5) Third Party Underwriting Fee. Applicants will be notified in writing prior to the evaluation in whole or in part of a Development by an independent external underwriter if such a review is required. The fee must be received by the Department prior to the engagement of the underwriter. The fees paid by the Development Owner to the Department for the external underwriting will be credited against the Commitment or Determination Notice Fee, as applicable, established in paragraphs (8) and (9) of this section, in the event that a Commitment or Determination Notice is issued by the Department to the Development Owner.

(6) Third Party Deficiency Request Fee. For Competitive Housing Tax Credits (HTC) Applications, a fee equal to $500 must be submitted with a Third Party Request for Administrative Deficiency that is submitted per Application pursuant to §11.10 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan).

(7) Housing Tax Credit Commitment Fee. No later than the expiration date in the Commitment, a fee equal to 4 percent of the annual Housing Credit Allocation amount must be submitted. If the Development Owner has paid the fee and returns the credits by November 1 of the current Application Round, then a refund of 50 percent of the Commitment Fee may be issued upon request.

(8) Tax Exempt Bond Development Determination Notice Fee. No later than the expiration date in the Determination Notice, a fee equal to 4 percent of the annual Housing Credit Allocation amount must be submitted. If the Development Owner has paid the fee and is not able close on the bonds, then a refund of 50 percent of the Determination Notice Fee may be issued upon request.

(9) Building Inspection Fee. (For Housing Tax Credit and Tax-Exempt Bond Developments only.) No later than the expiration date in the Commitment or Determination Notice, a fee of $750 must be submitted. If the Development Owner has paid the fee and returns the Housing Credit Allocation or for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, is not able to close on the bonds, then the Building Inspection Fee may be refunded upon request.

(10) Tax-Exempt Bond Credit Increase Request Fee. Requests for increases to the credit amounts to be issued on IRS Forms 8609 for Tax-Exempt Bond Developments must be submitted with a request fee equal to 4 percent of the amount of the credit increase for one (1) year.

(11) Extension Fees. All extension requests for deadlines relating to the Carryover, 10 Percent Test (submission and expenditure), Construction Status Reports, or Cost Certification requirements
submitted at least thirty (30) calendar days in advance of the applicable original deadline will not be required to submit an extension fee. Any extension request submitted fewer than thirty (30) days in advance or after the original deadline must be accompanied by an extension fee of $2,500. Fees for each subsequent extension request on the same activity will increase by increments of $500, regardless of whether the first request was submitted thirty (30) calendar days in advance of the applicable deadline. An extension fee will not be required for extensions requested on Developments that involve Rehabilitation when the Department or U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) is the primary lender if USDA or the Department is the cause for the Applicant not meeting the deadline. For each Construction Status Report received after the applicable deadline, extension fees will be automatically due (regardless of whether an extension request is submitted). Unpaid extension fees related to Construction Status Reports will be accrued and must be paid prior to issuance of IRS Forms 8609. For purposes of Construction Status Reports, each report will be considered a separate activity.

(1211) Amendment Fees. An amendment request for a non-material change that has not been implemented will not be required to pay an amendment fee. Material amendment requests (whether implemented or not), or non-material amendment requests that have already been implemented will be required to submit an amendment fee of $2,500. Fees for each subsequent amendment request related to the same application will increase by increments of $500. A subsequent request, related to the same application, regardless of whether the first request was non-material and did not require a fee, must include a fee of $3,000. Amendment fees and fee increases are not required for the Direct Loan programs.

(1312) Right of First Refusal Fee. Requests for approval of the satisfaction of the Right of First Refusal provision of the Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) must be accompanied by a non-refundable fee of $2,500.

(1413) Qualified Contract Pre-Request Fee. A Development Owner must file a preliminary Qualified Contract Request to confirm eligibility to submit a Qualified Contract request. The Pre-Request must be accompanied by a non-refundable processing fee of $250.

(1514) Qualified Contract Fee. Upon eligibility approval of the Qualified Contract Pre-Request, the Development Owner may file a Qualified Contract Request. Such request must be accompanied by a non-refundable processing fee of $3,000.

(1615) Ownership Transfer Fee. Requests to approve an ownership transfer must be accompanied by a non-refundable processing fee of $1,000.

(1716) Unused Credit or Penalty Fee. Development Owners who have more tax credits allocated to them than they can substantiate through Cost Certification will return those excess tax credits prior to issuance of IRS Form 8609. For Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments, a penalty fee equal to the one year credit amount of the lost credits (10 percent of the total unused tax credit amount) will be required to be paid by the Owner prior to the issuance of IRS Form 8609 if the tax credits are not returned, and 8609's issued, within one hundred eighty (180) days of the end of the first year of the credit period. This penalty fee may be waived without further Board action if the Department recaptures and re-issues the returned tax credits in accordance with Internal Revenue Code, §42. If an Applicant returns a full credit allocation after the Carryover Allocation deadline required for that allocation, the Executive Director may recommend to the Board the imposition of a penalty on the score for any Competitive Housing Tax Credit Applications submitted by that
Applicant or any Affiliate for any Application in an Application Round occurring concurrent to the return of credits or if no Application Round is pending, the Application Round immediately following the return of credits. If any such point penalty is recommended to be assessed and presented for final determination by the Board, it must include notice from the Department to the affected party not less than fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the scheduled Board meeting. The Executive Director may, but is not required, to issue a formal notice after disclosure if it is determined that the matter does not warrant point penalties. The penalty will be assessed in an amount that reduces the Applicant’s final awarded score by an additional 20 percent.

(417) Compliance Monitoring Fee. Upon receipt of the cost certification for HTC Developments or HTC Developments that are layered with Direct Loan funds, or upon the completion of the 24-month development period and the beginning of the repayment period for Direct Loan only Developments, the Department will invoice the Development Owner for compliance monitoring fees. The amount due will equal $40 per tax credit Unit and $34 per Direct Loan designated Unit, with two fees due for units that are dually designated. For HTC Developments, the fee will be collected, retroactively if applicable, beginning with the first year of the credit period. For Direct Loan only Developments, the fee will be collected beginning with the first year of the repayment period. The invoice must be paid prior to the issuance of IRS Form 8609 for HTC properties. Subsequent anniversary dates on which the compliance monitoring fee payments are due shall be determined by the month the first building is placed in service. Compliance fees may be adjusted from time to time by the Department.

(418) Public Information Request Fee. Public information requests are processed by the Department in accordance with the provisions of Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 552. The Department uses the guidelines promulgated by the Office of the Attorney General to determine the cost of copying and other costs of production.

(419) Adjustment of Fees by the Department and Notification of Fees. (§2306.6716(b)) All fees charged by the Department in the administration of the tax credit and Direct Loan programs may be revised by the Department from time to time as necessary to ensure that such fees compensate the Department for its administrative costs and expenses. Unless otherwise determined by the Department, all revised fees shall apply to all Applications in process and all Developments in operation at the time of such revisions.


Ann(a) For Competitive HTC Applications, an Applicant or Development Owner may appeal decisions made by the Department pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.0321 and §2306.6715 and the process identified in this section. For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments and Direct Loan only Applications, an Applicant or Development Owner may appeal decisions made by the Department pursuant to §1.7 of this title (relating to Appeals). Matters that can be appealed include:

(1) A determination regarding the Application’s satisfaction of applicable requirements, Subchapter B of this chapter (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions) and Subchapter C of this chapter (relating to Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of Rules for Applications), pre-application threshold criteria, underwriting criteria;
(2) The scoring of the Application under the applicable selection criteria;

(3) A recommendation as to the amount of Department funding to be allocated to the Application;

(4) Misplacement of an Application or parts of an Application, mathematical errors in scoring an Application, or procedural errors resulting in unequal consideration of the Applicant's proposal;

(5) Denial of a change to a Commitment or Determination Notice;

(6) Denial of a change to a loan agreement;

(7) Denial of a change to a LURA;

(8) Any Department decision that results in the termination of an Application; and

(9) Any other matter for which an appeal is permitted under this chapter.

(b) An Applicant or Development Owner may not appeal a decision made regarding an Application filed by or an issue related to another Applicant or Development Owner.

(c) An Applicant or Development Owner must file its appeal in writing with the Department not later than seven (7) calendar days after the date the Department publishes the results of any stage of the Application evaluation or otherwise notifies the Applicant or Development Owner of a decision subject to appeal. The appeal must be signed by the person designated to act on behalf of the Applicant or an attorney that represents the Applicant. For Application related appeals, the Applicant must specifically identify the Applicant's grounds for appeal, based on the original Application and additional documentation filed with the original Application as supplemented in accordance with the limitations and requirements of this chapter.

(d) The Executive Director may respond in writing not later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the date of actual receipt of the appeal by the Department. If the Applicant is not satisfied with the Executive Director's response to the appeal or the Executive Director does not respond, the Applicant may appeal directly in writing to the Board. While additional information can be provided in accordance with any rules related to public comment before the Board, the Department expects that a full and complete explanation of the grounds for appeal and circumstances warranting the granting of an appeal be disclosed in the appeal documentation filed with the Executive Director. Full disclosure allows the Executive Director to make a fully informed decision based on a complete analysis of the circumstances, and verification of any information that may warrant a granting of the appeal in the Applicant's or Development Owner's favor.

(e) An appeal filed with the Board must be received by Department staff not more than seven (7) days after a response from the Executive Director and at least seven (7) days prior to the applicable Board meeting or if the period for an Executive Director response has elapsed the appeal can be heard by the Board if filed at least three (3) days prior to the applicable meeting.
(f) Board review of an Application related appeal will be based on the original Application. A witness in an appeal may not present or refer to any document, instrument, or writing not already contained within the Application as reflected in the Department’s records.

(g) The decision of the Board regarding an appeal is the final decision of the Department.

(h) The Department will post to its website an appeal filed with the Department or Board and any other document relating to the processing of an Application related appeal. (§2306.6717(a)(5))

§ 11.903. Adherence to Obligations. (§2306.6720) Any Applicant, Development Owner, or other Person that fails to adhere to its obligations with regard to the programs of the Department, whether contractual or otherwise, made false or misleading representations to the Department with regard to an Application, request for funding, or compliance requirements, or otherwise violated a provision of Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 2306 or a rule adopted under that chapter, may be subject to:

(1) Assessment of administrative penalties in accordance with the Department’s rules regarding the assessment of such penalties. Each day the violation continues or occurs is a separate violation for purposes of imposing a penalty; and/or

(2) in the case of the competitive Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program, a point reduction of up to ten (10) points for any Application involving that Applicant over the next two Application Rounds succeeding the date on which the Department first gives written notice of any such failure to adhere to obligations or false or misleading representations. Point reductions under this section may be appealed to the Board.

§ 11.904. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Policy. In accordance with Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.082, it is the Department's policy to encourage the use of appropriate ADR procedures under the Governmental Dispute Resolution Act, Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 2010, to assist in resolving disputes under the Department's jurisdiction. As described in Civil Practices and Remedies Code, Chapter 154, ADR procedures include mediation. Except as prohibited by law and the Department's Ex Parte Communications policy, the Department encourages informal communications between Department staff and Applicants, and other interested persons, to exchange information and informally resolve disputes. The Department also has administrative appeals processes to fairly and expeditiously resolve disputes. If at any time an Applicant or other person would like to engage the Department in an ADR procedure, the person may send a proposal to the Department's Dispute Resolution Coordinator. For additional information on the Department's ADR Policy, see the Department's General Administrative Rule on ADR at §1.17 of this title. Any Applicant may request an informal conference with staff to attempt to resolve any appealable matter, and the Executive Director may toll the running of periods for appeal to accommodate such meetings. In the event a successful resolution cannot be reached, the statements made in the meeting process may not be used by the Department as admissions. §2.
Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, and proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, and directing publication for public comment in the Texas Register.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

WHEREAS, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) is authorized to make awards of multifamily loans or grants to developers for the State of Texas;

WHEREAS, the Department plans to administer the varying fund sources used in making these awards of loans and grants in a specific manner that necessitates these Multifamily Direct Loan Rules;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.053, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) is authorized to adopt rules governing the administration of the Department and its programs; and

WHEREAS, such proposed rulemaking will be published in the Texas Register for public comment and subsequently returned to the Board for final adoption;

NOW, therefore, it is hereby

RESOLVED, that the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 13, and a proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, together with the preambles presented to this meeting, are hereby approved for publication in the Texas Register for public comment; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Executive Director and his designees be and each of them are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, for and on behalf of the Department, to cause the proposed repeal and replacement of the Multifamily Direct Loan Rules, together with the preambles in the form presented to this meeting, to be published in the Texas Register for public comment and, in connection therewith, make such non-substantive technical corrections as they may deem necessary to effectuate the foregoing, including requested revisions to the preambles.

BACKGROUND

General Information: Attached to this Board Action Request is the staff draft of the 2019 Multifamily Direct Loan (“MFDL”) Rule, which reflects staff’s recommendations for the Board’s consideration. The year 2018 marked the second year in which the Department drafted and enacted 10 TAC Chapter 13 - the MFDL Rule. The rule came about as a result of the Department having multiple fund sources available with which to make MFDL awards, and the need therefore to govern these fund sources in a uniform manner in order to maximize efficiency and take advantage of the many similar requirements among these multiple funding sources. This rule establishes how MFDL requests may be structured, how MFDL applications are prioritized, how MFDL applications may score points, the post-award process including loan closing and disbursement requests, and the type of amendments that can be requested under the MFDL program.
Upon Board approval, the proposed 2019 MFDL Rule will be posted to the Department’s website and published in the Texas Register. Public comment, in accordance with the Citizen Participation Plan requirements in 24 CFR §91.105, will be accepted between September 10, 2018, and October 12, 2018. In compliance with the State Administrative Procedures Act, public comment will be accepted upon the rule’s adoption in the Texas Register on September 28, 2018, through October 12, 2018. The Multifamily Direct Loan Rule will be brought before the Board in December for approval and subsequently be published in the Texas Register for adoption.

Changes to the MFDL Rules are generally clarifications that staff has identified as necessary to provide clear information to Applicants. Due to the changes to 10 TAC Chapter 10, the Uniform Multifamily Rules and 10 TAC Chapter 11, the Qualified Allocation Plan, several citations are corrected.
Attachment A: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 13, the Multifamily Direct Loan Rule

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) proposes the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule. The purpose of the proposed repeal is to provide for clarification of the existing rule through new rulemaking action.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.0221.

1. Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect, the proposed repeal does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the repeal, and simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, administration of the Multifamily Direct Loan Program.

2. The proposed repeal does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor is the proposed repeal significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that any existing employee positions are eliminated.

3. The proposed repeal does not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed repeal does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed repeal is not creating a new regulation, except that it is being replaced by a new rule simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed action will repeal an existing regulation, but is associated with a simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, administration of the Multifamily Direct Loan Program.

7. The proposed repeal will not increase nor decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability.

8. The repeal will not negatively nor positively affect this state’s economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2006.002.

The Department has evaluated this proposed repeal and determined that the proposed repeal will not create an economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2007.043. The proposed repeal does not contemplate nor authorize a taking by the Department, therefore no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the proposed repeal as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect there would be no economic effect on local employment; therefore no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the repealed section would be increased clarity and improved access to the Multifamily Direct Loan funds. There will not be economic costs to individuals required to comply with the repealed section.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has
determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the repeal does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 28, 2018, to October 12, 2018, to receive input on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Andrew Sinnott, Multifamily Direct Loan Administrator, Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941 or email htc.public-comment@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 P.M. Austin local time OCTOBER 12, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule
Attachment B: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) proposes new 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule. The purpose of the proposed new section is to provide compliance with Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.111 and to update the rule to: clarify program requirements in multiple sections, codify in rule practices of the division, and change citations to align with changes to other multifamily rules.

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.0045(b) does not apply to the rule proposed for action because it was determined that no costs are associated with this action, and therefore no costs warrant being offset.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.0221.

Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed new rule would be in effect:

1. The proposed rule does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the readoption of this rule which makes changes to an existing activity, administration of the Multifamily Direct Loan Program.

2. The proposed new rule does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions nor are the rule changes significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that eliminates any existing employee positions.

3. The proposed rule changes do not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed rule changes will not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department, nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed rule is not creating a new regulation, except that it is replacing a rule being repealed simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed rule will not expand, limit, or repeal an existing regulation.

7. The proposed rule will not increase nor decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability; and

8. The proposed rule will not negatively nor positively affect the state’s economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2006.002. The Department, in drafting this proposed rule, has attempted to reduce any adverse economic effect on small or micro-business or rural communities while remaining consistent with the statutory requirements of Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.111.

1. The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that none of the adverse affect strategies outlined in Tex. Gov’t Code §2006.002(b) are applicable.

2. This rule relates to the procedures for multifamily direct loan applications and award through various Department fund sources. Other than in the case of a small or micro-business that is an applicant for such a loan product, no small or micro-businesses are subject to the rule. It is estimated that approximately 200 small or micro-businesses are such applicants; for those entities the new rule provides for a more clear, transparent process for doing so and does not result in a negative impact for those small or micro-businesses. There are not likely to be any rural communities subject to the proposed rule because this rule is applicable only to direct loan applicants for development of properties, which are not generally municipalities. The fee for applying for a Multifamily Direct Loan product is $1,000, unless the Applicant is a nonprofit that provides supportive services or the Applicant is applying for Housing Tax
Credits in conjunction with Multifamily Direct Loan funds, in which case the application fee may be waived. These fee costs are not inclusive of external costs required by the basic business necessities underlying any real estate transaction, from placing earnest money on land, conducting an Environmental Site Assessment, conducting a market study, potentially retaining counsel, hiring an architect and an engineer to construct basic site designs and elevations, and paying any other related, third-party fees for securing the necessary financing to construct multifamily housing.

There are 1,296 rural communities potentially subject to the proposed rule for which the economic impact of the rule is projected to be $0. 10 TAC Chapter 13 places no financial burdens on rural communities, as the costs associated with submitting an Application are born entirely by private parties. In an average year the volume of applications for MFDL resources that are located in rural areas is approximately fifteen. In those cases, a rural community securing a loan will experience an economic benefit, not least among which is the increased property tax revenue from a large multifamily Development.

3. The Department has determined that because there are rural MFDL awardees, this program helps promote construction activities and long term tax base in rural areas of Texas. Aside from the fees and costs associated with submitting an Application, there is a probable positive economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities that receive MFDL awards and successfully use those awards to construct multifamily housing, although the specific impact is not able to be quantified in advance.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2007.043. The proposed rule does not contemplate nor authorize a taking by the Department, therefore no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the rule as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the rule will be in effect the proposed rule may provide a possible positive economic effect on local employment in association with this rule since MFDL Developments, layered with housing tax credits, often involve a typical minimum investment of $10 million in capital, and more commonly an investment from $20 million to $30 million. Such a capital investment has direct, indirect, and induced effects on the local and regional economies and local employment. However, because the exact location of where program funds or developments are directed is not determined in rule, and is driven by real estate demand, there is no way to predict during rulemaking where these positive effects may occur. Furthermore, while the Department believes that any and all impacts are positive, that impact is not able to be quantified for any given community until MFDL awards and LIHTCs are actually awarded to a proposed Development, given the unique characteristics of each proposed multifamily Development.

Texas Gov't Code §2001.022(a) states that this “impact statement must describe in detail the probable effect of the rule on employment in each geographic region affected by this rule...” Considering that significant construction activity is associated with any MFDL Development layered with LIHTC and each apartment community significantly increases the property value of the land being developed, there are no probable negative effects of the new rule on particular geographic regions. If anything, positive effects will ensue in those communities where developers receive MFDL awards.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the new section will be improved clarity of program requirements in multiple sections, codification in rule practices of the division, and change citations to align with changes to other multifamily rules. There will not be any economic cost to any individuals required to comply with the new section because this rule does not have any new requirements that would cause additional costs to applicants.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, enforcing or administering the new section does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or
local governments because it does not have any new requirements that would cause additional costs to applicants.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 28, 2018, to October 12, 2018, to receive input on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Andrew Sinnott, Multifamily Direct Loan Administrator, Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941 or email htc.public-comment@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 P.M. Austin local time OCTOBER 12, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The new sections are proposed pursuant to Texas Government Code, §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed new sections affect no other code, article, or statute.
CHAPTER 13 MULTIFAMILY DIRECT LOAN RULE

§13.1 Purpose

(a) Authority. The rules in this Chapter apply to the funds provided to Multifamily Developments through the Multifamily Direct Loan Program ("MFDL," or "Direct Loan Program") by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs ("Department"). Notwithstanding anything in this Chapter to the contrary, loans and grants issued to finance the Development of multifamily rental housing are subject to the requirements of the laws of the State of Texas, including but not limited to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306 (sometimes referred to as the “State Act”), and federal law pursuant to the requirements of Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, Division B, Title III of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008 - Emergency Assistance for the Redevelopment of Abandoned and Foreclosed Homes, Section 1497 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act: Additional Assistance for Neighborhood Stabilization Programs, Title I of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, Section 1131 (Public Law 110-289), and the implementing regulations 24 CFR Part 91, Part 92, and Part 93, and Part 570 as they may be applicable to a specific fund source. The Department is authorized to administer Direct Loan Program funds pursuant to Tex Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, Subchapter I, Housing Finance Division.

(b) General. This Chapter applies to an award of MFDL funds by the Department and establishes the general requirements associated with the application and award process for such funds. Applicants pursuing MFDL assistance from the Department are required to certify, among other things, that they have familiarized themselves with all applicable rules that govern that specific program including, but not limited to this Chapter, Chapter 1 (relating to Administration), Chapter 2 (relating to Enforcement), Chapter 8 (relating to Section 811 PRA Program), and Chapter 10 of this Title (relating to Uniform Multifamily Rules), Chapter 11 of this Title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP") and Chapter 12 of this Title (relating to Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules) will apply if MFDL funds are layered with those other Department programs. The Applicant is also required to certify that it is familiar with any other federal, state, or local financing sources that it identifies in its Application. Any conflict with rules regulations, or statutes will be resolved on a case by case basis that allows for compliance with all requirements. Conflicts that cannot be resolved may result in Application ineligibility.

(c) Waivers. Requests for waivers of any program rules or requirements must be made in accordance with 10 TAC §401.207 of this title (relating to Waiver of Rules for Applications) and as limited by the rules in this Chapter. In no instance will the Department consider a waiver request that would violate federal program requirements or state or federal statute.

(d) Applications for Multifamily Direct Loan funds must meet all applicable eligibility and threshold requirements of Chapter 11 of this Title, the Qualified Allocation Plan.

§13.2 Definitions

The following words and terms, when used in this Chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Any capitalized terms not specifically mentioned in this section shall have the meaning as defined in Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306, §§141, 142, and 145 of the Internal Revenue Code, 24 CFR Part 91, Part 92, Part 93, and 2 CFR Part 200, and 10 TAC Chapter 11, the Qualified Allocation Plan Chapter 10, of this Title (relating to Uniform Multifamily Rules).

(1) Annual Income or Annual Incomes means annual income" as defined at 24 CFR §5.609, which includes but is not limited to the list of income in HUD Handbook 4350, and specifically excludes those items listed in HUD's Updated List of Federally Mandated Exclusions.
(2) Choice limiting activity — any transfer of title or similar action that occurs prior to a Development obtaining environmental clearance after an application for federal funds (HOME and NSP, and NHTF) has been submitted. Choice limiting activities also include closing on loans including loans for interim financing, signing of a contract, and commencing construction.

(3) Construction Completion — that necessary title transfer requirements and construction work have been performed as reflected by the Development's and the following documents have been issued for the Development: certificate(s) of occupancy (if New Construction) and Certificate of Substantial Completion (AIA Form G704), and a Final Construction Inspection Letter from Department staff, and the final drawdown of funds has been disbursed. In addition, for Developments not layered with Housing Tax Credits, Construction Completion means all modifications requested as a result of the Department’s Final Construction Inspection were cleared as evidenced by receipt of the Closed Final Development Inspection Letter.

(4) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) — a private nonprofit organization that has experience developing and/or owning affordable rental housing and that meets the requirements in 24 CFR Part 92 for purposes of receiving HOME funds under the CHDO set-aside. In addition, a member of a CHDO's board cannot be a Principal of the development beyond his/her role as a board member of the CHDO or be an employee of the development team, and may not receive financial benefit other than reimbursement of expenses from the CHDO (e.g., a voting board member cannot also be a paid executive).

(5) "Federal Affordability Period" — the period commencing on the date of Construction Completion and ending on the date which is the required number of years as defined by the federal program from the date of Construction Completion.

(6) HOME Match-Eligible Unit — a Unit in the Development that is not assisted with HOME Program funds, but would qualify as eligible for Match under 24 CFR Part 92. Unless otherwise identified by the provisions in the Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA"). TCAP-RF, TCAP Repayment Funds ("TCAP RF") funds and matching contribution on NSP and NHTF Developments must be used on HOME-Match Eligible Units.

(7) Land Use Restriction ("LURA") Term — the period commencing on the effective date of the LURA and ending on the date which is the greater of the loan term or 30 years. The LURA may include both Federal Affordability Period and State requirements.

(8) Matching contribution (Match) — a contribution to a Development from nonfederal sources that may be in the form of one or more of the following:

(A) Cash contribution (grant), except for cash contributions made by investors in a limited partnership or other business entity subject to pass through tax benefits in a tax credit transaction or owner equity (including deferred developer fee);

(B) Reduced fees or donated labor from certain eligible contractors, subcontractors, architects, attorneys, engineers, excluding any contributions from a party related to the Developer or Owner;

(C) Net present value of yield foregone from a below market interest rate loan as described in HUD Community Planning and Development ("CPD") Notice 97-03;

(D) Waived or reduced fees from cities or counties not related to the Applicant in connection with the proposed Development;

(E) Donated land or land sold by an unrelated third party at a price below market value, as evidenced by a third party appraisal, from an unrelated party.

(9) Relocation Plan — a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan which (i) includes provisions consistent with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4601-4655), implementing regulations at 49 CFR Part 24, and policy guidance in Real Estate Acquisition and
Relocation Policy and Guidance (HUD Handbook 1378) and the TDHCA Relocation Handbook; and in some HOME and NSP funded developments Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended and 24 CFR Part 42 (as modified for NSP), and (ii) is in form and substance consistent with requirements of the Department.

(10) Section 234 Condominium Housing basic mortgage limits ("234 Condo Limits") means--the per-unit subsidy limits for all MFDL funding. These limits take into account whether or not a Development is elevator served and any local conditions that may make development of multifamily housing more or less expensive in a given metropolitan statistical area. Currently, if the high cost percentage adjustment applicable to the 234 Condo Limits for HUD’s Fort Worth Multifamily Hub is applicable for all Developments that TDHCA finances through the MFDL Program, and confirmation will be included in the applicable NOFA.

(11) State Affordability Period means--the LURA Term as described in the MFDL contract and loan documents and as required by Department in accordance with the State Act which is usually an additional period after the Federal Affordability Period.

(12) Surplus Cash--When the first lien mortgage is a federally insured HUD or FHA mortgage, any cash remaining:

(A) After the payment of:
   (i) All sums due or currently required to be paid under the terms of any superior lien;
   (ii) All amounts required to be deposited in the reserve funds for replacement;
   (iii) Operating expenses actually incurred by the borrower for the Development during the period with an appropriate adjustment for an allocable share of property taxes and insurance premiums;
   (iv) Recurring maintenance expenses actually incurred by the borrower for the Development during the period;
   (v) All other obligations of the Development approved by the Department; and
(B) After the segregation of an amount equal to the aggregate of all special funds required to be maintained for the Development; and
(C) Excluding payment of:
   (i) All sums due or currently required to be paid under the terms of any subordinate liens against the property;
   (ii) Any development fees that are deferred including those in eligible basis; and
   (iii) Any payments or obligations to the borrower, ownership entities of the borrower, related party entities, any payment to the management company exceeding 5% of the effective gross income; incentive management fee; asset management fees; or any other expenses or payments that shall be negotiated between the Department and borrower.

§ 13.3 General Loan Requirements

(a) Direct Loan funds may be made available through a Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA") or other similar governing document that includes the basic Application and funding requirements.

(b) Direct Loan funds may not be awarded if an underwriting report that has been issued by the Department’s Real Estate Analysis Division has become final and concludes that the Development does not need the MDFL funding for which it has applied because it is oversourced.

(c) Direct Loan funds are composed of annual HOME and National Housing Trust Fund allocations from HUD, repayment of TCAP loans, HOME Program Income, NSP Program Income, and any other similarly encumbered funding that may become available by Board action, except as otherwise noted in this Chapter. Similar funds include any funds that are required to be loaned or granted for the development of multifamily property and are not governed by another Chapter in this Title.
(d) Direct Loan funds may be used for the predevelopment, acquisition, new construction, reconstruction, or rehabilitation, or preservation of affordable housing with suitable amenities, including real property acquisition, site improvements, conversion, demolition, or operating cost reserves, all subject to HUD guidance. Other expenses, such as financing costs, relocation expenses of any displaced persons, families, businesses, or organizations may be included. MFDL funds may be used to assist directly distressed developments previously funded by the Department when approved by specific action of the Department’s Governing Board ("Board").

(e) While all costs associated with the Development and known by the sponsor must be disclosed as part of the Application, costs ineligible for reimbursement with Direct Loan funds in accordance with 24 CFR Part 91, Part 92, Part 93, Part 570, and 2 CFR Part 200, as federally required or identified in the NOFA include but are not limited to:

1. Offsite costs;
2. Stored Materials;
3. Site Amenities;
4. Detached Community Buildings;
5. Carports and/or garages;
6. Parking garages;
7. Swimming pools;
8. Commercial Space costs;
9. Reserve accounts not related to NHTF;
10. TDHCA fees;
11. Syndication and organizational costs;
12. Delinquent fees, taxes, or charges;
13. Costs incurred more than 24 months prior to the effective date of the Direct Loan Contract unless the Application is awarded TCAP Loan Repayment funds;
14. Costs that have been allocated to or paid by another fund source;
15. Deferred Developer fee; and,
16. Other costs limited by Award or NOFA, or as established by the Board.

§ 13.4 Set-asides, Regional Allocation, and Priorities

(a) Set-asides: Specific types of Applications or Developments for which a portion of MFDL funds may be reserved in a NOFA will be grouped in set-asides. The Supportive Housing/ Soft Repayment set-aside, CHDO set-aside, and General set-aside, as described below, are fixed set-asides that will be included in the annual NOFA. The remaining set-asides described below are flexible set-asides and are applicable only when identified in the NOFA. The amount of a single award may be credited to multiple set-asides, in which case the depleted portion of funds may be repositioned into an oversubscribed set-aside prior to a defined collapse deadline. Applications under any and all set-asides may or may not be layered with other Department Multifamily programs except as provided in this section or as determined by the Board to address unique circumstances not addressed by these rules.

(1) Fixed Set-Asides:

(A) Supportive Housing/ Soft Repayment Set-Aside. The Supportive Housing/ Soft Repayment ("SH/ SR") Set-aside will be limited by the unencumbered interest revenue generated by multifamily loan payments and any amount under the NHTF allocation received by the Department and not otherwise programmed. Supportive Housing and Soft Repayment may be two independent set-asides in the NOFA, in order to accommodate fund source requirements. The SH/ SR set-aside is reserved for developments that are not able to support amortizing debt due to higher costs for supportive services and/or extremely low income and rent restrictions. Soft repayment loans may be provided with structured as deferred payable, deferred forgivable or cash flow terms loans. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to account for any Eligible Basis and/or taxable event implications when requesting any of the potential loan structures available...
Applicants seeking to qualify under this set-aside must propose Developments that meet either:

(i) the Supportive Housing requirements in 10 TAC §11.2(b) and (a) in the Uniform Multifamily Rules including the other underwriting consideration for Supportive Housing Developments 10 TAC §10.3(a) of the Underwriting and Loan Policy; or
(ii) the requirements in subclauses (I) - (III), funding exclusively units targeting 30% Area Median Income (AMI) households;

(I) All units assisted with MFDL funds must be available for households earning 30% AMI or less and have rents no higher than the rent limits for extremely low-income tenants in 24 CFR §93.302(b) households earning 30% AMI or less;

(II) Any units assisted with MFDL funds may not also be receiving tenant-based voucher or tenant-based rental assistance to the extent that there are other available units within the Development that the voucher-holder may occupy; and

(III) Units assisted with MFDL may not be restricted to 30% AMI by another Department program or any other fund source.

(B) CHDO Set-Aside. Unless waived by HUD, a portion of the Department's annual HOME allocation, equal to at least 15%, will be set aside for eligible Community Housing Development Organizations ("CHDO") meeting the requirements of the definition of Community Housing Development Organization found in 24 CFR §92.2 and §13.2(4).

Applicants under the CHDO Set-Aside must be proposing to develop housing in Development Sites located outside Participating Jurisdictions unless the award is made within a Persons with Disabilities ("PWD") set-aside or unless the requirement under Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(c)(1) has been waived by the Governor as the result of a disaster declaration. CHDO funds are typically available as fully-repayable amortizing debt consistent with §13.4-8 of this Chapter relating to debt structure policy. In instances where an application submitted under the CHDO Set-Aside also qualifies under the SH/SR Set-Aside, CHDO funds may be structured in accordance with the SH/SR Set-Aside requirements. A CHDO operating expenses grant may be awarded in conjunction with an award of MFDL funds under the CHDO set-aside in accordance with 24 CFR §92.208. Applications under the CHDO set-aside may not have a for profit special limited partner within the ownership organization chart.

(C) General. The General set-aside is for all other applications that do not meet the requirements of the SH/SR, CHDO set-asides, or flexible set-asides, if any. A portion of the General set-aside may be repositioned into the CHDO set-aside in order to fully fund a CHDO award that meets or exceeds the set-aside amount.

(2) Flexible Set-Asides:

(A) 4% and Bond Layered. The 4% and Bond Layered set-aside is reserved for Applications meeting all MFDL requirements that are layered with 4% Housing Tax Credits and Private Bond funds that do not meet the definition of CHDO.

(B) Persons with Disabilities ("PWD"). The PWD set-aside is reserved for Developments restricting units for tenants who meet the requirements of Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(c)(2). MFDL funds will be awarded in a NOFA for the PWD set-aside only to the extent sufficient funds are available to award to at least one Application within a Participating Jurisdiction under Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(c)(1).

(C) 9% Layered. The 9% Layered set-aside is reserved for applications meeting all MFDL requirements that are layered with 9% Housing Tax Credits, and do not meet the definition of CHDO. Awards under this set-aside are dependent on the concurrent award of a 9% HTC allocation.

(D) Additional set-asides may be developed, subject to Board approval, to meet the requirements of specific funds sources, or to address Department priorities.

(b) Regional Allocation. All funds in the annual NOFA will be initially allocated to regions and potentially subregions based on a Regional Allocation Formula ("RAF") within the set-asides. The RAF methodology may differ by fund source. HOME funds will be allocated in accordance
with Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306. The end date for the RAF will be identified in the NOFA, but in no instance shall it be less than 30 days from the date a link to the Board approved NOFA is published in the Texas Register on the Department’s website.

(1) After expiration of the RAF, funds collapse but may still be available within set-asides as identified in the NOFA. Remaining funds within one or more set-asides may collapse in accordance with the NOFA. All Applications received prior to these first two collapse period deadlines will continue to hold their priority unless they are withdrawn, terminated, or funded.

(2) Funds remaining after expiration of the RAF, which have not been requested in the form of a complete application, will be available statewide on a first-come first-served basis to Applications submitted after the collapse dates.

(3) In instances where the RAF would result in regional or subregional allocations insufficient to fund an application, the Department may use an alternative method of distribution, including an early collapse, revised formula or other methods as approved by the Board, and reflected in the NOFA.

(c) Priorities for the Annual NOFA. Complete Applications received during the period of the RAF will be prioritized for review and recommendation to the Board, to the extent that funds are available both in the region and in the set-aside under which the application is received. If insufficient funds are available in a region to fund all Applications then the oversubscribed Applications will be evaluated only after the RAF and/or set-aside collapse and in accordance with the additional priority levels below, unless an Application received earlier is withdrawn or terminated. If insufficient funds are available within a region or set-aside, the Applicant may request to be considered under another set-aside if they qualify, prior to the collapse. Applications will be reviewed and recommended to the Board to the extent funds are available in accordance with the order of prioritization described in (1) - (3) of this subsection.

(1) Priority 1: Applications not layered with 2018 current year 9% HTC that are received prior to the 2018 9% HTC Application deadline as described in 10 TAC §11.2 Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits. Priority 1 applications will be prioritized based on score within their respective set-aside and subregion or region during the RAF period to the extent that two or more Applications are received in the same set-aside that request less than or equal to the amount available in the subregion or region. If the RAF has collapsed, Applications will be reviewed on a first-come first-served basis for any remaining funds until the final deadline identified in the annual NOFA.

(2) Priority 2: Applications layered with 2018 current year 9% HTC will be prioritized based on their recommendation status and score for an HTC allocation. All Priority 2 applications will be deemed received on the Market Analysis Delivery Date as described in 10 TAC §11.2 Program Calendar. In order for an MFDL application layered with 2018 9% HTC to be considered complete, Applications for both programs must be timely received. Priority 2 applications will be recommended for approval at the same meeting when the Board approves the 2018 9% HTC allocations. Applications that are on the wait list for a 9% HTC allocation are not guaranteed the availability of MFDL funds. If the applicable NOFA is over-subscribed for MFDL funds, the Applicant will be notified and may amend their Application to accommodate another fund source.

(3) Priority 3: Applications that are received after the Market Analysis Delivery Date as described in 10 TAC §11.2 Program Calendar for 2018-9% HTC Applications deadline on a first come first served basis for any remaining funds until the final deadline identified in the annual NOFA.

(d) Other Priorities. The Board may set additional priorities for the annual NOFA, and for one time or special purpose NOFAs.

§13.5 Award Process

(a) Notice of Funding Availability (“NOFA”). All MFDL funds from the annual allocation will be distributed pursuant to the terms of a published NOFA that provides the specific collapse dates and deadlines as well as set-aside and RAF amounts applicable to the MFDL
program, along with scoring criteria, priorities, award limits, and other Application information. Other funds may be distributed by NOFA or through other lawful methods approved by the Board. Set-aside, RAF, and total funding amounts may increase or decrease in accordance with the provisions herein without further Board action as long as the NOFA itself did not require Board action.

(b) Date of Receipt. Applications will be considered received on the business day of receipt. If an application is received after 5pm Austin Local Time, it will be determined to have been received on the following business day. Applications received on a non-business day will be considered received on the next day the Department is open. Applications will be considered complete at the time all required third party reports and application fee(s), in addition to the application, are received by the Department. Within certain set-asides, the date of receipt may be fixed, regardless of the earlier actual date a complete application is received. If multiple applications are received on the same date, in the same region, and within the same set-aside, then score and tiebreaker factors, as described in §13.6 for MFDL or 10 TAC §11.9 for Applications layered with 9% HTC, will be used to determine the Application’s rank.

(c) Applications. MFDL Applicants must follow the applicable requirements in 10 TAC Chapter 1140, Subchapter C, Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of Rules for Applications. Failure to timely respond to any notice of Administrative Deficiency will result in suspension of the Application and reestablishment of the date of receipt of the Application to the final date at which the cure to the notice was received by the Department. If the date of receipt of the Application is reestablished, an Application could be de-prioritized in favor of another Application received prior to the new application submission date.

(d) Market Analysis. Applications proposing Rehabilitation that request MFDL as the only source of Department funding may be exempted from the Market Analysis requirement in 10 TAC §11.205(2) if the Development’s rent rolls for the most recent six months reflect occupancy of at least 80 percent.

1. All applicants for MFDL funds, regardless of whether or not the Development Site is in a Participating Jurisdiction, must include the following language in the purchase contract or site control agreement: "Notwithstanding any other provision of this Contract, Purchaser shall have no obligation to purchase the Property, and no transfer of title to the Purchaser may occur, unless and until the Department has provided Purchaser and/ or Seller with a written notification that: (1) it has completed a federally required environmental review and its request for release of federal funds has been approved and, subject to any other Contingencies in this Contract, (a) the purchase may proceed, or (b) the purchase may proceed only if certain conditions to address issues in the environmental review shall be satisfied before or after the purchase of the property; or (2) it has determined that the purchase is exempt from federal environmental review and a request for release of funds is not required. The Department shall use its best efforts to conclude the environmental review of the property expeditiously."

2. Applications also requesting 9% HTC may have the ability to revise financing prior to award should MFDL funds be oversubscribed in a set-aside or for a fund source that has geographic limitations within a set-aside. The Department will provide notice to all impacted Applicants in the case of over-subscription.

3. When determining the source of funds that an Application will receive when recommended for an award from a set-aside that has multiple sources of funds, the Department will prioritize sources of funds— for recommended Applications in the order described in (A)-(C), which may be limited by the type of activity an Application is proposing and/or the Development Site of an Application. The funds may further be prioritized or assigned to an Application based on limiting repayment risk and other considerations.
(A) Federal funds that have commitment and expenditure deadlines;
(B) Federal funds that do not have commitment and expenditure deadlines;
(C) Nonfederal funds that do not have commitment and expenditure deadlines.

(d) Eligibility Criteria. The Department will evaluate the Application for eligibility and threshold at the time of full Application pursuant to the requirements of this Chapter and Chapter 10-11 of this title (relating to Uniform Multifamily Rules the Qualified Allocation Plan). If there are changes to the Application at any point prior to MFDL loan closing that have an adverse effect on the score and ranking order and that would have resulted in the application being ranked below another application in the ranking, the Department may terminate the Application.

(1) Applicants requesting MFDL as the only source of Department funds may meet the Experience Requirement under 10 TAC §10.204(6) of this Chapter or by providing evidence of the successful development, and operation for at least 5 years, of at least twice as many affordability restricted units as requested in the Application.

(2) Applications for Developments previously given awards from the Department, or where construction has already started or been completed, regardless of fund source and are not proposing acquisition and rehabilitation, must be found eligible by the Board. The Board may find other applicants eligible for good cause such as Developments assisted by the Department that have encountered adverse factors beyond their control that could materially impair their ability to provide the affordable housing. An application that requires a finding of eligibility by the Board must identify that fact in their application so that the staff may present the matter to the Board for an eligibility determination. A finding of eligibility under this section does not guarantee an award. In general, these applications will not be funded with HOME or NHTF funds.

(A) Requests for eligibility determinations under this paragraph must be received with the Application, so that staff may present the matter to the Board for an eligibility determination, and will not be considered more than 30 calendar days prior to the first Application acceptance date published in the NOFA.

(B) Criteria for the Board to consider would include (i) - (iii) of this subparagraph:

(i) evidence of circumstances beyond the Applicant’s control which could not have been prevented by timely start of construction; or
(ii) Force Majeure events; and
(iii) evidence that no further exceptional conditions exist that will delay or cause further cost increases

(C) Applications for Developments previously given awards from the Department that have not yet achieved Construction Completion, Department funds Applications will be evaluated at no more than the amount of Developer Fee proposed in the original Application. MFDL funds may not be used to fund increased Developer Fee, regardless of the allowability of the increase under other Department rules.

(f) The contractual terms of an award will be governed by and reflect the rules in effect at the time of application; provided, however, that any changes in federal requirements will be reflected in the contractual terms and further provided, that if, prior to execution of such contract, there are new rules in effect, the Applicant may elect to be governed by the new rules.

§ 13.6 Scoring Criteria

The criteria identified in paragraphs (1) - (7) of this section will be used in the evaluation and ranking of applications to the extent that other applications were received on the same date and within the same set-aside and prioritization. There is no rounding of numbers in this section, unless rounding is explicitly indicated for that particular calculation or criteria. The scoring items used to calculate the score for a 9% HTC layered application will be utilized for scoring for an MFDL Application, and evaluated in the same manner except as specified below. Scoring criteria in Chapter 11 of this title will always be superior to Scoring Criteria in this Chapter to the extent
that an MFDL Application is also concurrently requesting 9% housing tax credits:

1. Applicants eligible for points under 10 TAC §11.9(c)(4) related to the Opportunity Index (7 points).
2. Tenant Services. Applicants eligible for points under 10 TAC §11.9(c)(3)(A) related to Tenant Services (9 points) Applicants eligible for points under 10 TAC §11.9(c)(3)(B) related to Tenant Services (1 point).
3. Underserved Area. Applicants eligible for points under 10 TAC §11.9(c)(6) related to Underserved Area (up to 5 points).
4. Subsidy per Unit. An application that caps the per unit subsidy limit (inclusive of match) for all Direct Loan units regardless of unit size at:
   A) $100,000 per MFDL unit (4 points).
   B) $80,000 per MFDL unit (8 points).
   C) $60,000 per MFDL unit (10 points).
5. Rent Levels of Tenants. An Application may qualify to receive up to thirteen (13) points for placing the following rent and income restrictions on the proposed Development for the entire Affordability Period. These Units may not be restricted to 30 percent or less of AMGI by another fund source.
   A) At least 20 percent of all low-income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI (13 points);
   B) At least 10 percent of all low-income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI or, for a Development located in a Rural Area, 7.5 percent of all low-income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI (12 points); or
   C) At least 5 percent of all low-income Units at 30 percent or less of AMGI (7 points).
6. Tenant Populations with Special Housing Needs. An Application may qualify to receive two (2) points by serving Tenants with Special Housing Needs. Points will be awarded as described in subparagraphs (A) - (B) of this paragraph. If pursuing these points, Applicants must try to score first with subparagraph (A) and then subparagraph (B), both of which pertain to the requirements of the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program (“Section 811 PRA Program”) (10 TAC Chapter 8).
   A) An Applicant or Affiliate that Owns or Controls an Existing Development that is eligible to participate in the Department’s Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program (“Section 811 PRA Program”) will do so in order to receive two (2) points. In order to qualify for points, the Existing Development must commit to the Section 811 PRA Program at minimum 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units, unless the Integrated Housing Rule, 10 TAC §1.15, or the 811 Program Rental Assistance Rule (“811 Rule”), 10 TAC Chapter 8, limits the Development to fewer than 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units. The same Section 811 PRA Program Units cannot be used to qualify for points in more than one Application. The Applicant or Affiliate will comply with the requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8.
   B) An Applicant or Affiliate that does not meet the Existing Development requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8 but still meets the requirements of 10 TAC §8.3 is eligible to receive two (2) points by committing Units in the proposed Development to participate in the Department’s Section 811 PRA Program. In order to be eligible for points, Applicants must commit at least 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units in the proposed Development for participation in the Section 811 PRA Program unless the Integrated Housing Rule, 10 TAC §1.15, or the 811 Program Rental Assistance Rule (“811 Rule”), 10 TAC Chapter 8, limits the Development to fewer than 10 Section 811 PRA Program Units. The same Section 811 PRA Program Units cannot be used to qualify for points in more than one Application. The Applicant will comply with the requirements of 10 TAC Chapter 8.
7. Tiebreaker. In the event that two or more Applications receives the same number of points based on the scoring criteria above, staff will recommend for award the Application that proposes the greatest percentage of 30% AMGI MFDL units within the Development that would convert to households at 15% AMGI in the event of a tie in the Tiebreaker Certification.
§ 13.7 Maximum Funding Requests

(a) The maximum funding request for all applications will be identified in the NOFA, and may vary by development type, set-aside, or fund source.

(b) Maximum Per-Unit Subsidy Limits. The 234 Condo limits with the applicable high cost percentage adjustment in effect at the time of application are the maximum per-unit subsidy limits (inclusive of Match) that an applicant may use to determine the amount of MFDL funds or other federal funds that may subsidize a unit. Stricter per-unit subsidy limits are allowable and incentivized as point scoring items in §13.6 Scoring Criteria. Per-unit subsidy limits as well as cost allocation analysis - ensuring that the amount of MFDL units as a percentage of total units is greater than the percentage of MFDL funds requested as a percentage of total development costs - will determine the amount of MFDL units required.

§ 13.8 Loan Structure and Underwriting Requirements

(a) Except for awards made under the SR/SH/SH set-aside, all Multifamily Direct Loans awarded will be underwritten as fully repayable (must pay) at not less than the Discount window primary credit rate published by the Federal Reserve (https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h15/), a rate specified on the date of initial publication of the NOFA and approved by the Board, plus 200 basis points and a 30 year amortization with a term that matches the term of any superior loans (within 6 months) at the time of application. If the Department determines that the Development does not support this structure, the Department may recommend an alternative that makes the development feasible under all applicable sections of 10 TAC §461.300 related to Underwriting Policy, and §13.8(c). The interest rate, amortization period, and term for the loan will be fixed by the Board at Award, and can only be amended prior to closing by the process in §13.12 of this chapter.

(b) Changes to the total development cost and/or other sources of funds from the publication of the initial Underwriting Report to the time of loan closing must be reevaluated by Real Estate Analysis staff, who may recommend changes to principal amount and/or repayment structure for the Multifamily Direct Loan that will allow the Department to mitigate any increased risk. Where the Department determines such risk is not adequately mitigated, the award may be terminated or reconsidered by the Board. Increases in the principal or payment amount of any superior loans after the initial Underwriting Report must be approved by the Board.

(c) Direct Loans through the Department must adhere to the following criteria as identified in paragraphs (1) - (7) of this subsection if being requested as construction-to-permanent loans:

(1) The term for permanent loans shall be no less than ten (10) years and no greater than forty (40) years and the amortization schedule shall be thirty (30) years. The Department's loan must mature at the same time or within six (6) months of the shortest term of any senior debt so long as neither exceeds forty (40) years and six (6) months.

(2) Amortized loans shall be structured with a regular monthly payment beginning on the first day of the 25th full month following the actual date of loan closing and continuing for the loan term.

(3) If the first lien mortgage is a federally insured HUD or FHA mortgage or if a surplus cash flow structure is required for a loan from the SH/SH set-aside, the Department may approve a loan structure with annual payments payable from Surplus Cash Flow provided that the debt coverage ratio, inclusive of the loan, continues to meet the requirements in this subchapter.

(4) If the proposed first lien is a federally insured HUD or FHA mortgage that requires the Direct Loan to be subject to 75% of Surplus Cash Flow, staff will require the debt service coverage ratio on both the federally insured loan and the Department’s loan - as restricted to 75% of Surplus Cash Flow - to continue to meet the minimum...
1.15 in accordance with 10 TAC §10.302(d)(4)(D).

(45) Loans shall be secured with a deed of trust with a permanent lien position that is superior to any other sources for financing including hard repayment debt that is less than or equal to the Direct Loan amount and superior to any other sources that have soft repayment structures, non-amortizing balloon notes, have deferred forgivable provisions or in which the lender has an identity of interest with any member of the Development Team; and,

(56) If the Direct Loan amounts to more than 50 percent of the Total Housing Development Cost, except for Developments also financed through the USDA §515 program, the Application must include the documents as identified in subparagraphs (A) - (B) of this paragraph:

(A) a letter from a Third Party CPA verifying the capacity of the Applicant, Developer, or Development Owner to provide at least 10 percent of the Total Housing Development Cost as a short term loan for the Development;

(B) evidence of a line of credit or equivalent tool equal to at least 10 percent of the Total Housing Development Cost from a financial institution that is available for use during the proposed Development activities.

(67) If the Direct Loan is the only source of permanent Department funding for the Development:

(A) The Development Owner must provide equity in an amount not less than 20 percent of Total Housing Development Costs.

(BA) For Applicants proposing new construction, an "as completed" appraisal that reflects the prospective value of the completed property consistent with rent and income restrictions proposed in the Application pursuant to 10 TAC §1011.304 which results in total repayable loan to value of not greater than 80% must be provided.

(GB) For Applicants proposing rehabilitation, the “as is” appraisal required by 10 TAC §1011.205(4) may meet this requirement without needing an “as completed” appraisal provided the loan to value is not greater than 80%.

(78) All Direct Loan applicants where other third-party financing entities are part of the sources of funding must submit a pro forma and lender approval letter evidencing review of the Development and the Principals in accordance with as described in 10 TAC §11.9(e)(1). Where no third-party financing exists, the Department reserves the right to procure a third-party evaluation which will be required to be prepaid by the applicant.

(d) Direct Loans through the Department must adhere to the following criteria as identified in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection if being requested as construction only loans:

(1) The term of the construction loan must be coterminous with any superior construction loan. In the event that the Direct Loan is the only construction loan, the term may not exceed 24 months;

(2) The minimum interest rate will be specified in the NOFA and approved by the Board 2%; and

(3) Up to 75% of the construction loan may be advanced at loan closing should there be sufficient costs to reimburse that amount.

§13.9 Construction Standards

All Developments financed with Direct Loans will be required to meet at a minimum all applicable state and local codes, ordinances, and standards; the 2012 International Existing Building Code ("IEBC") or International Building Code ("IBC") as applicable. Rehabilitation Developments must meet the requirements in paragraphs (1) - (6) of this section.

(1) recommendations made in the Environmental Assessment and any Physical Conditions Assessment with respect to health and safety issues, life expectancy of major systems (structural
support; roofing; cladding and weatherproofing; plumbing; electrical; and heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) must be implemented;

(2) for properties originally constructed prior to 1978, the Physical Conditions Assessment and rehabilitation scope of work must be provided to the party conducting the lead-based paint and/or asbestos testing, and the rehabilitation must implement the mitigation recommendations of the testing report;

(3) all accessibility requirements pursuant to 10 TAC Subchapter B must be met;

(4) the broadband infrastructure requirements described in 24 CFR §92.251(a)(2)(vi) or (b)(1)(x) or 24 CFR §93.301(a)(2)(vi) or 24 CFR 93.301(b)(2)(vi) as applicable;

(5) properties located in the designated catastrophe areas specified in 28 TAC §5.4008 must comply with 28 TAC §5.4011 (relating to Applicable Building Code Standards in Designated Catastrophe Areas for Structures Constructed, Repaired or to Which Additions Are Made On and After January 1, 2008); and

(6) should IEBC be more restrictive than local codes, or should local codes not exist, then the Development must meet the requirements imposed by IEBC.

§13.10 Development and Unit Requirements

(a) The bedroom/bathroom/amenities and square footages for Direct Loan Units must be comparable to the bedroom/bathroom/amenities and square footages for the total number of Units in the Development based on the amount of Direct Loan funds requested, inclusive of Match, as a percentage of total Direct Loan eligible costs. As a result of this requirement, the Department will always use the Proration Method as the Cost Allocation Method in accordance with CPD Notice 16-15 except as described in (b) of this section. Additionally, the amount of Direct Loan funds requested inclusive of Match cannot exceed the per-unit subsidy limit included in the NOFA. For example, in a 20 Unit Development composed of 6 1-bedroom, 10 2-bedroom, and 4 3-bedroom units, where the amount of Direct Loan funds requested is $1,000,000, and the total Direct Loan eligible project costs are $4,000,000, 25 percent of each unit type must be a Direct Loan Unit ($1,000,000 Direct divided by $4,000,000). In the example below, the square footages are the same for each unit that has the same number of bedrooms and all fractional units are rounded up to require the next whole number of MFDL Units. In this example, even though the amount of Direct Loan funds (inclusive of Match) as a percentage of total Direct Loan eligible costs (25 percent) would result in a minimum 5 units if the percentage was applied on a total unit basis, the 25 percent must be applied to each unit type with partial Units rounded up to the next whole number, resulting in 2 additional units for a total of 7 Direct Loan Units. Please see CPD Notice 16-15 for further guidance. Direct Loan units must be provided as a percentage of each unit type, in proportion to the percentage of total costs included in the Direct Loan.

(b) For HOME, NSP, and TCAP RF, Direct Loan Units must float throughout the Development unless the Development also contains public housing units that will receive Operating Fund or Capital Fund assistance under Section 9 of the 1937 Act as defined in 24 CFR §5.100. For NHTF, Direct Loan Units must float throughout the Development except as prohibited by 24 CFR §93.203. Floating Direct Loan units may only float among the Units as described in the Direct Loan Contract and Direct Loan Land Use Restriction Agreement (“LURA”), or as specifically approved in writing by the Department.

(c) The minimum affordability period for all Direct Loan Units awarded under a NOFA will match the greater of the term of the loan or 30 years unless a lesser period is approved by the Board and when assisting distressed developments.

(d) If the Department is the only source of funding for the Development, all Units must be restricted.
(e) If the Direct Loan funds are layered in a 9% Development that is electing Income Averaging to qualify under IRC §42, the Direct Loan units required by the LURA must continue to be provided at the income levels committed at the time of Application. Unit designations may not change to meet Income Averaging requirements.

§13.11 Post-Award Requirements

(a) Direct Loan awardees must execute an Award Letter and Loan Term Sheet provided by the Department within thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of the letter. The Award Letter and Loan Term Sheet will be conditional in nature and provide a basic outline of the terms and conditions approved by the Board.

(b) If a Direct Loan award is returned after Board approval, or if the Applicant or Affiliates fail to meet federal commitment or expenditure requirements, timely close the loan, begin and complete construction, or leave a portion of the Direct Loan award unexpended, penalties may apply under 10 TAC § 11.9(f) and/or the Department may prohibit the Applicant and all Affiliates from applying for MFDL funds for a period of 2 years if they have returned their funds or have failed to take necessary action specified in one or more agreement with the Department where the failure resulted in the Department’s failure to meet federal commitment and expenditure requirements.

(c) Direct Loan awardees must obtain and submit a fully completed environmental clearance review (if applicable) including any applicable reports (if applicable) and meet all requirements for commitment of funds to the Department within 180 days after award; the Board approval date. Direct Loan awardees that commit any choice limiting activities prior to obtaining environmental clearance may lead to termination of the Direct Loan award.

(d) Direct Loan awardees must execute a Contract within sixty (60) months of the Board approval date; environmental clearance being obtained, or, if environmental clearance is not required, within 60 days after the Board approval date.

(e) Loan closing must occur and construction must begin no later than three (3) months from the effective date of a Contract.

(f) The Development Owner is required to submit quarterly construction status reports to the Asset Management Division as described and by the deadlines specified in §10.402(h).

(g) In addition to any other requirements as the result of any other Department funding sources, the Development Owner must submit a mid-construction development inspection request once the development has met 25% construction completion as indicated on the G703 Continuation Sheet. Inspection staff will issue a mid-construction development inspection letter that confirms that work is being done in accordance with the applicable codes, the construction contract, and construction documents. Up to 50 percent of the Direct Loan award will be released prior to issuance of the mid-construction development inspection letter.

(h) Construction must be completed, as reflected by the development’s certificate(s) of occupancy and Certificate of Substantial Completion (AIA Form G704), and a final development inspection request must be submitted to the Department within 18 months of the actual loan closing date, with the repayment period beginning on the first day of the 25th month following the actual date of loan closing. The final development inspection letter will verify committed amenities have been provided and confirm compliance with all applicable accessibility requirements.

(i) Receipt of a Closed Final Development Inspection Letter, indicating that all deficiencies identified in the Final Inspection Letter have been corrected, must occur within 24 months of the actual date of loan closing. The Final Development Inspection may be conducted concurrently with a Uniform Physical Condition Standards (“UPCS”) inspection. However, any letters associated with a UPCS inspection will not satisfy the Closed Final Development
Inspection Letter requirement.

(j) Extensions to any of the above benchmarks in subsections (a) to (i) of this section may only be made for good cause and approved by the Department Executive Director or authorized designee if construction is timely started in accordance with §13.12 or §13.13 of this Chapter as applicable;

(k) Initial occupancy of all MFDL assisted Units by eligible tenants shall occur within six (6) months of the final Direct Loan draw. Requests to extend the initial occupancy period must be accompanied by documentation of marketing efforts and a marketing plan. The marketing plan may be submitted to HUD for final approval, if required by the MFDL fund source;

(l) Repayment will be required on a per Unit basis for Units that have not been rented to eligible households within eighteen (18) months of the final Direct Loan draw.

(m) Termination of the Direct Loan award and repayment of all disbursed funds will be required for any Development that is not completed within four (4) years of the effective date of a Direct Loan Contract.

(n) Closing Deadline: Awards will be made subject to closing deadlines established at the time of award by the Board subject to the conditions in §13.8(a), which may only be extended in accordance with §13.12 on the basis of delays caused by circumstances outside the control of the applicant or constraints in arranging a multiple source closing. An extension will not be available if an Applicant has:

(1) failed to timely begin or complete processes required to close; including:
   (A) finalizing all equity and debt financing; or
   (B) the environmental review process; or

(2) made changes to the Development that require additional underwriting by the Department without sufficient time to complete the review;

(No) Loan Closing: In preparation for closing any Direct Loan, the Development Owner must submit the items described in paragraphs (1) - (7) of this subsection:

(1) Documentation of the prior closing or concurrent closing with all sources of funds necessary for the long-term financial feasibility of the Development.

(2) Due diligence determined by the Department to be prudent and necessary to meet the Department’s rules and to secure the interests of the Department.

(3) Where the Department will have a first lien position and the Applicant provides personal guarantees from all principals, as well as documentation that closing on other sources is reasonably expected to occur within three (3) months, the Executive Director or authorized designee may approve a closing to move forward without the closing on other sources. The Executive Director as the authorized designee of the Department must require a personal guarantee, in form and substance acceptable to the Department, from a Principal of the Development Owner for the interim period;

(4) When Department funds have a first lien position during the construction period, assurance of completion of the Development in the form of payment and performance bonds in the full amount of the construction contract or equivalent guarantee in the sole determination of the Department is required. Such assurance of completion will run to the Department as obligee. Development Owners utilizing the USDA §515 program are exempt from this requirement but must meet the alternative requirements set forth by USDA;

(5) Documentation required for closing includes, but is not limited to:
   (A) Draft Owner/General Contractor agreement and draft Owner/Architect agreement prior to closing with final executed copies required by the day of closing;
   (B) survey of the Property that includes a certification to the Department, Development Owner, Title Company, and other lenders;
(C) plans and specifications for review by the Department's inspection staff. Inspection staff will issue a plan review letter that is intended to assist in identifying early concerns associated with the Department's final construction requirements;
(D) if layered with Housing Tax Credits, a fully executed limited partnership agreement between the General Partner and the tax credit investor entity (may be provided concurrent with closing);
(E) final Development information, including but not limited to a final development cost schedule, sources and uses, operating pro forma, annual operating expenses, cost categories for the Direct Loan funds, updated written financial commitments or term sheets and any additional financing exhibits that have changed since the time of application;
(6) if required by the fund source, prior to Contract Execution unless an earlier period is described in Chapter 10 of this title, the Development Owner must provide verification of:
   (A) environmental clearance;
   (B) Site and Neighborhood clearance;
   (C) documentation necessary to show compliance with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Property Act and any other relocation requirements that may apply; and
   (D) any other documentation that is necessary or prudent to meet program requirements or state or federal law in the sole determination of the Department.
(7) The Direct Loan Contract as executed, which will be drafted by counsel or its designee for the Department. No changes proposed by the Developer or Developer's counsel will be accepted unless approved by the Department's Legal Division or its designee.
(9) Loan Documents. The Development Owner is required to execute all loan closing documents required by and in form and substance acceptable to the Department's Legal Division
(1) Loan closing documents include but are not limited to a promissory note, deed of trust, construction loan agreement (if the proceeds of the loan are to be used for construction), LURA, Architect and/or licensed engineer certification of understanding to complete environmental mitigation if such mitigation is identified in HUD's environmental clearance or the Real Estate Analysis Division (REA) Underwriting Report and assignment and security instruments whereby the Developer, the Development Owner, and/or any Affiliates (if applicable) grants the Department their respective right, title, and interest in and to other collateral, including without limitation the Owner/Architect agreement and the Owner/General Contractor agreement, to secure the payment and performance of the Development Owner's obligations under the loan documents. In the event the Development receives funding that requires the Department's funding to be in a subordinate position, the individual who is able to control the Development (all such individuals if more than one possess such power jointly and severally) will execute a personal guaranty in favor of the Department that in the event that the Development fails to fulfill its requirements of affordability for the required period, and as a result the Department is required to repay funds to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development using non-federal funds and the net proceeds available to the Department after a foreclosure, deed in lieu of foreclosure, or similar disposition of the Development are insufficient to make such repayment, the guarantor(s) will jointly and severally guarantee repayment of that amount.
(2) Repayment provisions will require repayment on a per unit basis for units that have not been rented to eligible households within eighteen (18) months of the final Direct Loan draw; termination and repayment of the Direct Loan award in full will be required for any Development that is not completed within four (4) years of the date of Direct Loan Contract execution.
(3) Loan terms and conditions may vary based on the type of Development, Real Estate Analysis underwriting report, and the set-aside under which the award was made.
(9) Disbursement of Funds. The Borrower must comply with the requirements in paragraphs (1) - (9) of this subsection in order to receive a disbursement of funds to reimburse eligible costs incurred. Submission of documentation related to the Borrower's compliance with these
requirements may be required with a request for disbursement:

1) All requests for disbursement must be submitted through the Department's Housing Contract System, using the MFDL draw workbook or such other format as the Department may require.

2) Documentation of the total construction costs incurred and costs incurred since the last disbursement of funds must be submitted. Such documentation must be signed by the General Contractor and certified by the Development architect and is generally in the form of an AIA Form G702 or G703;

3) Disbursement requests must include a down-date endorsement to the Direct Loan (mortgagee) title policy or Nothing Further Certificate that includes a title search through the date of the Architect's signature on AIA form G702. For release of retainage the down-date endorsement to the Direct Loan title policy or Nothing Further Certificate must be dated at least thirty (30) calendar days after the date of the construction completion as certified on the Certificate of Substantial Completion (AIA Form G704) with $0 as the work remaining to be completed. Disbursement requests for acquisition and closing costs, or requests for soft costs only, are exempt from this requirement;

4) At least 50 percent of the funds will be withheld from the initial disbursement of loan funds to allow for periodic disbursements;

5) The initial draw request for the development must be entered into the Department's Housing Contract System no later than ten business days prior to the one year anniversary of the effective date of the Direct Loan Contract;

6) Up to 75 percent of Direct Loan funds may be drawn before providing evidence of Match. Thereafter, the Borrower must provide evidence of Match being credited to the Development prior to release of the final 25 percent of funds;

7) Developer fee disbursement shall be limited by Section 13.11(p)(9) and further conditioned upon:
   (A) for Developments in which the loan is secured by a first lien deed of trust against the Property, 75 percent shall be disbursed in accordance with percent of construction completed. 75 percent of the total allowable fee will be multiplied by the percent completion, as documented by the construction contract and as may be verified by an inspection by the Department. The remaining 25 percent shall be disbursed at the time of release of retainage; or
   (B) for Developments in which the loan is not secured by a first lien deed of trust or the Development is also utilizing Housing Tax Credits, developer fees will not be reimbursed by the Department except as follows. If all other lenders and syndicator in a Housing Tax Credit development (if applicable) provide written confirmation that they do not have an existing or planned agreement to govern the disbursement of developer fees and expect that Department funds shall be used to fund developer fees, they developer fees shall be reimbursed in the same manner as described in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph; and
   (C) the Department may reasonably withhold any disbursement in accordance with the Loan Documents and if it is determined that the Development is not progressing as reasonably necessary to meet the benchmarks for the timely completion of construction of the Development as set forth in the loan documents, or that cost overruns have put the Development Owner's ability to repay its Direct Loan or complete the construction at risk in accordance with the terms of the loan documents and within budget. If disbursement has been withheld under this subsection, the Development Owner must provide evidence to the Department that the Development will be timely completed and occupied in order to continue receiving funds. If Disbursement is withheld for any reason, disbursement of any remaining developer fee will be made only after construction of the Development has been completed, and all requirements for expenditure and occupancy have been met;

Expenditures must be allowable and reasonable in accordance with federal and state rules and regulations. The Department shall review each expenditure requested for reasonableness. The Department may request the Development Owner make modifications to the disbursement request and is authorized to modify the disbursement procedures set forth
herein and to establish such additional requirements for payment of Department funds to Development Owner as may be necessary or advisable for compliance with all program requirements;

(89) Funding requests will not be considered unless the Direct Loan Contract has been executed and all necessary documentation has been completed and submitted to the Department at least ten (10) calendar days prior to planned closing;

(90) Following fifty percent construction completion, any funds will be released in accordance with the percentage of construction completion, not to exceed ninety percent of award. Ten percent of requested Hard Costs will be retained and will not be released until the final draw request. If the Development is receiving funds from more than one MFDL source, the retainage requirement will apply to each fund source individually. Retainage will be held until all of the items described in subparagraphs (A) - (G) of this paragraph are received.

(A) Fully executed Certificate of Substantial Completion (AIA Form G704) with $0 as the cost estimate of work that is incomplete;

(B) A down date endorsement to the Direct Loan title policy or Nothing Further Certificate dated at least 30 calendar days after the date of completion as certified on the Certificate of Substantial Completion (AIA Form G704);

(C) For Developments not layered with Housing Tax Credits, a Closed Final Development Inspection Letter from the Department;

(D) For Developments subject to the Davis-Bacon Act, evidence from the Senior Labor Standards Specialist that the final wage compliance report was received and approved or confirmation that HUD maintains Davis-Bacon oversight as a result of a HUD-insured first lien loan;

(E) Receipt of Certificate(s) of Occupancy (if New Construction);

(F) Development completion reports which includes but is not limited to documentation of full compliance with the Uniform Relocation Act/104(d), Davis-Bacon Act, Match Documentation requirements, and Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as applicable to the Development, and any other applicable requirement; and

(G) If applicable to the Development, certification from Architect or a licensed engineer that all HUD and REA environmental mitigation conditions have been met.

(10) The final draw request must be submitted within 24 months from loan closing. Extensions to this deadline may only be granted in accordance with §13.12(3) of this chapter.

§ 13.12 Pre-Closing Amendments to Direct Loan Terms

The Executive Director or authorized designee may approve amendments to loan terms prior to closing as described in paragraphs (1) - (6) of this section. Board approval is necessary for any other changes prior to closing.

(1) Extensions of up to 6 months to the loan closing date specified by the Board required in §13.11(e) in accordance with §13.11(m) of this chapter. An Applicant must document good cause, which includes but is not limited to delays caused by circumstances outside the control of the applicant or constraints in arranging a multiple source closing; An extension will not be available if an Applicant has:

(A) failed to timely begin or complete processes required to close; including

(i) finalizing all equity and debt financing; or

(ii) the environmental review process; or

(B) made changes to the Development that require additional underwriting by the Department without sufficient time to complete the review.

(2) Changes to the loan maturity date to accommodate the requirements of other lenders or to maintain parity of term;

(3) Extensions of up to 12 months to §13.11(h) or (i) for the construction completion, loan amounts,}
§13.11 Conversion Date and Final Draw Deadline

Conversion date and/or final draw deadline date based on documentation that the extension is necessary to complete construction and that there is good cause for the extension. Such a request will generally not be approved prior to initial loan closing.

(4) changes to the loan amortization or interest rate that cause the annual repayment amount to decrease less than 20 percent or any changes to the amortization or interest rate that increase the annual repayment amount;

(5) decreases in the Direct Loan amount, provided the decrease does not jeopardize the financial viability of the Development. Increases will generally not be approved unless the Applicant competes for the additional funding under an open NOFA;

(6) changes to other loan terms or requirements as necessary to facilitate the loan closing without exposing the Department to undue financial risk.

§13.13 Post-Closing Amendments to Direct Loan Terms

(a) The Executive Director or authorized designee may approve extensions of up to 12 months to §13.11(h), (i), or (p)(11) of this Chapter based on documentation that there is good cause for the extension.

(b) Except in cases of Force Majeure, changes to federal awards will only be processed after the Development is reported to the federal oversight entity as completed and the last of the MFDL funds have been drawn.

(c) The Executive Director or authorized designee may approve amendments to loan terms post-closing as described in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this section. Board approval is necessary for any other changes post closing.

(1) changes to the amortization or maturity date to accommodate the requirements of other lenders or maintain parity of term, provided the changes result in the Direct Loan continuing to meet the requirements of §13.8(c)(1) and (3);

(2) resubordination of the Direct Loan in conjunction with refinancing provided the conditions in (A) - (E) are met:

(A) The Borrower is current with loan payments to the Department, and no notice has been given of any Event of Default on any MFDL loan. Histories of late or non-payment on any other MFDL loan may result in denial of the request;

(B) The refinance does not propose payment of outstanding debt or profit directly to any of the Development Owner or Developer parties (including the Limited Partners);

(C) A proposal for partial or full repayment of the MFDL lien is made with the request; and

(D) The new superior lien is in an amount that is equal to or less than the original senior lien and does not negatively affect the financial feasibility of the Development.

(E) Changes to accommodate refinancing with a new superior lien that is in an amount that exceeds the original senior lien and which will be directly applied to property improvements as evidenced by the loan or security agreements (exclusive of fees associated with the refinance and any required reserves) will be considered on a case by case basis.

(3) Changes required to the Department’s loan terms or amounts that are part of an approved Asset Management Division work out arrangement.
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Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the proposed amendment of 10 TAC Chapter 10 Subchapter E, concerning Post Award and Asset Management Requirements, and directing its publication for public comment in the Texas Register.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.053, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) is authorized to adopt rules governing the administration of the Department and its programs;

WHEREAS, staff proposes clarifications and changes to improve the efficiency of post award and asset management activities of multifamily developments awarded funds under various Department programs;

WHEREAS, staff also proposes changes to 10 TAC §10.405 to allow for the election of income averaging, as permitted by amendments to the Internal Revenue Code under the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018;

WHEREAS, staff recommends to the Board that there is a continuing need for these rule sections to exist, which is to ensure compliance with applicable sections of Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Internal Revenue Code §42, and applicable sections of 24 CFR §92.252 (and as adopted for the Texas Neighborhood Stabilization Program), 24 CFR §92.219, and 24 CFR §93.302;

WHEREAS, this rule was last adopted in 2016 and changes are now needed to add direction for Owners wishing to amend applications to make the income averaging election, remove or correct duplicative language and references, establish consistency with rules in 10 TAC Chapter 11 Subchapter D, further clarify language and requirements on which questions are often received, correct references to forms or attachments that have been updated, clarify and add to notification requirements that do not result in an amendment item, clarify the Department’s interpretation of Right of First Refusal statutory requirements, and replace undefined terms with defined terms where appropriate; and

WHEREAS, such proposed rulemaking will be published in the Texas Register for public comment and subsequently returned to the Board for final adoption;
NOW, therefore, it is hereby

RESOLVED, that the proposed amendments of 10 TAC Chapter 10, together with the preamble presented to this meeting, are hereby approved for publication in the Texas Register for public comment; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Executive Director and his designees be and each of them are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed, for and on behalf of the Department, to cause the proposed amendment of 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, §§10.400 – 10.406, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements, together with the preamble in the form presented to this meeting, to be published in the Texas Register for public comment and, in connection therewith, make such non-substantive technical corrections, or preamble-related corrections, as they may deem necessary to effectuate the foregoing, including the preparation and requested revisions to the subchapter specific preambles.

BACKGROUND

Rule Review Overview

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.039 requires that state agencies review a rule every four years to assess whether the reasons for initially adopting the rule continue to exist; based on the assessment the rule can then be readopted as is, readopted with amendments, or repealed. Even rules that have been amended within the last four years require this review if the amendment did not specifically state that it included this review step. Several of the Department’s rules exceed this four year review period and a project has been initiated to evaluate all rules and bring them into compliance with these timelines. One of the results of such a review is that the rule is determined to be necessary but that there are significant enough revisions to warrant repeal and proposal of a new rule in its place. This type of action also is an acceptable response to the four year review requirement and “resets the clock” on that review period

This rule review initiative also includes a management-wide evaluation of whether a rule is:

- Statutorily required, and if not, evaluating its necessity;
- Setting policy beyond those established in state or federal authorizing statute or regulation, and if so, affirming the purpose and need for that policy; and
- In harmony with the direction and policy of the Executive Director and the Board.

Upon approval in draft form by the Board, rules under review will be released for public comment in the Texas Register and returned to the Board for final direction and adoption.
10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, §§10.400 – 10.406, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements

Authority: Tex. Gov't Code §2306.053 provides for the Department to administer federal housing, community affairs, or community development programs, including the low income housing tax credit program. The Asset Management Division and its Rules, as a whole, are an integral part of administering the Department’s federal housing programs, assisting in reviewing and ensuring the long-term affordability and safety of multifamily rental housing developments in the Department’s portfolio as required under Tex. Gov’t Code §§2306.185 and 2306.186, performing the functions of processing amendments and ownership transfers as required under §§2306.6712 and 2306.6713, and performing essential functions required under various federal program (HOME, NSP, NHTF, Exchange, TCAP) rules and under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Department Policy: While Tex. Gov’t Code provides for direction and authority for certain, specific activities for the Division, it does not provide the administrative specificity necessary to address all of the asset management activities that are necessary to ensure all state and federal program requirements are met. As such, these rules set Department policy to the extent necessary to provide for the administrative implementation of federal and state directives and requirements.

Consistency with Executive Direction and Proposed Changes: Staff recommends that these rules be retained and that this be accomplished through the proposed amendment of the existing rules. The amendments proposed add direction for Owners wishing to amend applications under the average income election (revised election under §42(g) of the Code) prior to filing of IRS Forms 8609, remove or correct duplicative language and references, establish consistency with rules in 10 TAC Chapter 11 Subchapter D, further clarify language and requirements on which questions are often received, correct references to forms or attachments that have been updated, clarify and add to notification requirements that do not result in an amendment item, clarify the Department’s interpretation of Right of First Refusal statutory requirements, and replace undefined terms with defined terms where appropriate.

Behind the proposed preamble for the proposed amendment action a draft of the rule is shown in its clean new form. However, to assist reviewers with understanding what has changed from the current version of the rule, a blacklined version is also provided, reflecting the changes proposed in the amended rule.

The proposed draft of the 2019 Post Award and Asset Management Requirements reflects staff’s recommendations for the Board’s consideration. The more significant changes to specific sections are summarized below. Changes made only for purposes of correcting previous grammatical errors or spacing, re-numbering, or re-aligning requirements with updated references to sections elsewhere in rule are not specifically discussed.

Upon Board approval, the proposed 2019 Asset Management Rules will be posted to the Department’s website and published in the Texas Register. Public comment will be accepted between September 28, 2018, and October 12, 2018. The Asset Management Rules, after consideration of
public comment, will be brought before the Board in November for final approval and subsequently published in the *Texas Register* for adoption.

**Summary of Proposed Changes:** Most of the changes proposed by staff are clarifying in nature; however, this section outlines the more significant recommendations made by staff.

1. **10.402(h)(2)-(4) Construction status reports.** Staff has added prime subcontract, Affiliate, and Related Party subcontractor contracts to reporting requirements as well as prime subcontractor, Affiliate, and Related Party Applications and Certifications for Payment. These requirements were added to develop consistency with Subchapter D, Underwriting and Loan Policy, §10.302(e)(6) which states, “Any contractor fees to Affiliates or Related Party subcontractors regardless of the percentage of the contract sum in the construction contract(s) will be treated collectively with the General Contractor Fee limitations.” Third Party report requirements were also clarified under §10.402(h)(4) to include “a discussion of site conditions as of the date of the site visit” to ensure that dates of the site visits and discussions of site conditions would be shown as typically included on most relevant Third Party reports and in response to frequent questions relating to the formatting of such reports when provided by an Architect.

2. **10.402(j) Cost Certification.** Item xix was updated to develop consistency with Subchapter D, Underwriting and Loan Policy, §10.302(e)(6) as stated above so that the Application for Final Payment is also required, if applicable, from Affiliated Contractors and Related Party Contractors.

3. **10.403(a) Review of Annual HOME/NSP/TCAP-RF (when used as HOME match) and National Housing Trust Fund Rents. Applicability.** Federal rules require an annual review of certain program rents and Asset Management staff has changed the deadline for such review from June 1st to July 1st in order to allow additional days for the review and implementation of new rents issued by HUD, which are routinely released towards the end of May, making a June 1st submission difficult.

4. **10.403(b) Review of Annual HOME/NSP/TCAP-RF (when used as HOME match) and National Housing Trust Fund Rents. Documentation for Review.** Updates were made to reflect changes in the forms packet available on the Department’s website; the packet requests a current rent roll or unit status report, a copy of information used to determine gross Direct Loan rents, and utility allowance information to complete the review. These items were changed in the rule to reflect the items requested.

5. **10.405(a)(2)(C) Amendments and Extensions (Amendments to Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Application or Award Prior to Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) recording or amendments that do not result in a change to the LURA (§2306.6731(b)).** Notification Items. Staff suggests clarifying that increases or decreases in net rentable square footage or common areas that do not result in a material amendment should be handled as a notification item to avoid additional external and internal time spent on processing items not
subject to a 3% reduction and requiring an amendment before the Board as a material item under Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.6712.

6. **10.405(a)(2)(E) Amendments and Extensions (Amendments to Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Application or Award Prior to Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) recording or amendments that do not result in a change to the LURA (§2306.6731(b)).** Notification Items. Staff suggests revisions as the original version of the rule was difficult to understand. Staff also seeks to include previous participation reviews done at times other than at initial application (such as during a recent ownership transfer or amendment).

7. **10.405(a)(4)(G)-(I) & 10.405(a)(7)(A)(i)-10.405(a)(7)(A)(ii) Amendments and Extensions (Amendments to Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Application or Award Prior to Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) recording or amendments that do not result in a change to the LURA (§2306.6731(b)).** Material amendments. Staff suggests adding an item (G) in order to allow for amendment requests seeking to implement a revised minimum election as permitted by amended Section 42(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code as adopted by the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018. Section 10.405(a)(7)(A)(i) was also added to ensure that changes in any such election were addressed with investor and lender parties prior to submission of amendment requests and other requests for reductions in numbers of low income units were divided into §10.405(a)(7)(A)(ii) to be addressed separately with appropriate documentation as has been previously collected by the Department to allow the Board to make its necessary determinations on any request for a reduction in the total number of Low Income Units or reductions in Low Income Units at any rent or income level.

8. **10.405(b) Amendments to the LURA.** Staff suggests clarifying language related to financial impacts on a Development as a result of an amendment request, replacing “financial information if the change will result in any financial impact on the development” with “financial information related to any financial impact on the development”.

9. **10.405(b)(2)(B) Material LURA Amendments.** Staff suggests adding language to item (B) so that it reads “changes to the income or rent restrictions (including a request to implement a revised election under §42(g) of the Code)” to allow for requests for LURA amendments that will allow an Owner to implement changes under revised elections as permitted by amended Section 42(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code as adopted by the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018.

10. **10.406(b)(4) Ownership Transfers. Exceptions.** Staff suggests changes in language for exceptions relating to foreclosures in order to widen the notifications to any acquiring parties and request that the Department be notified as soon as possible of the revised structure and ownership contact information so that communication is not lost with the acquiring parties/entities. The change is also intended to remove the inference that entities that are
not the lender or financial institution involved in the transaction require advance approval prior to acquiring a property through the foreclosure process.

11. **10.406(c) Ownership Transfers. Transfers Prior to 8609 Issuance or Construction Completion.** Staff suggests changing the use of ‘control’ to the defined term ‘Control’ which is included in the wider section of the Uniform Multifamily Rules in §10.3 ‘Definitions’. Staff also suggests removing the word “such” where it occurs before control to avoid confusion in applying the rule, as it was not previously clear whether the same percentages of control (given the term ‘such’) would need to be present in order to approve the requested amendment change. Staff has additionally suggested that the Executive Director be given the authority to approve transfers prior to the issuance of IRS Forms 8609 or completion of construction rather than these transfers having to go before the Board for decision making. Staff believes such a change would allow such transfers to be approved more quickly and efficiently.

12. **10.407(a)(6)(C) Right of First Refusal Requirements.** Staff suggests the addition of (C) beneath §10.407(a)(6) which is intended to clarify how the Department will monitor the end of the Compliance Period if there are multiple buildings in the Development with different placed in service dates. This is an important clarification and a common question associated with Developments seeking to understand whether the Right of First Refusal (“ROFR”) process has been triggered. The Department has suggested that if multiple buildings in the Development have various placement in service dates (credit period begin dates), the end of the 15th year of the Compliance Period will be based on the date the last building(s) began their credit period (the last placed in service date).

13. **10.407(b)(2) and 10.407(d) Right of First Refusal Offer Price.** Staff suggests adding language to clarify the operation of the Right of First Refusal (“ROFR”) process as set forth in statute. The Department’s rules regarding the ROFR are intended to implement what staff believes is the most reasonable reading of the statute, taking into account all aspects of the statute and seeking to harmonize them. The relevant statutory provisions are set forth Tex. Gov’t Code §§2306.6725(b)(1) relating to Scoring of Applications and 2306.6726 relating to Sale of Certain Low Income Housing Tax Credit Developments.

When we read the statute as a whole it appears that although labeled as a right of first refusal it operates chiefly as a set of restrictions and priorities on negotiations. The various classes of potential buyers are given sequential opportunities to engage in negotiations with the seller. The statutory provisions make reference to the purchasing “at the minimum sales price provided in, and in accordance with the requirements of, Section 42(i)(7).” Under that Section a minimum sales price is just that, a minimum, a sale price below which tax consequences are triggered. If the actual sale price is the minimum price and there is no possibility of a higher negotiated price, the concept of negotiation loses its meaning. The plain meaning is that the parties will engage in discussion with an eye to reaching agreement but the possibility that they may not reach agreement.
Thus, if true negotiation is an element of the statute, which it clearly is, the offering party has every incentive to maximize its sale price and the priority classes have exclusive sequential rights to try to negotiate successfully. If, at the end of the sequential exclusive negotiation periods, the seller has not negotiated an acceptable transaction, it should be free to negotiate with others, but it seems that before finalizing any such agreement, the offering party ought to give the unsuccessful priority negotiation parties an opportunity to meet those terms, thereby giving effect to the reference within the statute to a “right of first refusal.”

The Department does not find that there is authority or basis for inserting ourselves in any of these negotiation processes, only for confirming that the sequential negotiations occurred as required and that any final negotiated price beyond those priority classes was offered to them as a right of first refusal.
Attachment 1: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed amendment of 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, §§10.400-10.408, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") proposes the amendment of 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, §§10.400 – 10.408, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to make corrections to gain consistency across other sections of rule, correct references, clarify existing language and processes, and adopt changes as required by the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018, which amended Section 42(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code to create a permanent new minimum set-aside election known as “average income”.

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.0045(b) does not apply to the rule proposed for action because it was determined that no costs are associated with this action, and therefore no costs warrant being offset. In general, most changes proposed are corrective in nature, intended to gain consistency across other sections of rule, correct rule references, and clarify language or process to more adequately communicate the language or process. The only substantial changes proposed in §10.405(a)(4), §10.405(a)(7)(A)(i), and §10.405(b)(2)(B), related to Amendments and Extensions, is a result of the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, which amended Section 42(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code to create a permanent new minimum set-aside election known as “average income”. Thus, §10.405, Amendments and Extensions, has been amended to comply with federal law and implement this new election in accordance with requirements already specified in Texas Government Code §2306.6712.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.


1. Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed amendment would be in effect, the proposed amendment does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to making changes to an existing activity, concerning the post award activities of Low Income Housing Tax Credit (“LIHTC”) Developments.

2. The proposed amendment does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor is the proposed amendment significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that any existing employee positions are eliminated.

3. The proposed amendment does not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed amendment does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed amendment is not creating a new regulation other than adopting changes in §10.405(a)(4), §10.405(a)(7)(A)(i), and §10.405(b)(2)(B) as necessary to implement changes as required by the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018.
6. The proposed amendment will not repeal an existing regulation.

7. The proposed amendment will not increase nor decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability.

8. The proposed amendment will not negatively nor positively affect this state’s economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2006.002.

1. The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that none of the adverse affect strategies outlined in Tex. Gov’t Code §2006.002(b) are applicable.

2. This rule relates to the procedures for the handling of post award and asset management activities of multifamily developments awarded funds through various Department programs. Other than in the case of a small or micro-business that is an owner or a party to one of the Department’s properties, no small or micro-businesses are subject to the rule. If a small or micro-business is such an owner or participant, the new rule provides for a more clear, transparent process for doing so and do not result in a negative impact for those small or micro-businesses. There are not likely to be any rural communities subject to the proposed rule because this rule is applicable only to the owners or operators of properties in the Department’s portfolio, not municipalities.

3. The Department has determined that because this rule relates only to the process in use for the post award and asset management activities of the Department’s portfolio, there will be no economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2007.043. The proposed amendment does not contemplate nor authorize a taking by the Department, therefore no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the rule as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the rule will be in effect the proposed rule has no economic effect on local employment because this rule only provides for administrative processes required of properties in the Department’s portfolio. No program funds are channeled through this rule, so no activities under this rule would support additional local employment opportunities. Alternatively, the rule would also not cause any negative impact on employment. Therefore no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.

Texas Gov’t Code §2001.002(a) states that this “impact statement must describe in detail the probable effect of the rule on employment in each geographic region affected by this rule…” Considering that no impact is expected on a statewide basis, there are also no “probable” effects of the new rule on particular geographic regions.
c. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the proposed amendment is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the amended sections would be increased clarity and consistency across rule sections along with the revisions necessary as specified in §10.405 to allow the public to claim the average income set aside under Section 42(g)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code as introduced into law by the Federal Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the amended rule does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 28, 2018 to October 12, 2018 to receive input on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Laura DeBellas, Asset Management Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941 or email to laura.debellas@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 P.M. Austin local time OCTOBER 12, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to TEX. GOV’T CODE, §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, §§10.400 – 10.408, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements
Subchapter E

Post Award and Asset Management Requirements

§10.400. Purpose. The purpose of this Subchapter is to establish the requirements governing the post award and asset management activities associated with awards of multifamily development assistance pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter 2306 and its regulation of multifamily funding provided through the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") as authorized by the legislature. This Subchapter is designed to ensure that Developers and Development Owners of low-income Developments that are financed or otherwise funded through the Department maintain safe, decent and affordable housing for the term of the affordability period. Therefore, unless otherwise indicated in the specific section of this Subchapter, any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period or outstanding fees (related to the Development subject to the request) owed to the Department, must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department, or waived by the Board, before a request for any post award activity described in this Subchapter will be acted upon.

§10.401. General Commitment or Determination Notice Requirements and Documentation.

(a) A Commitment or Determination Notice shall not be issued with respect to any Development for an unnecessary amount or where the cost for the total development, acquisition, construction or rehabilitation exceeds the limitations established from time to time by the Department and the Board.

(b) All Commitments or Determination Notices, whether reflected in the Commitment or Determination Notice or not, are made subject to full compliance with all applicable provisions of law and rule, including but not limited to the Qualified Allocation Plan, the Uniform Multifamily Rules, the Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules, all provisions of Commitment and Contract, satisfactory completion of underwriting, and satisfactory resolution of any conditions of underwriting, award, and administrative deficiencies.

(c) The Department shall notify, in writing, the mayor, chief county judge, or other appropriate official of the municipality or county, as applicable, in which the Development is located informing him/her of the Board's issuance of a Commitment or Determination Notice, as applicable.

(d) The Department may cancel a Commitment, Determination Notice or Carryover Allocation prior to the issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 (for Housing Tax Credits) or completion of construction with respect to a Development and/or apply administrative penalties if:

(1) the Applicant, Development Owner, or the Development, as applicable, fails after written notice and a reasonable opportunity to cure, to meet any of the conditions of such Commitment, Determination Notice or Carryover Allocation or any of the undertakings and commitments made by the Development Owner in the Application process for the Development;

(2) any material statement or representation made by the Development Owner or made with respect to the Development Owner or the Development is untrue or misleading;

(3) an event occurs with respect to the Applicant or the Development Owner which would have made the Application ineligible for funding pursuant to Subchapter C of this chapter.
(relating to Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of Rules if such event had occurred prior to issuance of the Commitment, Determination Notice or Carryover Allocation; or
(4) the Applicant, Development Owner, or the Development, as applicable, fails after written notice and a reasonable opportunity to cure, to comply with this chapter or other applicable Department rules, procedures, or requirements of the Department.

§10.402. Housing Tax Credit and Tax Exempt Bond Developments.

(a) Commitment. For Competitive HTC Developments, the Department shall issue a Commitment to the Development Owner which shall confirm that the Board has approved the Application and state the Department's commitment to make a Housing Credit Allocation to the Development Owner in a specified amount, subject to the feasibility determination described in Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) and the determination that the Development satisfies the requirements of this chapter and other applicable Department rules. The Commitment shall expire on the date specified therein, which shall be thirty (30) calendar days from the effective date, unless the Development Owner indicates acceptance by executing the Commitment, pays the required fee specified in §10.901 of this Chapter (relating to Fee Schedule), and satisfies any conditions set forth therein by the Department. The Commitment expiration date may not be extended.

(b) Determination Notices. For Tax Exempt Bond Developments, the Department shall issue a Determination Notice which shall confirm the Board's determination that the Development satisfies the requirements of this chapter as applicable and other applicable Department rules in accordance with the §42(m)(1)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). The Determination Notice shall also state the Department's determination of a specific amount of housing tax credits that the Development may be eligible for, subject to the requirements set forth in the Department's rules, as applicable. The Determination Notice shall expire on the date specified therein, which shall be thirty (30) calendar days from the effective date, unless the Development Owner indicates acceptance by executing the Determination Notice, pays the required fee specified in §10.901 of this Chapter (relating to Fee Schedule), and satisfies any conditions set forth therein by the Department. The Determination Notice expiration date may not be extended without prior Board approval for good cause. The Determination Notice will terminate if the Tax Exempt Bonds are not closed within the timeframe provided for by the Board on its approval of the Determination Notice, by the expiration of the Certificate of Reservation associated with the Determination Notice, or if the financing or Development changes significantly as determined by the Department pursuant to its rules and any conditions of approval included in the Board approval or underwriting report.

(c) Tax Credit Amount. The amount of tax credits reflected in the IRS Form(s) 8609 may be greater or less than the amount set forth in the Determination Notice based upon the Department's and the bond issuer's determination as of each building's placement in service. Any increase of tax credits will only be permitted if it is determined necessary by the Department, as required by §42(m)(2)(D) of the Code through the submission of the Cost Certification package. Increases to the amount of tax credits that exceed 110 percent of the amount of credits reflected in the
Determination Notice must be approved by the Board. Increases to the amount of tax credits that do not exceed 110 percent of the amount of credits reflected in the Determination Notice may be approved administratively by the Executive Director and are subject to the Credit Increase Fee as described in §10.901 Chapter 11, Subchapter GE of this chapter.

(d) Documentation Submission Requirements at Commitment of Funds. No later than the expiration date of the Commitment (or no later than December 31 for Competitive HTC Applications, whichever is earlier) or Determination Notice, the documentation described in paragraphs (1) - (6) of this subsection must be provided. Failure to provide these documents may cause the Commitment or Determination Notice to be rescinded:

(1) for entities formed outside the state of Texas, evidence that the entity filed a Certificate of Application for foreign qualification in Texas, a Franchise Tax Account Status from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, and a Certificate of Fact from the Office of the Secretary of State. If the entity is newly registered in Texas and the Franchise Tax Account Status or Certificate of Fact are not available, a statement can be provided to that effect;

(2) for Texas entities, a copy of the Certificate of Filing for the Certificate of Formation from the Office of the Secretary of State; a Certificate of Fact from the Secretary of State, and a Franchise Tax Account Status from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. If the entity is newly registered and the Certificate of Fact and the Franchise Tax Account Status are not available, a statement can be provided to that effect;

(3) evidence that the signer(s) of the Commitment or Determination Notice have sufficient authority to sign on behalf of the Applicant in the form of a corporate resolution which indicates the sub-entity in Control consistent with the entity contemplated and described in the Application;

(4) evidence of final zoning that was proposed or needed to be changed pursuant to the Development plan;

(5) evidence of satisfaction of any conditions identified in the Credit Underwriting Analysis Report, in the recommendations to the Board from the Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee as provided for in 10 TAC Chapter 1, Subchapter C, or any other conditions of the award required to be met at Commitment or Determination Notice; and

(6) documentation of any changes to representations made in the Application subject to §10.405 of this chapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions).

(7) for Applications underwritten with a property tax exemption, documentation must be submitted in the form of a letter from an attorney identifying the statutory basis for the exemption and indicating that the exemption is reasonably achievable, subject to appraisal district review. Additionally, any Development with a proposed Payment in Lieu of Taxes ("PILOT") agreement must provide evidence regarding the statutory basis for the PILOT and its terms.

(e) Post Bond Closing Documentation Requirements.

(1) Regardless of the issuer of the bonds, no later than 60 calendar days following closing on the bonds, the Development Owner must submit the documentation in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph.
(A) a training certificate from a Department approved "property owner and manager Fair Housing trainer" showing that the Development Owner and on-site or regional property manager has attended at least five (5) hours of Fair Housing training. The certificate must not be older than two years from the date of submission;

(B) a training certificate from a Department approved "architect and engineer Fair Housing trainer" showing that the lead architect or engineer responsible for certifying compliance with the Department's accessibility and construction standards has attended at least five (5) hours of Fair Housing training. The certificate must not be older than two years from the date of submission;

(C) evidence that the financing has closed, such as an executed settlement statement;

(D) a confirmation letter from the Compliance Division evidencing receipt of the Electronic Compliance Reporting Filing Agreement and the Owner's Designation of Administrator of Accounts forms pursuant to §10.607(a); and

(E) initial construction status report consisting of items (1) – (5) as outlined in §10.402(h) of this chapter (relating to Construction Status Reports).

(f) Carryover (Competitive HTC Only). All Developments which received a Commitment, and will not be placed in service and receive IRS Form(s) 8609 in the year the Commitment was issued, must submit the Carryover documentation, in the form prescribed by the Department in the Carryover Manual, no later than the Carryover Documentation Delivery Date as identified in §11.2 of this title (relating to Program Calendar for Competitive Housing Tax Credits) of the year in which the Commitment is issued pursuant to §42(h)(1)(C) of the Code.

(1) Commitments for credits will be terminated if the Carryover documentation has not been received by this deadline, unless an extension has been approved. This termination is final and not appealable subject to right of appeal directly to the Board, and if so determined by the Board, immediately upon final issuance of notice of termination by the Board, staff is directed to award the credits to other qualified Applicants on the approved waiting list.

(2) If the interim or permanent financing structure, syndication rate, amount of debt or syndication proceeds are finalized but different at the time of Carryover from what was proposed in the original Application, applicable documentation of such changes must be provided and the Development may be re-evaluated by the Department for a reduction of credit or change in conditions.

(3) All Carryover Allocations will be contingent upon the Development Owner providing evidence that they have and will maintain Site Control through the 10 Percent Test or through the anticipated closing date, whichever is earlier. For purposes of this paragraph, any changes to the Development Site acreage between Application and Carryover must be addressed by written explanation or, as appropriate, in accordance with §10.405.

(4) Confirmation of the right to transact business in Texas, as evidenced by the Franchise Tax Account Status (the equivalent of the prior Certificate of Account Status) from the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts and a Certificate of Fact from the Office of the Secretary of State must be submitted with the Carryover Allocation.
(g) 10 Percent Test (Competitive HTC Only). No later than July 1 of the year following the submission of the Carryover Allocation Agreement or as otherwise specified in the applicable year's Qualified Allocation Plan, under §11.2, documentation must be submitted to the Department verifying that the Development Owner has expended more than 10 percent of the Development Owner's reasonably expected basis, pursuant to §42(h)(1)(E)(i) and (ii) of the Code (as amended by The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008), and Treasury Regulations, §1.42-6. The Development Owner must submit, in the form prescribed by the Department, documentation evidencing paragraphs (1) - (8) of this subsection, along with all information outlined in the Post Award Activities Manual. Satisfaction of the 10 Percent Test will be contingent upon the submission of the items described in paragraphs (1) - (8) of this subsection as well as all other conditions placed upon the Application in the Commitment. Requests for an extension will be reviewed on a case by case basis as addressed in §10.405(c) of this Subchapter and 10 TAC §13.12(1) of this title, as applicable, and a point deduction evaluation will be completed in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6710(b)(2) and §11.9(f) of this title. Documentation to be submitted for the 10 Percent Test includes:

(1) an Independent Accountant's Report and Taxpayer's Basis Schedule form. The report must be prepared on the accounting firm's letterhead and addressed to the Development Owner or an Affiliate of the Development Owner. The Independent Accountant's Report and Taxpayers Basis Schedule form must be signed by the Development Owner. If, at the time the accountant is reviewing and preparing their report, the accountant has concluded that the taxpayer's reasonably expected basis is different from the amount reflected in the Carryover Allocation agreement, then the accountant's report should reflect the taxpayer's reasonably expected basis as of the time the report is being prepared;

(2) any conditions of the Commitment or Real Estate Analysis underwriting report due at the time of 10% Test submission;

(3) evidence that the Development Owner has purchased, transferred, leased, or otherwise has ownership of the Development Site. The Development Site must be identical to the Development Site that was submitted at the time of Application submission. For purposes of this paragraph, any changes to the Development Site acreage between Application and 10 Percent Test must be addressed by written explanation or, as appropriate, in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter;

(4) a current survey or plat of the Development Site, prepared and certified by a duly licensed Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor. The survey or plat must clearly delineate the flood plain boundary lines and show all easements and encroachments;

(5) for New Construction, Reconstruction, and Adaptive Reuse Developments, a certification from a Third Party civil engineer or architect stating that all necessary utilities will be available at the Development Site and that there are no easements, licenses, royalties, or other conditions on or affecting the Development that would materially or adversely impact the ability to acquire, develop, and operate as set forth in the Application. Copies of supporting documents may be required by the Department;
(6) for the Development Owner and on-site or regional property manager, a training certificate from a Department approved "property owner and manager Fair Housing trainer" showing that the Development Owner and on-site or regional property manager attended at least five (5) hours of Fair Housing training. For architects and engineers, a training certificate from a Department approved "architect and engineer Fair Housing trainer" showing that the lead architect or engineers responsible for certifying compliance with the Department's accessibility and construction standards has attended at least five (5) hours of Fair Housing training. Certifications required under this paragraph must not be older than two years from the date of submission of the 10 Percent Test Documentation;

(7) a Certification from the lender and syndicator identifying all known Guarantors. If identified Guarantors have changed from the Guarantors or Principals identified at the time of Application, a non-material amendment must be requested by the Applicant in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter, and the new Guarantors or Principals must be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation Reviews); and

(8) a Development Owner's preliminary construction schedule or statement showing the prospective construction loan closing date, construction start and end dates, prospective placed in service date for each building, and planned first year of the credit period.

(h) Construction Status Report (All Multifamily Developments). All multifamily developments must submit a construction status report. Construction status reports shall be due by the tenth day of the month following each reporting quarter's end (January, April, July, and October) and continue on a quarterly basis until the entire development is complete as evidenced by one of the following: certificates of occupancy for each building, the Architect’s Certificate(s) of Substantial Completion (AIA Document G704) for the entire development, the final Application and Certificate for Payment (AIA Document G702 and G703), or an equivalent form approved for submission by the construction lender and/or investor. For Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments, the initial report must be submitted no later than October 10th following the year of award (this includes Developments funded with HTC and TDHCA Multifamily Direct Loans), and for Developments awarded under the Department’s Multifamily Direct Loan programs only, the initial report must be submitted 90 calendar days after loan closing. For Tax Exempt Bond Developments, the initial construction status report must be submitted as part of the Post Bond Closing Documentation due no later than 60 calendar days following closing on the bonds as described in §10.402(e) of this section. The initial report for all multifamily Developments shall consist of the items identified in paragraphs (1) – (5) of this subsection, unless stated otherwise. All subsequent reports shall contain items identified in subparagraphs (3) – (5) of this paragraph and must include any changes or amendments to items in subparagraphs (1) – (2) if applicable:

(1) the executed partnership agreement with the investor (accompanied by identification of all Guarantors) or, for Developments receiving an award only from the Department's Direct Loan Programs, other documents setting forth the legal structure and ownership. If identified Guarantors or Principals of a Guarantor entity were not already identified as a Principal of the Owner, Developer, or Guarantor at the time of Application, a non-material
amendment must be requested in accordance with §10.405 of this subchapter and the new Guaran
tors and all of its Principals, as applicable, must be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation Reviews);

(2) the executed construction contract for the General Contractor, prime subcontractor(s) and Affiliates or Related Party subcontractor(s) and construction loan agreement. If the loan has not closed, the anticipated closing date must be provided and, upon closing, the agreement must be provided to the Department;

(3) the most recent Application and Certificate for Payment (AIA Document G702 and G703) certified by the Architect of Record (or equivalent form approved for submission by the construction lender and/or investor) for the General Contractor, prime subcontractor(s) and Affiliates or Related Party subcontractor(s); and

(4) all Third Party construction inspection reports not previously submitted. If the lender and/or investor does not require third party construction inspection reports, the Development Owner must hire a third party inspector to perform these inspections on a quarterly basis and submit the reports to the Department. Third Party construction inspection reports must include, at a minimum, a discussion of site conditions as of the date of the site visit, current photographs of the construction site and exterior and interior of buildings, an estimated percentage of construction completion as of the date of the site visit, identification of construction delays and other relevant progress issues, if any, and the anticipated construction completion date;

(5) Minority Owned Business Report (HTC only) showing the attempt to ensure that at least 30 percent of the construction and management businesses with which the Applicant contracts in connection with the Development are Minority Owned Businesses as required and further described in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6734.

(i) LURA Origination.

(1) The Development Owner must request a copy of the HTC LURA as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual. The Department will draft a LURA for the Development Owner that will impose the income and rent restrictions identified in the Development's final underwriting report and other representations made in the Application, including but not limited to specific commitments to provide tenant services, to lease to Persons with Disabilities, and/or to provide specific amenities. After origination, the Department executed LURA and all exhibits and addendums will be sent to the Development Owner to execute and record in the real property records for the county in which the Development is located. The original or a copy of the recorded LURA must be returned to the Department no later than the end of the first year of the Credit Period. In general, no Housing Tax Credits are allowed to be issued for a building unless there is a properly executed and recorded LURA in effect at the end of the first year of the Credit Period. Nothing in this section negates a Development Owner's responsibility for full compliance with §42(h)(6) of the Code. The Department will not issue IRS Form(s) 8609 until it receives the original or a copy of the properly-recorded LURA, or has alternative arrangements which are acceptable to the Department and approved by the Executive Director.
(2) LURAs for Direct Loan awardees will be prepared by the Department’s Legal Division and executed at loan closing.

(j) Cost Certification (Competitive and Non-Competitive HTC, and related activities only). The Department conducts a feasibility analysis in accordance with §42(m)(2)(C)(i)(III) of the Code and Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) to make a final determination on the allocation of Housing Tax Credits. The requirements for cost certification include those identified in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection.

(1) Development Owners must file cost certification documentation no later than January 15 following the first year of the Credit Period, as defined in §42(f)(1) of the Code.

(2) The Department will evaluate the cost certification documentation and notify the Development Owner of any additional required documentation needed to complete the review. The Department reserves the right to request additional documents or certifications as it deems necessary or useful in the determination of the Development's eligibility for a final Housing Tax Credit allocation amount. Any communication issued to the Development Owner pertaining to the cost certification documentation may also be sent to the syndicator.

(3) IRS Form(s) 8609 will not be issued until the conditions as stated in subparagraphs (A) - (G) of this paragraph have been met. The Development Owner has:

(A) provided evidence that all buildings in the Development have been placed in service by:
   (i) December 31 of the year the Commitment was issued;
   (ii) December 31 of the second year following the year the Carryover Allocation Agreement was executed; or
   (iii) the approved Placed in Service deadline;

(B) provided a complete final cost certification package in the format prescribed by the Department. As used herein, a complete final cost certification package means a package that meets all of the Department's criteria with all required information and exhibits listed in clauses (i) - (xxxvi) of this subparagraph, and pursuant to the Post Award Activities Manual. If any item on this list is determined to be unclear, deficient, or inconsistent with the cost certification review completed by the Department, a Request for Information (RFI) will be sent to the Development Owner. Failure to respond to the requested information within a thirty (30) day period from the date of request may result in the termination of the cost certification review and request for 8609s and require a new request be submitted with a Cost Certification Extension Fee as described in Subchapter G-E of this chapter Chapter 11 (relating to Fee Schedule, Appeals and Other Provisions).

(i) Owner's Statement of Certification;
(ii) Owner Summary & Organization Charts for the Owner, Developer, and Guarantors;
(iii) Evidence of Qualified Nonprofit or CHDO Participation;
(iv) Evidence of Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Participation;
(v) Development Team List;
(vi) Development Summary with Architect's Certification;
(vii) Development Change Documentation;
(viii) As Built Survey;
(ix) Closing Statement;
(x) Title Policy;
(xi) Title Policy Update;
(xii) Placement in Service;
(xiii) Evidence of Placement in Service;
(xiv) Architect's Certification of Completion Date and Date Ready for Occupancy;
(xv) Auditor's Certification of Acquisition/Rehabilitation Placement in Service Election;
(xvi) Independent Auditor's Report;
(xvii) Independent Auditor's Report of Bond Financing;
(xviii) Development Cost Schedule;
(xix) Contractor's Application for Final Payment (G702/G703) for the General Contractor, and all prime subcontractors, Affiliated Contractors, and Related Party Contractors;
(xx) Additional Documentation of Offsite Costs;
(xxi) Rent Schedule;
(xxii) Utility Allowances;
(xxiii) Annual Operating Expenses;
(xxiv) 30 Year Rental Housing Operating Pro Forma;
(xxv) Current Operating Statement in the form of a trailing twelve month statement;
(xxvi) Current Rent Roll;
(xxvii) Summary of Sources and Uses of Funds;
(xxviii) Financing Narrative;
(xxix) Final Limited Partnership Agreement with all amendments and exhibits;
(XXX) All Loan Agreements and Promissory Notes (except for Agreements and Notes issued directly by the Department);
(XXXI) Architect's Certification of Fair Housing Requirements;
(XXXII) Development Owner Assignment of Individual to Compliance Training;
(XXXIII) TDHCA Compliance Training Certificate (not older than two years from the date of cost certification submission);
(XXXIV) TDHCA Final Inspection Clearance Letter or evidence of submitted final inspection request to the Compliance Division;
(XXXV) Completion Certificate (TDHCA Issued Bonds Only); and
(xxxvi) Other Documentation as Required, including but not limited to conditions to be satisfied at cost certification as reflected in the Development’s latest Underwriting Report;
(C) informed the Department of and received written approval for all amendments, extensions, and changes in ownership relating to the Development in accordance with §10.405 of this chapter (relating to Amendments and Extensions) and §10.406 of this chapter (relating to Ownership Transfers (§2306.6713));
(D) paid all applicable Department fees, including any past due fees;
(E) met all conditions noted in the Department underwriting report, Determination Notice, and Commitment;
(F) corrected all issues of noncompliance, including but not limited to noncompliance status with the LURA (or any other document containing an Extended Low-income Housing Commitment) or the program rules in effect for the subject Development, as described in this chapter. Developments in the corrective action period and/or with any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period or that have had a monitoring review where noncompliance was identified, will not be issued IRS Form(s) 8609s until all events of noncompliance are assessed, corrected, or otherwise approved by the Executive Award Review and Advisory Committee;
(G) completed an updated underwriting evaluation in accordance with Subchapter D of this chapter based on the most current information at the time of the review.

§10.403. Review of Annual HOME/NSP and National Housing Trust Fund Rents.
(a) Applicability. For participants of the Department's Multifamily HOME and NSP Direct Loan program, where Commitment of Funds occurred on or after August 23, 2013, the Department is required by 24 CFR §92.252(f) and for all NHTF participants by 24 CFR §93.302(c)(2), to review and approve or disapprove HOME/NSP/NHTF rents on an annual basis. The Department is also required by 24 CFR §92.219 and §92.252(d)(4) to approve rents where Multifamily Direct Loan funds are used as HOME match. Development Owners must submit documentation for the review of HOME/NSP/NHTF rents by no later than July 1st of each year as further described in the Post Award Activities Manual.
(b) Documentation for Review. The Department will furnish a rent approval request packet for this purpose that will include a request for Development information and an Owner's proposed rent schedule and will require submission of a current rent roll or unit status report, a copy of information used to determine gross HOME Direct Loan rents, and utility allowance information, an approved utility allowance letter from the Department's Compliance Division. The Department may request additional documentation to perform a determination, as needed, including but not limited to annual operating statements, market surveys, or other information related to determining whether rents are sufficient to maintain the financial viability of a project or are in compliance with maximum rent limits.
(c) Review Process. Rents will be approved or disapproved within 30 days of receipt of all items required to be submitted by the Development Owner, and will be issued in the form of a signed
letter from the Asset Management Division. Development Owners must keep copies of all approval letters on file at the Development site to be reviewed at the time of Compliance Monitoring reviews.

**d) Compliance.** Development Owners for whom this section is applicable are subject to compliance under §10.622 of this chapter (relating to Special Rules regarding Rents and Limit Violations) and may be subject to penalties under §10.624 of this chapter (relating to Events of Noncompliance). Approval of rents by the Asset Management Division will be limited to a review of the documentation submitted and will not guarantee compliance with the Department's rules in Subchapter F or otherwise absolve an Owner of any past, current, or future non-compliance related to Department rules, guidance, Compliance Monitoring visits, or any other rules or guidance to which the Development or its Owner may be subject.

**§10.404. Reserve Accounts.**

**(a) Replacement Reserve Account (§2306.186).** The Department will require Development Owners to provide regular maintenance to keep housing sanitary, safe and decent by establishing and maintaining a reserve for replacement account for the Development in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.186. The reserve account must be established, in accordance with paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this subsection, and maintained through annual or more frequent regularly scheduled deposits, for each Unit in a Development of 25 or more rental units regardless of the amount of rent charged for the Unit. If the Department is processing a request for loan modification or other request under this subchapter, and the Development does not have an existing replacement reserve account, or sufficient funds in the reserve to meet future capital expenditure needs of the Development as determined by a history of uncorrected UPCS violations, ongoing issues related to keeping housing sanitary, safe, and decent, an account balance below the annual reserve deposit amount as specified in this section, or as indicated by the number or cost of repairs included in a PCA, the Development Owner will be required to establish and maintain a replacement reserve account or review whether the amount of regular deposits to the replacement reserve account can be increased, regardless of the number of units at the Development. The Department shall, through cooperation of its divisions responsible for asset management and compliance, ensure compliance with this section. The duties of the Development Owner under this section cease on the date of a change in ownership of the Development; however, the subsequent Development Owner of the Development is subject to the requirements of this section and any additional or revised requirements the Department may impose after reviewing a Development's compliance history, a PCA submitted by the Owner, or the amount of reserves that will be transferred at the time of any property sale.

1. The LURA requires the Development Owner to begin making annual deposits to the replacement reserve account on the later of the:
   1. the date that occupancy of the Development stabilizes as defined by the First Lien Lender or, in the absence of a First Lien Lender other than the Department, the date the Property is at least 90 percent occupied; or
   2. the date when the permanent loan is executed and funded.

2. The Development Owner shall continue making deposits into the replacement reserve account until the earliest of the:
(A) date on which the owner suffers a total casualty loss with respect to the Development or the date on which the Development becomes functionally obsolete, if the Development cannot be or is not restored;
(B) date on which the Development is demolished;
(C) date on which the Development ceases to be used as a multifamily rental property; or
(D) end of the Affordability Period specified by the LURA, or if an Affordability Period is not specified and the Department is the First Lien Lender, then when the Department's loan has been fully repaid or as otherwise agreed by the Owner and Department.

(3) If the Department is the First Lien Lender with respect to the Development or if the establishment of a Reserve Account for repairs has not been required by the First Lien Lender or Bank Trustee, each Development Owner receiving Department assistance for multifamily rental housing shall deposit annually into a separate, Development-specific Reserve Account through the date described in paragraph (2) of this subsection:
   (A) For New Construction Developments, not less than $250 per Unit. Withdrawals from such account will be restricted for up to five years following the date of award except in cases in which written approval from the Department is obtained relating to casualty loss, natural disaster, reasonable accommodations (but not for the construction standards required by the NOFA or program regulations), or demonstrated financial hardship; or
   (B) For Adaptive Reuse, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Developments, the greater of the amount per Unit per year either established by the information presented in a Property Condition Assessment in conformance with Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) or $300 per Unit per year.

(4) For all Developments, a Property Condition Assessment ("PCA") must be conducted at intervals that are consistent with requirements of the First Lien Lender, other than the Department. If the Department is the First Lien Lender, or the First Lien Lender does not require a Third Party PCA, a PCA must be conducted at least once during each five (5) year period beginning with the eleventh (11th) year after the awarding of any financial assistance from the Department. PCAs conducted by the Owner at any time or for any reason other than as required by the Department in the year beginning with the eleventh (11th) year of award must be submitted to the Department for review within 30 days of receipt by the Owner.

(5) Where there is a First Lien Lender other than the Department or a Bank Trustee as a result of a bond trust indenture or tax credit syndication, the Development Owner shall comply with the lesser of the replacement reserve requirements of the First Lien Lender or the requirements in paragraph (3) of this subsection. In addition, the Department should be listed as a party to receive notice under any replacement reserve agreement entered into by the Development Owner. The Development Owner shall submit on an annual basis, within
the Department's required Development Owner's Financial Certification packet, requested information regarding:

(A) the reserve for replacement requirements under the first lien loan agreement (if applicable) referencing where those requirements are contained within the loan documents;

(B) compliance with the first lien lender requirements outlined in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph;

(C) if the Owner is not in compliance with the lender requirements, the Development Owner's plan of action to bring the Development in compliance with all established reserve for replacement requirements; and

(D) whether a PCA has been ordered and the Owner's plans for any subsequent capital expenditures, renovations, repairs, or improvements.

(6) Where there is no First Lien Lender but the allocation of funds by the Department and Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.186 requires that the Department oversee a Reserve Account, the Development Owner shall provide at their sole expense an escrow agent acceptable to the Department to act as Bank Trustee as necessary under this section. The Department shall retain the right to replace the escrow agent with another Bank Trustee or act as escrow agent at a cost plus fee payable by the Development Owner due to breach of the escrow agent's responsibilities or otherwise with thirty (30) days prior notice of all parties to the escrow agreement.

(7) Penalties and Non-Compliance. If the Development Owner fails to comply with the replacement reserve account requirements stated herein, and request for extension or waiver of these requirements is not approved by the Department, then a penalty of up to $200 per dwelling Unit in the Development and/or characterization of the Development as being in default with this requirement, may be imposed:

(A) a Reserve Account, as described in this section, has not been established for the Development;

(B) the Department is not a party to the escrow agreement for the Reserve Account, if required;

(C) money in the Reserve Account:

(i) is used for expenses other than necessary repairs, including property taxes or insurance; or

(ii) falls below mandatory annual, monthly, or Department approved deposit levels;

(D) Development Owner fails to make any required deposits;

(E) Development Owner fails to obtain a Third-Party Property Condition Assessment as required under this section or submit a copy of a PCA to the Department within 30 days of receipt; or

(F) Development Owner fails to make necessary repairs in accordance with the Third Party Property Condition Assessment or §10.621 of this chapter (relating to Property Condition Standards).
(8) Department-Initiated Repairs. The Department or its agent may make repairs to the Development within 30 calendar days of written notice from the Department if the Development Owner fails to complete necessary repairs indicated in the submitted Property Condition Assessment or identified by Department physical inspection. Repairs may be deemed necessary if the Development Owner fails to comply with federal, state, and/or local health, safety, or building code requirements. Payment for necessary repairs must be made directly by the Development Owner or through a replacement Reserve Account established for the Development under this section. The Department or its agent will be allowed to produce a Request for Bids to hire a contractor to complete and oversee necessary repairs. On a case-by-case basis, the Department may determine that the money in the Reserve Account may be used for expenses other than necessary repairs, including property taxes or insurance, if:

(A) Development income before payment of return to Development Owner or deferred developer fee is insufficient to meet operating expense and debt service requirements; or
(B) Development income after payment of operating expenses, but before payment of return to Development Owner or deferred developer fee is insufficient to fund the mandatory deposit levels;
(C) In the event of subparagraph (A) or (B) of this paragraph, funds withdrawn must be replaced from Cash Flow after payment of Operating Expenses but before return to Development Owner or deferred developer fee until the mandatory deposit level is replenished. The Department reserves the right to re-evaluate payments to the reserve, increase such payments or require a lump sum deposit to the reserve, or require the Owner to enter into a separate Reserve Agreement if necessary to protect the long term feasibility of the Development.

(9) Exceptions to Replacement Reserve Account. This section does not apply to a Development for which the Development Owner is required to maintain a Reserve Account under any other provision of federal or state law.

(10) In the event of paragraph (7) or (8) of this subsection, the Department reserves the right to require by separate Reserve Agreement a revised annual deposit amount and/or require Department concurrence for withdrawals from the Reserve Account to bring the Development back into compliance. Establishment of a new Bank Trustee or transfer of reserve funds to a new, separate and distinct account may be required if necessary to meet the requirements of such Agreement. The Agreement will be executed by the Department, Development Owner, and financial institution representative.

(b) Lease-up Reserve Account. A lease-up reserve funds start-up expenses in excess of the revenue produced by the Development prior to stabilization. The Department will consider a reasonable lease-up reserve account based on the documented requirements from a third-party lender, third-party syndicator, or the Department. During the underwriting at the point of the Cost Certification review, the lease-up reserve may be counted as a use of funds only to the extent that it represents operating shortfalls net of escrows for property taxes and property insurance. Funds from
the lease-up reserve used to satisfy the funding requirements for other reserve accounts may not be included as a use of funds for the lease-up reserve. Funds from the lease-up reserve distributed or distributable as cash flow to the Development Owner will be considered and restricted as developer fee.

(c) Operating Reserve Account. At various stages during the application, award process, and during the operating life of a Development, the Department will conduct a financial analysis of the Development's total development costs and operating budgets, including the estimated operating reserve account deposit required. For example, this analysis typically occurs at application and cost certification review. The Department will consider a reasonable operating reserve account deposit in this analysis based on the needs of the Development and requirements of third-party lenders or investors. The amount used in the analysis will be the amount described in the project cost schedule or balance sheet, if it is within the range of two (2) to six (6) months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service. The Department may consider a greater amount proposed or required by the Department, any superior lien lender, or syndicator, if the detail for such greater amount is reasonable and well documented. Reasonable operating reserves in this chapter do not include capitalized asset management fees, guaranty reserves, or other similar costs. In no instance will operating reserves exceed twelve (12) months of stabilized operating expenses plus debt service (exclusive of transferred replacement reserves for USDA or HUD financed rehabilitation transactions). Operating reserves are generally for the term of the permanent loan. In no instance will operating reserves released within five (5) years be included as a cost.

(d) Special Reserve Account. If the funding program requires or allows for the establishment and maintenance of a Special Reserve Account for the purpose of assisting residents at the Development with expenses associated with their tenancy, this will be established in accordance with a written agreement with the Development Owner.

(1) The Special Reserve Account is funded through a one-time payment or annually through an agreed upon percentage of net cash flow generated by the Development, excess development funds at completion as determined by the Department, or as otherwise set forth in the written agreement. For the purpose of this account, net cash flow is defined as funds available from operations after all expenses and debt service required to be paid have been considered. This does not include a deduction for depreciation and amortization expense, deferred developer fee payment, or other payments made to related parties, except as allowed by the Department for property management. Proceeds from any refinancing or other fund raising from the Development will be considered net cash flow for purposes of funding the Special Reserve Account. The account will be structured to require Department concurrence for withdrawals.

(2) All disbursements from the account must be approved by the Department.

(3) The Development Owner will be responsible for setting up a separate and distinct account with a financial institution acceptable to the Department. A Special Reserve Account Agreement will be drafted by the Department and executed by the Department, Development Owner, and financial institution representative.
(4) Use of the funds in the Special Reserve Account is determined by a plan that is pre-approved by the Department. The Owner must create, update and maintain a plan for the disbursement of funds from the Special Reserve Account. The plan should be established at the time the account is created and updated and submitted for approval by the Department as needed. The plan should consider the needs of the tenants of the property and the existing and anticipated fund account balances such that all of the fund uses provide benefit to tenants. Disbursements from the fund will only be approved by the Department if they are in accordance with the current approved plan.

(e) Other Reserve Accounts. Additional reserve accounts may be recognized by the Department as necessary and required by the Department, superior lien lender, or syndicator.

§10.405. Amendments and Extensions.

(a) Amendments to Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Application or Award Prior to Land Use Restriction Agreement (LURA) recording or amendments that do not result in a change to the LURA (§2306.6712). The Department expects the Development Owner to construct or rehabilitate, operate, and own the Development consistent with the representations in the Application. The Department must receive notification of any amendments to the Application. Regardless of development stage, the Board shall re-evaluate a Development that undergoes a material change, as identified in paragraph (3) of this subsection at any time after the initial Board approval of the Development (§2306.6731(b)). The Board may deny an amendment request and subsequently may rescind any Commitment or Determination Notice issued for an Application, and may reallocate the credits to other Applicants on the waiting list.

(1) Requesting an amendment. The Department shall require the Applicant to file a formal, written request for an amendment to the Application. Such request must include a detailed explanation of the amendment request and other information as determined to be necessary by the Department, and the applicable fee as identified in §10.904(13)Chapter 11, Subchapter GE of this Chapter (relating to Fee Schedule) in order to be received and processed by the Department. Department staff will evaluate the amendment request to determine if the change would affect an allocation of Housing Tax Credits by changing any item that received points, by significantly affecting the most recent underwriting analysis, or by materially altering the Development as further described in this subsection.

(2) Notification Items. The Department must be notified of the changes described in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph. The changes identified are subject to staff agreement based on a review of the amendment request, and any additional information or documentation requested. Notification items will be considered satisfied when an acknowledgment of the specific change(s) is received from the Department.

(A) changes to Development Site acreage required by the City or other local governmental authority, or changes resulting from survey discrepancies, as long as such change does not also result in a modification to the residential density of more than 5 percent;

(B) minor modifications to the site plan that will not significantly impact development costs, including, but not limited to, relocation or rearrangement of
buildings on the site (as long as the number of residential and non-residential buildings remains the same), and movement, addition, or deletion of ingress/egress to the site;

(C) increases or decreases in net rentable square footage or common areas that do not significantly impact development costs, result in a material amendment under 10.405(a)(4) of this Section;

(D) changes in amenities that do not require a change to the recorded LURA and do not negatively impact scoring, including changes to outdated amenities that could be replaced by an amenity with equal benefit to the resident community;

(E) changes in Developers or Guarantors with no new Principals (that do not include the addition of new entities or Principals who were not previously checked by Previous Participation review) at the time of Application or last amendment that and retain do not result in the removal of all the natural person(s) used to meet the experience requirement in §10.204(6) of this chapter Chapter 11 of this Title (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission);

(F) any other amendment not identified in paragraphs (3) and (4) of this subsection.

(3) Non-material amendments. The Executive Director or designee may administratively approve all non-material amendments, including, but not limited to:

(A) any amendment that is determined by staff to exceed the scope of notification acknowledgement, as identified in paragraph (2) of this subsection but not to rise to a material alteration, as identified in paragraph (4) of this subsection;

(B) changes in the natural person(s) used to meet the experience requirement in §10.204(6) Chapter 11 of this Title of this chapter provided that an appropriate substitute has been approved by the Multifamily Division prior to receipt of the amendment request (relating to Required Documentation for Application Submission);

(C) changes in Developers or Guarantors (to the extent Guarantors were identified in the Application) not addressed in §10.405(a)(2)(E). Changes in Developers or Guarantors will be subject to Previous Participation requirements as further described in §10.204(13) Chapter 11 of this Title and the credit limitation described in §11.4(a) of this Title.

(4) Material amendments. Amendments considered material pursuant to paragraph (4) of this subsection must be approved by the Board. When an amendment request requires Board approval, the Development Owner must submit the request and all required documentation necessary for staff’s review of the request to the Department at least forty-five (45) calendar days prior to the Board meeting in which the amendment is anticipated to be considered. Before the fifteenth (15th) day preceding the date of Board action on the amendment, notice of an amendment and the recommendation of the Executive Director and Department staff regarding the amendment will be posted to the Department’s website and the Applicant will be notified of the posting. (§2306.6717(a)(4)). Material Amendment requests may be denied
if the Board determines that the modification proposed in the amendment would materially alter the Development in a negative manner or would have adversely affected the selection of the Application in the Application Round. Material alteration of a Development includes, but is not limited to:

(A) a significant modification of the site plan;
(B) a modification of the number of units or bedroom mix of units;
(C) a substantive modification of the scope of tenant services;
(D) a reduction of 3 percent or more in the square footage of the units or common areas;
(E) a significant modification of the architectural design of the Development;
(F) a modification of the residential density of at least 5 percent;
(G) a request to implement a revised election under §42(g) of the Code prior to filing of IRS Form(s) 8609;

(H) exclusion of any requirements as identified in Subchapter B of this chapter (relating to Site and Development Requirements and Restrictions) and Subchapter C of this chapter (relating to Application Submission Requirements, Ineligibility Criteria, Board Decisions and Waiver of Rules or Pre-Clearance for Applications); or

(I) any other modification considered significant by the staff and therefore required to be presented to the Board as such.

(5) Amendment requests will be denied if the Department finds that the request would have changed the scoring of an Application in the competitive process such that the Application would not have received a funding award or if the need for the proposed modification was reasonably foreseeable or preventable by the Applicant at the time the Application was submitted, unless good cause is found for the approval of the amendment.

(6) This section shall be administered in a manner that is consistent with §42 of the Code. If a Development has any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period (other than the provision being amended) or otherwise owes fees to the Department, such non-compliance or outstanding payment must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department, or waived by the Board, before a request for amendment will be acted upon.

(7) In the event that an Applicant or Developer seeks to be released from the commitment to serve the income level of tenants identified in the Application and Credit Underwriting Analysis Report at the time of award and as approved by the Board, the procedure described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph will apply to the extent such request is not prohibited based on statutory and/or regulatory provisions:

(A) for amendments that involve a reduction in the total number of Low-Income Units, or a reduction in the number of Low-Income Units at any rent or income level, as approved by the Board, evidence noted in either (i) or (ii) below must be presented to the Department to support the amendment. In addition, for

(i) In the event of a request to implement (rent to a household at an income or rent level that exceeds the approved AMI limits established by the minimum set
aside election within the Development's Application or LURA) a revised election under §42(g) of the Code prior to an Owner's submission of IRS Forms 8609 to the IRS. Owners must submit updated information and exhibits to the Application as required by the Department and all lenders and the syndicator must submit written acknowledgement that they are aware of the changes being requested and confirm any changes in terms as a result of the new election; or

(ii) For all other requests for reductions in the total number of Low-Income Units or reductions in the number of Low-Income Units at any rent or income level, such changes prior to issuance of IRS Forms 8609 by the Department, the lender and syndicator must submit written confirmation that the Development is infeasible without the adjustment in Units. The Board may or may not approve the amendment request; however, any affirmative recommendation to the Board is contingent upon concurrence from Department staff that the Unit adjustment is necessary for the continued financial feasibility of the Development; and

(B) if it is determined by the Department that the loss of low-income targeting points would have resulted in the Application not receiving an award in the year of allocation, and the amendment is approved by the Board, the approved amendment will carry a penalty that prohibits the Applicant and all Persons or entities with any ownership interest in the Application (excluding any tax credit purchaser/syndicator), from participation in the Housing Tax Credit Program (for both the Competitive Housing Tax Credit Developments and Tax-Exempt Bond Developments) for twenty-four (24) months from the time that the amendment is approved.

(b) Amendments to the LURA. Department approval shall be required for any amendment to a LURA in accordance with this section. An amendment request shall be submitted in writing, containing a detailed explanation of the request, the reason the change is necessary, the good cause for the change, financial information related to if the change will result in any financial impact on the development, information related to whether the necessity of the amendment was reasonably foreseeable at the time of application, and other information as determined to be necessary by the Department, along with any applicable fee as identified in §10.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule). The Department may order or require the Development Owner to order a Market Study or appraisal at the Development Owner's expense. If a Development has any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside of the corrective action period (other than the provision being amended) or otherwise owes fees to the Department, such non-compliance or outstanding payment must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department, waived by the Board, before a request for amendment will be acted upon. The Department will not approve changes that would violate state or federal laws including the requirements of §42 of the Code, 24 CFR Part 92 (HOME Final Rule), 24 CFR Part 93 (NHTF Interim Rule), Chapter 1 of this title (relating to Administrative Requirements, Chapter 11 of this title (relating to Housing Tax Credit Program Qualified Allocation Plan), Chapter 12 of this title (relating to Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules), Chapter 13 of this title (relating to Multifamily Direct Loan Rule), Tex. Gov't Code, Chapter
2306, and the Fair Housing Act.\textsuperscript{7} For Tax-Exempt Bond Developments, compliance with their Regulatory Agreement and corresponding bond financing documents. Prior to staff taking a recommendation to the Board for consideration, the procedures described in paragraph (3) of this subsection must be followed.

(1) Non-Material LURA Amendments. The Executive Director or designee may administratively approve all LURA amendments not defined as Material LURA Amendments pursuant to paragraph (2) below. A non-material LURA amendment may include but is not limited to:

(A) HUB participation removal. Removal of a HUB participation requirement will only be processed as a non-material LURA amendment after the issuance of 8609s and requires that the Executive Director find that:

(i) the HUB is requesting removal of its own volition or is being removed as the result of a default under the organizational documents of the Development Owner;
(ii) the participation by the HUB has been substantive and meaningful, or would have been substantive or meaningful had the HUB not defaulted under the organizational documents of the Development Owner, enabling it to realize not only financial benefit but to acquire skills relating to the ownership and operating of affordable housing; and
(iii) where the HUB will be replaced as a general partner or special limited partner that is not a HUB and will sell its ownership interest, an ownership transfer request must be submitted as described in §10.406 of this Title subchapter;

(B) a change resulting from a Department work out arrangement as recommended by the Department's Asset Management Division; or
(C) a correction of error.

(2) Material LURA Amendments. Development Owners seeking LURA amendment requests that require Board approval must submit the request and all required documentation necessary for staff’s review of the request to the Department at least forty-five (45) calendar days prior to the Board meeting at which the amendment is anticipated to be considered. Before the fifteenth (15th) day preceding the date of Board action on the amendment, notice of an amendment and the recommendation of the Executive Director and Department staff regarding the amendment will be posted to the Department’s website and the Applicant will be notified of the posting. (§2306.6717(a)(4)). The Board must consider and approve the following material LURA amendments:

(A) reductions to the number of Low-Income Units;
(B) changes to the income or rent restrictions (including a request to implement a revised election under §42(g) of the Code); and
(C) changes to the Target Population;
(D) the removal of material participation by a Nonprofit Organization as further described in §10.406 of this subchapter;
(E) a change in the Right of First Refusal period as described in amended §2306.6725 of the Tex. Gov't Code;
(F) any amendment that affects a right enforceable by a tenant or other third party under the LURA; or
(PG) any LURA amendment deemed material by the Executive Director.

(3) Prior to staff taking a recommendation to the Board for consideration, the Development Owner must provide notice and hold a public hearing regarding the requested amendment(s) at least fifteen (15) business days prior to the scheduled Board meeting where the request will be considered. Development Owners will be required to submit a copy of the notification with the amendment request. If a LURA amendment is requested prior to issuance of IRS Forms 8609 by the Department, notification must be provided to the recipients described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph. If an amendment is requested after issuance of IRS Forms 8609 by the Department, notification must be provided to the recipients described in subparagraph (A) - (B) of this paragraph.

(A) each tenant of the Development;
(B) the current lender(s) and investor(s);
(C) the State Senator and State Representative of the districts whose boundaries include the Development Site;
(D) the chief elected official for the municipality (if the Development Site is within a municipality or its extraterritorial jurisdiction); and
(E) the county commissioners of the county in which the Development Site is located (if the Development Site is located outside of a municipality).

(4) Contents of Notification. The notification must include, at a minimum, all of the information described in subparagraphs (A) - (D) of this paragraph.

(A) the Development Owner's name, address and an individual contact name and phone number;
(B) the Development name, address, city and county;
(C) the change(s) requested; and
(D) the date, time and location of the public hearing where the change(s) will be discussed.

(5) Verification of public hearing. Minutes of the public hearing and attendance sheet must be submitted to the Department within three (3) business days after the date of the public hearing.

(6) Approval. Once the LURA Amendment has been approved administratively or by the Board, as applicable, Department staff will provide the Development Owner with a LURA amendment for execution and recording in the county where the Development is located.

(c) HTC Extensions. Extensions must be requested if the original deadline associated with Carryover, the 10 Percent Test (including submission and expenditure deadlines), construction status reports, or cost certification requirements will not be met. Extension requests submitted at least
thirty (30) calendar days in advance of the applicable deadline will not be required to submit an extension fee as described in §10.901 of this chapter. Any extension request submitted fewer than thirty (30) days in advance of the applicable deadline or after the applicable deadline will not be processed unless accompanied by the applicable fee. Extension requests will be approved by the Executive Director or Designee, unless, at staff's discretion it warrants Board approval due to extenuating circumstances stated in the request. The extension request must specify a requested extension date and the reason why such an extension is required. If the Development Owner is requesting an extension to the Carryover submission or 10 percent Test deadline(s), a point deduction evaluation will be completed in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6710(b)(2), and §11.9(f) of this title (relating to Competitive HTC Selection Criteria). Therefore, the Development Owner must clearly describe in their request for an extension how the need for the extension was beyond the reasonable control of the Applicant/Development Owner and could not have been reasonably anticipated. Carryover extension requests will not be granted an extended deadline later than December 1st of the year the Commitment was issued.


(a) Ownership Transfer Notification. All multifamily Development Owners must provide written notice and a completed Ownership Transfer packet, if applicable, to the Department at least forty-five (45) calendar days prior to any sale, transfer, or exchange of the Development or any portion of or Controlling interest in the Development. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Executive Director's prior written approval of any such transfer is required. The Executive Director may not unreasonably withhold approval of the transfer requested in compliance with this section.

(b) Exceptions. The following exceptions to the ownership transfer process outlined herein apply:

(1) A Development Owner shall be required to notify the Department but shall not be required to obtain Executive Director approval when the transferee is an Affiliate of the Development Owner with no new Principals or the transferee is a Related Party who does not Control the Development and the transfer is being made for estate planning purposes.

(2) Transfers that are the result of an involuntary removal of the general partner by the investment limited partner do not require advance approval but must be reported to the Department as soon as possible due to the sensitive timing and nature of this decision. In the event the investment limited partner has proposed a new general partner or will permanently replace the general partner, a full Ownership Transfer packet must be submitted.

(3) Changes to the investment limited partner, non-Controlling limited partner, or other non-Controlling partners affiliated with the investment limited partner do not require Executive Director approval. A General Partner's acquisition of the interest of the investment limited partner does not require Executive Director approval, unless some other change in ownership is occurring as part of the same overall transaction.

(4) Changes resulting from foreclosure wherein the lender or financial institution involved in the transaction is the same resulting owner do not require advance approval but acquiring parties must notify be reported to the Department as soon as possible of the revised
ownership structure and ownership contact information, due to the sensitive timing and nature of the decision.

(c) General Requirements.

(1) Any new Principal in the ownership of a Development must be eligible under §10.202 of Subchapter C (relating to Ineligible Applicants and Applications). In addition, Principals will be reviewed in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation).

(2) Changes in Developers or Guarantors must be addressed as non-material amendments to the application under §10.405 of this Subchapter.

(3) To the extent an investment limited partner or its Affiliate assumes a Controlling interest in a Development Owner, such acquisition shall be subject to the Ownership Transfer requirements set forth herein. Principals of the investment limited partner or Affiliate will be considered new Principals and will be reviewed as stated under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

(4) Simultaneous transfer or concurrent offering for sale of the General Partner's and Limited Partner's control and interest will be subject to the Ownership Transfer requirements set forth herein and will trigger a Right of First Refusal, if applicable.

(d) Transfer Actions Warranting Debarment. If the Department determines that the transfer, involuntary removal, or replacement was due to a default by the General Partner under the Limited Partnership Agreement, or other detrimental action that put the Development at risk of failure or the Department at risk for financial exposure as a result of non-compliance, staff may make a recommendation to the Board for the debarment of the entity and/or its Principals and Affiliates pursuant to the Department's debarment rule. In addition, a record of transfer involving Principals in new proposed awards will be reported and may be taken into consideration by the Executive Award and Review Committee, in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation), prior to recommending any new financing or allocation of credits.

(e) Transfers Prior to 8609 Issuance or Construction Completion. Prior to the issuance of IRS Form(s) 8609 (for Housing Tax Credits) or the completion of construction (for all Developments funded through other Department programs) an Applicant may request an amendment to its ownership structure to add Principals. The party(ies) reflected in the Application as having control must remain in the ownership structure and retain such control, unless approved otherwise by the Executive Director. A development sponsor, General Partner or Development Owner may not sell the Development in whole or voluntarily end their control prior to the issuance of 8609s.

(f) Nonprofit Organizations. If the ownership transfer request is to replace a nonprofit organization within the Development ownership entity, the replacement nonprofit entity must adhere to the requirements in paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection.

(1) If the LURA requires ownership or material participation in ownership by a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, and the Development received Tax Credits pursuant to §42(h)(5) of the Code, the transferee must be a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that meets the requirements of §42(h)(5) of the Code and Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, if applicable, and
can demonstrate planned participation in the operation of the Development on a regular, continuous, and substantial basis.

(2) If the LURA requires ownership or material participation in ownership by a nonprofit organization or CHDO, the Development Owner must show that the transferee is a nonprofit organization or CHDO, as applicable, that complies with the LURA.

(3) Exceptions to the above may be made on a case by case basis if the Development is past its Compliance Period/Federal Affordability Period, was not reported to the IRS as part of the Department's Nonprofit Set Aside in any HTC Award year, and follows the procedures outlined in §10.405(b)(1) - (5) of this chapter (relating to LURA Amendments that require Board Approval). The Board must find that:

(A) the selling nonprofit is acting of its own volition or is being removed as the result of a default under the organizational documents of the Development Owner;
(B) the participation by the nonprofit was substantive and meaningful during the full term of the Compliance Period but is no longer substantive or meaningful to the operations of the Development; and
(C) the proposed purchaser is an affiliate of the current Owner or otherwise meets the Department's standards for ownership transfers.

(g) Historically Underutilized Business ("HUB") Organizations. If a HUB is the general partner or special limited partner of a Development Owner and it determines to sell its ownership interest, after the issuance of 8609's, the purchaser of that partnership interest or the general or special limited partner is not required to be a HUB as long as the procedure described in §10.405(b)(1) of this chapter (relating to Non-Material LURA Amendments) has been followed and approved.

(h) Documentation Required. A Development Owner must submit documentation requested by the Department to enable the Department to understand fully the facts and circumstances pertaining to the transfer and the effects of approval or denial. Documentation must be submitted as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual, which includes but is not limited to:

(1) a written explanation outlining the reason for the request;
(2) ownership transfer information, including but not limited to the type of sale, amount of Development reserves to transfer in the event of a property sale, and the prospective closing date;
(3) pre and post transfer organizational charts with TINs of each organization down to the level of natural persons in the ownership structure as described in §10.204(13)(A) of Subchapter C;
(4) a list of the names and contact information for transferees and Related Parties;
(5) Previous Participation information for any new Principal as described in §10.204(13)(B) of Subchapter C;
(6) agreements among parties associated with the transfer;
(7) Owners Certifications with regard to materials submitted further described in the Post Award Activities Manual;
(8) detailed information describing the organizational structure, experience, and financial capacity of any party holding a controlling interest in any Principal or Controlling entity of the prospective Development Owner;
(9) evidence and certification that the tenants in the Development have been notified in writing of the proposed transfer at least 30 calendar days prior to the date the transfer is approved by the Department. The ownership transfer approval letter will not be issued until this 30 day period has expired;
(10) any required exhibits and the list of exhibits related to specific circumstances of transfer or Ownership as detailed in the Post Award Activities Manual.

(i) Once the Department receives all necessary information under this section and as required under the Post Award Activities Manual, staff shall initiate a qualifications review of a transferee, in accordance with Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title, to determine the transferee's past compliance with all aspects of the Department's programs, LURAs and eligibility under this chapter and §10.202 of Subchapter C (relating to ineligible applicants and applications).

(j) Credit Limitation. As it relates to the Housing Tax Credit amount further described in §11.4(a) of this title (relating to Tax Credit Request and Award Limits), the credit amount will not be applied in circumstances described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection:

(1) in cases of transfers in which the syndicator, investor or limited partner is taking over ownership of the Development and not merely replacing the general partner; or
(2) in cases where the general partner is being replaced if the award of credits was made at least five (5) years prior to the transfer request date.

(k) Penalties, Past Due Fees and Underfunded Reserves. The Development Owner must comply with any additional documentation requirements as stated in Subchapter F of this chapter (relating to Compliance Monitoring). The Development Owner, as on record with the Department, will be liable for any penalties or fees imposed by the Department even if such penalty can be attributable to the new Development Owner unless such ownership transfer is approved by the Department. In the event a transferring Development has a history of uncorrected UPCS violations, ongoing issues related to keeping housing sanitary, safe, and decent, an account balance below the annual reserve deposit amount as specified in §10.404(a) (relating to Replacement Reserve Accounts), or that appears insufficient to meet capital expenditure needs as indicated by the number or cost of repairs included in a PCA, the prospective Development Owner may be required to establish and maintain a replacement reserve account or increase the amount of regular deposits to the replacement reserve account by entering into a Reserve Agreement with the Department. The Department may also request a plan and timeline relating to needed repairs or renovations that will be completed by the departing and/or incoming Owner as a condition to approving the Transfer.

(l) Ownership Transfer Processing Fee. The ownership transfer request must be accompanied by the corresponding ownership transfer fee as outlined in §10.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule).

§10.407. Right of First Refusal.
(a) General. This section applies to Development Owners that agreed to offer a Right of First Refusal ("ROFR") to a Qualified Entity or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization, as
memorialized in the applicable LURA. For the purposes of this section a Qualified Nonprofit Organization also includes an entity 100% owned by a Qualified Nonprofit Organization pursuant to §42(h)(5)(C) of the Code and operated in a similar manner. The purpose of this section is to provide administrative procedures and guidance on the process and valuation of properties under the LURA. All requests for ROFR submitted to the Department, regardless of existing regulations, must adhere to this process.

(1) The Development Owner may market the Property for sale and sell the Property to a Qualified Entity, or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization without going through the ROFR process outlined in this section unless otherwise restricted or prohibited and only in the following circumstances:

(A) the LURA includes a 90-day ROFR and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization;
(B) the LURA includes a two (2) -year ROFR and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that meets the definition of a Community Housing Development Organization ("CHDO") under 24 CFR Part 92, as approved by the Department; or
(C) the LURA includes a 180-day ROFR, and the Development Owner is selling to a Qualified Entity that meets the definition of a CHDO under 24 CFR Part 92, or that is controlled by a CHDO, as approved by the Department. Where the Development Owner is not required to go through the ROFR process, it must go through the ownership transfer process in accordance with §10.406.

(2) A ROFR request must be made in accordance with the LURA for the Development. If there is a conflict between the Development's LURA and this subchapter, every effort will be made to harmonize the provisions. If the conflict cannot be resolved, requirements in the LURA will supersede this subchapter. If there is a conflict between the Development's LURA and Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, every effort will be made to harmonize the provisions. A Development Owner may request a LURA amendment to make the ROFR provisions in the LURA consistent with Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306 at any time.

(3) If a LURA includes the ROFR provision, the Development Owner may not request a Preliminary Qualified Contract (if such opportunity is available under the applicable LURA and §10.408) until the requirements outlined in this section have been satisfied.

(4) The Department reviews and approves all ownership transfers pursuant to §10.406. Thus, if a proposed purchaser is identified in the ROFR process, the Development Owner and proposed purchaser must complete the ownership transfer process. A Development Owner may not transfer a Development to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity that is considered an ineligible entity under the Department's rules. In addition, ownership transfers to a Qualified Entity or as applicable a Qualified Nonprofit Organization pursuant to the ROFR process are subject to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title (relating to Previous Participation Reviews).

(5) Satisfying the ROFR requirement does not terminate the LURA or the ongoing application of the ROFR requirement to any subsequent Development Owner.
(6) The ROFR process is triggered upon:
   (A) the Development Owner's determination to sell the Development to an entity other than as permitted in paragraph (1) of this subsection; or
   (B) the simultaneous transfer or concurrent offering for sale of a General Partner's and limited partner's interest in the Development Owner's ownership structure.
   (C) if there are multiple buildings in the Development, the end of the 15th year of the Compliance Period will be based upon the date the last building(s) began their credit period(s). For example, if five buildings in the Development began their credit periods in 1990 and one in 1991, the 15th year would be 2005.

(7) The ROFR process is not triggered if a Development Owner seeks to transfer the Development to a newly formed entity:
   (A) that is under common control with the Development Owner; and
   (B) the primary purpose of the formation of which is to facilitate the financing of the rehabilitation of the development using assistance administered through a state financing program.

(8) This section applies only to a Right of First Refusal memorialized in the Department's LURA. This section does not authorize a modification of any other agreement between the Development Owner and a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity. The enforceability of a contractual agreement between the Development Owner and a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity may be impacted by the Development Owner's commitments at Application and recorded LURA.

(b) Right of First Refusal Offer Price. There are two general expectations of the ROFR offer or sale price identified in the outstanding LURAs. The descriptions in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection do not alter the requirements or definitions included in the LURA but provide further clarification as applicable:

   (1) Fair Market Value is established using either a current appraisal (completed within three months prior to the ROFR request and in accordance with §10.304 of this chapter (relating to Appraisal Rules and Guidelines)) of the Property or an executed purchase offer that the Development Owner would like to accept. In either case the documentation used to establish Fair Market Value will be part of the ROFR property listing on the Department's website. The purchase offer must contain specific language that the offer is conditioned upon satisfaction of the ROFR requirement. If a subsequent ROFR request is made within six months of the previously approved ROFR posting, the lesser of the prior ROFR posted value or new appraisal/purchase contract amount must be used in establishing Fair Market Value;

   (2) Minimum Purchase Price, pursuant to §42(i)(7)(B) of the Code, is the sum of the categories listed in (A) and (B) of this paragraph. A property may not be transferred under the ROFR process for less than the Minimum Purchase Price, but if the sequential negotiation created by statute yields a higher price, the higher price is permitted.
(A) the principal amount of outstanding indebtedness secured by the project (other than
indebtedness incurred within the five (5) -year period immediately preceding the date of said
notice); and
(B) all federal, state, and local taxes incurred or payable by the Development Owner as a
consequence of such sale. If the Property has a minimum Applicable Fraction of less than 1,
the offer must take this into account by multiplying the purchase price by the applicable
fraction and the fair market value of the non-Low-Income Units. Documentation submitted
to verify the Minimum Purchase Price calculation will be part of the ROFR property listing
on the Department's website.

(c) Required Documentation. Upon establishing the value of the Property, the ROFR process is
the same for all types of LURAs. To proceed with the ROFR request, documentation must be
submitted as directed in the Post Award Activities Manual, which includes:

1. ROFR fee as identified in §10.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule);
2. a notice of intent to the Department and to such other parties as the Department may
direct at that time;
3. evidence and certification that the residents of the Development have been provided
with a notice of intent;
4. documentation evidencing any contractual ROFR between the Development Owner and
a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity, along with evidence that such
Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is in good standing in the state of its
organization;
5. documentation verifying the ROFR offer price of the Property:
   (A) if the Development Owner receives an offer to purchase the Property from any
buyer other than a Qualified Entity or Qualified Nonprofit Organization that the
Development Owner would like to accept, the Development Owner may execute a
sales contract, conditioned upon satisfaction of the ROFR requirement, and submit
the executed sales contract to establish fair market value; or
   (B) if the Development Owner of the Property chooses to establish fair market value
using an appraisal, the Development Owner must submit an appraisal of the
Property completed during the last three (3) months prior to the date of submission
of the ROFR request, establishing a value for the Property in compliance with
Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Underwriting and Loan Policy) in effect at
the time of the request. The appraisal should take into account the existing and
continuing requirements to operate the Property under the LURA and any other
restrictions that may exist. Department staff will review all materials within thirty
(30) calendar days of receipt. If, after the review, the Department does not agree with
the fair market value proposed in the Development Owner's appraisal, the
Department may order another appraisal at the Development Owner's expense; or
   (C) if the LURA requires valuation through the Minimum Purchase Price calculation,
submit documentation verifying the calculation of the Minimum Purchase Price as
(d) Posting and offers. Within 30 business days of receipt of all required documentation, the Department will review the submitted documents and notify the Development Owner of any deficiencies. During that time, the Department will notify any Qualified Entity or as applicable any Qualified Nonprofit Organization identified by the Development Owner as having a contractual ROFR of the Development Owner's intent to sell. Once any deficiencies are resolved and the Development Owner and Department come to an agreement on the ROFR offer price of the Property, the Department will list the Property for sale on the Department's website and contact entities on the buyer list maintained by the Department to inform them of the availability of the Property at a negotiated price to be not less than the agreed upon ROFR offer price as determined under this section. The Department will notify the Development Owner when the Property has been listed. The ROFR posting period commences on the date the Property is posted for sale on the Department's website. During the ROFR posting period, a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity can submit an offer to purchase as follows:

1. If the LURA requires a 90 day ROFR posting period with no priority for any particular kind of Qualified Nonprofit Organization or tenant organization, any Qualified Nonprofit Organization or tenant organization may submit an offer to purchase the property.
2. If the LURA requires a two (2) -year ROFR posting period, a Qualified Nonprofit Organization may submit an offer to purchase the Property as follows:
(A) during the first six (6) months of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Nonprofit Organization that is a Community Housing Development Organization ("CHDO") under 24 CFR Part 92, or that is 100% owned by a CHDO, as approved by the Department, may submit an offer;
(B) during the next six (6) months of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Nonprofit Organization as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or that is 100% owned by Qualified Nonprofit Organization as described by Texas Government Code §2306.6706, or a tenant organization may submit an offer; and
(C) during the final twelve (12) months of the ROFR posting period, any Qualified Nonprofit Organization may submit an offer.

(3) If the LURA requires a 180-day ROFR posting period a Qualified Entity may submit an offer to purchase the Property as follows:
(A) during the first sixty (60) days of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Entity that is a CHDO under 24 CFR Part 92, or that is controlled by CHDO, as approved by the Department, may submit an offer;
(B) during the second sixty (60) days of the ROFR posting period, only a Qualified Entity as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or that is controlled by Qualified Entity as described by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6706, or a tenant organization such may submit an offer;
(C) during the final sixty (60) days of the ROFR posting period, any Qualified Entity may submit an offer.

(4) If the LURA does not specify a required ROFR posting timeframe, or, is unclear on the required ROFR posting timeframe, and the required ROFR value is determined by the Minimum Purchase Price method, any Development that received a tax credit allocation prior to September 1, 1997, is required to post for a 90-day ROFR period and any Development that received a tax credit allocation on or after September 1, 1997, and until September 1, 2015, is required to post for a 2-year ROFR, unless the LURA is amended under §10.405(b), or after September 1, 2015 is required to post for a 180-day ROFR period as described in Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6726.

(e) Acceptance of offers. A Development Owner may accept or reject any offer received during the ROFR posting period; provided however, that to the extent the LURA gives priority to certain classifications of Qualified Nonprofit Organizations or Qualified Entities to make offers during certain portions of the ROFR posting period, the Development Owner can only negotiate a purchase contract with such classifications of entities during their respective periods. For example, during the CHDO priority period, the Development Owner may only accept an offer from and enter into negotiations with a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity in that classification.

(f) Satisfaction of ROFR.

(1) A Development Owner that has posted a Property under the ROFR process is deemed to have satisfied the ROFR requirements in the following circumstances:
(A) the Development Owner does not receive any bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period;
(B) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, the failure is determined to not be the fault of the Development Owner, and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period;
(C) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is not approved by the Department during the ownership transfer review due to issues identified during the Previous Participation Review process pursuant to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title, and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period;
(D) an offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at a price below the posted ROFR offer price, and the Development Owner received no other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the required ROFR posting period at or above the posted ROFR offer price; or

(2) A Development Owner that has posted a Property under the ROFR process does not satisfy the ROFR requirements in the following circumstances:
(A) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, and the Development Owner does not accept the offer;
(B) the LURA identifies a specific Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity to be the beneficiary of the ROFR, and such entity no longer exists or is no longer conducting business;
(C) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, the failure is determined to not be the fault of the Development Owner, the Development Owner received other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period and then fails to accept any of such other offers;
(D) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, the Development Owner accepts the offer, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity fails to close the purchase, and such failure is determined to be the fault of the Development Owner;
(E) a bona fide offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at or above the posted ROFR offer price, the Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is not approved by the Department during the ownership transfer review due to issues identified during the Previous Participation Review process pursuant to Chapter 1, Subchapter C of this title, the Development Owner received other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period and fails to accept any of such other offers; or

(F) an offer from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity is received at a price below the posted ROFR offer price, the Development Owner received other bona fide offers from a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during the ROFR posting period at or above the posted ROFR offer price, and the Development Owner fails to accept any of such offers.

(3) A Development Owner with a LURA that identifies a specific Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity to be the beneficiary of the ROFR will satisfy the ROFR if:

(A) the identified beneficiary is in existence and conducting business;
(B) the Development Owner offers the Development to the identified beneficiary pursuant to the terms of the ROFR;
(C) if the ROFR includes a priority for a certain type of Qualified Entity (such as a CHDO) to have the first opportunity make an offer to acquire the Development, the identified beneficiary meets such classification; and
(D) the identified entity declines to purchase the Development in writing, and such evidence is submitted to and approved by the Department.

(g) Activities Upon Satisfaction of ROFR.

(1) If a Development Owner satisfies the ROFR requirement pursuant to subsection (f)(1) - (3) of this section, it may request a Preliminary Qualified Contract (if such opportunity is available under §10.408) or proceed with the sale to an entity that is not a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity at or above the ROFR offer price.

(2) Following notice that the ROFR requirement has been met, if the Development Owner does not post the Property for Qualified Contract in accordance with §10.408 or sell the Property to an entity that is not a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity within twenty-four (24) months of the Department's written indication that the ROFR has been satisfied, the Development Owner must follow the ROFR process for any subsequent transfer.

(3) If the Department determines that the ROFR requirement has not been met during the ROFR posting period, the Owner may not re-post under this provision at a ROFR offer price that is higher than the originally posted ROFR offer price until twenty-four (24) months has expired from the Department's written indication that the ROFR has not been satisfied. The Development Owner may market the Property for sale and sell the Property to a Qualified Nonprofit Organization or Qualified Entity during this twenty-four (24) month period.
(h) Sale and closing.

(1) Prior to closing a sale of the Property, the Development Owner must obtain Department approval of the transfer through the ownership transfer process in accordance with §10.406 of this chapter (relating to Ownership Transfers (§2306.6713)). The request should include, among other required transfer documents outlined in the Post Award Activities Manual, the final settlement statement and final sales contract with all amendments.

(2) If the closing price is materially less than the ROFR offering price or the terms and conditions of the sale change materially from what was submitted in the ROFR posting, in the Department's sole determination, the Development Owner must go through the ROFR process again with a revised ROFR offering price equal to the reduced closing price or adjusted terms and conditions based upon the revised terms, before disposing of the Property.

(i) Appeals. A Development Owner may appeal a staff decision in accordance with §10.902 of this chapter (relating to the Appeals Process (§2306.0321; §2306.6715)).

§10.408. Qualified Contract Requirements.

(a) General. Pursuant to §42(h)(6) of the Code, after the end of the 14th year of the Compliance Period, the Development Owner of a Development utilizing Housing Tax Credits can request that the allocating agency find a buyer at the Qualified Contract Price. If a buyer cannot be located within one (1) year, the Extended Use Period will expire. This section provides the procedures for the submittal and review of a Qualified Contract Request.

(b) Eligibility. Unless specifically provided for otherwise in the representations made to secure the award, Development Owners who received an award of credits on or after January 1, 2002, are not eligible to request a Qualified Contract prior to the thirty (30) year anniversary of the date the property was placed in service (§2306.185). Unless otherwise permitted in the LURA, Development Owners awarded credits prior to 2002 may submit a Qualified Contract Request at any time after the end of the year proceeding the last year of the Initial Affordability Period, provided it is not precluded by the terms of the LURA, following the Department's determination that the Development Owner is eligible. The Initial Affordability Period starts concurrently with the credit period, which begins at placement-in-service or is deferred until the beginning of the next tax year, if there is an election. Unless the Development Owner has elected an Initial Affordability Period longer than the Compliance Period, as described in the LURA, this can commence at any time after the end of the 14th year of the Compliance Period. References in this section to actions which can occur after the 14th year of the Compliance Period shall refer, as applicable, to the year preceding the last year of the Initial Affordability Period, if the Development Owner elected an Initial Affordability Period longer than the Compliance Period.

(1) If there are multiple buildings placed in service in different years, the end of the Initial Affordability Period will be based upon the date the last building placed in service. For example, if five buildings in the Development began their credit periods in 1990 and one began in 1991, the 15th year would be 2005.

(2) If a Development received an allocation in multiple years, the end of the Initial Affordability Period will be based upon the last year of a multiple allocation. For example, if
a Development received its first allocation in 1990 and a subsequent allocation and began the credit period in 1992, the 15th year would be 2006.

(c) Preliminary Qualified Contract Request. All eligible Development Owners must file a Preliminary Qualified Contract Request.

(1) In addition to determining the basic eligibility described in subsection (b) of this section, the pre-request will be used to determine that:
   
   (A) the Development does not have any uncorrected issues of noncompliance outside the corrective action period;
   
   (B) there is a Right of First Refusal (ROFR) connected to the Development that has been satisfied;
   
   (C) the Compliance Period has not been extended in the LURA and, if it has, the Development Owner is eligible to file a pre-request as described in paragraph (2) of this subsection; and

(2) In order to assess the validity of the pre-request, the Development Owner must submit:

   (A) Preliminary Request Form;
   
   (B) Qualified Contract Pre-Request fee as outlined in §10.901 of this chapter (relating to Fee Schedule);
   
   (C) copy of all regulatory agreements or LURAs associated with the Property (non-TDHCA);

   (D) copy of the most recent Physical Needs Assessment/Property Condition Assessment, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.186(e), conducted by a Third Party. If the PNA/PCA identifies the need for critical repairs that significantly impact habitability and tenant safety, the identified repairs and replacements must be resolved to the satisfaction of the Department before the Development will be considered eligible to submit a Qualified Contract Request.

(3) The pre-request will not bind the Development Owner to submit a Request and does not start the One (1) Year Period (1YP). A review of the pre-request will be conducted by the Department within ninety (90) days of receipt of all documents and fees described in paragraph (2) of this subsection. If the Department determines that this stage is satisfied, a letter will be sent to the Development Owner stating that they are eligible to submit a Qualified Contract (QC) Request.

(d) Qualified Contract Request. A Development Owner may file a QC Request anytime after written approval is received from the Department verifying that the Development Owner is eligible to submit the Request.

(1) Documentation that must be submitted with a Request is outlined in subparagraphs (A) - (P) of this paragraph:

   (A) a completed application and certification;

   (B) the Qualified Contract price calculation worksheets completed by a Third-Party certified public accountant (CPA). The CPA shall certify that they have reviewed annual partnership tax returns for all years of operation, loan documents for all
secured debt, and partnership agreements. They shall also certify that they are not
being compensated for the assignment based upon a predetermined outcome;
(C) a thorough description of the Development, including all amenities;
(D) a description of all income, rental and other restrictions (non-TDHCA), if any,
applicable to the operation of the Development;
(E) a current title report;
(F) a current appraisal with the effective date within six months of the date of the
QC Request and consistent with Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to
Underwriting and Loan Policy);
(G) a current Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II if necessary) with the
effective date within six months of the date of the QC Request and consistent with
Subchapter D of this chapter;
(H) a copy of the most recent Physical Needs Assessment of the property conducted
by a Third Party, if different from the assessment submitted during the preliminary
qualified contract request, consistent with Subchapter D of this chapter and in
accordance with the requirement described in Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.186(e);
(I) a copy of the monthly operating statements for the Development for the most
recent twelve (12) consecutive months;
(J) the three most recent consecutive annual operating statements;
(K) a detailed set of photographs of the development, including interior and exterior
of representative units and buildings, and the property's grounds—(including digital
photographs that may be easily displayed on the Department's website);
(L) a current and complete rent roll for the entire Development;
(M) a certification that all tenants in the Development have been notified in writing
of the request for a Qualified Contract. A copy of the letter used for the notification
must also be included;
(N) if any portion of the land or improvements is leased, copies of the leases;
(O) the Qualified Contract Fee as identified in §10.901 of this chapter; and
(P) additional information deemed necessary by the Department.

(2) Unless otherwise directed by the Department pursuant to subsection (g) of this section,
the Development Owner shall contract with a broker to market and sell the Property. The
Department may, at its sole discretion, notify the Owner that the selected Broker is not
approved by the Department. The fee for this service will be paid by the seller, not to exceed
six percent of the QC Price.

(3) Within 90 days of the submission of a complete Request, the Department will notify the
Development Owner in writing of the acceptance or rejection of the Development Owner's
QC Price calculation. The Department will have one (1) year from the date of the acceptance
letter to find a Qualified Purchaser and present a QC. The Department's rejection of the
Development Owner's QC Price calculation will be processed in accordance with subsection
(e) of this section and the 1YP will commence as provided therein.
(e) **Determination of Qualified Contract Price.** The QC Price calculation is not the same as the Minimum Purchase Price calculation for the ROFR. The CPA contracted by the Development Owner will determine the QC Price in accordance with §42(h)(6)(F) of the Code taking the following into account:

1. distributions to the Development Owner of any and all cash flow, including incentive management fees and reserve balance distributions or future anticipated distributions, but excluding payments of any eligible deferred developer fee. These distributions can only be confirmed by a review of all prior year tax returns for the Development;
2. all equity contributions will be adjusted based upon the lesser of the consumer price index or 5 percent for each year, from the end of the year of the contribution to the end of year fourteen or the end of the year of the request for a QC Price if requested at the end of the year or the year prior if the request is made earlier than the last year of the month; and
3. these guidelines are subject to change based upon future IRS Rulings and/or guidance on the determination of Development Owner distributions, equity contributions and/or any other element of the QC Price.

(f) **Appeal of Qualified Contract Price.** The Department reserves the right, at any time, to request additional information to document the QC Price calculation or other information submitted. If the documentation does not support the price indicated by the CPA hired by the Development Owner, the Department may engage its own CPA to perform a QC Price calculation and the cost of such service will be paid for by the Development Owner. If a Development Owner disagrees with the QC Price calculated by the Department, a Development Owner may appeal in writing. A meeting will be arranged with representatives of the Development Owner, the Department and the CPA contracted by the Department to attempt to resolve the discrepancy. The 1YP will not begin until the Department and Development Owner have agreed to the QC Price in writing. Further appeals can be submitted in accordance with §10.902 of this title (relating to Appeals Process (§2306.0321; §2306.6715)).

(g) **Marketing of Property.** By submitting a Request, the Development Owner grants the Department the authority to market the Development and provide Development information to interested parties. Development information will consist of pictures of the Development, location, amenities, number of Units, age of building, etc. Development Owner contact information will also be provided to interested parties. The Development Owner is responsible for providing staff any requested information to assist with site visits and inspections. Marketing of the Development will continue until such time that a Qualified Contract is presented or the 1YP has expired. Notwithstanding subsection (d)(2) of this section, the Department reserves the right to contract directly with a Third Party in marketing the Development. Cost of such service, including a broker's fee not to exceed 6 percent, will be paid for by the existing Development Owner. The Department must have continuous cooperation from the Development Owner. Lack of cooperation will cause the process to cease and the Development Owner will be required to comply with requirements of the LURA for the remainder of the Extended Use Period. A prospective purchaser must complete all requirements of an ownership transfer request and be approved by the Department prior to
closing on the purchase. Responsibilities of the Development Owner include but are not limited to the items described in paragraphs (1) - (3) of this subsection. The Development Owner must:

1. allow access to the Property and tenant files;
2. keep the Department informed of potential purchasers; and
3. notify the Department of any offers to purchase.

(h) Presentation of a Qualified Contract. If the Department finds a Qualified Purchaser willing to present an offer to purchase the property for an amount at or above the QC Price, the Development Owner may accept the offer and enter into a commercially reasonable form of earnest money agreement or other contract of sale for the property and provide a reasonable time for necessary due diligence and closing of the purchase. If the Development Owner chooses not to accept the QC offer that the Department presents, the QC request will be closed and the possibility of terminating the Extended Use Period through the Qualified Contract process is eliminated; the Property remains bound by the provisions of the LURA. If the Development Owner decides to sell the development for the QC Price pursuant to a QC, the consummation of such a sale is not required for the LURA to continue to bind the Development for the remainder of the Extended Use Period.

1. The Department will attempt to procure a QC only once during the Extended Use Period. If the transaction closes under the contract, the new Development Owner will be required to fulfill the requirements of the LURA for the remainder of the Extended Use Period.

2. If the Department fails to present a QC before the end of the 1YP, the Department will file a release of the LURA and the Development will no longer be restricted to low-income requirements and compliance. However, in accordance with §42(h)(6)(E)(ii) of the Code, for a three (3) year period commencing on the termination of the Extended Use Period, the Development Owner may not evict or displace tenants of Low-Income Units for reasons other than good cause and will not be permitted to increase rents beyond the maximum tax credit rents. Additionally, the Development Owner should submit to the Department a request to terminate the LURA and evidence, in the form of a signed certification and a copy of the letter, to be approved by the Department, that the tenants in the Development have been notified in writing that the LURA will be terminated and have been informed of their protections during the three (3) year time frame.

3. Prior to the Department filing a release of the LURA, the Development Owner must correct all instances of noncompliance at the Development.

(i) Compliance Monitoring during Extended Use Period. For Developments that continue to be bound by the LURA and remain affordable after the end of the Compliance Period, the Department will monitor in accordance with the Extended Use Period Compliance Policy in Subchapter F of this Chapter (relating to Compliance Monitoring).
Presentation, discussion, and possible action on an order proposing the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, and an order proposing new 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, and directing publication for public comment in the Texas Register.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.053, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) is authorized to adopt rules governing the administration of the Department and its programs;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2001.039, state agencies are required to review a rule every four years to assess whether the reasons for initially adopting the rule continue to exist;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL, relating to Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, a person may not establish, maintain, or operate a migrant labor housing facility without obtaining a license from the Department, and Subchapter LL further outlines requirements relating to the application, inspection, fees, and suspension of licenses;

WHEREAS, staff recommends to the Board that there is a continuing need for this rule to exist, which is to ensure compliance with Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306 Subchapter LL, for which these rules establish the administrative procedures and substantive requirements, as required by statute;

WHEREAS, this rule was last adopted in 2006, with the exception of the forms noted in 10 TAC §90.8, which were last adopted in 2014, and changes are now needed to add the purpose of the rule, remove definitions redundant with statute, add a definition for the term: “Provider,” add a section addressing Applicable Standards, significantly reduce and streamline the section that had provided Facility standards, revise the fee structure for a reduced application fee in certain circumstances to prevent providers being discouraged from pursuing license because of possible cost, revise the inspection timeline to ensure timeliness and reduce the likelihood of a timing conflict, update the process for handling complaints, update the applicable forms, and improve readability and clarity; and

WHEREAS, such proposed rulemaking will be published in the Texas Register for public comment and subsequently returned to the Board for final adoption;

NOW, therefore, it is hereby

RESOLVED, that the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, and proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities are approved for publication in the Texas Register for public comment; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Executive Director and his designees, be and each of them hereby are authorized, empowered, and directed, for and on behalf of
the Department to cause the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, and proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, in the form presented to this meeting, to be published in the Texas Register and in connection therewith, make such non-substantive technical corrections, or preamble-related corrections, as they may deem necessary to effectuate the foregoing, including the preparation of the subchapter specific preambles.

**BACKGROUND**

**Rule Review Overview**

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.039 requires that state agencies review a rule every four years to assess whether the reasons for initially adopting the rule continue to exist; based on the assessment the rule can then be readopted as is, readopted with amendments, or repealed. Even rules that have been amended within the last four years require this review if the amendment did not specifically state that it included this review step. Several of the Department’s rules exceed this four year review period and a project has been initiated to evaluate all rules and bring them into compliance with these timelines. One of the results of such a review is that the rule is determined to be necessary but that there are significant enough revisions to warrant repeal and proposal of a new rule in its place. This type of action also is an acceptable response to the four year review requirement and “resets the clock” on that review period.

This rule review initiative also includes a management-wide evaluation of whether a rule is:

- Statutorily required, and if not, evaluating its necessity;
- Setting policy beyond those established in state or federal authorizing statute or regulation, and if so, affirming the purpose and need for that policy; and
- In harmony with the direction and policy of the Executive Director and the Board.

Upon approval in draft form by the Board, rules under review will be released for public comment in the Texas Register and returned to the Board for final direction and adoption.

**10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities**

Authority: Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL (§§2306.921-2306.930), provides for the Department to serve as the agency that provides licenses to any person or entity that establishes, maintains or operates a migrant labor housing facility, and outlines requirements relating to license applications, inspections, failing to meet standards, reinspection, license issuance and term, license posting, fees, and suspension or revocation of license.

Department Policy: While Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL, provides for the direction and authority of the licensing activity of Migrant Labor Housing Facilities, it does not provide the administrative specificity to fully implement this activity. As such, these rules set Department policy only so far as they provide the administrative implementation of the statutory activity.

Consistency with Executive Direction and Proposed Changes: Staff recommends that these rules be retained but that this be accomplished through repeal of the existing rules and the proposal of new rules. The new proposed rules reflect changes that add the purpose of the rule, remove definitions redundant with statute, add a definition for the new term: “Provider,” add a section addressing Applicable Standards, significantly streamline the section that had provided Facility standards and make it better align with federal requirements applicable to housing for workers here under H2-A visas, revise the fee structure for a reduced application fee in certain circumstances to prevent providers being
discouraged from pursuing license because of possible cost, revise the inspection timeline to ensure
timeliness and reduce the likelihood of a timing conflict, update the process for handling complaints,
update the applicable forms, and improve readability and clarity.

Behind the proposed preamble for the proposed new action a draft of the rule is shown in its clean
new form. However, to assist reviewers with understanding what has changed from the current
version of the rule, a blacklined version is also provided, reflecting changes to the rule that is
proposed for repeal.

**Migrant Labor Housing Initiatives**

Recently the Department has been pursuing several initiatives to improve the Department’s licensing
responsibilities. First, staff has initiated discussions with our sister agency, the Texas Workforce
Commission (“TWC”), who inspects housing that is being provided for workers obtaining H-2A
visas through the US Department of Labor (“DOL”). TWC has indicated that many providers of
such housing were unaware of the need to obtain a license from the Department and the possibility
that housing which met the DOL standards might not also meet the Texas licensing standards.

Second, staff has developed a list of agricultural related entities and notified them that, if they house
workers, they may be required to obtain a license under the Tex. Gov’t Code Subchapter LL. We
have given them information about the statutory requirement, the proposed rule changes and
rulemaking process and the requirements for obtaining a license, and how to proceed. We are
hopeful to raise awareness of the licensing requirement by reaching out to these organizations, many
of whom appear to have been unaware that licensing (even where an H2-A visa had been obtained
and the housing inspected in connection with that federal program) other than possible labor-related
licensing, was required or applicable.

Third, the Department is developing a brand recognition campaign for housing providers to use so
that workers can quickly and easily recognize where licensed housing exists. We are reaching out to
trade organizations as well as advocacy groups to help promote this effort, educating providers and
workers on the benefits of properly licensed housing. The logo was developed by the Department’s
communications division with input from several focus groups of migrant farm workers. The logo
will be required to be displayed at the facility along with basic information about the facilities license
like the year it was inspected, the approved capacity of the facility and contact information with
regard to complaints. The logo may also be used in marketing material for employers and laborers
about the Migrant Labor Housing Facilities Licensing Program. Below is a copy of the logo.
Lastly, we are updating our inspection procedures so that all required inspections are able to timely occur when requested, aligning the requirements with the Federal requirements set out in 29 CFR §500.132, (the Employment and Training Administration “ETA” and Occupational Safety and Health Administrations “OSHA” housing standards also referred to as the “ETA and OSHA Housing Standards”) and identifying those additional requirements imposed by state rule under the authority of Tex. Gov’t Code Subchapter LL.
Attachment 1: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facility

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") proposes the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 90, §§90.1-90.8, concerning Migrant Labor Housing Facilities. The purpose of the proposed repeal is to eliminate an outdated rule while adopting a new updated rule under separate action.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.


1. Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect, the proposed rule does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the repeal, and simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, of the rules governing licensing of migrant labor housing facilities.

2. The proposed repeal does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor does the repeal reduce work load such that any existing employee positions could be eliminated.

3. The proposed rule changes do not require additional future legislative appropriations, but this conclusion is based on assumptions as to the number of additional unlicensed facilities that would be required to obtain licenses.

4. The proposed repeal does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed repeal is not creating a new regulation, except that it is being replaced by a new rule simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed action will repeal an existing regulation but is associated with the simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, the licensing of migrant labor facilities.

7. The proposed repeal will not increase or decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability.

8. The proposed repeal will not negatively nor positively affect this state’s economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2006.002.

The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that the repeal will not create an economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities, as the repealed rule will be replaced with a similar rule. Micro-businesses not already licensed but operating migrant farmworker housing facilities were already subject to the legal requirement to be licensed. To the extent they were not already licensed but met the requirements of the federal regulations, they may need to incur the costs of making changes to their facilities to bring them into compliance with these rules.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2007.043. The proposed rule does not contemplate nor authorize a taking by the Department, therefore no Takings Impact Assessment is required.
d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the repeal as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the repeal will be in effect there will be no economic effect on local employment, as the repealed rule will be replaced with a similar rule; therefore no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV'T CODE §2001.024(a)(5).

Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the repeal of this rule is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the repealed section will be unaffected as the repealed rule will be replaced with a similar rule. There will not be economic costs to individuals required to comply with the repealed section.


Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the repeal does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments, as the repealed rule will be replaced with a similar rule.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 21, 2018, to October 22, 2018, to receive input on the repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Tom Gouris, Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941 or email tom.gouris@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 pm, Austin local time, OCTOBER 22, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facility
## Attachment 2: Preamble for proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 90, Migrant Labor Housing Facilities

In accordance with Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL, a person may not establish, maintain, or operate a Migrant Labor Housing Facility without obtaining a license from the Department, and Subchapter LL further outlines requirements relating to the application, inspection, fees, and suspension of licenses.

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the “Department”) proposes new 10 TAC Chapter 90, §§90.1-90.9, concerning Migrant Labor Housing Facilities. The purpose of the proposed new Chapter is to provide compliance with Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL and update the rule to add the purpose of the rule, remove definitions redundant with statute, add a definition for the term Provider, add a section addressing Applicable Standards, significantly reduce and streamline the section that had provided Facility standards, revise the fee structure for a reduced application fee in certain circumstances to prevent providers being discouraged from pursuing license because of possible cost, revise the inspection timeline to ensure timeliness and reduce the likelihood of a timing conflict, update the process for handling complaints, update the applicable forms, and improve readability and clarity.

Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.0045(b) does not apply to the rule proposed for action because it is exempt under both §2001(0045(c)(6) which exempts rule changes necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of this state, and §2001.0045(c)(9), which exempts rule changes necessary to implement legislation. Compliance with the proposed rule is intended to ensure adherence to reasonable standards to benefit the health, safety, and welfare of those migrant laborers housed in the facilities required to be licensed. Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL, provides for the implementation of this activity.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

### a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.0221.

Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed rulemaking would be in effect:

1. Mr. Irvine has determined that, for the first five years the proposed new rule would be in effect, the proposed rule does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the readoption of this rule which makes changes to an existing activity, the licensing and oversight of migrant labor housing facilities.

2. The proposed new rule does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions. While some additional work by the Department will be required associated with the acceptance of additional license applications and fees, added inspections, and follow-up of compliance with possible inspections findings, the Department anticipates handling this additional work with existing staff resources. The rule changes do not reduce work load such that any existing employee positions could be eliminated.

3. The proposed rule changes do not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. In accordance with Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.929, the Department is authorized to set the license fee in an amount not to exceed $250. This rule has already had the fee set at $250 since 2006 and the
Department is not suggesting changing that fee. However, the Department does anticipate an increase in the possible fees received, as there is a much more targeted initiative to encourage those providers that are currently not licensed to apply for licensure, which would increase fee receipts. As an offset to this potential increase and to the extent that the Department can utilize the inspections conducted by other state agencies and thereby limit the cost by eliminating the duplication of work, the Department is proposing to reduce the fee for the first two years of new licensees where they already have a satisfactory inspection by another state agency.

5. The proposed rule is not creating a new regulation, except that it is replacing a rule being repealed simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed rule will not limit or repeal an existing regulation, but can be considered to “expand” the existing regulations on this activity because the proposed rule clarifies the scope of facilities, standards, and individuals subject to the rule. Many providers of such housing may have been unaware of the need to obtain a license from the Department, and were unaware of the possibility that housing that met the standards of the Department of Labor might not also meet the Texas licensing standards. This addition to the rule is necessary to clarify the statutory scope of who must be licensed and ensure compliance with Tex. Gov’t Code, Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL and to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of those migrant laborers housed in facilities arranged for by others.

7. The proposed rule does increase the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability as described in item 6 above.

8. The proposed rule may be considered to have a negative effect on the state’s economy because of potential expense to bring their Migrant Labor Housing Facility into compliance with the requirements of the rule and statutorily-required licensure or impact the ability to procure the labor needed, which may affect the overall productivity of their industry and contribution to the state economy. This is a natural and unavoidable consequence of enforcement of the statute. The Department is not able to quantify or determine that at this time the extent of Providers that are not currently licensed and the extent to which their facilities are not up to licensure standard

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2006.002. The Department has evaluated this rule and determined that:

1. TDHCA has, in drafting this proposed rule attempted to reduce any adverse economic effect on small or micro-business or rural communities while remaining consistent with the statutory requirements of Tex. Gov’t Code, ch. 2306, subchapter LL.

2. None of the adverse effect strategies outlined in this subsection are applicable.

3. Based on raw data regarding H2-A visas that required housing inspections, there could be between 100 and 350 small or micro-businesses initially subject to the proposed rule, not already licensed and compliant. NAICS data from the Comptroller shows Vegetable and Melon Farming as having 132 small businesses. The Department has determined that there may be an adverse economic effects on small or micro-businesses for those providers of migrant labor housing that are not currently providing housing to their laborers that will meet the proposed standard, but these economic effects are a natural and unavoidable consequence of enforcement of the statutes. A minimal fee of $250 is already in the current version of the rule and is not being increased so does not present a new cost, other than for those not previously licensed. The economic impact of the rule beyond the fee can vary significantly per provider depending on the extent to which their facilities are not up to standard. Because the amount of work and materials needed to bring a facility
up to standard can vary dramatically from a facility only needing to make a few minor repairs, to a possibly significant renovation of facilities, an estimate is not able to be calculated. Moreover, though employers of workers on H2-A visas are required to provide housing to their workers, the Department cannot estimate the economic impact of the proposed rule if agricultural employers who currently provide housing to migrant laborers decide to cease providing housing rather than comply with the licensure standards.

The rule is not directly applicable to rural communities, other than through the business entities that reside in those communities, and therefore no economic impact of the rule is projected for rural communities.

(3-a) The proposed rule identifies the applicable federal standard for housing of farm workers, where applicable, and an additional nine requirements, which were part of the prior rule but exceed one or both of the federal standards. Further, though federal requirements exempt places of public accommodation (such as hotels) from inspection, the proposed rules account for the statutory requirements regarding licensure of facilities by placing the onus on an agricultural employer (who contracts for Facilities used by migrant workers) to obtain a license and facilitate an inspection of such a Facility – which would include rental accommodations such as a hotel.

The purpose of Tex. Gov’t Code, ch. 2306, subchapter LL, is clearly grounded in protection of the health, safety, and welfare of migrant farm workers. Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.925 provides the Department with regulatory flexibility to define “the reasonable minimum standards of construction, sanitation, equipment, and operation” in order for a migrant labor housing facility to receive a license. The minimum federal standards are set out in 29 CFR §§500.130 and 500.132-500.135, (the Employment and Training Administration “ETA” and Occupational Safety and Health Administrations “OSHA” housing standards also referred to as the “ETA and OSHA Housing Standards”) and the proposed rule eliminates the exception for inspecting public accommodations provided in 29 CFR §500.131, and adds nine additional standards – all grounded in enhanced health and safety. Whether, in accordance with the requirements of this analysis, the proposed rule uses “regulatory methods that will accomplish the objectives of the applicable rules while minimizing adverse impacts on small businesses” is a matter of perspective. Any additional regulations that increase health and safety standards accomplish the objectives of the rules; but each comes at a cost above the “minimum” required by federal regulation.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2007.043. The proposed rule does not contemplate nor authorize a taking by the Department, therefore no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the rule as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the rule will be in effect the proposed rule may have a negative effect on a local economy and its employment if the local Providers in that community conclude that to bring their facilities into compliance would be cost prohibitive, impact their hiring decisions, and/ or impact their ability to procure the labor needed. These issues could possibly effect the overall productivity of their business and impact on local employment. Depending on the extent of how many providers are in a given local economy and the extent to which that Providers’ facilities would not meet standards, this might be a concern; however, the Department is not able to quantify or determine that at this time for any given community.
Tex. Gov’t Code §2001.022(a) states that this “impact statement must describe in detail the probable effect of the rule on employment in each geographic area affected by the rule . . .” Considering that the licensing standards in the new rule have been largely been in effect for several years, there are no “probable” effects of the new rules on particular geographic regions.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Timothy K. Irvine, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the new section will be increased assurance of the health, safety, and welfare of migrant labor workers. The possible economic costs to any individuals required to comply with the new section will be the $250 fee for those providers not already licensed, and the cost of improvements needed to bring a facility into compliance.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Irvine also has determined that for each year of the first five years the new section is in effect, enforcing or administering the new section does have some foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local government: The minimal revenue to the state of $250 per application will be used to offset additional expenses associated with inspection travel for each application. The other costs to administer the increased activity are being absorbed within current resources by the Department. There are no foreseeable implications relating to cost or revenues of local governments from enforcing or administering the proposed rule.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held September 21, 2018, to October 22, 2018, to receive input on the new Chapter. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Tom Gouris, Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941, by fax to (512) 475-0220, or email tom.gouris@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 pm, Austin local time, OCTOBER 22, 2018.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed rule is pursuant to Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed new sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

10 TAC Chapter 90 Migrant Labor Housing Facilities

§90.1 Purpose.
The purpose of Chapter 90 is to establish rules governing migrant labor housing facilities that are subject to being licensed under Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter 2306, Subchapter LL (§§2306.921-2306.933). Alignment of state requirements with the federal standards for migrant farmworker housing that must be inspected in order to participate in other state and federal programs such as with the U. S. Department of Labor’s H2-A visa program is intended to reduce inspection conflicts and allow for cooperative efforts between the Department and other state and federal entities to share information and reduce redundancies.

§90.2 Definitions.
The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Additionally any words and terms not defined in this section but defined or given specific meaning in Tex. Gov’t Code Chapter §§2306.921-2306.933, 29 CFR §§500.130-500.135, 20 CFR §§654.404 et seq. and 29 CFR §1910.142 or used in those sections and defined elsewhere in state or federal law or regulation, when used in this chapter, shall have the meanings defined therein, unless the context herein clearly indicates otherwise.
(1) Act--the state law that governs the operation and licensure of migrant labor housing facilities in the state of Texas, found at Tex. Gov't Code, §§2306.921 - 2306.933.
(2) Board--The governing board of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.
(3) Business Day--any day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or a holiday observed by the State of Texas.
(4) Business hours--8 a.m. to 5 p.m., local time.
(5) Department--The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.
(6) Director--The Executive Director of the Department.
(7) Family--a group of people, whether legally related or not, that act as and hold themselves out to be a family; provided, however, that nothing herein shall be construed as creating or sanctioning any unlawful relationship or arrangement such as the custody of an unemancipated minor by a person other than their legal guardian.
(8) Licensee--any person that holds a valid license issued in accordance with the Act.
(9) Occupant--any person, including a worker, who uses a migrant labor housing facility for housing purposes.
(10) Provider-- any person who knowingly provides for the use of a Migrant Labor Housing Facility by Migrant agricultural workers, whether the Facility is owned by the Provider or is contractually obtained for (or otherwise arranged by) the Provider.
(11) Worker--A migrant agricultural worker, as defined in the Act, being an individual who is:
(A) working or available for work seasonally or temporarily in primarily an agricultural or agriculturally related industry, and
(B) moves one or more times from one place to another to perform seasonal or temporary employment or to be available for seasonal or temporary employment.

§90.3 Applicability.
(a) All Migrant Labor Housing Facilities in the state of Texas, which may include hotels and other public accommodations if owned by or contracted for by employers in the agricultural or agriculturally related industry to house migrant agricultural workers, must be inspected and comply with the requirements in this chapter and 29 CFR §§500.130, 500.132 - 500.135 without the exception provided in 29 CFR §500.131.
(b) Where agricultural employers own, lease, rent, or otherwise contract for Facilities “used” by individuals or households that meet the criteria described in the Act, the employer as Provider of said housing, “establishes” and becomes the “operator” of a migrant labor housing facility, and is the responsible entity for obtaining and “maintaining” the license on such facility, as those terms are used in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.921 - .922.
(c) A prospective licensee must facilitate an inspection by the Department with the owner of the Migrant Labor Housing Facility.
(d) Owners or operators of homeless shelters, public camp grounds, youth hostels, hotels and other public or private accommodations that do not contract for services with agricultural employers or other Providers to house migrant agricultural workers are not required to be licensed. Further, since a “Facility” under Tex. Gov't Code §2306.921(1) requires both elements of one or more structure, trailer, or vehicle, as well as the land appurtenant, no license would be required by an agricultural worker using his own structure, trailer, or vehicle but temporarily residing on the land of another.

§90.4 Standards and Inspections.
(a) The appropriate housing standard is defined in 29 CFR §500.132, (the Employment and Training Administration “ETA” and Occupational Safety and Health Administrations “OSHA” housing standards also referred to as the “ETA and OSHA Housing Standards”). The inspection checklists setting forth those standards are available on the Department’s website at https://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/migrant-housing/index.htm.
(b) Inspections of the facilities of applicants for a license and licensees may be conducted by the Department under the authority of §2306.928 upon reasonable notice and using the appropriate inspection forms noted in subsection (a) of this section. Inspections may be conducted by other State or Federal agencies on behalf of the Department on forms promulgated by those agencies.

(c) In addition to the standards noted in subsection (a) of this section, all facilities must comply with the following additional state standards:

1. Facilities shall be constructed in a manner to insure the protection of occupants against the elements. Facilities shall be maintained in good repair and in a sanitary condition. All doors to the exterior shall have working locks and all windows shall have working interior latches. Each unit shall have a working smoke detector. Fire extinguishing equipment shall be provided in an accessible place located within 100 feet from each facility. Such equipment shall provide protection equal to a 2 1/2 gallon stored pressure of five gallon pump type water extinguisher.

2. In dormitory-type facilities, separate sleeping accommodations shall be provided for each sex. In family housing units, separate sleeping accommodations shall be provided for each family unit.

3. Facilities previously used to mix, load, or store pesticides and toxic chemicals may not be used for cooking, dishwashing, eating, sleeping, housing purposes, or other similar purposes.

4. In a central mess or multifamily feeding operation, the kitchen and mess hall shall be constructed in accordance with department rules on food services sanitation, 25 TAC §§229.161 - 229.171 (relating to Food Service Sanitation).

5. Beds, bunks, or cots shall have a clear space of at least 12 inches from the floor. Triple-deck facilities shall be prohibited. Single beds shall be spaced not closer than 36 inches laterally or end to end. Bunk beds shall be spaced not less than 48 inches laterally or 36 inches end to end. There shall be a clear ceiling height above a mattress of not less than 36 inches. The clear space above the lower mattress of the bunk beds and the bottom of the upper bunk shall not be less than 27 inches.

6. Communal bathrooms shall have a minimum of one showerhead per 10 persons and one lavatory sink per six persons. Showerheads shall be spaced at least three feet apart to insure a minimum of nine square feet of showering space per showerhead.

7. In all communal bathrooms separate shower stalls shall be provided.

8. Mechanical clothes washers shall be provided in a ratio of one per 50 persons. In addition to mechanical clothes washers, one laundry tray per 100 persons shall be provided. In lieu of mechanical clothes washers, one laundry tray or tub per 25 persons may be provided.

9. All facility sites shall be provided with electricity. The electrical systems shall conform to all applicable codes and shall be sufficient to provide the electricity with sufficient amperage to operate all required and available features, including but not limited to lighting, stoves, hot water heaters, heating systems, portable heaters, refrigeration, and such other devices as may be connected to wall type convenience outlets.

§90.5. Licensing.

(a) Tex. Gov’t Code, §2306.922 requires the licensing of migrant labor housing facilities.

(b) Any person who wants to apply for a license to operate a facility may obtain the application form from the Department. The required form is available on the Department’s website at https://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/migrant-housing/index.htm

(c) An application must be submitted to the Department prior to the intended operation of the facility, but no more than 60 days prior to said operation.

(d) The fee for a license is $250 per year, except in such cases where the facility was previously unlicensed by the Department but inspected and approved to be utilized for housing under a State or Federal migrant labor housing program and it is anticipated that an acceptable inspection conducted by a State or Federal agency in accordance with the rules in this chapter will be provided to the Department. In such cases, the fee is reduced to $25 per year for up to two years. If a
separate inspection or re-inspection by the Department is required, the reduced fee does not apply. The license is valid for one year from the date of issuance unless sooner revoked or suspended.

(e) Fees shall be tendered by check or money order payable to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. If any check or other instrument given in payment of a licensing fee is returned for any reason, any license that has been issued in reliance upon such payment being made is null and void.

(f) A fee, when received in connection with an application is earned and is not subject to refund.

(g) Upon receipt of a complete application and fee, the Department shall schedule an inspection of the facility by an authorized representative of the Department. Inspections shall be conducted during business hours on business days and shall cover all units that are subject to being occupied. Inspections by other State or Federal agencies in accordance with the requirements in 29 CFR §§500.130 - 500.135 may be accepted by the Department for purposes of this license only if notice is given to the Department prior to the inspection, the fee described in subsection (d) of this section has been provided, notice of the additional state standards described in §90.4 (g) is provided to the applicant, the documentation of an acceptable written inspection of the facility in accordance with the rules in this Chapter is provided to the Department by an authorized representative of the State or Federal agency, and a certification to meeting the addition state standards described in §90.4 (g) along with any required supplemental documentations such as photographs are provided by the applicant.

(h) The person performing the inspection on behalf of the Department shall prepare a report of findings of that inspection.

(1) If the person performing the inspection finds that the migrant labor housing facility, based on the inspection, will be in compliance with §90.4 of these rules, and the Director finds that there is no other impediment to licensure, the license will be issued.

(2) If the person performing the inspection finds that although one or more deficiencies were noted that will require timely corrective action which may be confirmed by the Provider without need for re-inspection, and the Director finds that there is no other impediment to licensure, the license will be issued subject to such conditions as the Director may specify. The applicant may, by signed letter, agree to these conditions, request a re-inspection within 60 days from the date of the Director's letter advising of the conditions, provide satisfactory documentation to support the completion of the corrective action as may be required by the Department or treat the Director's imposing of conditions as a denial of the application.

(3) If the person performing the inspection finds that although one or more deficiencies were noted that will require timely corrective action, the deficiencies are of such a nature that a re-inspection is required, the applicant shall address these findings and advise the inspector or Department, within 60 days from the date of written notice of the findings, of a time when the facility maybe re-inspected. If a re-inspection is required the License will not be eligible for the reduced fee described in subsection (d) of this section and the balance of the $250 fee ($225) must be remitted to the Department prior to the re-inspection. If the results of the re-inspection are satisfactory and the Director finds that there is no other impediment to licensure, the license will be issued.

(4) If the person performing the inspection finds that the migrant labor housing facility is in material non-compliance with §90.4 of these rules or that one or more imminent threats to health or safety are present, the Director may deny the Application.

(i) If the Director determines that an application for a license ought to be granted subject to one or more conditions, the Director shall issue an order accompanying the license, and such order shall:

(1) Be clearly incorporated by reference on the face of the license;

(2) Specify the conditions and the basis in law or rule for each of them; and

(3) Such conditions may include limitations whereby parts of a migrant labor housing facility may be operated without restriction and other parts may not be operated until remedial action is completed and documented in accordance with the requirements set forth in the order.
(j) Correspondence regarding an application should be addressed to: Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs Attention: Migrant Labor Housing Facilities PO BOX 12489 AUSTIN TX 78711-2489

(k) Within 14 days of the date of receipt of an application and license fee, the Department shall issue a written notice informing the applicant that the application is complete and accepted for filing, or, if the application is deficient, a letter specifying what is else needed in order to process the application.

(l) An applicant or licensee that wishes to appeal any order of the Director, including the appeal of a denial of an application for a license or an election to appeal the imposing of conditions upon a license, may appeal such order by sending a signed letter to the Director within thirty (30) days from the date specified on such order, indicating the matter that they wish to appeal.

§90.6. Records.
(a) Each licensee shall maintain on premises, available for inspection by the Department, the following records:
(1) Copies of all correspondence to and from the Department. This shall include the current designation of each Provider;
(2) A current list of the occupants of the facility and the date that the occupancy of each commenced;
(3) Documentation establishing that all bedding facilities were sanitized prior to their being assigned to the current occupant; and
(4) Copies of any and all required federal, state, or local approvals and permits, including but not limited to any permits to operate a waste disposal system or a well or other water supply, and any correspondence or from such approving or permitting authorities.
(b) All such records shall be maintained for a period of at least three years.

§90.7. Complaints.
(a) If the Department receives any complaint, it shall investigate it by appropriate means, including the conducting of a complaint inspection. Any complaint inspection will be conducted after giving the Operator notice of the inspection and an opportunity to be present. The complainant will be contacted by the Department as soon as possible but no later than ten (10) days of making a complaint and such a call may be relayed to local authority(s) if a possible life threatening safety or health issue is involved.

(b) A licensee, through its Provider, shall be provided a copy of any complaint (or, if the complaint was made verbally, a summary of the matter) and given a reasonable opportunity to respond. Generally, this shall be ten (10) business days.
(1) Complaints may be made in writing or by telephone to 1-877-724-5676.
(2) Complaints may be made in Spanish or in English.
(3) To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, the identity of any complaint shall be maintained as confidential (unless the complainant specifically consents to the disclosure of their identity or requests that the Department disclose their identity).
(4) Licensees and Providers shall not engage in any retaliatory action against an occupant for making a complaint in good faith.
(5) A Licensee shall post in at least one conspicuous location in a facility:
   (A) A copy of the license;
   (B) Any logo or symbol provided by the Department recognizing the license and the year for which the license was granted; and,
   (C) The following notice in at least 20 point bold face type: If you have concerns or problems with the condition or operation of this facility or your unit, the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the "Department") is the state agency that licenses and oversees this facility. You may make a complaint to the Department by calling, toll-free, 1-877-724-5676, or by writing to
Migrant Labor Housing, TDHCA, 4413 82nd Street, Lubbock, TX 79424-3366. This office has staff that speaks Spanish. To the fullest extent that we can, we will keep your identity confidential. The Department’s rules prohibit any facility or Provider from retaliating against you for making a complaint. Si Usted tiene preocupaciones o problemas con la condición u operación de esta instalación o su unidad, el Departamento de Vivienda y Asuntos Comunitarios del Estado de Texas (El Departamento o TDHCA) es la agencia que da licencia y supervisa esta instalación. Usted puede mandar sus quejas al Departamento por teléfono gratuitamente por marcando 1-877-724-5676 u escribiendo a Migrant Labor Housing, TDHCA, 4412 82nd Street, Lubbock, TX 79424-3366. La oficina tiene personal que habla español. A lo mas posible que podemos, protegeremos su identidad. Las regulaciones del Departamento prohíben cualquier represalias por la instalación u el operador contra personas que se quejen contra ellos.

(c) If any complaint involves matters that could pose an imminent threat to health or safety, all time frames shall be accelerated, and such complaint shall be addressed as expeditiously as possible.

(d) The Department may conduct interviews, including interviews of Providers and occupants, and review such records as it deems necessary to investigate a complaint.

(e) The Department shall review the findings of any inspection and its review and, if it finds a violation of the Act or these rules to have occurred, issue a notice of violation.

(f) A notice of violation and order will be sent to the Licensee to the attention of the Provider.

(g) The notice of violation will set forth:
   (1) The complaint or other matter made the subject of the notice;
   (2) The findings of fact;
   (3) The specific provisions of the Act and or these rules found to have been violated;
   (4) The required corrective action;
   (5) Any administrative penalty or other sanction to be assessed; and
   (6) The timeframe for the Licensee either to agree to the recommended corrective action, and accept the administrative penalties and/ or sanctions or appeal or to appeal the matter.

(h) The order will set forth:
   (1) The complaint or other matter made the subject of the order;
   (2) The findings of fact;
   (3) The specific provisions of the Act and or these rules found to have been violated;
   (4) The required corrective action;
   (5) Any administrative penalty or other sanction assessed; and
   (6) The date on which the order becomes effective if not appealed or otherwise resolved.

§90.8. Administrative Penalties and Sanctions.
(a) When the Director finds that the requirements of the Act or these rules are not being met, he or she may assess administrative penalties or impose other sanctions as set forth below. Nothing herein limits the right, as set forth in the Act, to seek injunctive relief.

(b) For each violation of the Act or rules a penalty of up to $200 may be assessed.

(c) For violations that present an imminent threat to health or safety, if not promptly addressed, the Director may suspend or revoke the affected license.

§90.9. Dispute Resolution, Appeals, and Hearings.
(a) A licensee is entitled to appeal any order issued by the Director, including any order as a result of an inspection or a complaint and any order denying a license or issuing a license subject to specified conditions.

(b) In lieu of or during the pendency of any appeal, a licensee may request to meet with the Director or, at his or her option, his or her designee to resolve disputes. Any such meeting may be by telephone or in person. Meetings in person shall be in the county where the migrant labor housing facility affected is located unless the licensee agrees otherwise.
(c) A licensee may request alternative dispute resolution in accordance with the Department’s rules regarding such resolution set forth at 10 TAC, §1.17.
(d) All appeals are contested cases subject to and to be handled in accordance with Chapter 2001, Tex. Gov't Code.