

Codebook

SurveyNum

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	1
	Label	Number of survey
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Nominal
	Role	Input

SurveyType

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	2		
	Label	Was the survey conducted in person or returned by mail		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Conducted in person	1243	96.6%
	2	Completed by respondent and returned by	44	3.4%

SurvInitial

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		3	
	Label	What are the initials of the surveyor(s)/interviewer(s)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

CoderInitial

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	4		
	Label	What are the initials of the coder		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

County

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	5		
	Label	What county is the survey from		
	Type	String		
	Format	A25		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	Cameron		187	14.5%
	El Paso		193	15.0%
	Guadalupe		77	6.0%
	Hays		77	6.0%
	Hidalgo		246	19.1%
	Maverick		182	14.1%
	Starr		131	10.2%
	Webb		194	15.1%

CountyNum

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	6		
	Label	County		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Maverick	182	14.1%
	2	Starr	131	10.2%
	3	El Paso	193	15.0%
	4	Webb	194	15.1%
	5	Hidalgo	246	19.1%
	6	Cameron	187	14.5%
	7	Guadalupe	77	6.0%
	8	Hays	77	6.0%

ColoniaQuad

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		7	
	Label	Colonia name and quadrant/section		
	Type	String		
	Format	A51		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	Q1 / EL CENIZO		23	1.8%
	Alberta Rd. (Lopezville)		1	.1%
	Aloe Vera		6	.5%
	Alto Bonito Q 5		1	.1%
	AMIGO PARK		2	.2%
	Birmensdorf East		10	.8%
	Bluetown		10	.8%
	BrookHollow (A)		8	.6%
	BrookHollow (B)		9	.7%
	BrookHollow (C)		8	.6%
	Chula Vista Central		7	.5%
	Chula Vista North		4	.3%
	Chula Vista School		8	.6%
	Chula Vista School Block		3	.2%
	Chula Vista South		13	1.0%
	CLUSTER COTTER TRACT		1	.1%
	Combes		22	1.7%
	COTTER TRACT		4	.3%
	Country Acres North		12	.9%
	Country Acres South		8	.6%
	Deer Run #2		12	.9%
	Deer Run #4		8	.6%
	Deer Run #5		2	.2%
	DEER RUN 5		10	.8%
	Deerfield 2		11	.9%
	Deerfield 3		14	1.1%
	Deerfield Park 1 north		7	.5%
	Deerfield Park 1 south		23	1.8%
	Doyno		6	.5%
	Drake		10	.8%
	East AB 2		1	.1%
	East AB 3		1	.1%
	East Alto Bonito		3	.2%
	East Alto Bonito 1		3	.2%
	East Alto Bonito 2		6	.5%

East Alto Bonito 4	1	.1%
East Alto Bonito Q1	1	.1%
East Alto Bonito Q3	1	.1%
East Alto Bonito Q5	2	.2%
El Cenizo	13	1.0%
EL CENIZO	46	3.6%
El Cenizo Quadrant 4	11	.9%
El Rosal	6	.5%
Garza Salinas	1	.1%
Garza Salinas 1 South	1	.1%
Garza Salinas 1S	2	.2%
Garza Salinas North	10	.8%
Garza Salinas S	6	.5%
Green Pastures (A)	5	.4%
Green Pastures (B? across limit of assigned area)	1	.1%
Green Pastures A1	8	.6%
Green Pastures A2	10	.8%
Green Pastures B	9	.7%
Green Pastures F	8	.6%
Green Pastures Section A	6	.5%
Green Pastures Section D	7	.5%
green Pastures Section E	1	.1%
Green Pastures Section E	6	.5%
Green Pastures/section D	7	.5%
Guerra	3	.2%
HEIDELBERG NORTH	6	.5%
HEIDELBERG SOUTH	7	.5%
Hidalgo Park Estates	1	.1%
Hildalgo Park 2	1	.1%
HPE 3	26	2.0%
HPE CENTRAL	19	1.5%
HPE J	10	.8%
HPE NORTH	25	1.9%
HPE SOUTH	19	1.5%
Iglesia Antigua	12	.9%
Iglesia Vieja	6	.5%
IHE SOUTH	18	1.4%
IHW/CAP	11	.9%
Indian Hills	1	.1%
Indian Hills East North	23	1.8%
La Presa	14	1.1%

LARGA VISTA	18	1.4%
Las Casitas 1	12	.9%
Las Casitas 3	11	.9%
Las Milpas	1	.1%
Loma Linda North	10	.8%
Loma Linda South	20	1.6%
Los Altos	1	.1%
LOS ALTOS	16	1.2%
Los Olmos	2	.2%
Los Olmos N	3	.2%
Los Olmos S	1	.1%
Mayfair 1	7	.5%
Mayfair 2	5	.4%
Mayfair 3	2	.2%
Mayfair 4	3	.2%
Mayfair 5	18	1.4%
Munoz	18	1.4%
North	33	2.6%
North Santa Cruz	6	.5%
North Santa Cruz A	8	.6%
North Santa Cruz B	12	.9%
Olivarez	7	.5%
Olmito 1	2	.2%
Olmito 2	3	.2%
Olmito 3	3	.2%
Olmito 4	11	.9%
Olmito 5	16	1.2%
Olmito 6	14	1.1%
Olmito 8	5	.4%
Olmito Original Townsite	1	.1%
Owassa (CLUSTER NEW COLONIA5)	18	1.4%
Park at Creekside Central	5	.4%
Park at Creekside North	10	.8%
Park at Creekside South	7	.5%
Pueblo de Palmas	9	.7%
Pueblo Nuevo	20	1.6%
q mid	9	.7%
q middle	5	.4%
Q middle	3	.2%
Q norte	1	.1%
q north	10	.8%
q south	2	.2%
Q south	2	.2%
Q South	2	.2%
Qmiddle	1	.1%

Quintas Fronterizas Middle Q	3	.2%
Quintas Fronterizas South	12	.9%
railyard	9	.7%
Rosales	1	.1%
San Carlos 1	1	.1%
SAN CARLOS 1	17	1.3%
San Carlos 2	1	.1%
SAN CARLOS 2	13	1.0%
Santa Maria	28	2.2%
Santa Maria North	18	1.4%
Seminole	4	.3%
Seminole Valley 2	6	.5%
Share 52 A	3	.2%
Share 52 B	7	.5%
Share 52 D	7	.5%
Share 52 North	2	.2%
Share 52 North Quadrant	5	.4%
Share 52A	5	.4%
Share 52B	2	.2%
Share 52C	7	.5%
Shelley	5	.4%
South	2	.2%
Taurus Estates	1	.1%
TAURUS I	17	1.3%
TAURUS II	10	.8%
Tornillo 1	10	.8%
Tornillo 2	18	1.4%
Tornillo 3	8	.6%
Tornillo 4	10	.8%
Tornillo 5	19	1.5%
Tornillo 6	5	.4%
Trevino	4	.3%
Trevino 1	1	.1%
WELCH TRACT	5	.4%

LotNumber

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes Position		8	

	Label	What is the lot number assigned to the property (written on the survey, lot log, and map)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

HeadHousehold

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	9		
	Label	Is respondent the head of the household?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	1132	88.0%
	2	No	26	2.0%
Missing Values	-9		129	10.0%

Relation

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	10		
	Label	If respondent is not the head of household, what is his/her relation to the head?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Parent	1	.1%
	2	Child	13	1.0%
	3	Sibling	1	.1%
	4	Other family	0	0.0%

	5	Resident non-family	0	0.0%
	88	Other	7	.5%
Missing Values	-9		1265	98.3%

RelatOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	11		
	Label	If "other," what is respondent's relation to the head of household?		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		15	1.2%
	-9		139	10.8%
	-9.		1126	87.5%
	daughter, not living there		1	.1%
	girlfriend		1	.1%
	interviewee was with 19-year-old daughter of owners		1	.1%
	joint with Mother		1	.1%
	landlord		1	.1%
	wife		2	.2%

A.1Colonia

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	12		
	Label	A.1 Colonia Subdivision		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Quintas Fronterizas	64	5.0%
	2	Chula Vista 1-5	24	1.9%
	3	Deer Run 5	11	.9%
	4	Deer Run 4	9	.7%
	5	Loma Linda #1	51	4.0%

6	Chula Vista Schoolblock	11	.9%
7	Deer Run 2	12	.9%
8	Los Olmos	6	.5%
9	North Santa Cruz	26	2.0%
10	Olivarez	7	.5%
11	Share 52	38	3.0%
12	Garza Salinas	20	1.6%
13	East Alto Bonito	20	1.6%
14	Guerra	3	.2%
15	Doyno West Side	6	.5%
16	Trevinos 1	1	.1%
17	Trevinos	4	.3%
18	Deerfield Park 1	30	2.3%
19	Deerfield Park 2	11	.9%
20	Deerfield Park 3	14	1.1%
21	Drake	10	.8%
22	Las Casitas 1	12	.9%
23	Las Casitas 3	11	.9%
24	Mayfair 1	7	.5%
25	Mayfair 2	5	.4%
26	Mayfair 3	2	.2%
27	Mayfair 4	3	.2%
28	Mayfair 5	18	1.4%
29	Tornillo	70	5.4%
30	El Cenizo	93	7.2%
31	La Presa	14	1.1%
32	Larga Vista	18	1.4%
33	Los Altos	17	1.3%
34	Pueblo Nuevo	20	1.6%
35	San Carlos 1	18	1.4%
36	San Carlos 2	14	1.1%
37	Capisallo Heights	11	.9%
38	Cotter Tract	5	.4%
39	Heidelberg	13	1.0%
40	Hidalgo Park Estates	102	7.9%
41	Indian Hill East	42	3.3%
42	Pueblo de Palmas	9	.7%

43	Seminol Valley	4	.3%
44	Seminol Valley 2	6	.5%
45	Taurus Estate	28	2.2%
46	Welch tract	6	.5%
47	Cluster New Colonia 5	0	0.0%
48	Cluster Owassa	18	1.4%
49	Anderson Subdivision	0	0.0%
50	Santa Maria North	18	1.4%
51	Olmito	55	4.3%
52	Santa Maria	28	2.2%
53	Bluetown	10	.8%
54	Munoz	18	1.4%
55	Combes	22	1.7%
56	Iglesia Antigua	12	.9%
57	Aloe Vera	6	.5%
58	El Rosal	7	.5%
59	Iglesia Vieja	6	.5%
60	Shelley	5	.4%
61	Amigo Park	2	.2%
62	Birmensdorf	10	.8%
63	Brookhollow	25	1.9%
64	Country Acres	20	1.6%
65	Green Pastures	68	5.3%
66	Park at Creekside	22	1.7%
67	Railyard	9	.7%

A.1ColoniaRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		13	
	Label	A.1 Colonia Subdivision Recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Quintas Fronterizas	64	5.0%
	2	Chula Vista	35	2.7%
	3	Deer Run	32	2.5%

4	Deer Run	0	0.0%
5	Loma Linda	51	4.0%
6	Chula Vista	0	0.0%
7	Deer Run	0	0.0%
8	Los Olmos	6	.5%
9	Santa Cruz	26	2.0%
10	Olivarez	7	.5%
11	Share 52	38	3.0%
12	Garza Salinas	20	1.6%
13	Alto Bonito	20	1.6%
14	Guerra	3	.2%
15	Doyno	6	.5%
16	Trevinos	5	.4%
17	Trevinos	0	0.0%
18	Deerfield	55	4.3%
19	Deerfield	0	0.0%
20	Deerfield	0	0.0%
21	Drake	10	.8%
22	Las Casitas	23	1.8%
23	Las Casitas	0	0.0%
24	Mayfair	35	2.7%
25	Mayfair	0	0.0%
26	Mayfair	0	0.0%
27	Mayfair	0	0.0%
28	Mayfair	0	0.0%
29	Tornillo	70	5.4%
30	El Cenizo	93	7.2%
31	La Presa	14	1.1%
32	Larga Vista	18	1.4%
33	Los Altos	17	1.3%
34	Pueblo Nuevo	20	1.6%
35	San Carlos	32	2.5%
36	San Carlos	0	0.0%
37	Capisallo Heights	11	.9%
38	Cotter	5	.4%
39	Heidelberg	13	1.0%
40	Hidalgo Park Estates	102	7.9%
41	Indian Hills	42	3.3%
42	Pueblo de Palmas	9	.7%
43	Seminol Valley	10	.8%
44	Seminole Valley	0	0.0%
45	Taurus Estates	28	2.2%

46	Welch	6	.5%
47	Cluster New Colonia 5	0	0.0%
48	Owassa	18	1.4%
49	Anderson	0	0.0%
50	Santa Maria North	18	1.4%
51	Olmito	55	4.3%
52	Santa Maria	28	2.2%
53	Bluetown	10	.8%
54	Munoz	18	1.4%
55	Combes	22	1.7%
56	Iglesia Antigua	12	.9%
57	Aloe Vera	6	.5%
58	El Rosal	7	.5%
59	Iglesia Vieja	6	.5%
60	Shelley	5	.4%
61	Amigo Park	2	.2%
62	Birmensdorf	10	.8%
63	Brookhollow	25	1.9%
64	Country Acres	20	1.6%
65	Green Pastures	68	5.3%
66	Park at Creekside	22	1.7%
67	Railyard	9	.7%

A.1.A.5ColoniaQ1YearMoved

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	14		
	Label	A.1 & A.5 Colonia 1st quartile year moved (all cases)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	pre-1989	655	50.9%
	2	1989-1996	402	31.2%
	3	1997-2002	153	11.9%
	4	2003-2007	77	6.0%

A.1.A.5ColoniaQ1YearMovedRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	15		

	Label	A.1 & A.5 Colonia 1st quartile year moved recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	655	50.9%
	2	1989-1996	402	31.2%
	3	post-1996	230	17.9%

ColoniaSubdivisionType

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	16		
	Label	Colonia selection criteria		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Extrapolative	998	77.5%
	2	New Subdivisions	116	9.0%
	3	Mail-back only	19	1.5%
	4	Central counties	154	12.0%

ColoniaSubdivisionTypeRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	17		
	Label	Colonia selection criteria recode (combine mail w/ new)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Extrapolative	998	77.5%
	2	New Subdivisions	135	10.5%
	4	Central counties	154	12.0%

ExtrapolativeVsNonextrapolative

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	18		
	Label	Extrapolative vs. nonextrapolative colonias		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Extrapolative	998	77.5%
	2	NonExtrapolative	289	22.5%

ExtrapolativeCounties

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	19		
	Label	Cases from each county that are extrapolative		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Maverick	182	14.1%
	2	Starr	131	10.2%
	3	El Paso	183	14.2%
	4	Webb	194	15.1%
	5	Hidalgo	181	14.1%
	6	Cameron	127	9.9%
	7	Guadalupe	0	0.0%
	8	Hays	0	0.0%
Missing Values	System		289	22.5%

A.2Interviewee

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	20		
	Label	A.2 Interviewee		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

InterInitial

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	21		
	Label	First and last initials of the survey respondent (XX)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

CaseID

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	22		
	Label	Case ID: "County code-Colonia code-Lot number-Owner initials". No spaces, separated by a hyphen. For example: 1-34-14-ND		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

A.3Day

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	23		
	Label	A.3 Day (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F11		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		29	2.3%
	7		54	4.2%
	8		198	15.4%
	10		180	14.0%
	11		252	19.6%
	12		163	12.7%

	13		46	3.6%
	14		14	1.1%
	15		1	.1%
	16		4	.3%
	17		4	.3%
	18		4	.3%
	19		3	.2%
	20		4	.3%
	21		1	.1%
	24		46	3.6%
	25		25	1.9%
	27		7	.5%
	29		2	.2%
	31		51	4.0%
Missing Values	-9		11	.9%
	9		188	14.6%

A.3Month

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	24		
	Label	A.3 Month (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		942	73.2%
	2		182	14.1%
	3		122	9.5%
	4		32	2.5%
Missing Values	-9		5	.4%
	9		4	.3%

A.3Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	25		
	Label	A.3 Year (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	10		1	.1%
	2012		1280	99.5%
	2021		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		5	.4%

PropertyID

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	26		
	Label	Property ID listed on the Appraisal Card		
	Type	String		
	Format	A20		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

LegalDescription

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	27		
	Label	Legal Description listed on the Appraisal Card		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

ImproveHomeValue

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	28
	Label	Improvement Homesite Value \$ listed on the Appraisal Card
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

ImpNonHomeValue

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	29
	Label	Improvement Non-Homesite Value \$ listed on the Appraisal Card
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
	Percentile 75	
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

LandHomeValue

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	30
	Label	Land Homesite Value \$ listed on the Appraisal Card
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
	Percentile 75	
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

LandNonHomeValue

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	31

N Central Tendency and Dispersion	Label	Land Non-Homesite Value \$ listed on the Appraisal Card
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

MarketValue

		Value
N Central Tendency and Dispersion	Standard Attributes Position	32
	Label	Total Market Value \$ listed on the Appraisal Card
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

MarketValueCleaned

		Value
	Standard Attributes Position	33
	Label	Total Market Value \$ Cleaned
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input

Central Tendency and Dispersion	N	Valid	1161
		Missing	126
		Mean	37822.50
		Standard Deviation	26132.663
		Percentile 25	20240.00
		Percentile 50	31340.00
		Percentile 75	47481.00

HSExemption

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	34		
	Label	Is there a Homestead Exemption (HS) listed on the property record?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F11		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	530	41.2%
	2	No	566	44.0%
	3950		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		190	14.8%

MobHomValue

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	35
	Label	If there is an additional property record for a mobile home, what is the value of the mobile home on that record (total value of that record)
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F10
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	0
	Missing	1287
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	

Percentile 25	
Percentile 50	
Percentile 75	

MoHSExemption

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	36		
	Label	Is there a Homestead Exemption (HS) listed on the additional mobile home property record?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	52	4.0%
	2	No	110	8.5%
Missing Values	-9		1125	87.4%

DualHomesteadExemp

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	37		
	Label	HS exemption status for those cases with both land and mobile home records		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	6	HS exemption on both land and mobile home	7	.5%
	7	HS exemption on mobile home, no exemption on land	40	3.1%

	11	HS exemption on land, no exemption on mobile home	12	.9%
	12	No HS exemption on either land or mobile home.	95	7.4%
	3960		1	.1%
Missing Values	System		1132	88.0%

AreaAcres

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	38
	Label	Area of lot in acres
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8.4
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
N	Missing	1287
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

AreaSqFt

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	39
	Label	Area of lot in sq. ft.
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	0
N	Missing	1287
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	
	Standard Deviation	
	Percentile 25	
	Percentile 50	
	Percentile 75	

AreaSqFtConvert

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	40
	Label	Area Sq. Ft. (converted acres)
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1107
	Missing	180
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	16895.36
	Standard Deviation	18800.513
	Percentile 25	6454.00
	Percentile 50	11820.00
	Percentile 75	21782.00

AreaSqFtConvertRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	41		
	Label	Area Sq Ft converted recode categories		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	2500 or less	9	.7%
	2	2501 - 5000	68	5.3%
	3	5001 - 7500	266	20.7%
	4	7501 - 10000	125	9.7%
	5	10001 - 15000	199	15.5%
	6	15001 - 20000	95	7.4%
	7	20001 - 30000	231	17.9%
	8	30001 - 40000	37	2.9%
	9	40001 - 50000	44	3.4%
	10	50001 or more	33	2.6%
Missing Values	System		180	14.0%

DollarsPerSqFt

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	42
	Label	Dollar value per sq. ft.
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8.3
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	1088
N	Missing	199
	Mean	3.52322
	Standard Deviation	3.440696
	Percentile 25	1.30901
	Percentile 50	2.84134
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Percentile 75	4.84789

OwnerName

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	43		
	Label	Name of owner listed on the Appraisal Card		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
	Valid Values		1287	100.0%

OwnerSame

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	44		
	Label	Is the owner(s) listed in the CAD data the same as on the survey		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
	Valid Values			
	1	Yes	986	76.6%
	2	No	95	7.4%
	3		31	2.4%
Missing Values	-9		175	13.6%

CADtrans

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	45		
	Label	Were any transactions listed on the appraisal card?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes, most recent 3 transactions listed	402	31.2%
	2	Yes, but not all three most recent transactions	548	42.6%
	3	No transactions listed	217	16.9%
Missing Values	-9		120	9.3%

PUCFDnomatch

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	46		
	Label	Present UCFDs using a no-match condition (one-name matches and insufficient evidence excluded from analysis)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	Two-name Match	890	69.2%
	1	No Match	72	5.6%
	2	Insufficient Data	142	11.0%
	3	One-name Match	17	1.3%

	4	Other	1	.1%
Missing Values	System		165	12.8%

Trans1Day

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	47		
	Label	Day of most recent transaction (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		74	5.7%
	2		35	2.7%
	3		49	3.8%
	4		40	3.1%
	5		31	2.4%
	6		38	3.0%
	7		34	2.6%
	8		38	3.0%
	10		37	2.9%
	11		25	1.9%
	12		42	3.3%
	13		37	2.9%
	14		27	2.1%
	15		39	3.0%
	16		29	2.3%
	17		40	3.1%
	18		24	1.9%
	19		31	2.4%
	20		45	3.5%
	21		43	3.3%
	22		27	2.1%
	23		31	2.4%
	24		28	2.2%
	25		37	2.9%
	26		23	1.8%
	27		41	3.2%
	28		26	2.0%
	29		36	2.8%
	30		29	2.3%
	31		19	1.5%
Missing Values	-9		197	15.3%
	9		35	2.7%

Trans1Month

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	48		
	Label	Month of most recent transaction (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1		121	9.4%
	2		89	6.9%
	3		132	10.3%
	4		101	7.8%
	5		73	5.7%
	6		108	8.4%
	7		77	6.0%
	8		80	6.2%
	10		82	6.4%
	11		77	6.0%
	12		82	6.4%
	28		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		198	15.4%
	9		66	5.1%

Trans1Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	49		
	Label	Year of most recent transaction (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	197		1	.1%
	1899		1	.1%
	1945		1	.1%
	1966		1	.1%
	1968		1	.1%
	1969		1	.1%
	1972		1	.1%
	1973		4	.3%
	1974		2	.2%
	1975		1	.1%

	1977	7	.5%
	1978	1	.1%
	1979	4	.3%
	1980	9	.7%
	1981	4	.3%
	1982	6	.5%
	1983	6	.5%
	1984	6	.5%
	1985	10	.8%
	1986	15	1.2%
	1987	13	1.0%
	1988	13	1.0%
	1989	18	1.4%
	1990	9	.7%
	1991	13	1.0%
	1992	16	1.2%
	1993	14	1.1%
	1994	20	1.6%
	1995	67	5.2%
	1996	22	1.7%
	1997	28	2.2%
	1998	36	2.8%
	1999	29	2.3%
	2000	89	6.9%
	2001	55	4.3%
	2002	35	2.7%
	2003	52	4.0%
	2004	57	4.4%
	2005	62	4.8%
	2006	63	4.9%
	2007	75	5.8%
	2008	55	4.3%
	2009	50	3.9%
	2010	65	5.1%
	2011	46	3.6%
	2012	4	.3%
	19992	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9	198	15.4%

Trans1Type

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	50		
	Label	Transaction type		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		

Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-9.		29	2.3%
	Affidavit of Heirship		16	1.2%
	Assumption Warranty Deed		10	.8%
	Assumption Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		3	.2%
	Bexar Deed		1	.1%
	Bill of Sale		1	.1%
	Cash Warranty Deed		3	.2%
	Contract for Deed		2	.2%
	Contract of Sale		25	1.9%
	Conversion		22	1.7%
	Correction Deed		2	.2%
	Correction Warranty Deed		6	.5%
	Death Certificate		2	.2%
	Deed		19	1.5%
	Deed of Gift		2	.2%
	Deed of Trust		2	.2%
	Default Judgment		1	.1%
	Divorce Decree		12	.9%
	Divorce Deed		2	.2%
	Foreclosure		3	.2%
	General Warranty Deed		31	2.4%
	General Warranty Deed w/Vendors Lien		6	.5%
	Gift Deed		30	2.3%
	Gift Warranty Deed		8	.6%
	Judgement		1	.1%
	Life Estate		4	.3%
	Mechanics and Material Lien		1	.1%
	Other		101	7.8%
	Owner		2	.2%
	Partition Deed		2	.2%
	Power of Attorney		1	.1%
	Probate Will		2	.2%
	Quitclaim Deed		22	1.7%
	Release of Lien		4	.3%
	Special Warranty Deed		41	3.2%
	Special Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		95	7.4%
	Statement of Ownership and Loc		3	.2%
	Substitute Trustee's Deed		2	.2%
	Surface Warranty Deed		13	1.0%

	Trustee's Deed	3	.2%
	Unknown	55	4.3%
	Warranty Deed	412	32.0%
	Warranty Deed Correction	1	.1%
	Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien	132	10.3%
Missing Values	-9	152	11.8%

Type1Clean

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	51		
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	848	65.9%
	2	CfD or CoS	27	2.1%
	3	Ambiguous	184	14.3%
	4	Power of Attorney	1	.1%
	5	Tax Redemption Receipt / Notice	0	0.0%
	6	Divorce Decree	12	.9%
	7	Affidavit of Heirship	16	1.2%
	8	Death Certificate	2	.2%
	9	Release of Lien	4	.3%
	10	Court Judgement	0	0.0%
	11	Foreclosure	3	.2%
	12	Owner	2	.2%
	13	Life Estate	4	.3%
	14	Mechanics and Material Lien	1	.1%
	15	Easement	0	0.0%
	16	Default Judgment	1	.1%
	17	Marriage Certificate	0	0.0%

18	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed	0	0.0%
19	Bill of Sale	1	.1%
20	Judgement	1	.1%
21	Erroneously Changed	0	0.0%
22	Statement of Ownership and Loc	3	.2%
23	Fee Appraisal	0	0.0%
24	Probate Will	2	.2%
25	Order Admitting Will to Probate	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9	175	13.6%

TwoNameMatch

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	52
	Label	TwoNameMatch Relevance of transaction records for two-name matches (numbers are relevant transactions--eg. 13 equals 1st and 3rd transactions)
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Nominal
	Role	Input

OneNameMatch

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	53

Label	OneNameMatch Relevance of transaction records for one and two-name matches (numbers are relevant transactions--eg. 13 equals 1st and 3rd transactions)
Type	Numeric
Format	F8
Measurement	Nominal
Role	Input

InsuffDataMatch

	Value
Standard Attributes Position	54
Label	InsuffDataMatch Relevance of transaction records for one and two-name matches and cases with insuffiicient data (numbers are relevant transactions--eg. 13 equals 1st and 3rd transactions)
Type	Numeric
Format	F8
Measurement	Nominal
Role	Input

CondensedType1

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes Position		55	

	Label	Condensed categories for transaction type		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	848	65.9%
	2	CfD or CoS	27	2.1%
	3	Ambiguous	182	14.1%
	4	Other	53	4.1%
Missing Values	System		177	13.8%

Trans1SellerBuyer

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	56		
	Label	Seller and buyer		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

SameOwnTrans1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	57		
	Label	Is the buyer listed on transaction 1 the same as on the survey?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Two-name match	831	64.6%
	2	No match	141	11.0%
	3	One-name match	18	1.4%
	4	Insufficient data	132	10.3%
Missing Values	-9		165	12.8%

Trans1DeedNumber

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	58		
	Label	Deed number		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans1Recorded

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	59		
	Label	Was the transaction recorded at the county clerk		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes, recorded	1012	78.6%
	2	Unclear: no deed number and/or no volume or page number	0	0.0%
	3	Don't know	0	0.0%
	4	No (only if county clerk records were checked and no title document was found)	108	8.4%
Missing Values	-9		167	13.0%

Trans1Notes

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	60		
	Label	Notes regarding the recording of the transaction in the county clerk		

	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans2Day

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	61		
	Label	Day of 2nd most recent transaction (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		95	7.4%
	2		46	3.6%
	3		39	3.0%
	4		23	1.8%
	5		23	1.8%
	6		32	2.5%
	7		24	1.9%
	8		24	1.9%
	10		16	1.2%
	11		21	1.6%
	12		24	1.9%
	13		21	1.6%
	14		25	1.9%
	15		27	2.1%
	16		16	1.2%
	17		6	.5%
	18		10	.8%
	19		17	1.3%
	20		21	1.6%
	21		27	2.1%
	22		17	1.3%
	23		15	1.2%
	24		27	2.1%
	25		23	1.8%
	26		19	1.5%
	27		25	1.9%
	28		24	1.9%
	29		35	2.7%
	30		24	1.9%
	31		27	2.1%

Missing Values	-9	488	37.9%
	9	26	2.0%

Trans2Month

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	62		
	Label	Month of 2nd most recent transaction (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		86	6.7%
	2		59	4.6%
	3		93	7.2%
	4		57	4.4%
	5		64	5.0%
	6		56	4.4%
	7		68	5.3%
	8		68	5.3%
	10		89	6.9%
	11		57	4.4%
	12		58	4.5%
	23		2	.2%
	26		1	.1%
	28		2	.2%
	31		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		488	37.9%
	9		38	3.0%

Trans2Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	63		
	Label	Year of 2nd most recent transaction (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1899		3	.2%
	1900		6	.5%
	1925		1	.1%
	1944		1	.1%

1949	2	.2%
1952	1	.1%
1955	1	.1%
1956	1	.1%
1957	1	.1%
1961	1	.1%
1962	7	.5%
1964	3	.2%
1965	1	.1%
1968	2	.2%
1969	3	.2%
1970	1	.1%
1971	1	.1%
1973	1	.1%
1974	1	.1%
1975	1	.1%
1977	8	.6%
1978	3	.2%
1979	2	.2%
1980	5	.4%
1981	4	.3%
1982	3	.2%
1983	4	.3%
1984	10	.8%
1985	5	.4%
1986	20	1.6%
1987	8	.6%
1988	20	1.6%
1989	15	1.2%
1990	15	1.2%
1991	41	3.2%
1992	34	2.6%
1993	26	2.0%
1994	30	2.3%
1995	51	4.0%
1996	15	1.2%
1997	26	2.0%
1998	30	2.3%
1999	35	2.7%
2000	60	4.7%
2001	26	2.0%
2002	28	2.2%
2003	30	2.3%
2004	28	2.2%
2005	33	2.6%
2006	39	3.0%

	2007	32	2.5%
	2008	23	1.8%
	2009	23	1.8%
	2010	15	1.2%
	2011	15	1.2%
Missing Values	-9	486	37.8%

Trans2Type

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	64		
	Label	Transaction type		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-9.		93	7.2%
	Affidavit of Heirship		11	.9%
	Assumption Warranty Deed		3	.2%
	Constable's Deed		1	.1%
	Contract for Deed		1	.1%
	Contract of Sale		60	4.7%
	Conversion		51	4.0%
	Correction Warranty Deed		13	1.0%
	Court Judgement		2	.2%
	Death Certificate		1	.1%
	Deed		16	1.2%
	Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure		1	.1%
	Deed of Gift		2	.2%
	Deed of Trust		3	.2%
	Divorce Decree		1	.1%
	Divorce Deed		1	.1%
	Erroneously Changed		1	.1%
	Executor Deed		1	.1%
	Fee Appraisal		1	.1%
	Foreclosure		17	1.3%
	General Warranty Deed		13	1.0%
	General Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		3	.2%
	Gift Deed		9	.7%
	Gift Warranty Deed		2	.2%
	Life Estate		3	.2%
	Marriage Certificate		1	.1%
	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed		1	.1%

	Order Admitting Will to Probate		1	.1%
	Other		93	7.2%
	Owner		1	.1%
	Partition Deed		1	.1%
	Quitclaim Deed		12	.9%
	Release of lien		1	.1%
	Sheriff Tax Deed		1	.1%
	Sherriffs Deed		2	.2%
	Special Warranty Deed		17	1.3%
	Special Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		29	2.3%
	Substitute Trustee's Deed		16	1.2%
	Surface Warranty Deed		10	.8%
	Trustee's Deed		42	3.3%
	Trustee's Foreclosure Deed		1	.1%
	Unknown		73	5.7%
	Warranty Deed		258	20.0%
	Warranty Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure		3	.2%
	Warranty Deed w/ Life Estate		2	.2%
	Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		63	4.9%
Missing Values	-9		349	27.1%

Type2Clean

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		65	
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	525	40.8%
	2	CfD or CoS	61	4.7%
	3	Ambiguous	217	16.9%
	4	Power of Attorney	0	0.0%
	5	Tax Redemption Receipt / Notice	0	0.0%
	6	Divorce Decree	1	.1%

7	Affidavit of Heirship	11	.9%
8	Death Certificate	1	.1%
9	Release of Lien	1	.1%
10	Court Judgement	2	.2%
11	Foreclosure	17	1.3%
12	Owner	1	.1%
13	Life Estate	3	.2%
14	Mechanics and Material Lien	0	0.0%
15	Easement	0	0.0%
16	Default Judgment	0	0.0%
17	Marriage Certificate	1	.1%
18	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed	1	.1%
19	Bill of Sale	0	0.0%
20	Judgement	0	0.0%
21	Erroneously Changed	1	.1%
22	Statement of Ownership and Loc	0	0.0%
23	Fee Appraisal	1	.1%
24	Probate Will	0	0.0%
25	Order Admitting Will to Probate	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9	442	34.3%

CondensedType2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	66		
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	525	40.8%
	2	CfD or CoS	61	4.7%
	3	Ambiguous	217	16.9%
	4	Other	42	3.3%

Missing Values	System		442	34.3%
----------------	--------	--	-----	-------

Trans2SellerBuyer

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	67		
	Label	Seller and buyer		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

SameOwnTrans2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	68		
	Label	Is the buyer listed on transaction 2 the same as on the survey?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Two-name match	176	13.7%
	2	No match	579	45.0%
	3	One-name match	5	.4%
	4	Insufficient data	99	7.7%
Missing Values	-9		428	33.3%

Trans2DeedNumber

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	69		
	Label	Deed number		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans2Recorded

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	70		

	Label	Was the transaction recorded at the county clerk		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes, recorded	606	47.1%
	2	Unclear: no deed number and/or no volume or page number	0	0.0%
	3	Don't know	0	0.0%
	4	No (only if county clerk records were checked and no title document was found)	255	19.8%
Missing Values	-9		426	33.1%

Trans2Notes

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	71		
	Label	Notes regarding the recording of the transaction in the county clerk		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans3Day

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	72		
	Label	Day of 3rd most recent transaction (dd)		

	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		52	4.0%
	2		22	1.7%
	3		22	1.7%
	4		20	1.6%
	5		23	1.8%
	6		17	1.3%
	7		13	1.0%
	8		15	1.2%
	10		12	.9%
	11		16	1.2%
	12		11	.9%
	13		2	.2%
	14		9	.7%
	15		7	.5%
	16		4	.3%
	17		14	1.1%
	18		9	.7%
	19		6	.5%
	20		14	1.1%
	21		14	1.1%
	22		15	1.2%
	23		13	1.0%
	24		5	.4%
	25		18	1.4%
	26		13	1.0%
	27		8	.6%
	28		15	1.2%
	29		24	1.9%
	30		11	.9%
	31		10	.8%
Missing Values	-9		842	65.4%
	9		11	.9%

Trans3Month

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	73		
	Label	Month of 3rd most recent transaction (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		

	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		54	4.2%
	2		40	3.1%
	3		47	3.7%
	4		42	3.3%
	5		39	3.0%
	6		19	1.5%
	7		36	2.8%
	8		42	3.3%
	10		39	3.0%
	11		30	2.3%
	12		30	2.3%
	14		1	.1%
	18		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		842	65.4%
	9		25	1.9%

Trans3Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	74		
	Label	Year of 3rd most recent transaction (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1899		2	.2%
	1900		5	.4%
	1928		1	.1%
	1929		1	.1%
	1937		1	.1%
	1949		1	.1%
	1950		1	.1%
	1951		1	.1%
	1952		1	.1%
	1955		6	.5%
	1959		1	.1%
	1961		1	.1%
	1962		4	.3%
	1964		1	.1%
	1965		2	.2%
	1966		1	.1%
	1969		1	.1%
	1970		1	.1%
	1971		1	.1%

1974	1	.1%
1975	1	.1%
1978	5	.4%
1979	1	.1%
1980	3	.2%
1981	2	.2%
1982	3	.2%
1983	6	.5%
1984	10	.8%
1985	12	.9%
1986	9	.7%
1987	8	.6%
1988	15	1.2%
1989	7	.5%
1990	16	1.2%
1991	17	1.3%
1992	24	1.9%
1993	11	.9%
1994	9	.7%
1995	22	1.7%
1996	14	1.1%
1997	20	1.6%
1998	10	.8%
1999	16	1.2%
2000	24	1.9%
2001	24	1.9%
2002	12	.9%
2003	16	1.2%
2004	20	1.6%
2005	14	1.1%
2006	22	1.7%
2007	13	1.0%
2008	3	.2%
2009	13	1.0%
2010	6	.5%
2011	4	.3%
Missing Values -9	841	65.3%

Trans3Type

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	75		
	Label	Transaction type		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		

Valid Values	-9.		132	10.3%
	Affidavit of Heirship		8	.6%
	Assumption Warranty Deed		3	.2%
	Contract for Deed		1	.1%
	Contract of Sale		25	1.9%
	Conversion		55	4.3%
	Correction Deed		1	.1%
	Correction Warranty Deed		4	.3%
	Court Judgement		3	.2%
	Deed		18	1.4%
	Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure		2	.2%
	Deed w/o Warranty		1	.1%
	Divorce Deed		2	.2%
	Easement		1	.1%
	Foreclosure		5	.4%
	General Warranty Deed		9	.7%
	General Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		1	.1%
	Gift Deed		3	.2%
	Marriage Certificate		1	.1%
	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed		1	.1%
	Other		47	3.7%
	Power of Attorney		1	.1%
	Quitclaim Deed		10	.8%
	Sherriffs Deed		2	.2%
	Special Warranty Deed		18	1.4%
	Special Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		40	3.1%
	Substitute Trustee's Deed		6	.5%
	Tax Redemption Receipt / Notice		1	.1%
	Trustee's Deed		12	.9%
	Unknown		54	4.2%
	Warranty Deed		128	9.9%
	Warranty Deed w/Vendor's Lien		28	2.2%
Missing Values	-9		664	51.6%

Type3Clean

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	76		
	Label	Type of transaction		

	Type Format Measurement Role	Numeric F8 Nominal Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	288	22.4%
	2	CfD or CoS	26	2.0%
	3	Ambiguous	156	12.1%
	4	Power of Attorney	1	.1%
	5	Tax Redemption Receipt / Notice	1	.1%
	6	Divorce Decree	0	0.0%
	7	Affidavit of Heirship	8	.6%
	8	Death Certificate	0	0.0%
	9	Release of Lien	0	0.0%
	10	Court Judgement	3	.2%
	11	Foreclosure	5	.4%
	12	Owner	0	0.0%
	13	Life Estate	0	0.0%
	14	Mechanics and Material Lien	0	0.0%
	15	Easement	1	.1%
	16	Default Judgment	0	0.0%
	17	Marriage Certificate	1	.1%
	18	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed	1	.1%
	19	Bill of Sale	0	0.0%
	20	Judgement	0	0.0%
	21	Erroneously Changed	0	0.0%
	22	Statement of Ownership and Loc	0	0.0%
	23	Fee Appraisal	0	0.0%
	24	Probate Will	0	0.0%
	25	Order Admitting Will to Probate	0	0.0%

Missing Values	-9		796	61.8%
----------------	----	--	-----	-------

CondensedType3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	77		
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	288	22.4%
	2	CfD or CoS	26	2.0%
	3	Ambiguous	156	12.1%
	4	Other	21	1.6%
Missing Values	System		796	61.8%

Trans3SellerBuyer

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	78		
	Label	Seller and buyer		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1287	100.0%

SameOwnTrans3

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	79		
	Label	Is the buyer listed on transaction 3 the same as on the survey?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Two-name match	36	2.8%
	2	No match	374	29.1%
	3	One-name match	3	.2%
	4	Insufficient data	91	7.1%
Missing Values	-9		783	60.8%

Trans3DeedNumber

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	80		
	Label	Deed Number		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans3Recorded

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	81		
	Label	Was the transaction recorded at the county clerk		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes, recorded	345	26.8%
	2	Unclear: no deed number and/or no volume or page number	0	0.0%
	3	Don't know	0	0.0%
	4	No (only if county clerk records were checked and no title document was found)	156	12.1%
Missing Values	-9		783	60.8%
	System		3	.2%

Trans3Notes

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	82		

	Label	Notes regarding the recording of the transaction in the county clerk		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans4Day

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	83		
	Label	Day of 4th most recent transaction (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		23	1.8%
	5		1	.1%
	6		1	.1%
	7		1	.1%
	10		1	.1%
	13		1	.1%
	14		1	.1%
	16		2	.2%
	17		1	.1%
	21		2	.2%
	24		1	.1%
	29		1	.1%
	30		1	.1%
Missing Values	System		1250	97.1%

Trans4Month

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	84		
	Label	Month of 4th most recent transaction (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		

	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		2	.2%
	2		3	.2%
	4		1	.1%
	5		2	.2%
	7		1	.1%
	8		3	.2%
	10		2	.2%
Missing Values	System		1273	98.9%

Trans4Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	85		
	Label	Year of 4th most recent transaction (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1974		1	.1%
	1975		1	.1%
	1977		1	.1%
	1979		1	.1%
	1983		1	.1%
	1984		1	.1%
	1986		2	.2%
	1993		1	.1%
	1994		1	.1%
	1996		1	.1%
	1999		1	.1%
	2000		1	.1%
	2001		1	.1%
Missing Values	System		1273	98.9%

Trans4Type

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	86		
	Label	Transaction type		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		1274	99.0%

Contract for Sale	1	.1%
Deed	10	.8%
Warranty Deed	2	.2%

Type4Clean

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	87		
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	12	.9%
	2	CfD or CoS	1	.1%
	3	Ambiguous	1	.1%
	4	Power of Attorney	0	0.0%
	5	Tax Redemption Receipt / Notice	0	0.0%
	6	Divorce Decree	0	0.0%
	7	Affidavit of Heirship	0	0.0%
	8	Death Certificate	0	0.0%
	9	Release of Lien	0	0.0%
	10	Court Judgement	0	0.0%
	11	Foreclosure	0	0.0%
	12	Owner	0	0.0%
	13	Life Estate	0	0.0%
	14	Mechanics and Material Lien	0	0.0%
	15	Easement	0	0.0%
	16	Default Judgment	0	0.0%
	17	Marriage Certificate	0	0.0%
	18	Notice of Cancellation of Contract for Deed	0	0.0%
	19	Bill of Sale	0	0.0%
	20	Judgement	0	0.0%
	21	Erroneously Changed	0	0.0%

	22	Statement of Ownership and Loc	0	0.0%
	23	Fee Appraisal	0	0.0%
	24	Probate Will	0	0.0%
	25	Order Admitting Will to Probate	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1273	98.9%

CondensedType4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	88		
	Label	Type of transaction		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	12	.9%
	2	CfD or CoS	1	.1%
	3	Ambiguous	1	.1%
	4	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	System		1273	98.9%

Trans4SellerBuyer

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	89		
	Label	Seller and buyer		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

SameOwnTrans4

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	90		
	Label	Is the buyer listed on transaction 4 the same as on the survey?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		

	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Two-name match	2	.2%
	2	No match	12	.9%
	3	One-name match	0	0.0%
	4	Insufficient data	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1273	98.9%

Trans4DeedNumber

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	91		
	Label	Deed Number		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

Trans4Recorded

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	92		
	Label	Was the transaction recorded at the county clerk		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		14	1.1%
Missing Values	System		1273	98.9%

Trans4Notes

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	93		
	Label	Notes regarding the recording of the transaction in the county clerk		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		

	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	845	65.7%
	2	No	42	3.3%
Missing Values	-9		399	31.0%
	System		1	.1%

A.5YearMoved

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position		98	
	Label	A.5 Year moved to the neighborhood (4 digits)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1930		1	.1%
	1936		1	.1%
	1940		1	.1%
	1947		1	.1%
	1948		1	.1%
	1950		2	.2%
	1951		1	.1%
	1957		2	.2%
	1958		2	.2%
	1959		1	.1%
	1960		2	.2%
	1962		1	.1%
	1963		1	.1%
	1964		1	.1%
	1965		5	.4%
	1966		1	.1%
	1967		4	.3%
	1968		3	.2%
	1969		3	.2%
	1970		10	.8%
	1971		6	.5%
	1972		13	1.0%
	1973		7	.5%
	1974		5	.4%
	1975		8	.6%
	1976		7	.5%
	1977		15	1.2%

	1978	9	.7%
	1979	4	.3%
	1980	14	1.1%
	1981	10	.8%
	1982	22	1.7%
	1983	10	.8%
	1984	14	1.1%
	1985	27	2.1%
	1986	21	1.6%
	1987	26	2.0%
	1988	12	.9%
	1989	24	1.9%
	1990	37	2.9%
	1991	23	1.8%
	1992	47	3.7%
	1993	25	1.9%
	1994	29	2.3%
	1995	37	2.9%
	1996	33	2.6%
	1997	41	3.2%
	1998	31	2.4%
	1999	31	2.4%
	2000	55	4.3%
	2001	43	3.3%
	2002	30	2.3%
	2003	32	2.5%
	2004	45	3.5%
	2005	44	3.4%
	2006	43	3.3%
	2007	53	4.1%
	2008	50	3.9%
	2009	53	4.1%
	2010	54	4.2%
	2011	113	8.8%
	2012	15	1.2%
Missing Values	-9	21	1.6%
	98	3	.2%
	System	1	.1%

A.5YearMovedRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	99		
	Label	A.5 Year moved into neighborhood recode		
	Type	Numeric		

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	274	21.3%
	2	1989-1996	255	19.8%
	3	1997-2002	231	17.9%
	4	2003-2007	217	16.9%
	5	2008-2012	285	22.1%
Missing Values	System		25	1.9%

A.6Ethnicity

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	100		
	Label	A.6 Ethnicity		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Hispanic	1224	95.1%
	2	African-American	8	.6%
	3	Anglo	40	3.1%
	88	Other	5	.4%
Missing Values	-9		10	.8%

A.6EthnicityOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	101		
	Label	A.6 Other Ethnicitis (specify)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		148	11.5%
	-9.		1119	86.9%
	Asian		3	.2%
	Both Hispano and Anglo		1	.1%
	Hispanic and African American		1	.1%
	hispano and anglo		1	.1%
	mex/negra (afro mexican)		1	.1%
	MEXICAN		8	.6%
	MEXICAN-AMERICAN		1	.1%

Native American		1	.1%
ref to answer		1	.1%
syrian		1	.1%

A.7Gender

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	102		
	Label	A.7 Gender of the interviewee		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Male	478	37.1%
	2	Female	798	62.0%
	12	Both	3	.2%
Missing Values	-9		8	.6%

A.8Age

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	103		
	Label	A.8 Age of the interviewee		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	18-30	165	12.8%
	2	31-40	304	23.6%
	3	41-50	283	22.0%
	4	51-60	216	16.8%
	5	61 or above	296	23.0%
Missing Values	-9		23	1.8%

A.9PaidWorkers

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	104
	Label	A.9 Number of paid workers in the household.
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input

Central Tendency and Dispersion	N	Valid	1206
		Missing	81
		Mean	1.17
		Standard Deviation	.927
		Percentile 25	1.00
		Percentile 50	1.00
		Percentile 75	2.00

A.10IncomeWeekly

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	105		
	Label	A.10 Household income per week		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	\$149 or less	29	2.3%
	2	\$150-249	51	4.0%
	3	\$250-399	82	6.4%
	4	\$400-599	87	6.8%
	5	\$600-799	34	2.6%
	6	\$800 or more	37	2.9%
Missing Values	-9		956	74.3%
	98		11	.9%

A.10WeeklyOther

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	106
	Label	A.10 If more than \$800 per week, specify amount
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	29
	Missing	1258
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1348.69
	Standard Deviation	670.234
	Percentile 25	962.00
	Percentile 50	1200.00
	Percentile 75	1500.00

A.10IncomeMonthly

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	107		
	Label	A.10 Household income per month		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	\$599 or less	79	6.1%
	2	\$600-999	228	17.7%
	3	\$1000-1599	200	15.5%
	4	\$1600-2399	139	10.8%
	5	\$2400-3199	86	6.7%
	6	\$3200 or more	101	7.8%
Missing Values	-9		445	34.6%
	98		9	.7%

A.10MonthlyOther

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	108
	Label	A.10 If more than \$3200 per week, specify amount
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
N	Role	Input
	Valid	69
	Missing	1218
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	4819.55
	Standard Deviation	2128.728
	Percentile 25	3500.00
	Percentile 50	4166.00
	Percentile 75	5000.00

A.10IncomeCombined

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	109		

	Label	A.10 Income combined monthly		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	\$599 or less	107	8.3%
	2	\$600-999	278	21.6%
	3	\$1000-1599	282	21.9%
	4	\$1600-2399	225	17.5%
	5	\$2400-3199	120	9.3%
	6	\$3200 or more	138	10.7%
Missing Values	System		137	10.6%

A.11OwnerNames

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	110		
	Label	A.11 Name of ALL owners or people making payments		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

A.12InterOwner

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	111		
	Label	A.12 Is the interviewee the owner?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	931	72.3%
	2	No	333	25.9%
Missing Values	-9		22	1.7%
	98		1	.1%

A.13SpouseOwner

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	112		
	Label	A.13 Is the interviewee's spouse the owner?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	621	48.3%
	2	No	503	39.1%
Missing Values	-9		163	12.7%

A.14AddOwner

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	113		
	Label	A.14 if A.12.13=1: Is anyone else an owner of the lot?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	111	8.6%
	2	No	784	60.9%
Missing Values	-9		392	30.5%

A.14AddOwnName

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	114		
	Label	A.14 if A.12.13=1: What are the names of the other owners?		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

A.14AddOwnRelation

		Value	Count	Percent
--	--	-------	-------	---------

Standard Attributes	Position	115		
	Label	A.14 if A.12.13=1: What is other owner's relationship to the interviewee		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		141	11.0%
	-9.		1055	82.0%
	brother		4	.3%
	BROTHER		1	.1%
	brother in law		1	.1%
	brother-in-law		1	.1%
	Brother-in-Law		1	.1%
	children of interviewee		1	.1%
	common law marriage		1	.1%
	COUSIN		1	.1%
	Cunado		1	.1%
	daughter		3	.2%
	Daughter ("on the papers" now bc 18 years ago I pu		1	.1%
	ex boyfriend		1	.1%
	Ex-Boyfriend		1	.1%
	ex-husband		1	.1%
	Ex-wife		1	.1%
	Ex-Wife; In process of removing from title		1	.1%
	EXWIFE		1	.1%
	father		2	.2%
	father-in-law		1	.1%
	friend of husband		1	.1%
	Hijos		1	.1%
	husband		1	.1%
	HUSBAND		14	1.1%
	husband's nephew		1	.1%
	interviewee is girlfriend of the owner (speaking o		1	.1%
	Isabel = mom of Gloria; Lupe = sister of Gloria		1	.1%
	mother		4	.3%

Mother	2	.2%
mother-in-law	1	.1%
my daughter	1	.1%
my son	1	.1%
Niece	1	.1%
NO RELATION	1	.1%
none	1	.1%
none, they rent	1	.1%
owner is interviewees mother	1	.1%
owner(husband)'s sister	1	.1%
parents	1	.1%
Parents	1	.1%
renters	1	.1%
Roommate	1	.1%
sisietr	1	.1%
sister	1	.1%
Sister	3	.2%
SISTER	1	.1%
Sister-in-law	1	.1%
son	7	.5%
Son	2	.2%
sons	1	.1%
Step-son	1	.1%
they are is parents and siblings	1	.1%
they are making payments to buy the property from	1	.1%
WIFE	5	.4%
wife's brother's land, she is living here & making	1	.1%

A.15TenureNoOwn

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	116		
	Label	A.15 if A.12.13~=1: Tenure of the interviewee (if not an owner)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		

Valid Values	1	Renter (payments not related to the purchase of the lot)	190	14.8%
	2	Lives with family member that is the owner	20	1.6%
	3	Borrows house from family or friend	29	2.3%
	88	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1048	81.4%

A.15.88TenureOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	117		
	Label	A.15.88 if A.12.13==1: If interviewee is not an owner but specified "other," please explain		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		131	10.2%
	-9.		1120	87.0%
	also claimed ownership but does not match interviewee; coding as non-owner		3	.2%
	also claimed ownership; matches interviewee so coding as owner occupied		1	.1%
	Cares for property and rent free		1	.1%
	changed from 3		21	1.6%
	changed from 3; also claimed ownership but does not match interviewee; coding as non-owner		1	.1%

Current payments are not for lot but if owner approves after 1 year without missing payments then st	1	.1%
in litigo - she purchasing but Pacheco now reneging	1	.1%
Mother lives here alone and is head of household. Gifted deed to son.	1	.1%
owner died, nobody is living there but they are making arrangements for inheritance	1	.1%
says "owner" but the interviewer proceeded w/ renter interview, unclear	1	.1%
Sister of Gonzalo	1	.1%
survey does not say	1	.1%
The owners is his ciunada - lives in Laredo	1	.1%
thinks payments are not going to lot but wants to buy	1	.1%

A.15.3Rent

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	118		
	Label	A.15.3 if A.12.13~=1 & A.15=3: Does the interviewee pay rent		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	23	1.8%
	2	No	24	1.9%
Missing Values	-9		1240	96.3%

A.12.13Owners

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	119		

	Label	A.12.13 if A.12=1 A.13=1: Owners (interviewee or spouse)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	293	22.8%
	1	Yes	972	75.5%
Missing Values	-9		22	1.7%

A.12.13.15OwnerOccupied

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	120		
	Label	A.12.13.15 if A.12.13=1 or A.15=2: Is the lot occupied by the owner		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	293	22.8%
	1	Yes	992	77.1%
Missing Values	-9		2	.2%

A.15NonOwners

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	121		
	Label	A.15 if A.12.13~=1: NonOwners (renters and borrowers)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Renter	190	14.8%
	3	Borrower	29	2.3%
Missing Values	System		1068	83.0%

B.1YearPurchased

	Value	Count	Percent
--	-------	-------	---------

Standard Attributes	Position	122		
	Label	B.1 if A.12.13=1: Year (four digits) in which owner purchased or began making payment		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1940		2	.2%
	1948		1	.1%
	1950		1	.1%
	1951		1	.1%
	1952		1	.1%
	1957		1	.1%
	1959		1	.1%
	1960		1	.1%
	1965		4	.3%
	1967		5	.4%
	1968		3	.2%
	1969		3	.2%
	1970		6	.5%
	1971		3	.2%
	1972		13	1.0%
	1973		8	.6%
	1974		7	.5%
	1975		5	.4%
	1976		7	.5%
	1977		10	.8%
	1978		7	.5%
	1979		2	.2%
	1980		16	1.2%
	1981		8	.6%
	1982		16	1.2%
	1983		11	.9%
	1984		16	1.2%
	1985		29	2.3%
	1986		19	1.5%
	1987		18	1.4%
	1988		13	1.0%
	1989		21	1.6%
	1990		33	2.6%

	1991	21	1.6%
	1992	36	2.8%
	1993	21	1.6%
	1994	25	1.9%
	1995	22	1.7%
	1996	24	1.9%
	1997	42	3.3%
	1998	24	1.9%
	1999	25	1.9%
	2000	46	3.6%
	2001	35	2.7%
	2002	24	1.9%
	2003	28	2.2%
	2004	40	3.1%
	2005	38	3.0%
	2006	36	2.8%
	2007	33	2.6%
	2008	29	2.3%
	2009	29	2.3%
	2010	29	2.3%
	2011	35	2.7%
	2012	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9	343	26.7%
	98	9	.7%

B.1YearPurchasedRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	123		
	Label	B.1 if A.12.13=1: Year owner purchased recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	238	18.5%
	2	1989-1996	203	15.8%
	3	1997-2002	196	15.2%
	4	2003-2007	175	13.6%
	5	2008-2012	123	9.6%
Missing Values	System		352	27.4%

B.1YearPurchasedRecodeExclude2011

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	124		

	Label	B.1 if A.12.13=1: Year owner purchased recode (exclude 2011-2012)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	238	18.5%
	2	1989-1996	203	15.8%
	3	1997-2002	196	15.2%
	4	2003-2007	175	13.6%
	5	2008-2010	87	6.8%
Missing Values	System		388	30.1%

B.2LotAcquisition

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	125		
	Label	B.2 if A.12.13=1: How (from whom) the lot was acquired.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Bought from previous resident	320	24.9%
	2	Bought from developer	550	42.7%
	3	Inherited from a deceased family member	25	1.9%
	4	Inherited "en vivo"	31	2.4%
	5	Received as gift from family	2	.2%
	6	Bought from family, friend or neighbor	26	2.0%
	98	Don't know	9	.7%

	880	88 Other	6	.5%
	881	88 via financial institution/foreclosure	13	1.0%
	882	88 via nonprofit/govt program/city/county	7	.5%
	883	88 via realtor/agent	8	.6%
	884	88 via mobile home seller	3	.2%
Missing Values	-9		287	22.3%

B.2.88LotAcqOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	126		
	Label	B.2.88 if A.12.13=1 & if B.2=88: If "other" for means of acquiring the lot, please specify.		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		145	11.3%
	-9.		1036	80.5%
	,		1	.1%
	9.		1	.1%
	A Sra-9 Who owned the lot but was not residing on the lot		1	.1%
	Al banco; U.S. Bank		1	.1%
	Another family sold it to them after they inherited it		1	.1%
	another owner but person was not resident		1	.1%
	Assumed contract from uncle informally who could no longer make payments		1	.1%

bail bondsmen, began renting	1	.1%
Bank foreclosure	1	.1%
bank sold it to them	1	.1%
BFSG Investments, Ltd	1	.1%
bienes raices	1	.1%
bought 1 acre from Valdez & another Sr David (both tied to Ciso MacDolnadi)	1	.1%
bought from a member of the family	1	.1%
Bought from a prior owner (not developer) who didn't live there (Lucy Hernandez)	1	.1%
bought from an estate	1	.1%
Bought from brother who bought from previous resident. HG paid 0% interest to brother and the HG is on all the documents	1	.1%
bought from foreclosure	1	.1%
Bought from previous owner who did not reside on the lot-9 Could be developer-9	1	.1%
Bought from prior owner of land who didn't live there	1	.1%
Bought from relative	1	.1%
Bought from sister and brother in law	1	.1%
Bought it from guy who inherited it from his parents	1	.1%
Bought it from his brother who built it in 1970	1	.1%
Bought on foreclosure (City Financial)	1	.1%
bought trailer and land from agency who sells trailers	1	.1%
brother in law	1	.1%
brother sold Nazario the lot	1	.1%
Buying from his uncle	1	.1%

Buying through government program, is disabled, doesn't know name of program	1	.1%
can't remember	1	.1%
CavCo	1	.1%
Cecy (agent)	1	.1%
city of pharr	1	.1%
Cole Bank - exchanged land (title for title)	1	.1%
compania de bienes raices, no recuerdo nombre	1	.1%
county	1	.1%
couple is undocumented, Abel Luna is their family member, they pay him	1	.1%
Dice Real Estate Company (it was empty for two years and Dice no longer in business)	1	.1%
doesn't know	2	.2%
DOESN'T KNOW	1	.1%
Father-in-law purchased from a friend	1	.1%
Foreclosure through bank	1	.1%
Foreclosure, previously grandmother's house	1	.1%
friend	2	.2%
friend Anita Burro	1	.1%
friend of dad Annette Buras	1	.1%
From a Sra that owned the lot but did not occupy it	1	.1%
From an agent - Ojo - there are a couple other cases where the residents mentioned purchasing from an agent and getting financing from Sidney Morgan	1	.1%
from another person but house was unoccupied prior	1	.1%

from friend Celso Chavez	1	.1%
from hidalgo county	1	.1%
From neighbor who owned two adjacent lots and separated one to sell to Martin	1	.1%
her husband died, so the father in law (husband father) transferred title to family; father in law was veteran and needed to get of properties to get federal benefits (according to family)	1	.1%
I bought from my father, I paid 7k for house and lot.	1	.1%
IBS Bank	1	.1%
Interviewee's father-in-law (Efrain Ortiz)	1	.1%
Jesus Jr. Ramirez (was my son's land but he died - so he's finishing paying off)	1	.1%
Kass Cade (Finance Co)	1	.1%
LA GLORIA DEVELOPMENT	1	.1%
loan through bank	1	.1%
Man named Sr-9 Mosely (not sure if was resident)	1	.1%
Manuel P	1	.1%
Mildred	1	.1%
Mills scrow through real state agent	1	.1%
MJ Real Properties	1	.1%
Mother-in-law (Evelyn Delo Santos) contracted to buy from Nick Carr and he took over payments	1	.1%
neighbor	1	.1%
No recuerdo	1	.1%
person bought from developer then sold to the current owners	1	.1%

Previous owner called Bertha Franco-9 Bought bunch of foreclosed lots from bank at resold them for profit-9	1	.1%
previous resident quit paying, so company reaquired the lot and then sold it to interviewee	1	.1%
private owner-bought land and built house	1	.1%
Projecto Azteca	3	.2%
Purchased from ex-wife's uncle (don't think he was a previous resident)	1	.1%
Purchased from previous resident (Domingo Florez), but owner bought it under her dad's name (Manuel Mitchell)-9	1	.1%
Purchased from Realtor	1	.1%
purchased from uncle	1	.1%
realtor (Main Realty, or something like that?	1	.1%
Something with the word Main)		
rent to own arrangement from friend, friend has title	1	.1%
rented before purchasing it from jorge lizcano	1	.1%
Rented from owner and owner sold it to her - Louis Williams	1	.1%
Security Bank now Fargo Bank	1	.1%
She couldn't remember the name of who she bought it from- seemed like an informal arrangement not a purchase from a developer or a previous resident-9	1	.1%
someone in san antonio	1	.1%

Sr. Alberto Trevino	1	.1%
SSP Financiera	1	.1%
subdivision owner	1	.1%
Tax lien	1	.1%
Thomas Mobile Home	1	.1%
took over payment for father-in-law	1	.1%
Tornillo Land Co., Landco Mgmt. Service	2	.2%
Tornillo Land Co., Landco Mgmt. Service (Lettunich family)	1	.1%
traded houses from one in Mexico w/ father-in-law but kept making payments on previous contract	1	.1%
two ladies were selling it to make money	1	.1%
un vecino	1	.1%
vecino	1	.1%
Vecino se lo vendio (no vivia aqui el vecino)	1	.1%
Vecino: Ron Larsen	1	.1%
Villarre	1	.1%
went through real estate agent el cenizo realty and through government assistance etc got low income loan from sidney morgan-9	1	.1%
Make payments at walmart		

B.2LotAcquisitionRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	127		
	Label	B.2 if A.12.13=1: How (from whom) the lot was acquired.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Consumer Purchase	346	26.9%

	2	Developer Purchase	550	42.7%
	3	Inherited "en mort"	25	1.9%
	4	Inherited "en vivo"	33	2.6%
	8	Other	37	2.9%
	98	Don't know	9	.7%
Missing Values	-9		287	22.3%

B.2PurchaseRecode1

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	128		
	Label	B.2 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=1 2 6 88: Purchase Recode1 (Owner Purchasers)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Bought from previous resident	305	23.7%
	2	Bought from developer	523	40.6%
	6	Bought from family, friend or neighbor	21	1.6%
	88	Other	36	2.8%
Missing Values	System		402	31.2%

B.2PurchaseRecode2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	129		
	Label	B.2 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=1 2 6: Purchase Recode2 (Consumer vs. Developer)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		

Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Consumer purchase	326	25.3%
	2	Developer/Land Co. purchase	523	40.6%
Missing Values	System		438	34.0%

B.2InheritanceRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	130		
	Label	B.2 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=3 4 5 Inheritance Recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	3	Inherited "en mort"	24	1.9%
	4	Inherited "en vivo"	33	2.6%
Missing Values	System		1230	95.6%

B.2Purchase2InheritCombined

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	131		
	Label	B.2 PurchaseRecode2 & InheritanceRecode Combined		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Purchase	849	66.0%
	2	Inherit	55	4.3%
Missing Values	System		383	29.8%

A.15B.2OwnerVsRenter

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	132		
	Label	A.15B.2 Owners vs Renter		

	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Owner	972	75.5%
	2	Renter	190	14.8%
	3	Rent Free Renter	29	2.3%
	4	Lives w/ OwnerOcc	20	1.6%
Missing Values	System		76	5.9%

A.15B.2ConsumerDeveloperVsRenter

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	133		
	Label	A.15B.2 Consumer vs Developer vs Renter		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Consumer Purchase	326	25.3%
	2	Developer Purchase	523	40.6%
	3	Renter	190	14.8%
	4	Rent Free Renter	29	2.3%
Missing Values	System		219	17.0%

HomeTenure

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	134		
	Label	Consumer vs. Developer vs. Renter Home Tenure Periods (from purchase and rental years)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	193	15.0%
	2	1989-1996	180	14.0%

	3	1997-2002	183	14.2%
	4	2003-2007	189	14.7%
	5	2008-2012	247	19.2%
Missing Values	System		295	22.9%

HomeTenureYearsLived

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	135
	Label	Consumer vs. Developer vs. Renter Home Tenure Years (from purchase and rental years)
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	992
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	295
	Mean	13.99
	Standard Deviation	11.625
	Percentile 25	5.00
	Percentile 50	12.00
	Percentile 75	21.00

HomeTenureYearsLivedRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	136		
	Label	Consumer vs. Developer vs. Renter Home Tenure Years Recode (from purchase and rental years)		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	24+ years	193	15.0%
	2	16-23 years	180	14.0%
	3	10-15 years	183	14.2%
	4	5-9 years	189	14.7%

	5	1-4 years	247	19.2%
Missing Values	System		295	22.9%

B.2.1PreResName

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	137		
	Label	B.2.1 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=1 6: Name of the previous resident		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

B.2.2DevelopName

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	138
	Label	B.2.2 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=2: Name of developer
	Type	String
	Format	A50
	Measurement	Nominal
	Role	Input

B.2.3FamilyInherit

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	139		
	Label	B.2.3 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=3 4: Name of family member from whom the lot was inherited		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		135	10.5%

-9.	1111	86.3%
-9.0	18	1.4%
98.	1	.1%
Castaneda	1	.1%
Elizabeth Longoria	1	.1%
Espinosa, Mariano	1	.1%
Father	1	.1%
father Jose R. Anzaldua	1	.1%
From father (Romualda Valderrama - died 2000) to M	1	.1%
Gomez, Pablo	1	.1%
husband's parents	1	.1%
Johnson, Dave	1	.1%
Longoria, Olivia	1	.1%
maria hernandez	1	.1%
mother	1	.1%
Munoz	1	.1%
parents	1	.1%
Parents	1	.1%
Rafael & Felipa Flores	1	.1%
Ramirez, Rogelio	1	.1%
Sanchez, Concepcion	1	.1%
Sanchez, Oscar	1	.1%
Torres	1	.1%
Villarreal, Imelda & Joe	1	.1%

B.2.4bFamilyGift

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	140		
	Label	B.2.4 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=5: Name of family member that gifted the lot		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		135	10.5%
	-9.		1101	85.5%
	-9.0		18	1.4%
	Aleman, Maria De Jesus		1	.1%
	Apolinar		1	.1%

Arauza Rosa	1	.1%
aurelia garcia	1	.1%
CASTAÑEDO, ELSA M	1	.1%
Chavez	1	.1%
De La Cruz, Hugo	1	.1%
Esquivel, Lupe	1	.1%
FLORES, ENRIQUE	1	.1%
Francsico Hernandez	1	.1%
Galvan, Jose	1	.1%
GONGORA, ANDRES	1	.1%
Gonzalez, Martha & Juan	1	.1%
Guerrero, Matilda	1	.1%
Ibarra, Santos M.	1	.1%
Jaramillo, Narciso & Maria	1	.1%
Jimenez, Francisco and Guadalupe	1	.1%
Jose Ines segobilla	1	.1%
Lydia	1	.1%
Martinez, Maria	1	.1%
Mascorro	1	.1%
Miguel & Leonor Javier	1	.1%
Morales, Orelia	1	.1%
Mother	1	.1%
Perez, Idelfonso	1	.1%
PICASO, ROMEO & PICASO, ROSA	1	.1%
Rivera, Saul	1	.1%
Rodriguez, Miguel	1	.1%
rolando morales	1	.1%
sister in law	1	.1%
Tomasa	1	.1%
Zurita, Lida	1	.1%

B.2.4bGiftRelate

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	141		
	Label	B.2.4 if A.12.13=1 & B.2=5: Relation with family member that gifted the lot.		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		

	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		135	10.5%
	-9.		1101	85.5%
	-9.0		18	1.4%
	2.		1	.1%
	brother		1	.1%
	COUSIN		1	.1%
	DAD		1	.1%
	father		3	.2%
	Father		5	.4%
	father in law		1	.1%
	Father-in-law		1	.1%
	mother		1	.1%
	Mother		4	.3%
	mother-in-law		1	.1%
	my father		1	.1%
	my mother		1	.1%
	Parent		1	.1%
	parents		1	.1%
	Parents		2	.2%
	PARENTS		1	.1%
	sister		1	.1%
	SISTER		1	.1%
	Sister-in-law		1	.1%
	son		1	.1%
	suegra		1	.1%

C.1RelativeSeller

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	142		
	Label	C.1 Is the interviewee related to the seller		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	74	5.7%
	2	No	851	66.1%
Missing Values	-9		361	28.0%
	System		1	.1%

C.1.1RelationSeller

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	143		

	Label	C.1.1 What is the seller's relation to the interviewee		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Parent	23	1.8%
	2	Cousin	9	.7%
	3	Sibling	17	1.3%
	4	Uncle/aunt	13	1.0%
	5	Child	3	.2%
	88	Other	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1220	94.8%
	System		1	.1%

C.1.88RelSellerOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	144		
	Label	C.1.88 Other relation to the interviewee (write in)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		149	11.6%
	-9.		1118	86.9%
	Bought from her uncle under her dad's name-9		1	.1%
	BROTHER IN LAW		1	.1%
	brother-in-law		2	.2%
	Brother-in-law		1	.1%
	brother-in-law's sister		1	.1%
	daughter		1	.1%
	Ex-wife's uncle		1	.1%
	father in law		1	.1%
	Father-in-law		2	.2%
	friend		3	.2%
	friend from church		1	.1%
	friend, coworker		1	.1%

Husband's nephew	1	.1%
son	1	.1%
Suegra (Mother-in-law)	1	.1%

C.2PurchaseType

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	145		
	Label	C.2 What was purchased		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Land only	712	55.3%
	2	Land and house	235	18.3%
Missing Values	-9		338	26.3%
	98		2	.2%

C.2PurchaseTypeRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	146		
	Label	C.2 What was purchased recode (update missings and miscoded)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Land only	662	51.4%
	2	Land and house	289	22.5%
Missing Values	-9		334	26.0%
	98		2	.2%

C.2.1LandPurchase

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	147
	Label	C.2.1 What was the cost of the land
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale

N Central Tendency and Dispersion	Role	Input
	Valid	631
	Missing	656
	Mean	13043.95
	Standard Deviation	10888.912
	Percentile 25	5500.00
	Percentile 50	11000.00
	Percentile 75	17000.00

C.2.1LandPurchaseRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	148		
	Label	C.2.1 Land purchase price recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0-5000	152	11.8%
	2	5001-10000	157	12.2%
	3	10001-15000	115	8.9%
	4	15001-20000	110	8.5%
	5	20001-25000	36	2.8%
	6	25001-30000	21	1.6%
	7	30001-35000	14	1.1%
	8	35001-40000	7	.5%
	9	40001-45000	8	.6%
	10	45001-50000	5	.4%
	11	50001-55000	2	.2%
	12	55001-60000	1	.1%
	13	60001-65000	0	0.0%
	14	65001-70000	1	.1%
	15	70001-75000	0	0.0%
	16	75001-80000	2	.2%
Missing Values	System		656	51.0%

C.2.1LandPurchase2012Dollars

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	149
	Label	C.2.1 Land purchase price 2012 dollars using Housing CPI
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	582
	Missing	705
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	18684.47
	Standard Deviation	14216.804
	Percentile 25	9884.00
	Percentile 50	16382.00
	Percentile 75	23356.00

C.2.1LandPurchase2012DollarsRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	150		
	Label	C.2.1 Land purchase price 2012 dollars using Housing CPI Recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	0-5000	60	4.7%
	2	5001-10000	88	6.8%
	3	10001-15000	113	8.8%
	4	15001-20000	118	9.2%
	5	20001-25000	79	6.1%
	6	25001-30000	50	3.9%
	7	30001-35000	20	1.6%
	8	35001-40000	13	1.0%
	9	40001-45000	11	.9%

	10	45001-50000	9	.7%
	11	50001-55000	7	.5%
	12	55001-60000	4	.3%
	13	60001-65000	2	.2%
	14	65001-70000	1	.1%
	15	70001-75000	2	.2%
	16	75001-80000	1	.1%
	17	80001-85000	0	0.0%
	18	85001-90000	0	0.0%
	19	90001-95000	2	.2%
	20	95001-100000	0	0.0%
	21	100000 or more	2	.2%
Missing Values	System		705	54.8%

C.2.1LandInter

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	151
	Label	C.2.1 What was the cost of the land with interest
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	7
	Missing	1280
Central Tendency	Mean	44857.14
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	33108.048
	Percentile 25	16000.00
	Percentile 50	34000.00
	Percentile 75	90000.00

C.2.2LandHousePurchase

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	152

N Central Tendency and Dispersion	Label	C.2.2 What was the cost of the land and house
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	102
	Missing	1185
	Mean	37943.92
	Standard Deviation	31495.259
	Percentile 25	16000.00
	Percentile 50	31000.00
	Percentile 75	48000.00

C.2.2LandHousePurchaseRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	153		
	Label	C.2.2 Land and house purchase recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	0-5000	2	.2%
	2	5001-10000	12	.9%
	3	10001-15000	11	.9%
	4	15001-20000	13	1.0%
	5	20001-25000	4	.3%
	6	25001-30000	9	.7%
	7	30001-35000	7	.5%
	8	35001-40000	12	.9%
	9	40001-45000	4	.3%
	10	45001-50000	6	.5%
	11	50001-55000	3	.2%
	12	55001-60000	2	.2%

	13	60001-65000	3	.2%
	14	65001-70000	1	.1%
	15	70001-75000	1	.1%
	16	75001-80000	2	.2%
	17	80001 or more	10	.8%
Missing Values	System		1185	92.1%

C.2.2LandHousePurchase2012Dollars

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	154
	Label	C.2.2 Land and house purchase price 2012 dollars using Housing CPI
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	99
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	1188
	Mean	49964.82
	Standard Deviation	34885.800
	Percentile 25	25135.00
	Percentile 50	40730.00
	Percentile 75	64955.00

C.2.2LandHousePurchase2012DollarsRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	155		
	Label	C.2.2 Land and house purchase price 2012 dollars using Housing CPI recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	0-5000	0	0.0%
	2	5001-10000	4	.3%

3	10001-15000	6	.5%
4	15001-20000	4	.3%
5	20001-25000	9	.7%
6	25001-30000	6	.5%
7	30001-35000	7	.5%
8	35001-40000	11	.9%
9	40001-45000	11	.9%
10	45001-50000	10	.8%
11	50001-55000	3	.2%
12	55001-60000	2	.2%
13	60001-65000	2	.2%
14	65001-70000	0	0.0%
15	70001-75000	6	.5%
16	75001-80000	1	.1%
17	80001-85000	2	.2%
18	85001-90000	1	.1%
19	90001-95000	1	.1%
20	95001-100000	2	.2%
21	100000 or more	11	.9%
Missing Values	System	1188	92.3%

C.2.2HouseInter

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	156
	Label	C.2.2 What was the cost of the land and house with interest
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	7

Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	1280
	Mean	31836.71
	Standard Deviation	31298.512
	Percentile 25	1.00
	Percentile 50	40000.00
	Percentile 75	65000.00

C.3DownPayment

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	157
	Label	C.3 What was the down payment
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	645
	Missing	642
	Mean	2297.75
	Standard Deviation	4198.298
	Percentile 25	300.00
	Percentile 50	600.00
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Percentile 75	2500.00

C.3DownPaymentRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	158		
	Label	C.3 Down payment recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	\$100 or less	81	6.3%
	2	\$101 - \$250	55	4.3%
	3	\$251 - \$500	158	12.3%
	4	\$501 - \$1000	85	6.6%
	5	\$1001 - \$2500	80	6.2%
	6	\$2501 - \$5000	90	7.0%
	7	\$5001 - \$10000	43	3.3%

	8	\$10001 - \$15000	13	1.0%
	9	\$15001 or more	8	.6%
Missing Values	System		674	52.4%

C.3DownPayment2012Dollars

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	159
	Label	C.3 Down payment 2012 dollars using Housing CPI
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	592
	Missing	695
	Mean	3237.49
	Standard Deviation	5932.510
	Percentile 25	505.00
	Percentile 50	1088.50
	Percentile 75	3988.00

C.3DownPayment2012DollarsRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	160		
	Label	C.3 Down payment 2012 dollars using Housing CPI recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	\$100 or less	19	1.5%
	2	\$101 - \$250	65	5.1%
	3	\$251 - \$500	62	4.8%
	4	\$501 - \$1000	140	10.9%
	5	\$1001 - \$2500	104	8.1%
	6	\$2501 - \$5000	82	6.4%

	7	\$5001 - \$10000	71	5.5%
	8	\$10001 - \$15000	29	2.3%
	9	\$15001 - \$20000	9	.7%
	10	\$20001 or more	11	.9%
Missing Values	System		695	54.0%

C.4MonthlyPayment

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	161
	Label	C.4 What were the original monthly payments
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	703
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Missing	584
	Mean	266.90
	Standard Deviation	203.750
	Percentile 25	129.00
	Percentile 50	220.00
	Percentile 75	325.00

C.4MonthlyPaymentRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	162		
	Label	C.4 Original monthly payment recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	100 or less	141	11.0%
	2	101 - 200	190	14.8%
	3	201 - 300	189	14.7%
	4	301 - 400	70	5.4%
	5	401 - 500	41	3.2%
	6	501 - 600	25	1.9%
	7	601 - 700	15	1.2%
	8	701 - 800	15	1.2%

	9	801 - 900	6	.5%
	10	901 or more	11	.9%
Missing Values	System		584	45.4%

C.4MonthlyPayment2012Dollars

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	163
	Label	C.4 Original monthly payments 2012 dollars using Housing CPI
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	649
	Missing	638
	Central Tendency and Dispersion	
	Mean	368.24
	Standard Deviation	251.475
	Percentile 25	203.00
	Percentile 50	300.00
	Percentile 75	447.00

C.4MonthlyPayment2012DollarsRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	164		
	Label	C.4 Original monthly payments 2012 dollars using Housing CPI recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	100 or less	23	1.8%
	2	101 - 200	137	10.6%
	3	201 - 300	165	12.8%
	4	301 - 400	125	9.7%
	5	401 - 500	61	4.7%
	6	501 - 600	45	3.5%
	7	601 - 700	30	2.3%
	8	701 - 800	20	1.6%
	9	801 - 900	19	1.5%

	10	901 - 1000	6	.5%
	11	1001 - 1100	5	.4%
	12	1101 - 1200	4	.3%
	13	1201 - 1300	4	.3%
	14	13 or more	5	.4%
Missing Values	System		638	49.6%

C.5ContinuePay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	165		
	Label	C.5 Is respondent continuing to make monthly payments		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	311	24.2%
	2	No	600	46.6%
Missing Values	-9		373	29.0%
	98		3	.2%

C.5.1PayAmount

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	166
	Label	C.5.1 if C.5=1: If continuing to make payments, how much per month
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	260
	Missing	1027
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	371.44
	Standard Deviation	214.779
	Percentile 25	246.50
	Percentile 50	300.00
	Percentile 75	435.00

C.5.1PayAmountRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	167		
	Label	C.5.1 if C.5=1: Monthly payment if still paying recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	250 or less	75	5.8%
	2	251 - 500	136	10.6%
	3	501 - 750	31	2.4%
	4	751 or more	18	1.4%
Missing Values	System		1027	79.8%

C.5.2PayLeft

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	168
	Label	C.5.2 if C.5=1: How many YEARS of monthly payments are left
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	205
	Missing	1082
Central Tendency	Mean	10.97
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	7.459
	Percentile 25	5.00
	Percentile 50	10.00
	Percentile 75	15.00

C.5.2PayLeftRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	169		
	Label	C.5.2 if C.5=1: Years of payments left recode		

	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	1-10	126	9.8%
	2	11-20	66	5.1%
	3	21-30	27	2.1%
Missing Values	System		1068	83.0%

C.5.2PayLeftRecode2

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	170		
	Label	C.5.2 if C.5=1: Years of payments left recode2		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	1-5 years	65	5.1%
	2	6-10 years	61	4.7%
	3	11-15	50	3.9%
	4	16-20	16	1.2%
	5	21-25	18	1.4%
	6	26-30	9	.7%
Missing Values	System		1068	83.0%

C.6PayMethod

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	171		
	Label	C.6 What was the primary method of payment		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Paid in full using cash/savings	102	7.9%
	2	Paid in full using family loan	16	1.2%

	3	Bank (or state/federal assistance) loan	90	7.0%
	4	Credit union loan	5	.4%
	5	Seller financing (payments > 1 year)	645	50.1%
	6	Seller financing (payments < 1 year)	20	1.6%
	7	Seller financing > 1 year and loan	5	.4%
	88	Other	16	1.2%
Missing Values	9		386	30.0%
	98	Don't know	2	.2%

C.6PayMethodRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	172		
	Label	C.6 What was the primary method of payment recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Paid in full	118	9.2%
	3	Bank, credit union or assistance loan	95	7.4%
	5	Seller financing	670	52.1%
	88	Other	18	1.4%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
Missing Values	System		386	30.0%

C.6.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	173		

	Label	C.6.88 Other method of payment (write in)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-9		145	11.3%
	-9.		1041	80.9%
	& Income Tax rebate - bought in 2 years		1	.1%
	1		1	.1%
	3 & 5		1	.1%
	5.		1	.1%
	98		3	.2%
	al principio, ingresos (9,000) despues hijos (9,00		1	.1%
	bank loan (for down payment?) & seller financed		1	.1%
	Bought by father, ex-husband and sister from Count		1	.1%
	Consolidated when built home with a mortgage compa		1	.1%
	credit		1	.1%
	efectivo		1	.1%
	Exchanged land and house title for title		1	.1%
	FHA program		1	.1%
	financed		3	.2%
	financed pena chapa		2	.2%
	Financed w/ pueblo de las palmas		1	.1%
	financed, unspecified, presumably the developer		1	.1%
	fireentree refinance and original loaner		1	.1%
	gift		1	.1%
	GIFT		1	.1%
	got a divorced and wife paid 9000 to husband to ge		1	.1%

GOT MONEY FROM SELLING PRIOR LOT	1	.1%
He paid his sister some amount and took over her p	1	.1%
HER BROTHERS LENDT THEM MONEY	1	.1%
I believe he is also paying the seller in installm	1	.1%
I paid 7k to my dad for the property many years ag	1	.1%
Income Taxes	1	.1%
Initially repaying bank loan of previous owner (B-	1	.1%
land owner	1	.1%
Loan through TDHCA	1	.1%
Made payments to seller for six months and then pa	1	.1%
made two large payments	1	.1%
made two lump payments total of 9000, maybe have b	1	.1%
MONEY LENT BY THE JOB BOSS	1	.1%
monthly	2	.2%
Mother-in-law contracted to buy from Nick Carr and	1	.1%
Obtained personal loan from bank and then paid off	1	.1%
Once paid to Benitez, Ciso MacDonald demanded anot	1	.1%
only two payments in one year	1	.1%
Paid 10k and other 14k in 6 months using money bor	1	.1%
Paid 1k down and then 7k payment later	1	.1%
Paid 200 a month for a year and the initial down p	1	.1%

Paid 5k and 11.9k later in one payment	1	.1%
paid all at once after selling another property (i	1	.1%
paid back taxes and counted in lieu of mensualida	1	.1%
paid bank	1	.1%
paid in full	10	.8%
paid in full due to his back injury comp.	1	.1%
Paid in full with proceeds of other house sale	1	.1%
paid monthly and then asked for a family loan to p	1	.1%
paid monthly and then made loan to pay it off	1	.1%
paid monthly for 13.5 years and then asked for a f	1	.1%
paid more years?	1	.1%
PAID MOST UPFRONT WITH CASH	1	.1%
Paid off in two years by giving mother (seller) an	1	.1%
paid over 7 years - 63 payments	1	.1%
Paid second payment when recv'd income tax refund	1	.1%
Paid up front using money from a settlement after	1	.1%
Parents had paid off lot but refinanced for a stud	1	.1%
pay with credit card at walmart	1	.1%
Paying bank mortgage (must have obtained mortgage	1	.1%
Paying to govt through a homeowner program	1	.1%
payments but in 15 months	1	.1%

payments over time and truck	1	.1%
Payments went up since they fell behind so contrac	1	.1%
pena chapa financed	7	.5%
seller financing	3	.2%
sharers	1	.1%
She paid 15k for unfinished home (paid in less than	1	.1%
She paid what she wanted when she could	1	.1%
sold another lot and when sold paid the title	1	.1%
sold trailer	1	.1%
The owner's sisters (in Mexico) sen thim what rema	1	.1%
they set up a loan hrough office	1	.1%
Through TDHCA. We saw the Title and took pictures.	1	.1%
took over payments from borther & balloon paymts	1	.1%
TWO PAYMENTS	1	.1%
Written "call back" for method of payment	1	.1%

D.1ViewOriginal

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	174		
	Label	D.1 Was interviewer able to view the original title papers		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	113	8.8%
	2	No	789	61.3%
Missing Values	-9		384	29.8%
	System		1	.1%

D.2OrigPapers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	175		
	Label	D.2 What type of papers did interviewee receive at time of purchase		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Contract for Deed	429	33.3%
	2	Deed	224	17.4%
	3	Oral agreement	68	5.3%
	88	Other	44	3.4%
Missing Values	-9		342	26.6%
	98	Do not know	179	13.9%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.1aBuyers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	176		
	Label	What are the buyers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1287	100.0%

D.2.1aSellers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	177		
	Label	What are the sellers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		

Valid Values		1287	100.0%
--------------	--	------	--------

D.2.1bDiffNames

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	178		
	Label	Are the buyers' names different from the owners listed in A.11		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Yes	47	3.7%
	2	No	304	23.6%
Missing Values	-9		933	72.5%
	98		2	.2%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.1bWhyDiff

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	179		
	Label	Why are the buyers' names different		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		145	11.3%
	-9.		1098	85.3%
	??? Don't understand writing		1	.1%
	2.		2	.2%
	Affidavit of Heiship to aged widow (to reduce taxes)		1	.1%
	AGUIRRE, JOSE LUIS & ALVAREZ DE AGUIRRE, RAFAELA TRANSFER THE LAND		1	.1%

at the end it came up with a name of a person in california but she do	1	.1%
bc her husband died and she added daughter or transferred to daughter	1	.1%
Because bought in joint names and husband died she became sole owner	1	.1%
Because since divorcecd Guadalupe (wife)	1	.1%
cannot remember but the company changed name three times	1	.1%
Changed the names on the deed because Margarita was widowed and wanted	1	.1%
Compañía creen que hacía préstamos, no cambio pagp	1	.1%
Decided to put it under dad's name	1	.1%
DID NOT HAVE WIFE'S NAME	1	.1%
Divorce	1	.1%
Divorced- now only wife (Susana Sanchez) owns the land	1	.1%
El banco es el dueño ahora	1	.1%
former husband's name Villareal) divorced and remarried	1	.1%
Gifted deed from Mother (dad previously released to her)	1	.1%
Her maiden name	1	.1%
Hermanos bought jointly	1	.1%
Husband died	1	.1%
husband gave the property to her after divorce	1	.1%
Interviewee's brother originally bought the land and then interviewee	1	.1%

it was in her name	1	.1%
Just his name on CAD	1	.1%
Keith is now owner of contract; 2nd month penalty, 3 or 4 months can t	1	.1%
Marta Cardena	1	.1%
mills escrow	1	.1%
Paid on behalf of uncle	1	.1%
PASSED ON TO A NON PROFIT - MANA DEL CIELO	1	.1%
She couldn't come in time	1	.1%
SOLD IT TO SON IN LAW AND THEN BOUGHT IT AGAIN	1	.1%
Son's name because if something happened to them, thought no inheritan	1	.1%
teodor deceased	1	.1%
THE DEED IS UNDER HIS FATHER NAME ARELLANO, JUAN	1	.1%
The other couple didn't continue?	1	.1%
THE WIFE AND SON WERE INCLUDED LATER	1	.1%
THERE WAS A MISTAKE, LOPEZ, JUAN JOSE	1	.1%
Vecino 1er to Prisciliano	1	.1%
Widow- her husband died after they bought the property	1	.1%
Widowed and chnaged name from Cardenas to sanchez	1	.1%
Wife passed awaay in 2012	1	.1%

D.2.1cDay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	180		
	Label	Day on contract (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Nominal		

	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		6	.5%
	2		4	.3%
	3		4	.3%
	5		1	.1%
	6		1	.1%
	7		3	.2%
	8		3	.2%
	10		3	.2%
	11		1	.1%
	12		2	.2%
	14		1	.1%
	15		4	.3%
	16		2	.2%
	17		2	.2%
	18		1	.1%
	20		4	.3%
	21		2	.2%
	23		3	.2%
	24		2	.2%
	27		2	.2%
	28		1	.1%
	30		1	.1%
	31		4	.3%
Missing Values	-9		1217	94.6%
	9		3	.2%
	98		9	.7%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.1cMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	181		
	Label	Month on contract		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		10	.8%
	2		9	.7%
	3		11	.9%
	4		6	.5%
	5		4	.3%
	6		7	.5%
	7		6	.5%
	8		9	.7%
	10		10	.8%

Missing Values	11	6	.5%
	12	10	.8%
	-9	1180	91.7%
	9	9	.7%
	98	9	.7%
	System	1	.1%

D.2.1cYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	182		
	Label	Year on contract		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0		1	.1%
	1005		1	.1%
	1969		2	.2%
	1970		2	.2%
	1971		1	.1%
	1972		3	.2%
	1973		3	.2%
	1974		2	.2%
	1975		1	.1%
	1976		3	.2%
	1977		1	.1%
	1978		1	.1%
	1980		3	.2%
	1981		3	.2%
	1982		3	.2%
	1983		7	.5%
	1984		5	.4%
	1985		13	1.0%
	1986		15	1.2%
	1987		5	.4%
	1988		8	.6%
	1989		10	.8%
	1990		15	1.2%
	1991		10	.8%
	1992		19	1.5%
	1993		11	.9%
	1994		15	1.2%
	1995		9	.7%
	1996		14	1.1%
	1997		17	1.3%
	1998		8	.6%

	1999	13	1.0%
	2000	19	1.5%
	2001	11	.9%
	2002	7	.5%
	2003	8	.6%
	2004	13	1.0%
	2005	11	.9%
	2006	7	.5%
	2007	9	.7%
	2008	9	.7%
	2009	4	.3%
	2010	13	1.0%
	2011	8	.6%
	2012	2	.2%
Missing Values	-9	928	72.1%
	98	13	1.0%
	System	1	.1%

D.2.1dInterestRate

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	183		
	Label	What was the interest rate (express as an integer: five percent = 5)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0		18	1.4%
	2		2	.2%
	5		3	.2%
	5		1	.1%
	6		1	.1%
	7		3	.2%
	8		4	.3%
	10		12	.9%
	11		2	.2%
	12		14	1.1%
	13		2	.2%
	15		1	.1%
	16		1	.1%
	17		1	.1%
	18		7	.5%
	19		1	.1%

	20		1	.1%
	41		1	.1%
	75		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1164	90.4%
	98		46	3.6%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.2aBuyers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	184		
	Label	What are the buyers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.2.2aSellers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	185		
	Label	What are the sellers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.2.2bDiffNames

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	186		
	Label	Are the buyers' names different from the owners listed in A.11		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		10	.8%

Missing Values	2		179	13.9%
	3		1	.1%
	-9		1095	85.1%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.2bWhyDiff

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	187		
	Label	Why are the buyers' names different		
Valid Values	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		152	11.8%
	-9.		1123	87.3%
	98.		2	.2%
	b/c the brothers boughtl		1	.1%
	Because divorced and decree chan ged title to him solito		1	.1%
	BECAUSE FLORES, MARIO DIED AND SHE IS THE WIDOW		1	.1%
	Because Margarita Vela also on buyers along with Sofia Arcienda (two s		1	.1%
	because now subdivided into 1 acre blocks		1	.1%
	F.Chavez was supposedly added afterwards, by buying share from his bro		1	.1%
	MOTHER TRANSFER TO SONS		1	.1%
	Pablo Casas		1	.1%
	Transferred to current owner, Annette Rios Hall		1	.1%

D.2.2cDay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	188		

	Label	Day on deed (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		3	.2%
	2		2	.2%
	3		1	.1%
	4		3	.2%
	5		1	.1%
	7		2	.2%
	8		4	.3%
	11		1	.1%
	12		1	.1%
	13		2	.2%
	14		2	.2%
	15		1	.1%
	16		1	.1%
	17		1	.1%
	18		5	.4%
	19		1	.1%
	20		3	.2%
	21		4	.3%
	22		2	.2%
	24		2	.2%
	25		1	.1%
	28		1	.1%
	29		1	.1%
	30		3	.2%
	1972		1	.1%
	2001		2	.2%
Missing Values	-9		1231	95.6%
	9		1	.1%
	98		3	.2%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.2cMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	189		
	Label	Month on deed (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		2	.2%

	2		4	.3%
	3		6	.5%
	4		9	.7%
	5		5	.4%
	6		10	.8%
	7		5	.4%
	8		6	.5%
	10		7	.5%
	11		3	.2%
	12		4	.3%
Missing Values	-9		1220	94.8%
	9		4	.3%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.2cYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	190		
	Label	Year on deed (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1950		2	.2%
	1959		1	.1%
	1967		1	.1%
	1970		1	.1%
	1972		1	.1%
	1973		3	.2%
	1975		1	.1%
	1976		1	.1%
	1978		2	.2%
	1980		4	.3%
	1981		2	.2%
	1982		1	.1%
	1983		1	.1%
	1984		1	.1%
	1985		1	.1%
	1986		1	.1%
	1987		3	.2%
	1988		1	.1%
	1989		1	.1%
	1990		3	.2%
	1991		2	.2%
	1992		2	.2%
	1993		1	.1%

	1994	1	.1%
	1995	2	.2%
	1996	5	.4%
	1997	6	.5%
	1998	6	.5%
	1999	2	.2%
	2000	7	.5%
	2001	6	.5%
	2002	4	.3%
	2003	6	.5%
	2004	9	.7%
	2005	10	.8%
	2006	13	1.0%
	2007	8	.6%
	2008	10	.8%
	2009	8	.6%
	2010	14	1.1%
	2011	11	.9%
	2012	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9	1118	86.9%
	98	2	.2%
	System	1	.1%

D.2.2dLieuFore

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	191		
	Label	When the lot was purchased, did interviewee sign a "Deed in Lieu"		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	13	1.0%
	2	No	82	6.4%
	16		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1163	90.4%
	98		27	2.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.2dYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	192		

	Label	In what year was the "Deed in Lieu" signed?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		1	.1%
	1986		1	.1%
	1990		1	.1%
	1996		1	.1%
	2000		1	.1%
	2002		1	.1%
	2003		1	.1%
	2006		1	.1%
	2008		2	.2%
	2009		1	.1%
	2010		1	.1%
	2011		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1273	98.9%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.3aOralAgree

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	193		
	Label	What was the interviewee's understanding of the oral agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9.		1071	83.2%
	1500 paid to cousin and assumed the contract of sale		1	.1%
	1k and 7k in 7 months, then title		1	.1%
	1k down and 2k over 2 years		1	.1%
	30,000 in single payment		1	.1%
	about the payment		1	.1%

After finishing payments, got deed-9	1	.1%
after monthly payments finish, bank gives deed	1	.1%
After payments, would get title	3	.2%
Agreement with B-in-law who was paying for land that if respondent repaid the loan, he would keep the land.	1	.1%
Brother was still on deed until 2007 after fully paid off, so Robert and Lourdes were buyers w/out name on deed until 2007 when deed transferred	1	.1%
Buyer (Santiago) is to pay 5,000 dollars a year + the cost of taxes	1	.1%
CFD like, pay installments and get title upon full payment	1	.1%
deed when paid in full	1	.1%
finish payments then get lot	1	.1%
Get deed at end of payments	1	.1%
get deed at the end of up front payment	1	.1%
get title after finished payments	1	.1%
give payments of whatever amount as the money came	1	.1%
Hablaron; friends, asked to buy so no one "bad" would	1	.1%
Had to make payments to get deed	1	.1%
husban paid and hold title to the land (now he is deceased)	1	.1%

interviewee pays seller who has warranty deed so he can pay developer. Notice that the name of the interviewee is not in any of the documents	1	.1%
Just bought as of Dec. 21, 2011, agreement in process	1	.1%
made monthly payments in exchange for receipts, title transferred after completed payments-9	1	.1%
Make monthly payments, and get title at the end	1	.1%
Monthly Payment	1	.1%
monthly payments for 4 years	1	.1%
Mother was seller so gave a deed and expected all the payments when daughter could make them	1	.1%
Nazario would pay brother monthly and give truck	1	.1%
only when completed payments would confirm traspaso	1	.1%
Pague por todo y recibio titulo	1	.1%
Pay \$2000 down, and \$200/month and income taxes until she'd totaled \$16,000	1	.1%
Pay \$25, come back and pay in full	1	.1%
pay an amount each month till paid in full	1	.1%
pay and get deed later	1	.1%
Pay every month and after, receive title	1	.1%
pay monthly and get receipts	1	.1%
pay off to receive title	1	.1%

pay what they could each month	1	.1%
pay what they could each month and receive title when paid in full	1	.1%
payments over time to get the title	1	.1%
receive deed at end of payments, no problems if missed payment	1	.1%
receive title when paid in full	1	.1%
received deed at end of payment	1	.1%
She and her husband would pay \$300 per month for ~7 yrs and would then receive the deed to the land-9	1	.1%
She and her husband would take over the payments to Nick Carr that her father-in-law had remaining	1	.1%
similar to CFD	1	.1%
Simple brother sells he buys	1	.1%
Sounds like contract for deed: get papers after payments	1	.1%
Take over mother-in-law's payments, and receive the deed when paid off-9 He thinks mother-in-law received receipts-9	1	.1%
THAT HE WAS GOING TO PAY ONLY \$4000	1	.1%
that they buy 1/2 acre	1	.1%
THEY SHOULD MADE THE PAYMENTS AND THEN THEY WOULD RECEIVE THE DEED	1	.1%
title at end	2	.2%
UNFULLFILLED PROMISE OF DEED	1	.1%
verbal agreement to both sellers	1	.1%

	WHEN FINISH PAYING MARTHA CADENA WOULD GIVE THEM THE DEED		1	.1%
	WHEN FINISHING PAYING WOULD GET DEED		1	.1%
	WHEN HE FINISH PAYING OSCAR MARTINEZ WOULD GIVE HIM THE DEED		1	.1%
	WHEN THEY FINISH PAYING WOULD RECEIVED THE DEED		1	.1%
	with bank		1	.1%
	would get title when done paying		1	.1%
	WOULD PAY 11500 FOR LOT		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		149	11.6%

D.2.3bReceipts

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	194		
	Label	Did interviewee receive regular receipts for monthly payments		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	37	2.9%
	2	No	20	1.6%
	88		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1226	95.3%
	98		2	.2%
	System		1	.1%

D.2.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	195		
	Label	Description of original title papers		
	Type	String		

	Format Measurement Role	A200 Nominal Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		148	11.5%
	-9.		1092	84.8%
	(AFFIDAVIT OF DISPOSITION FOR HOME) NOTARIZED LETTER AND RECEIPTS OF PAYMENT		1	.1%
	aapp to seller bank 3000		1	.1%
	bank has title & will give after she finished paying		1	.1%
	bank notes		1	.1%
	bought from Enrique Sombra who was still paying, then in 2008 went to Mills Co. to transfer names; has promissory note & escrow account disclosure statement		1	.1%
	carta notarial		1	.1%
	con compania		1	.1%
	contract between austex and owner		1	.1%
	contract with austex		1	.1%
	Deed		1	.1%
	deed of trust		1	.1%
	Document from Chase Bank		1	.1%
	had payment book, receipts and annual escrow account		1	.1%
	Has amortization payment schedule (@ 11.5%) ; MJ Real Properties still has title		1	.1%
	made payment for many years to original owner and contract was transferred from a bank		1	.1%
	Monthly receipts		1	.1%
	Monthly receipts - first started paying Antonio Garcia, then actual owner Ernestina Garcia		1	.1%

Monthly receipts - was 6 months behind in payments but Sam Osborn never foreclose, apparently developer was really nice, just raised interest but never foreclosed around the community.	1	.1%
none	1	.1%
Notarized document saying she is taking over payments for her sister, Perez, Brenda J	1	.1%
Notarized real estate contract w/ financing payments through time	1	.1%
Notary involved, contract involved, no clause???, pays taxes	1	.1%
NOTARY PUBLIC AGREEMENT TO RECEIVE DEED AFTER PAYING	1	.1%
only document titled "restrictive covenants"	1	.1%
Quit claim: Martinez, Maria to Flores, Jesus (1997)	1	.1%
receipts	2	.2%
RECEIPTS	1	.1%
receipts for monthly payments	1	.1%
receipts for payments	4	.3%
Receipts, bank sale/transfer	1	.1%
receipts, but there was an agreement that if they missed a month the owner would take away the property	1	.1%
received receipt	1	.1%
received receipts for payments	1	.1%
rent to own lease agreement (PICS)	1	.1%
SOME TYPE OF CONTRACT	1	.1%

sometime recieved receipts, usually for larger paymets		1	.1%
Sounds like Nick Carr had a CFD with owner's sister (Blanca Villarreal), and owner took over her payments, but it doesn't sound like the CFD was ever switched over to his name--just that when the paym		1	.1%
THERE WAS AN UNAUTHORIZED LETTER		1	.1%
They made transfer but residents are still paying???		1	.1%
The family continued paying debt of former owner; there was no contract but Drake said property of Gustavo would be transferred to them; there wer			
traded houses informally w/ father-in-law & finished payments		1	.1%
transfer contract		1	.1%
verious letters of intent and affidavits		1	.1%

D.2.98DontKnow

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	196		
	Label	Description of agreement.		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		136	10.6%
	-9.		1065	82.8%
	1997 CONTRACT, DIDN'T HAVE IT		1	.1%
	2009		1	.1%

98, but it is assigned to escrow company w/ payment schedule	1	.1%
Bank involved since took out loan so there must be some formal contract	1	.1%
bank paid prev owner, he is now paying the bank	1	.1%
Both parties signed some documents	1	.1%
Both parties signed some documents at the office of the developer	1	.1%
Both parties signed some documents, and received receipts	1	.1%
Both parties signed some documents; the buyers makes envelop payments without receiving receipts; if they don't pay taxes, the developer will charge more	1	.1%
buyer was the husband so she is not certain of the type of agreement, it was definitely a sell between them and a developer	1	.1%
completed the payment up front, and the seller and the buyer signed something	1	.1%
CONTRACT FOR DEED	1	.1%
Contract for payments, went to bank	1	.1%
contracto	2	.2%
Dale Drake is seller	1	.1%
didn't think they would lose anything if missed a payment	1	.1%
Document - thought a contract, then a guarantee deed, but a trespaso or transfer in 1992	1	.1%

Does not remember because the papers were in english-9 Claims to have copy of escrituras	1	.1%
Doesn't have a title-9	1	.1%
Doesn't know, zero interest	1	.1%
Doesn't remember: made payments to the bank	1	.1%
dosen't remember if he signed anything	1	.1%
Evelia thinks the original papers were a written contract for deed; she said she knew Sergio and the developer had an agreement	1	.1%
first seller was Pueblo de Palmas now it is Land Co.	1	.1%
fixed interest rates: 7.9%	1	.1%
gets receipts	1	.1%
Got title through mail after payments. Did have a contract at the beginning	1	.1%
If they missed a payment on land, they paid penalty but no taking of house	1	.1%
knows it said deed spmehwere and if miss pmts for 3 months, can take away	1	.1%
LAWYER HANDLED ALL THE PAPERWORK AND REGISTERED THE PROPERTIE	1	.1%
make payments every month	1	.1%
monthly payment	1	.1%
Monthly payment through company	1	.1%
no deed until paid off	1	.1%
No title until finish paying	1	.1%

No title until finished paying.	1	.1%
Paid in full, received title, she believes	1	.1%
Paper	1	.1%
Probably a mortgage from bank	1	.1%
Probably WDVL b/c same seller; miss 1 get 2 more before foreclosure	1	.1%
receipt statements	1	.1%
receipts after making payments	1	.1%
receipts w/ payment, direct to Lettunich office in Fabens	1	.1%
receipts, had protection if missed payment, interest would just be raised	1	.1%
receipts, Landco is seller	1	.1%
recibos	1	.1%
Recv'd paper	1	.1%
said husband knows everything, but she does have deed (or something in writing)	1	.1%
she paid so daughter could pay it off to the Hurst	1	.1%
She paid taxes but developer also paid and charged interest; both parties signed; She paid in pre-paid envelopes and was given balance statement	1	.1%
She thinks she took over her dad's CFD, but she said she paid off the balance to a bank	1	.1%

She went to court in approximately 2000 (when she got divorced) to be sure the purchase agreement was under her name-9 She got the deed when she finished making payments-9	1	.1%
Signed a contract	1	.1%
signed something but doesn't remember	1	.1%
Signed something, didn't get title until finished paying, seller financing	1	.1%
sounds like Contract for Deed or WDWL	3	.2%
sounds like contract sale w/ payment schedule & escrow account, but CAD says warranty deed from East Montana Properties, Inc.	1	.1%
Su esposo lo hace porque el vino primero	1	.1%
THE LOT WAS PURCHASED BY ARIZPE, OSVALDO & ARIZPE, JOSE ANGEL	1	.1%
THE PROPERTY BELONGED TO HER HUSBAND'S PARENTS AND SHE THINKS THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE DEED OR IT DIDN'T EXIST	1	.1%
The seller wrote the contract for both parties	1	.1%
THERE WAS A WRITTEN CONTRACT, ASK FOR AN INITIAL DOWN PAYMENT AND THEN MONTHLY PAYMENTS WITH INTEREST	1	.1%
They made sure that there was no debt attached to the document	1	.1%
They paid in full and signed paper at courthouse	1	.1%
They signed something	1	.1%

They signed something and a notario from Wells Fargo Bank was present, in 2003	1	.1%
They signed something and a notario publico was present, and the seller specified that "congelado el pago con interes fijo" and "no tengan caballos u otros animales en el terreno"	1	.1%
They signed something but she never saw it.	1	.1%
They signed something concerning the payment, el principio y pagos mensuales; when they signed the paper a notario publico was present	1	.1%
They signed something with the developer in the office of Bank of America; a notario de ellos was present	1	.1%
thinks contract for sale, gets receipts, gets warning/greater interest for missed payment	1	.1%
thinks contract for sale, receipts	1	.1%
thinks contract for sale, receipts, doesn't remember legal rights if he missed payment, had to pay for water rights	1	.1%
thinks he has title now, but if he stops paying, they can take it. Has document somewhere	1	.1%
Thinks that she received escrituras at the end of payments-9 Names on the contract would have been Solis, Juan y Guadalupe	1	.1%
was formal doc, received receipts	1	.1%

went through real estate agent (CAD says seller is Mortgage Associates of El Paso)		1	.1%
went to lawyer		1	.1%
will get title when finishes payment plus other unclear notes. She also pays taxes		1	.1%
Written contract with Sydney Morgan		1	.1%
Written contract, claims to only have received excrituras after completing payment-9 (Registered as Warranty Deed in CAD)		1	.1%
written contract, received another at the end of payment		1	.1%

D.3Registered

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	197		
	Label	Was the original title paper registered at the county clerk's office		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	443	34.4%
	2	No	123	9.6%
Missing Values	-9		510	39.6%
	98	Don't know	210	16.3%
	System		1	.1%

D.3WhoRegist

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	198		
	Label	Who registered the title paper		
	Type	String		
	Format	A50		

	Measurement Role	Nominal Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.3YearRegist

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	199		
	Label	Year title papers were registered (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1959		1	.1%
	1967		2	.2%
	1968		1	.1%
	1969		1	.1%
	1970		5	.4%
	1972		3	.2%
	1973		2	.2%
	1974		1	.1%
	1975		1	.1%
	1976		1	.1%
	1977		1	.1%
	1978		2	.2%
	1980		5	.4%
	1981		4	.3%
	1982		4	.3%
	1983		1	.1%
	1984		6	.5%
	1985		6	.5%
	1986		3	.2%
	1988		6	.5%
	1989		2	.2%
	1990		8	.6%
	1991		3	.2%
	1992		12	.9%
	1993		4	.3%
	1994		7	.5%
	1995		7	.5%
	1996		9	.7%
	1997		12	.9%
	1998		13	1.0%
	1999		7	.5%
	2000		19	1.5%

	2001	15	1.2%
	2002	6	.5%
	2003	8	.6%
	2004	14	1.1%
	2005	13	1.0%
	2006	19	1.5%
	2007	15	1.2%
	2008	14	1.1%
	2009	12	.9%
	2010	20	1.6%
	2011	16	1.2%
Missing Values	-9	953	74.0%
	98	22	1.7%
	System	1	.1%

D.3WhyNotRegistr

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	200		
	Label	Why did the interviewee not register the title		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		151	11.7%
	-9.		1081	84.0%
	because she hasn't finished making the payments		1	.1%
	CONTRACT FOR DEED		1	.1%
	didn't have the title		1	.1%
	Fue contrato		1	.1%
	HADN'T PAYED		2	.2%
	has not received title		1	.1%
	husband did not know that was needed		1	.1%
	In process		1	.1%
	It was notarized		1	.1%
	Just purchased still in process		1	.1%
	JUST THE PREVIOUS		1	.1%
	Landco has papers		1	.1%

lawyer was previous owner and gave them papers	1	.1%
Lo hizo ella.	1	.1%
Need to pay for three years.	1	.1%
no tiene dinero	1	.1%
Not finished paying	1	.1%
not had the chance	1	.1%
Not until all paid for	1	.1%
notarized	1	.1%
nothing written	1	.1%
One of the first in the area and when constructed, investigated compan	1	.1%
only notary	1	.1%
Only with notary	1	.1%
papers are not under the interviewee's name	1	.1%
PRIVET AGREEMENT	1	.1%
Seller knew someone in the title office-9 They didn't register till th	1	.1%
sellers would not register	1	.1%
some sort of oral transfer after some debt is paid	1	.1%
still paying	10	.8%
Stupidity	1	.1%
that was how it was done, how others in area did it	1	.1%
trust within the family	1	.1%
Trusted and at time the niece and nephew of seller dating	1	.1%
UNTIL FINISHING PAYMENT	1	.1%
UNTIL THEY FINISHED PAYING	1	.1%
used notary	1	.1%
Verbal agreement	1	.1%
Wait until paid off. Pay taxes	1	.1%
Waiting until pay it off	1	.1%

Standard Attributes	Position	Value	Count	Percent
	Label	201		
		Were paying off, unknown if developer registered	1	.1%
		When finish paying off, then file	1	.1%
		When they finish paying it will be recorded she thinks	1	.1%
		Will be signing contract in next months	1	.1%

D.3ViewCurrent

Standard Attributes	Position	Value	Count	Percent
	Label	201		
		Was interviewer able to view the current title papers		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	97	7.5%
	2	No	612	47.6%
Missing Values	-9		575	44.7%
	98		2	.2%
	System		1	.1%

D.4CurrPaper

Standard Attributes	Position	Value	Count	Percent
	Label	202		
		What kind of current papers does the resident have for the lot		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0		5	.4%
	1	Contract for Deed	104	8.1%
	2	Deed	505	39.2%
	3	Oral agreement	5	.4%
	88	Other	44	3.4%

Missing Values	-9		527	40.9%
	98	Do not know	96	7.5%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.1.aBuyers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	203		
	Label	What are the buyers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.4.1.aSellers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	204		
	Label	What are the sellers' names on the contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.4.1bDay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	205		
	Label	Day on contract (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		2	.2%
	2		2	.2%
	3		1	.1%
	5		2	.2%
	8		1	.1%
	10		1	.1%
	11		1	.1%
	15		2	.2%
	20		3	.2%

	21		1	.1%
	24		2	.2%
	27		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1264	98.2%
	9		2	.2%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.1bMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	206		
	Label	Month on contract (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0		1	.1%
	2		4	.3%
	3		6	.5%
	4		1	.1%
	5		3	.2%
	6		3	.2%
	8		2	.2%
	10		3	.2%
	11		3	.2%
	12		2	.2%
Missing Values	-9		1255	97.5%
	9		2	.2%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.1bYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	207		
	Label	Year on contract (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1976		1	.1%
	1987		1	.1%
	1989		2	.2%
	1991		1	.1%

	1992	1	.1%
	1993	1	.1%
	1994	1	.1%
	1995	2	.2%
	1996	1	.1%
	1997	2	.2%
	1998	2	.2%
	1999	3	.2%
	2000	5	.4%
	2001	3	.2%
	2002	2	.2%
	2003	3	.2%
	2004	3	.2%
	2005	7	.5%
	2006	6	.5%
	2007	3	.2%
	2008	3	.2%
	2009	2	.2%
	2010	5	.4%
	2011	6	.5%
Missing Values	-9	1217	94.6%
	98	3	.2%
	System	1	.1%

D.4.1cWhyNew

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	208		
	Label	Why is there a new contract		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		148	11.5%
	-9.		1105	85.9%
	1.		12	.9%
	2008		1	.1%
	BECAUSE THE SELLER WAS DECLERED IN BANKRUPT AND THE STATE INTERVED		1	.1%
	bought mortgage company		1	.1%
	got equity loan		1	.1%
	It's the same		6	.5%

not new contract, same as original	1	.1%
refinanced	1	.1%
Refinanced	3	.2%
refinanced the property	1	.1%
Refinanced the property	1	.1%
Removed the sister's name from the deed	1	.1%
same	1	.1%
The same contract	2	.2%

D.4.2.aBuyers

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	209		
Position			
Label	What are the buyers' names on the deed.		
Type	String		
Format	A100		
Measurement	Nominal		
Role	Input		
Valid Values		1287	100.0%

D.4.2.aSellers

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	210		
Position			
Label	What are the sellers' names on the deed.		
Type	String		
Format	A100		
Measurement	Nominal		
Role	Input		
Valid Values		1287	100.0%

D.4.2.bDay

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	211		
Position			
Label	Day on deed (dd)		
Type	Numeric		
Format	F11		
Measurement	Ordinal		
Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	5	.4%
	2	2	.2%

	3		4	.3%
	4		3	.2%
	5		1	.1%
	6		1	.1%
	7		3	.2%
	8		4	.3%
	10		5	.4%
	11		4	.3%
	12		2	.2%
	13		2	.2%
	14		3	.2%
	15		3	.2%
	16		2	.2%
	17		3	.2%
	18		2	.2%
	19		2	.2%
	20		5	.4%
	21		6	.5%
	22		2	.2%
	24		1	.1%
	25		1	.1%
	28		3	.2%
	29		2	.2%
	30		3	.2%
	31		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1199	93.2%
	9		2	.2%
	98		10	.8%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.2bMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	212		
	Label	Month on deed (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		4	.3%
	2		9	.7%
	3		19	1.5%
	4		6	.5%
	5		8	.6%
	6		7	.5%
	7		7	.5%
	8		5	.4%

	10		10	.8%
	11		6	.5%
	12		5	.4%
Missing Values	-9		1182	91.8%
	9		9	.7%
	98		9	.7%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.2bYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	213		
	Label	Year on deed (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1950		2	.2%
	1959		1	.1%
	1963		1	.1%
	1967		1	.1%
	1970		1	.1%
	1971		1	.1%
	1972		4	.3%
	1973		3	.2%
	1974		3	.2%
	1975		2	.2%
	1976		2	.2%
	1977		6	.5%
	1978		4	.3%
	1979		1	.1%
	1980		4	.3%
	1981		3	.2%
	1982		3	.2%
	1983		4	.3%
	1984		2	.2%
	1985		6	.5%
	1987		5	.4%
	1988		1	.1%
	1989		4	.3%
	1990		13	1.0%
	1991		4	.3%
	1992		6	.5%
	1993		6	.5%
	1994		8	.6%
	1995		15	1.2%
	1996		9	.7%

	1997	21	1.6%
	1998	13	1.0%
	1999	10	.8%
	2000	19	1.5%
	2001	22	1.7%
	2002	10	.8%
	2003	14	1.1%
	2004	16	1.2%
	2005	18	1.4%
	2006	16	1.2%
	2007	18	1.4%
	2008	19	1.5%
	2009	18	1.4%
	2010	15	1.2%
	2011	16	1.2%
	2012	3	.2%
Missing Values	-9	895	69.5%
	9	2	.2%
	98	16	1.2%
	System	1	.1%

D.4.2.cWhyDeed

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	214		
	Label	Why received a deed.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0		2	.2%
	1	The payments were completed	269	20.9%
	2	A nonprofit, attorney, agency, or organization assisted resident to convert a contract for deed or rent o own agreement	24	1.9%
Missing Values	88	Other	30	2.3%
	-9		958	74.4%

98	Don't know	3	.2%
System		1	.1%

D.4.2dWhyOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	215		
	Label	Why received a deed (other)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		145	11.3%
	-9.		1095	85.1%
	& affidavit cancelling previous and creating 2 deeds to same property		1	.1%
	1.		4	.3%
	Abstract of judgement made for owner to refinance property with new mobile home		1	.1%
	actullay not yet received it -- Hicks still holding		1	.1%
	After finished paying, struggled to get deed using help of his wife, who was a paralegal, and the co		1	.1%
	b/c affidavit of heirship listing her as owner and children as heirs		1	.1%
	Bacause originals lost when Ciso office caught fire		1	.1%
	Bank formelry held rthe note		1	.1%
	Bank got it for her when getting the remodeling loan		1	.1%
	Bank helped with it		1	.1%
	BANKRUPCY PROCEEDING		1	.1%
	BANKRUPTCY OF SELLER		1	.1%
	Because 1st contarct deeds lost (fire)		1	.1%

because divided between 5 family members	1	.1%
Because divorced and title name changed	1	.1%
bought from wife	1	.1%
Despues de los pagues (middle contract --> Austin)	1	.1%
divorce	1	.1%
Finished making payments	3	.2%
Finished making payments and an office downtown worked with Nick Carr's office to get the deed and t	1	.1%
Fulfilled agreement with brother-in-law (prev. owner)	1	.1%
GOT IT AFTER BANKRUPTCY	1	.1%
GOT IT WHEN CADENA, MARTHA WAS IN BANKTUPTCY	1	.1%
Had to correct the original deeds after an error found after sale of two acres - therefore reset	1	.1%
House burned down and just land contract	1	.1%
in office for titles in El Paso ?	1	.1%
IT WAS A GIFT	1	.1%
Original Deed	1	.1%
Owner refused to transer property. Threatened to evict them with police force. They had to contract	1	.1%
Received the deed after payments were finished, but divorce decree transferred the deed into her nam	1	.1%
same as outset	1	.1%

SHE FINISHED PAYING THE MORGAGE TO THE BANK	1	.1%
She received a deed beca	1	.1%
STATE INTERVEINED AND ASSIGNED TITLE	1	.1%
STATE INTERVEINED BECAUSE MCDONALD WAS IN BANKRUPTCY	1	.1%
The deed acted as a receipt of the transaction (it was an oral agreement between mother and daughter	1	.1%
the same deed	1	.1%
Transferred by father, but sister secretly took out loan, so current owner paying mortgage	1	.1%
Vendors lien cleared	1	.1%
Vol 1943-p622	1	.1%
Warranty Deed of Gift from mother (clause cannot sell)	1	.1%

D.4.2cWhoAssist

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	216		
	Label	Which organization assisted the resident to convert the contract.		
Valid Values	Type	String		
	Format	A70		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		150	11.7%
	-9.		1105	85.9%
	2.		2	.2%
	a la carte		1	.1%
	Atty. Eduardo A. Castillo PLLC		1	.1%
	Cadena Rio Mar, LLC		1	.1%
	Ciso Macdonald		1	.1%
	Colonias Unidas		2	.2%

Colonias Unidas and Blanca Juarez	1	.1%
Colonias Unidas helped them "to remodel only"	1	.1%
COUNTY (HELP CENTER) CRG	1	.1%
Fue a City Hall	2	.2%
Fue al contado - a Laredo c/ abogados (City Hall)	1	.1%
	1	.1%
GOT IT FROM BANKRUPTCY COURT	1	.1%
James Haynes	1	.1%
lawyer	2	.2%
Lawyer	2	.2%
lawyer of Ciso MacDionald	1	.1%
	1	.1%
No help. The seller did it.	1	.1%
	1	.1%
noone	1	.1%
notary	1	.1%
notary public	2	.2%
STATE	2	.2%
They went to legal aid but could not wait the time it takes, so hired	1	.1%
	1	.1%
Title Co	1	.1%

D.4.3aAgreement

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	217		
	Label	What was the agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
			1	.1%
	-9		17	1.3%
	-9		134	10.4%
	-9.		1128	87.6%
	-9.0		2	.2%
	Buyer (Santiago) is to pay 5,000 dollars a year + the cost of taxes		1	.1%

interviewee pays seller who has warranty deed so he can pay developer. Notice that the name of the interviewee is not in any of the documents		1	.1%
Just purchased in process		1	.1%
monthly payments for 4 years		1	.1%
WHEN FINISH PAYING LORENZO ORTIZ WOULD GIVE THEM THE DEED, PAYMENTS FINISHED IN 2008		1	.1%

D.4.3bYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	218		
	Label	What was the year of the agreement (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	2000		1	.1%
	2009		1	.1%
	2011		2	.2%
Missing Values	-9		1282	99.6%
	System		1	.1%

D.4.3cReceipts

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	219		
	Label	Did the resident receive receipts for monthly payments		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	3	.2%
	2	No	1	.1%

Missing Values	-9	1282	99.6%
	System	1	.1%

D.4.88aOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	220		
	Label	Description of documents received		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		151	11.7%
	-9.		1091	84.8%
	"restrictive covenants"		1	.1%
	1.		1	.1%
	An en vivo assignment refers to		1	.1%
	Deed (phot)			
	bank notes		1	.1%
	con compania		1	.1%
	CONTRACT FOR DEED THAT WASN'T GIVEN (THE TITLE) - NOTARIZED LETTER, DOWNLOADED ONLINE AND SIGNED		1	.1%
	COUNTY ONLY ISSUE THE PAPERWORK TO PAY TAXES		1	.1%
	deed of trust		1	.1%
	Document from Chase Bank		1	.1%
	document o escritura no titulos		1	.1%
	document of transfer of property from husband		1	.1%
	El proximo ano va a recibir; \$200 notario/abogado		1	.1%
	Final Receipt		1	.1%
	final receipt from a bank but no deed		1	.1%
	Final Receipt of Payment		2	.2%

got receipts throughout and has final receipt indicating that she paid off the contract; however, she has not gone to get her deed	1	.1%
Has amortization payment schedule (@ 11.5%) ; MJ Real Properties still has title	1	.1%
HAS NOT FINISHED PAYING	2	.2%
hasn't gone to get title but finished paying	1	.1%
HAVEN'T FINISHED PAYING; TROUBLE WITH THE SELLER	1	.1%
last receipt	1	.1%
lien	1	.1%
no papers	1	.1%
Notarized document saying she is taking over payments for her sister, Perez, Brenda J	1	.1%
Notarized real estate contract w/ financing payments through time	1	.1%
provisional temporary deed	1	.1%
Quit claim: Martinez, Maria to Flores, Jesus (1997)	1	.1%
receipt of final payment	1	.1%
receipts	3	.2%
Receipts	2	.2%
receipts for monthly payments	1	.1%
receipts, if misses 3 payments can take away	1	.1%
release of lien- October, 1997	1	.1%
removed the lien	1	.1%
See interesting column	1	.1%
THE DEED IS IN THE BANK/COUNTY	1	.1%
THEY DON'T HAVE THE DEEDS	1	.1%

THEY HAVEN'T RECEIVED THE DEED FROM THE PREVIOUS OWNER EVEN THOUGH THE PAYMENTS ARE FINISHED SINCE 2009	1	.1%
warranty deed w/ vendor's lien	1	.1%

D.4.98aAgreement

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	221		
	Label	Description of agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		144	11.2%
	-9.		1099	85.4%
	2.		2	.2%
	2009		1	.1%
	Bank paid previous owner, he is now paying bank		1	.1%
	believes that he has the title and that house is in his name		1	.1%
	Bought from tax lien; previous owner was brother who failed to pay taxes and county took the house		1	.1%
	Contract for payments, went to bank		1	.1%
	Dale Drake seller		1	.1%
	doesn't have title until paid off		1	.1%
	Don't Know, Same as in Purchase		1	.1%
	Evelia did not know, but she did know that Sergio paid property taxes on the land		1	.1%
	FHA agreement		1	.1%
	gets receipts		1	.1%

Husband knew more of the title stuff, wasnt home	1	.1%
It seems a deed since they paid in full and both parties signed at courthouse	1	.1%
knows it said deed spmehwere and if miss pmts for 3 months, can take away	1	.1%
lien with bank to buy materials for house, started in mobile home, now has a house built on property	1	.1%
missed payment- 3 months to pay; missed 3 payments, taken	1	.1%
next week meeting w/ her	1	.1%
Paid in full, believes she received title	1	.1%
paying bank; pays \$365 a month on the loan to One Main (sp?) Financial; not sure if they have the deed	1	.1%
paying taxes so thinks it's legal	1	.1%
receipts, Landco seller	1	.1%
record a confirmation of payment	1	.1%
Recv'd paper	1	.1%
refinanced so different papers	1	.1%
Release of lien (2000-2005) from Ms. Hurst (refinanced and a letter saying owner)	1	.1%
same as in purchase	1	.1%
same, still paying	1	.1%
seller was a developer, buyer was husband	1	.1%
She believed it's deed since it's all good	1	.1%

She is sure that there is a title, but her husband has it, and she doesn't know if her name is on the title.	1	.1%
She pays taxes and signed something; her daughter does all the organizing of papers	1	.1%
SHE THINKS THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE DEED OR IT DIDN'T EXIST	1	.1%
still paying same docs	1	.1%
still paying so same docs	1	.1%
taxes first, then title	1	.1%
They have final receipt of payments, but not the deed because they haven't completed the final step of going to title company and getting the deed and registering it-9	2	.2%
thinks he has title now, but if he stops paying, they can take it. Has document somewhere	1	.1%
title after finished payment	1	.1%
went through real estate agent (CAD says seller is Mortgage Associates of El Paso)	1	.1%
Written contract with Sydney Morgan	1	.1%

D.5Registered

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	222		
	Label	Was the title registered at the county clerk's office		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	513	39.9%

Missing Values	2	No	74	5.7%
	-9		589	45.8%
	98	Don't know	110	8.5%
	System		1	.1%

D.5WhoRegist

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	223		
	Label	Who registered the title papers		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

D.5YearRegist

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	224		
	Label	Year title papers were registered (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0		1	.1%
	998		1	.1%
	1959		1	.1%
	1967		2	.2%
	1968		1	.1%
	1970		1	.1%
	1972		3	.2%
	1973		2	.2%
	1974		4	.3%
	1975		2	.2%
	1976		1	.1%
	1977		4	.3%
	1978		4	.3%
	1980		4	.3%
	1981		4	.3%
	1982		3	.2%
	1983		2	.2%
	1984		3	.2%

	1985	3	.2%
	1986	1	.1%
	1987	3	.2%
	1988	3	.2%
	1989	3	.2%
	1990	10	.8%
	1991	3	.2%
	1992	5	.4%
	1993	3	.2%
	1994	7	.5%
	1995	8	.6%
	1996	9	.7%
	1997	15	1.2%
	1998	15	1.2%
	1999	13	1.0%
	2000	24	1.9%
	2001	16	1.2%
	2002	7	.5%
	2003	14	1.1%
	2004	21	1.6%
	2005	17	1.3%
	2006	18	1.4%
	2007	21	1.6%
	2008	24	1.9%
	2009	13	1.0%
	2010	18	1.4%
	2011	17	1.3%
Missing Values	-9	908	70.6%
	9	1	.1%
	98	23	1.8%
	System	1	.1%

D.5WhyNotRegister

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	225		
	Label	Why did the resident not register the title papers		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		151	11.7%
	-9.		1077	83.7%
	98.		4	.3%

bank should have done it	1	.1%
Cisos lawyers did it because reregistering	1	.1%
Costs money- they need to look into how much it costs-9	1	.1%
DEED DOESN'T EXIST YET	1	.1%
don't have a reason	1	.1%
going to soon	1	.1%
HAS NOT FINISHED PAYING	1	.1%
has not received title	1	.1%
Haven't got around to it	1	.1%
HE THOUGHT IT WAS ALREADY OK	1	.1%
in process	1	.1%
It was notarized	1	.1%
lack of time	1	.1%
lawyer was previous owner and gave them papers	1	.1%
need to go to court	1	.1%
NO DEED YET	1	.1%
No saben cuanto cuesta y no se ha hecho tramite	1	.1%
no tiene dinero	1	.1%
Not finished paying	1	.1%
not received yet	1	.1%
Not think that had to; pay taxes assumd registered	1	.1%
notarized	1	.1%
She says it costs 1000\$ to pay lawyers	1	.1%
Still have a mortgage that haven't paid off	1	.1%
still paying	16	1.2%
Still paying	4	.3%
THE TRUSTEE'S DEED WAS PICK UP FROM THE SCHOOL NEARBY	1	.1%
THERE IS NO DEED	1	.1%

They are migrant workers and said they haven't had the time/haven't gotten around to it-9		1	.1%
THEY HAVEN'T RECEIVED THE DEED; THEY HAVE PAYED TAXES USING THE PREVIOUS OWNER NAME		1	.1%
tried to register before but lot numbers were mixed up on the tax records-9		1	.1%
Wait until paid off. Pay taxes		1	.1%
Waiting until pay it off		1	.1%
when they finish paying it will be recorded she thinks		1	.1%
will be signing contract in next months		1	.1%
will finish paying in March, then pay for title transfer		1	.1%

D.6Liens

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	226		
	Label	Are there any liens on the property		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	67	5.2%
	2	No	596	46.3%
Missing Values	-9		584	45.4%
	98	Don't know	39	3.0%
	System		1	.1%

D.6LienReason

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	227		
	Label	What is the lien for		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		

	Measurement Role	Nominal Input		
Valid Values	1	Money owed on purchase of the lot	25	1.9%
	2	Home equity loan	25	1.9%
	3	Property taxes	10	.8%
Missing Values	88	Other	9	.7%
	-9		1216	94.5%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

D.6LienOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	228		
	Label	Other reason for lien		
Valid Values	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
	-9		1	.1%
	-9.		148	11.5%
	2.		1123	87.3%
	3.		1	.1%
	BECAUSE OF GOVERNMENT HELP THEY CANNOT SELL OR RENT		1	.1%
	beneficial finance		1	.1%
	for soccer team		1	.1%
	lot and mobile home, \$43,000		1	.1%
	Maybe behind on property taxes		1	.1%
	Maybe not a lien. Home equity from refinancing.		1	.1%
	mortgage		2	.2%
	no lien, but refinanced		1	.1%
	None other than WDV		1	.1%
	On liens written "call back"		1	.1%
	SWDVL		1	.1%
	TOOK OUT 2ND MORTGAGE OFTER PAY OFF THE LOAN		1	.1%

E.1Will

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	229		
	Label	E.1 Does interviewee have a will		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	104	8.1%
	2	No	899	69.9%
Missing Values	-9		276	21.4%
	98	Don't know	7	.5%
	System		1	.1%

E.2SpouseWill

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	230		
	Label	E.2 Does the interviewee's spouse have a will		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	55	4.3%
	2	No	786	61.1%
Missing Values	-9		423	32.9%
	98	Don't know	22	1.7%
	System		1	.1%

E.1.2WillCount

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	231
	Label	E.1.2 Will count from both interviewee and spouse
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	1004
	Missing	283

Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.16
	Standard Deviation	.470
	Percentile 25	0.00
	Percentile 50	0.00
	Percentile 75	0.00

BothOwnersNoWills

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	232		
	Label	Interviewee and spouse are owners w/ no wills, no other owners		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	418	32.5%
Missing Values	System		869	67.5%

E.3Informal

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	233		
	Label	E.3 if E.1.2=0: If no will, have owners made informal arrangements about inheritance		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	387	30.1%
	2	No	471	36.6%
Missing Values	-9		390	30.3%
	98	Don't know	39	3.0%

E.3.1ArrangeType

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	234		

	Label	E.3.1 if E.1.2=0: Description of arrangement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A200		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

E.4Inheritance

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	235		
	Label	E.4 Who will inherit the property		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Spouse	149	11.6%
	2	One child	159	12.4%
	3	All children equally	396	30.8%
	4	Parents or siblings	10	.8%
	5	Spouse and child(ren)	18	1.4%
	7	Government	5	.4%
	8	Children (generic)	37	2.9%
	9	Other family	14	1.1%
	10	Grandkids	12	.9%
	88	Other	14	1.1%
	98	Don't know	68	5.3%
Missing Values	-9		404	31.4%
	System		1	.1%

E.4.2ChildGender

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	236		
	Label	E.4.2 if E.4=2: Gender of the child that were inherit the lot		
	Type	Numeric		

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Male	82	6.4%
	2	Female	70	5.4%
	12		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1132	88.0%
	System		2	.2%

E.4.2ChildOrder

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	237		
	Label	E.4.2 if E.4=2: Birth order of the child that will inherit the lot.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F40		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Eldest	54	4.2%
	2	Middle	9	.7%
	3	Youngest	66	5.1%
Missing Values	-9		1157	89.9%
	System		1	.1%

E.4.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	238		
	Label	E.4.88 Other person will inherit the lot.		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		141	11.0%
	-9.		1034	80.3%
	2 daughters		1	.1%
	2 hijos mayores		1	.1%
	2 of my kids		1	.1%
	2nd eldest hija		1	.1%
	4 chidlren		1	.1%
	5 female bisnieto		1	.1%

60 percent, 20 percent, 20 percent	1	.1%
88.	1	.1%
98.	10	.8%
all children	1	.1%
all children equally	1	.1%
bothers and nephews	1	.1%
boyfriend or mom	1	.1%
Brother in Austin	1	.1%
brothers	1	.1%
children	1	.1%
children of owner, but depends on who is living there/wants to live there	1	.1%
company will take it back, I guess. I don't know.	1	.1%
Couple is divorced with no children; judge mandated that they sell house and split the proceeds-9	1	.1%
DAUGHTER AND HER SONS	1	.1%
depends on last kid that stays home adopted daughter's and kids she left them	1	.1%
does not know	1	.1%
DOESN'T KNOW	5	.4%
DOESN'T WANT TO THINK ABOUT IT	1	.1%
don't have expectations	1	.1%
Don't know	1	.1%
Don't Know	1	.1%
Don't know; will leave w/ ex because has 3 kids	1	.1%
Expect company would take it back but my desire would be for the youngest to live here w/o selling	1	.1%
family	1	.1%
government	1	.1%
Govt will take and sell	1	.1%
grandchild	1	.1%

grandchild who lives with them	1	.1%
grandchildren	1	.1%
GRANDDAUGHTER 2	1	.1%
Grandkids - about 10-11 equally	1	.1%
Grandson	1	.1%
GRANDSON	2	.2%
HASN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT	1	.1%
Haven't talked about it	1	.1%
her legal guardian, also her uncle, Roy will keep home	1	.1%
Her son (not by current husband) and that son's children	1	.1%
Hijos - possibly 1 if he has no house	1	.1%
his children from first marriage as per his will	1	.1%
HIS GRANDSON	1	.1%
hizo documento para dejarlo a su hermana pero ahora quiere cambiarlo porque hermana no va a poder ha	1	.1%
Home has been signed over to the government to pay for th husband's medical expenses-9 After death o	1	.1%
I dont know. Would want to leave it to kids.	1	.1%
I get SS and disability	1	.1%
Just one child, not sure which	1	.1%
Leaving it to his church - San Benito Catholic Church	1	.1%
lot for sale	1	.1%
Mom or sister	1	.1%
most likely kids	1	.1%
mother's property so she will decide	1	.1%
nephew	1	.1%
no no no	1	.1%
non-profit	1	.1%

not able to discuss	1	.1%
notes that oldest son' is written as a clause in the contract	1	.1%
only to children here in Olmito	1	.1%
para mis dos hijas. No hijos.	1	.1%
Plans to get a lawyer. Said "god is my lawyer".	1	.1%
property will probably be lost	1	.1%
see response prior question	1	.1%
She didn't have an idea	1	.1%
SHE DOESN'T KNOW, PROBABLY TO DAUGHTERS	1	.1%
SHE DOESN'T KNOW, PROBABLY TO SON	1	.1%
Sister	1	.1%
Sister, Maria	1	.1%
Sisters daughter (eldest) see notes at end	1	.1%
some relative	1	.1%
son and his wife	1	.1%
The aunt, but needs to give to young daughter or have the older daughters take care fo kid	1	.1%
The house will go to their oldest and youngest sons	1	.1%
the oldest and youngest child will get it because they don't have houses	1	.1%
THERE IS NO PLAN YET	1	.1%
They have "outdated" wills and want whoever takes care of disabled son to take house.	1	.1%
This child because she lives with them and one of the others lives in dallas	1	.1%
TO SINGLES SONS	1	.1%
TO SONS	1	.1%

to the grandchildren for equal parts	1	.1%
TO TWO SONS	1	.1%
Torres, Macedonio	1	.1%
TWO OF HER DAUGHTERS AND TWO GRANDSONS OF HER	1	.1%
TWO OF HIS SONS	1	.1%
two of six kids	1	.1%
two youngest children	1	.1%
two youngest daughters	1	.1%
Until paid for, cannot pass on	1	.1%
va a poner names on title of 3 kids	1	.1%
whoever takes care of him and his wife	1	.1%
Will go two her 2 single children	1	.1%
Will sell soon	1	.1%
youngest brother	1	.1%
youngest granddaughter	1	.1%

E.4.1AfterSpouse

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	239		
	Label	E.4.1 If respondent answered "my spouse," who will inherit after they pass away.		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

E.4.1AfterSpouseRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	240		
	Label	E.4.1 if E.4=1: Who will inherit after spouse recode		
	Type	Numeric		

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Children	113	8.8%
	2	Other family	4	.3%
	88	Other or undecided	7	.5%
Missing Values	System		1163	90.4%

E.4.2ChildAge

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	241		
	Label	E.4.2 if E.4=2: Age of child that will inherit the lot.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F40		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		1	.1%
	3		5	.4%
	4		2	.2%
	5		2	.2%
	8		1	.1%
	10		2	.2%
	11		2	.2%
	12		2	.2%
	13		1	.1%
	14		3	.2%
	15		1	.1%
	16		3	.2%
	17		3	.2%
	18		5	.4%
	20		2	.2%
	21		2	.2%
	22		5	.4%
	23		1	.1%
	24		1	.1%
	25		3	.2%
	26		5	.4%
	27		2	.2%
	28		2	.2%
	29		1	.1%
	30		2	.2%
	31		1	.1%

	32		2	.2%
	33		3	.2%
	34		5	.4%
	35		1	.1%
	36		1	.1%
	37		2	.2%
	38		1	.1%
	41		3	.2%
	43		1	.1%
	45		1	.1%
	54		1	.1%
	61		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1201	93.3%
	9		3	.2%
	System		1	.1%

F.1NumOnLot

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	242
	Label	F.1 Number of people living on the lot
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	1007
N	Missing	280
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	4.26
	Standard Deviation	2.454
	Percentile 25	2.00
	Percentile 50	4.00
	Percentile 75	5.00

F.1NumOnLotRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	243		
	Label	F.1 Number of people on lot recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	Valid Values			
	1	1	82	6.4%
	2	2	178	13.8%

	3	3	155	12.0%
	4	4	179	13.9%
	5	5	164	12.7%
	6	6	124	9.6%
	7	7 - 9	91	7.1%
	8	10 or more	34	2.6%
Missing Values	System		280	21.8%

F.2NumInHouse

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	244
	Label	F.2 Number of people living in the household
N	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
	Valid	999
	Missing	288
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	4.01
	Standard Deviation	2.055
	Percentile 25	2.00
	Percentile 50	4.00
	Percentile 75	5.00

F.2NumInHouseRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	245		
	Label	F.2 Number of people in house recode		
Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
	1	1	76	5.9%
	2	2	195	15.2%
	3	3	168	13.1%
	4	4	178	13.8%
	5	5	176	13.7%
	6	6	116	9.0%
	7	7 - 9	73	5.7%
	8	10 or more	17	1.3%
Missing Values	System		288	22.4%

OtherHouses

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	246		
	Label	Are there other homes on the lot (only answer this question if it is annotated on the survey itself)?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	41	3.2%
	2	No	41	3.2%
Missing Values	-9		1204	93.6%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

NumberHouses

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	247		
	Label	How many other homes are on the lot?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1		19	1.5%
	2		8	.6%
	3		1	.1%
	4		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1257	97.7%
	System		1	.1%

F.3MaritalStatus

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	248		
	Label	F.3 What is the marital status of the respondent		
	Type	Numeric		

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Single	62	4.8%
	2	Widowed/widower	89	6.9%
	3	Married/union libre	752	58.4%
	4	Divorced	80	6.2%
	5	Separated	19	1.5%
	88	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		284	22.1%
	System		1	.1%

F.3.1 MaritalOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	249		
	Label	F.3.1 Specify marital status if "other"		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		17	1.3%
	-9		135	10.5%
	-9.		1089	84.6%
	-9.0		1	.1%
	1970.		1	.1%
	1987.		1	.1%
	1994.		1	.1%
	3.		1	.1%
	civil union		4	.3%
	common law		2	.2%
	free union		9	.7%
	FREE UNION		2	.2%
	FREE UNON		1	.1%
	girlfriend (partner)		1	.1%
	he put single but I think he's single w/ partner		1	.1%
	in relationship but not married (common-law not specified)		1	.1%
	Living together		1	.1%
	living together 16 yrs		1	.1%

married 12 yrs ago but husband abandoned her and her daughter	1	.1%
married but separated	1	.1%
separado	1	.1%
separated	6	.5%
SEPARATED	1	.1%
separated but never married	1	.1%
separated, husband in jail	1	.1%
separated, was not married	1	.1%
single but has partner	1	.1%
union libre	3	.2%

F.3.3YearMarried

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	250		
	Label	F.3.3 if F.3=3: Year respondent was married or entered into a civil union (current marriage)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1943		1	.1%
	1948		1	.1%
	1949		2	.2%
	1950		1	.1%
	1952		3	.2%
	1953		4	.3%
	1955		2	.2%
	1956		1	.1%
	1957		1	.1%
	1958		1	.1%
	1959		1	.1%
	1960		4	.3%
	1961		1	.1%
	1962		4	.3%
	1963		2	.2%
	1964		7	.5%

1965	9	.7%
1966	6	.5%
1967	7	.5%
1968	13	1.0%
1969	6	.5%
1970	12	.9%
1971	16	1.2%
1972	16	1.2%
1973	5	.4%
1974	3	.2%
1975	15	1.2%
1976	8	.6%
1977	13	1.0%
1978	13	1.0%
1979	10	.8%
1980	11	.9%
1981	14	1.1%
1982	8	.6%
1983	10	.8%
1984	13	1.0%
1985	19	1.5%
1986	21	1.6%
1987	18	1.4%
1988	9	.7%
1989	23	1.8%
1990	22	1.7%
1991	13	1.0%
1992	20	1.6%
1993	11	.9%
1994	29	2.3%
1995	12	.9%
1996	18	1.4%
1997	23	1.8%
1998	13	1.0%
1999	16	1.2%
2000	17	1.3%
2001	18	1.4%
2002	12	.9%
2003	17	1.3%
2004	13	1.0%
2005	12	.9%
2006	12	.9%
2007	14	1.1%
2008	6	.5%
2009	5	.4%
2010	10	.8%

	2011	6	.5%
Missing Values	-9	627	48.7%
	98	6	.5%
	System	1	.1%

F.3.3YearMarriedRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	251		
	Label	F.3.3 if F.3=3: Year married recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	311	24.2%
	2	1989-1996	148	11.5%
	3	1997-2002	99	7.7%
	4	2003-2007	68	5.3%
	5	2008-2012	27	2.1%
Missing Values	System		634	49.3%

F.3.4YearDivorce

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	252		
	Label	F.3.4 if F.3=4: Year respondent was divorced (most recent divorce)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1970		1	.1%
	1975		1	.1%
	1980		1	.1%
	1981		1	.1%
	1985		1	.1%
	1992		2	.2%
	1993		1	.1%
	1994		1	.1%
	1995		5	.4%
	1996		1	.1%
	1997		3	.2%
	1998		1	.1%

	1999	2	.2%
	2000	3	.2%
	2001	1	.1%
	2002	2	.2%
	2003	5	.4%
	2004	1	.1%
	2005	3	.2%
	2006	3	.2%
	2007	3	.2%
	2008	5	.4%
	2009	5	.4%
	2010	4	.3%
	2011	4	.3%
	2012	2	.2%
Missing Values	-9	1222	94.9%
	98	2	.2%
	System	1	.1%

F.3.4YearDivorceRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	253		
	Label	F.3.4 if F.3=4: Year divorced recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	5	.4%
	2	1989-1996	10	.8%
	3	1997-2002	12	.9%
	4	2003-2007	15	1.2%
	5	2008-2012	20	1.6%
Missing Values	System		1225	95.2%

F.4FormalMarr

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	254		
	Label	F.4 if F.3=3: Is respondent formally married (civil or church wedding)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		

	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	700	54.4%
	2	No	74	5.7%
Missing Values	-9		511	39.7%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

F.5ChildrenCurr

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	255		
	Label	F.5 if F.3=3: Does respondent have children from the current marriage		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	711	55.2%
	2	No	59	4.6%
Missing Values	-9		516	40.1%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

F.5.1ChildNumber

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	256
	Label	F.5.1 if F.3=3 & F.5=1: Number of children from the current marriage
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	705
	Missing	582
Central Tendency	Mean	3.39
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	1.686
	Percentile 25	2.00
	Percentile 50	3.00

Percentile 75

4.00

F.5.1ChildNumberRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	257		
	Label	F.5.1 if F.3=3 & F.5=1: Number of children from current marriage recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	1 - 2	207	16.1%
	2	3 - 4	365	28.4%
	3	5 or more	133	10.3%
Missing Values	System		582	45.2%

F.6ChildrenPrev

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	258		
	Label	F.6 if F.3=3: Does the respondent or spouse have children from another relationship or marriage		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	173	13.4%
	2	No	564	43.8%
Missing Values	-9		548	42.6%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

F.6.1ChildNumber

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	259

N	Label	F.6.1 if F.3=3 & F.6=1: Number of children from a previous marriage/relationship	
	Type	Numeric	
	Format	F8	
	Measurement	Scale	
	Role	Input	
	Valid		170
	Missing		1117
	Mean		2.88
	Standard Deviation		2.640
	Percentile 25		1.00
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Percentile 50		2.00
	Percentile 75		3.00

F.6.1ChildNumberRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	260		
	Label	F.6.1 if F.3=3 & F.6=1: Number of children from previous relationship recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	1 - 2	100	7.8%
	2	3 - 4	44	3.4%
	3	5 or more	26	2.0%
Missing Values	System		1117	86.8%

F.7Divorce

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	261		
	Label	F.7 Since owning, has respondent been divorced		
	Type	Numeric		

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	91	7.1%
	2	No	801	62.2%
Missing Values	-9		394	30.6%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

F.7.1PriorSpouse

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	262		
	Label	F.7a Name of prior spouse		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		145	11.3%
	-9.		1075	83.5%
	9		1	.1%
	9.		3	.2%
	Alanis, Ezekiel		1	.1%
	Andres Davila		1	.1%
	Armando Ocana		1	.1%
	Avila, Emma		1	.1%
	Barrera, Venancio		1	.1%
	BEVERLY		1	.1%
	Cantu, Celia		1	.1%
	Cardena Araceli, Patricia		1	.1%
	CASIANO, JOSE LUIS		1	.1%
	cesar hernandez		1	.1%
	Chapa Rosalinda		1	.1%
	CHAPA, OSCAR		1	.1%
	David Rios		1	.1%
	De Hoyos, Clemente		1	.1%
	Dias, Ismael Jr-9		1	.1%
	Diaz, Gilbert		1	.1%
	Diaz, Susana		1	.1%
	Escamilla, Jesus		1	.1%
	Francisco		1	.1%
	Fuentes, Agustino		1	.1%
	Garcia (last name only)		1	.1%
	Garza, Gloria		1	.1%
	Garza, Raul		1	.1%

George Gawn?	1	.1%
Gomez, Norberto	1	.1%
Guadalupe	1	.1%
Hiracheta, Manuel	1	.1%
Ibarra, Enrique	1	.1%
Isedero Meninos	1	.1%
Isidro Grau	1	.1%
Jasso Villarreal	1	.1%
Javier Aguirre	1	.1%
jesus rodriguez	1	.1%
MADERO, CALRA	1	.1%
Maria Longeria	1	.1%
Maria Martinez	1	.1%
martin cazares	1	.1%
MEZA, RAMON / PEREZ, DIANA	1	.1%
Mondragon, Pedro	1	.1%
Morales, Pedro	1	.1%
Ozuna Pedro	1	.1%
Pedro Gomez	1	.1%
Perales, Arturo	1	.1%
Quintero, Javier	1	.1%
Ramirez, Antonio	1	.1%
Ricardo Veraca	1	.1%
RIOS, PABLO	1	.1%
Rivera, Saul	1	.1%
Rodriguez, Jesus	1	.1%
RODRIGUEZ, SAN JUANITA	1	.1%
Rogelio Medina	1	.1%
rosa guerra	1	.1%
Rose, Frank	1	.1%
SALAZAR, ALEJANDRO	1	.1%
SALINAS, OLIVIA	1	.1%
Sanchez, Hernan	1	.1%
Sanchez, Maria	1	.1%
Serna	1	.1%
Tello, Vicente	1	.1%
Trevino, Irene	1	.1%
Trevino, Miguel	1	.1%
Villarreal, Delia	1	.1%

F.7.2YearDivorce

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes Position	263		

	Label	F.7.2 if F.7=1: Year of divorce (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1975		1	.1%
	1981		2	.2%
	1982		1	.1%
	1984		1	.1%
	1985		1	.1%
	1987		2	.2%
	1989		1	.1%
	1990		1	.1%
	1992		3	.2%
	1993		1	.1%
	1995		6	.5%
	1996		2	.2%
	1997		3	.2%
	1998		1	.1%
	1999		4	.3%
	2000		4	.3%
	2001		2	.2%
	2002		2	.2%
	2003		5	.4%
	2004		1	.1%
	2005		3	.2%
	2006		5	.4%
	2007		5	.4%
	2008		6	.5%
	2009		3	.2%
	2010		4	.3%
	2011		6	.5%
Missing Values	-9		1207	93.8%
	98		3	.2%
	System		1	.1%

F.7.2YearDivorceRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	264		
	Label	F.7.2 if F.7=1: Year of divorce since owning property recode		

	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	8	.6%
	2	1989-1996	14	1.1%
	3	1997-2002	16	1.2%
	4	2003-2007	19	1.5%
	5	2008-2012	19	1.5%
Missing Values	System		1211	94.1%

F.7.3Decree

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	265		
	Label	F.7.3 if F.7=1: Was there a divorce decree		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	70	5.4%
	2	No	11	.9%
Missing Values	-9		1204	93.6%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

F.8DecreeOwn

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	266		
	Label	F.8 if F.7=1 & F.7.3=1: If there was a divorce decree, did it identify who was to get ownership of the property		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	52	4.0%
	2	No	12	.9%
Missing Values	-9		1215	94.4%

9		1	.1%
98	Don't know	6	.5%
System		1	.1%

F.9DecreeFiled

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	267		
	Label	F.9 if F.7=1 & F.7.3=1: Was the decree filed in the county property records office.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Yes	57	4.4%
	2	No	3	.2%
Missing Values	-9		1216	94.5%
	9		1	.1%
	98	Don't know	9	.7%
	System		1	.1%

G.1SoldLot

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	268		
	Label	G.1 Has respondent ever sold a lot		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Yes	93	7.2%
	2	No	904	70.2%
Missing Values	-9		289	22.5%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

G.2HowSold

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	269		

	Label	G.2 if G.1=1: How lot was sold		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Written Contract for Deed	15	1.2%
	2	Deed and 100% cash	35	2.7%
	3	Deed and Deed of Trust	15	1.2%
	4	Oral agreement	4	.3%
	88	Other	3	.2%
	98		6	.5%
Missing Values	-9		1208	93.9%
	System		1	.1%

G.2HowSoldRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	270		
	Label	G.2 if G.1=1: How lot was sold recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	CfD or Oral	19	1.5%
	2	Deed	50	3.9%
	88	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1217	94.6%
	System		1	.1%

G.2.4OralAgree

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	271		
	Label	G.2.4 if G.1=1 & G.2=4: What was the oral agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		

	Measurement Role	Nominal Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		151	11.7%
	-9.		1130	87.8%
	1.		1	.1%
	98.		1	.1%
	Le pasó el terreno al sobrino que tuvo que completar la compra a una compania		1	.1%
	She sold the land to her sister and the family gave her the title		1	.1%
	single payment \$3000		1	.1%

G.2.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	272		
	Label	G.2.88 if G.1=1 & G.288: What was the "other" agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			2	.2%
	-9		150	11.7%
	-9.		1122	87.2%
	2 payments and deed at end		1	.1%
	98.		1	.1%
	don't know		1	.1%
	dont remember, realator handled it		1	.1%
	EXECUTRIX OF MATNER'S PROPERTY USED REALTY COMPANY		1	.1%
	First wife sold lot and he just got cash once after the buyer paid the company		1	.1%
	gave it to son by transfer		1	.1%

MCDONALD MADE THE TRANSFER BECAUSE THEY HAVE TROUBLES WITH THE PAYMENTS	1	.1%
No se - esposo se vendio.	1	.1%
passed the contract to new name; no charge. Wanted to move ceded rather than sold	1	.1%
San Marcos Titles took care of it	1	.1%
SHE IS NOT SURE ABOUT HOW IT WAS BECAUSE THE LAWYER DID ALL THE PAPERWORK	1	.1%
sold multiple lots, some with cfd others with deed or oral	1	.1%

G.3YearSold

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	273		
	Label	G.3 if G.1=1: What year was the property sold		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1980		2	.2%
	1981		1	.1%
	1987		1	.1%
	1992		1	.1%
	1997		1	.1%
	2002		1	.1%
	2005		2	.2%
	2006		1	.1%
	2008		1	.1%
	2010		1	.1%
	2011		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1273	98.9%
	System		1	.1%

G.4Location

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	274		

	Label	G.4 if G.1=1: Where was the lot located		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		139	10.8%
	-9.		1059	82.3%
	3602 Jimenez, Laredo		1	.1%
	98.		1	.1%
	adjacent lot		1	.1%
	Alto Bonito		2	.2%
	Bastrop		1	.1%
	Big Lake		1	.1%
	Brownsville		1	.1%
	Cameron		3	.2%
	Cameron County		1	.1%
	CARRIZO		1	.1%
	Corpus Christi		1	.1%
	Del Valle		1	.1%
	dickes st, hidalgo, texas		1	.1%
	Dimmit County		1	.1%
	donna, texas		1	.1%
	Eagle Pass		3	.2%
	Edinburg, Hidalgo, TX		1	.1%
	EL CENIZO		1	.1%
	El Cenizo (next door to current house--517 Jimenez)		1	.1%
	El Paso		2	.2%
	el paso (same colonia, nex to her lot)		1	.1%
	El Paso County (across street Mayfair 1)		1	.1%
	El Paso County (adjacent lot)		1	.1%
	Geronimo		1	.1%
	Guadalupe Co.		1	.1%
	Hayes County		1	.1%
	Hays		1	.1%
	hays county		1	.1%
	Hays County		1	.1%
	Hays, 303 Green Pastures		1	.1%
	Hidalgo		3	.2%

Hidalgo Park Estates	1	.1%
Houston	1	.1%
huntsville	1	.1%
Laredo	1	.1%
LAREDO	3	.2%
LARGA VISTA	1	.1%
Las Brisas	1	.1%
Los Altos	1	.1%
LOS OBISPOS	1	.1%
Maverick	3	.2%
MAVERICK	1	.1%
maverick co	3	.2%
Maverick County	1	.1%
McAllen	1	.1%
Mile 11 in Weslaco	1	.1%
Milpas	1	.1%
Mission, TX	1	.1%
next door	1	.1%
next to lot	1	.1%
NUECES COUNTY, CORPUS CHRISTI	1	.1%
Pharr, texas	1	.1%
Port la Vaca	1	.1%
Rio Grande	1	.1%
Rio Grande City, Starr County	1	.1%
RIO NUECES	1	.1%
Same City	1	.1%
same colonia (los olmos)	1	.1%
same lot (2 acres o the orig 4)	1	.1%
same lot 1/2	1	.1%
San Benito, Cameron	1	.1%
San Juan	1	.1%
Santa Maria	2	.2%
Santa Maria, Cameron, TX	1	.1%
Seguin	1	.1%
Starr	1	.1%
Tornillo	2	.2%
WEBB	2	.2%
Webb Co.	1	.1%
WEBB COUNTY	1	.1%
Weslaco	1	.1%

G.5AssistSale

	Value	Count	Percent
--	-------	-------	---------

Standard Attributes	Position	275		
	Label	G.5 if G.1=1: Did an attorney or real estate agent assist with the sale		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	42	3.3%
	2	No	41	3.2%
Missing Values	-9		1203	93.5%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

G.6SoldTo

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	276		
	Label	G.6 if G.1=1: Who was the lot sold to		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Relative	26	2.0%
	2	Friend	21	1.6%
	3	Third party not known to resident	36	2.8%
	88	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1203	93.5%
	System		1	.1%

G.6SoldToOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	277		
	Label	G.6.88 if G.1=1 & G.6=88: "Other" person lot was sold to		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		

Valid Values	Role	Input	1	.1%
	-9		151	11.7%
	-9.		1127	87.6%
	acquaintance		1	.1%
	KB Homes		1	.1%
	neighbor Sr Garza		1	.1%
	neighbor who needed space to park trucks		1	.1%
	selling her half to comadre who owns other half		1	.1%
	She was his cunada		1	.1%
	someone they did not know personally but knew of		1	.1%
	vecina a lado		1	.1%

H.1YearInherit

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	278
	Label	H.1 In what year did resident inherit lot
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	24
	Missing	1263
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1998.71
	Standard Deviation	12.049
	Percentile 25	1989.50
	Percentile 50	2002.50
	Percentile 75	2009.00

H.1YearInheritRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	279		
	Label	H.1 Year inherited recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	5	.4%

	2	1989-1996	3	.2%
	3	1997-2002	3	.2%
	4	2003-2007	3	.2%
	5	2008-2012	8	.6%
Missing Values	System		1265	98.3%

H.2InheritFrom

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	280		
	Label	H.2 Who did resident inherit the lot from?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Late spouse	2	.2%
	2	Parents/ in-laws	20	1.6%
	3	Other relatives	4	.3%
	88	Other	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		1260	97.9%
	System		1	.1%

H.2.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	281		
	Label	H.2.88 Other person from whom lot was inherited		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		17	1.3%
	-9		135	10.5%
	-9.		1126	87.5%
	-9.0		1	.1%
	2.		2	.2%
	did not formally inherit, no court filing, still in father's name		1	.1%
	Grandmother		1	.1%

Henry inherited from parents	1	.1%
sister	1	.1%
son who died, Jesus Ramirez	1	.1%

H.3OtherHeirs

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	282		
	Label	H.3 Did any other persons inherit the lot?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	8	.6%
	2	No	15	1.2%
Missing Values	-9		1263	98.1%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

H.3.1Name

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	283		
	Label	H.3.1 if H.3=1: Name of other heirs		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

H.3.2Relation

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	284		
	Label	H.3.2 if H.3=1: Relationship with the respondent (keep in same order as H.3Name)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		

	Measurement Role	Nominal Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		17	1.3%
	-9		135	10.5%
	-9.		1124	87.3%
	-9.0		1	.1%
	brother		1	.1%
	children		1	.1%
	Grandmother		1	.1%
	Siblings but they do not live there and do not contest Tereso's ownership/claim to house & lot; Tere		1	.1%
	sister		1	.1%
	Sister-in-law		1	.1%
	Spouse		1	.1%
	Step-mother		1	.1%
	wife		1	.1%

H.4ShareOwner

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	285		
	Label	H.4 if H.3=1: Does respondent share ownership with the previously mentioned relatives		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	4	.3%
	2	No	8	.6%
Missing Values	-9		1273	98.9%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

H.4.1ShareName

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	286		

Label	H.4.1 if H.4=1: What are the relatives names with whom ownership is shared		
Type	String		
Format	A100		
Measurement	Nominal		
Role	Input		
Valid Values		1287	100.0%

H.4S.2hareRelation

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	287	
	Label		
	H.4.2 if H.4=1: Relationship with the respondent (keep in same order as H.4ShareName)		
	Type	String	
	Format	A101	
	Measurement	Nominal	
	Role	Input	
Valid Values		1	.1%
	-9	17	1.3%
	-9	135	10.5%
	-9.	1129	87.7%
	-9.0	1	.1%
	brother	1	.1%
	cunados	1	.1%
	Sister	1	.1%
	Sister-in-law	1	.1%

H.5Will

	Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	288	
	Label		
	H.5 Did person lot was inherited from have a will		
	Type	Numeric	

	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	3	.2%
	2	No	17	1.3%
Missing Values	-9		1265	98.3%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

H.6WillProbate

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	289		
	Label	H.6 if H.5=1: Was the will probated		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	3	.2%
	2	No	5	.4%
Missing Values	-9		1278	99.3%
	98	Don't know	0	0.0%
	System		1	.1%

H.7Title

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	290		
	Label	H.7 What kind of title did the owner have at the time of their death		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Contract for Deed	1	.1%
	2	Deed	9	.7%
	88	Other	1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1267	98.4%
	98	Don't know	8	.6%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.1aBuyers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	291		
	Label	Contract for Deed: Buyers' names		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

H.7.1aSellers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	292		
	Label	Contract for Deed: Sellers' names		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

H.7.1bDay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	293		
	Label	Day on contract (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F10		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Missing Values	-9		1286	99.9%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.1bMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	294		
	Label	Month on contract (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Missing Values	-9		1286	99.9%

System		1	.1%
--------	--	---	-----

H.7.1bYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	295		
	Label	Year on contract (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1978		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1285	99.8%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.2aBuyers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	296		
	Label	Deed: Buyers' names		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

H.7.2aSellers

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	297		
	Label	Deed: Sellers' names		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

H.7.2bDay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	298		
	Label	Day on deed (dd)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		

Missing Values	Role	Input		
	-9		1286	99.9%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.2bMonth

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	299		
	Label	Month on deed (mm)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Missing Values	-9		1286	99.9%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.2bYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	300		
	Label	Year on deed (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1968		1	.1%
	2002		1	.1%
	2008		1	.1%
	2009		1	.1%
Missing Values	-9		1281	99.5%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

H.7.88Other

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	301		
	Label	What was the "other" agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			137	10.6%
	-9.0		17	1.3%
	.		1130	87.8%

Affidavit of Heirship from farther (respecting decesaed wifes wishes) and with agreemt of sisters		1	.1%
Gifted and has Warranty Deed		1	.1%
property signed away land to her by father in law		1	.1%

H.7.98Dontknow

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	302		
	Label	If respondent didn't know what type of title they had, what was the nature of the agreement		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		17	1.3%
	-9		136	10.6%
	-9.		1131	87.9%
	-9.0		1	.1%
	Paid off in 1960s, no lien on it. Brothers and sisters gave him their shares of estate		1	.1%

CADnone

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	303		
	Label	Cases with no data from CAD		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	CAD data available	1191	92.5%
	1	No CAD data	96	7.5%

I.1Units

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	304		
	Label	I.1 How many separate rental units are there		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	One	182	14.1%
	2	Two	33	2.6%
	3	Three	11	.9%
	4	Four	2	.2%
	5	Five or more	4	.3%
Missing Values	-9		1054	81.9%
	System		1	.1%

I.2YearMove

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	305		
	Label	I.2 Year respondent moved to the dwelling (yyyy)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1962		1	.1%
	1974		1	.1%
	1982		1	.1%
	1987		1	.1%
	1990		1	.1%
	1993		2	.2%
	1995		4	.3%
	1997		1	.1%
	1998		2	.2%
	1999		2	.2%
	2000		4	.3%
	2001		2	.2%
	2003		6	.5%
	2004		5	.4%
	2005		8	.6%

	2006		9	.7%
	2007		14	1.1%
	2008		14	1.1%
	2009		18	1.4%
	2010		31	2.4%
	2011		86	6.7%
	2012		14	1.1%
Missing Values	-9		1058	82.2%
	98		1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

I.2YearMoveRecode

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	306		
	Label	I.2 Year moved recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	4	.3%
	2	1989-1996	7	.5%
	3	1997-2002	11	.9%
	4	2003-2007	42	3.3%
	5	2008-2012	163	12.7%
Missing Values	System		1060	82.4%

I.3Cost

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	307
	Label	I.3 Monthly rental cost
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	214
	Missing	1073
Central Tendency	Mean	377.45
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	159.935
	Percentile 25	250.00
	Percentile 50	350.00
	Percentile 75	450.00

I.3CostRecode

	Value	Count	Percent
--	-------	-------	---------

Standard Attributes	Position	308		
	Label	I.3 Rental cost recode		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Ordinal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	100 or less	7	.5%
	2	101 - 200	22	1.7%
	3	201 - 300	62	4.8%
	4	301 - 400	56	4.4%
	5	401 - 500	29	2.3%
	6	501 - 600	18	1.4%
	7	601 - 700	12	.9%
	8	701 - 800	7	.5%
	9	801 - 900	1	.1%
Missing Values	System		1073	83.4%

I.4Bedrooms

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	309		
	Label	I.4 Number as bedrooms		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	232		
	Missing	1055		
Central Tendency	Mean	2.52		
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	.784		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	3.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	1	One	21	1.6%
	2	Two	91	7.1%
	3	Three	99	7.7%
	4	Four or more	21	1.6%

I.5RentPay

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	310		
	Label	I.5 To whom is rent paid		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		

Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Owner	196	15.2%
	2	A representative of the owner	19	1.5%
	3	Rental agency	2	.2%
	4	No rent paid	22	1.7%
Missing Values	88	Other	0	0.0%
	-9		1045	81.2%
	98		2	.2%
	System		1	.1%

I.5.88PayOther

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	311		
	Label	I.5.88 If "other," to whom is rent paid (write answer in)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A100		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1	.1%
	-9		148	11.5%
	-9.		1115	86.6%
	2.		5	.4%
	BROTHER		1	.1%
	Brother but no rent		1	.1%
	DOES NOT PAY RENT		1	.1%
	DOESN'T PAY RENT		3	.2%
	Flores, Maria		1	.1%
	He does not pay rent, but takes care of the house for the house owner in exchange for living there		1	.1%
	Los Altos		1	.1%
	Mom		1	.1%
	no rent paid- agreement with ex-wife who is owner		1	.1%
	no rent paid, house borrowed from father in law		1	.1%

not pay rent; just bills	1	.1%
parents	1	.1%
pay family member of owner	1	.1%
Planet San Marcos	1	.1%
sister	1	.1%
SISTER	1	.1%

I.6Contract

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	312		
	Label	I.6 Does the resident have a rental contract		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Yes	67	5.2%
	2	No	158	12.3%
Missing Values	-9		1060	82.4%
	98	Don't know	1	.1%
	System		1	.1%

Phone

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	313		
	Label	Phone number		
	Type	String		
	Format	A12		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1287	100.0%

PurchTimeFrame

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	314
	Label	Years after colonia was first developed that owner purchased lot
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8

	Measurement	Scale	
	Role	Input	
N	Valid		931
	Missing		356
Central Tendency	Mean		18.68
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation		15.700
	Percentile 25		7.00
	Percentile 50		15.00
	Percentile 75		27.00

PurchTimeFrameCat

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	315		
	Label	Category for purchase time frame (years after colonia was first developed that owner bought)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	0-10	355	27.6%
	2	11-20	223	17.3%
	3	21-30	167	13.0%
	4	31-40	100	7.8%
	5	41-50	36	2.8%
	6	51-60	28	2.2%
	7	61 and up	22	1.7%
Missing Values	System		356	27.7%

RentalCostPerBedroom

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	316
	Label	Rental cost per bedroom
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	213
	Missing	1074
Central Tendency	Mean	161.49
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	74.675

Percentile 25	100.00
Percentile 50	150.00
Percentile 75	200.00

HowSoldCondensed

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	317		
	Label	How lot was sold with condensed categories		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Contract for Deed (or oral agreement)	19	1.5%
	2	Deed (or deed of trust)	50	3.9%
Missing Values	System		1218	94.6%

WF

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	318
	Label	<none>
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
N	Role	Input
	Valid	998
	Missing	289
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	70.42
	Standard Deviation	50.350
	Percentile 25	30.00
	Percentile 50	64.00
	Percentile 75	88.00

FinalTitleLIB

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	319		
	Label	Final liberal estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		

	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	621	48.3%
	2	CfD or CoS	17	1.3%
	3	Ambiguous	0	0.0%
	4	Other	0	0.0%
	5	Current UCFD	289	22.5%
	6	Presumed Deed/CFD	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		360	28.0%

FinalTitleCON

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	320		
	Label	Final conservative estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	621	48.3%
	2	CfD or CoS	17	1.3%
	3	Ambiguous	0	0.0%
	4	Other	0	0.0%
	5	Current UCFD	90	7.0%
	6	Presumed Deed/CFD	199	15.5%
Missing Values	-9		360	28.0%

FinalTitleMOD

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	321		
	Label	Final moderate estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	621	48.3%
	2	CfD or CoS	17	1.3%
	3	Ambiguous	0	0.0%
	4	Other	0	0.0%

	5	Current UCFD	90	7.0%
	6	Presumed Deed/CFD	0	0.0%
Missing Values	-9		559	43.4%

FinalLIBcondensed

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	322		
	Label	Condensed final liberal estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed, CfD, or other recorded title	638	49.6%
	5	Current UCFD	289	22.5%
Missing Values	-9		360	28.0%

FinalCONcondensed

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	323		
	Label	Condensed final conservative estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed, CfD, or other recorded title	837	65.0%
	5	Current UCFD	90	7.0%
Missing Values	-9		360	28.0%

FinalMODcondensed

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	324		

	Label	Condensed final moderate estimate for the current title		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed, CfD, or other recorded title	638	49.6%
	5	Current UCFD	90	7.0%
Missing Values	-9		559	43.4%

OwnOccuWithoutInheritORgift

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	325		
	Label	Owner-occupied lots that purchased the lot (i.e., did not inherit or receive as a gift)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	352	27.4%
	1	Yes	935	72.6%

OwnWithoutInheritORgift

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	326		
	Label	Owner lots that purchased the lot (for purchase year Xtabs)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	372	28.9%

1	Yes	915	71.1%
---	-----	-----	-------

CADearly

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	327		
	Label	Cases for which CAD data exists as early as one year after the reported purchase date (from the survey)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0	Not early	650	50.5%
	1	Early	637	49.5%

NoLotFound

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	328		
	Label	Cases for which no lot was found in the CAD records		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0		1191	92.5%
	1	No lot found	96	7.5%

NoTransactionData

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	329		
	Label	Cases for which a lot was found in the CAD records, but no transaction data was available		

Valid Values	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
	0		1232	95.7%
	1	No transaction data available	55	4.3%

NoPurchaseDate

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	330		
	Label	Cases for which there is no purchase date from the survey		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0		1006	78.2%
	1	No purchase date from survey	281	21.8%

CADshallow

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	331		
	Label	Cases for which CAD data does not exist as early as at least one year after the reported purchase date (from the survey)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0	Not shallow	1069	83.1%
	1	Shallow	218	16.9%

NewTitleAtPurchase1Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	332		
	Label	Estimate for title at purchase using a 1-year cutoff for UCFD		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	331	25.7%
	2	CfD	17	1.3%
	5	UCFD	342	26.6%
Missing Values	-9		597	46.4%

NewTitleAtPurchase3Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	333		
	Label	Estimate for title at purchase using a 3-year cutoff for UCFD		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	1	Deed	398	30.9%
	2	CfD	23	1.8%
	5	UCFD	267	20.7%
Missing Values	-9		599	46.5%

CondensedUCFDatPurchase3Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	334		
	Label	Categories for estimate of title at purchase using a 1-year cutoff for UCFD		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		

	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed	398	30.9%
	2	CFD or UCFD	290	22.5%
Missing Values	System		599	46.5%

CondensedTitleAtPurchase3Year

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	335		
	Label	Categories for estimate of title at purchase using a 3-year cutoff for UCFD		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Deed or CFD	421	32.7%
	2	UCFD	267	20.7%
Missing Values	System		599	46.5%

NewGAP

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	336
	Label	Gap between recording of title and purported year of purchase (from survey)
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	623
	Missing	664
Central Tendency	Mean	3.41
and Dispersion	Standard Deviation	6.915
	Percentile 25	0.00
	Percentile 50	1.00
	Percentile 75	5.00

NEWessential

	Value	Count	Percent
--	-------	-------	---------

Standard Attributes	Position	337		
	Label	Earliest recorded title conferring document, if available		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	Valid	757		
	Missing	530		
	Mean	1.07		
	Standard Deviation	.255		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	1.00		
	Labeled Values			
N	1	Deed	704	54.7%
	2	CfD	53	4.1%
	5	UCFD	0	0.0%

NEWessentialYear

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	338		
	Label	Year of earliest recorded title conferring document, if available		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	Valid	750		
	Missing	537		
	Mean	2000.24		
	Standard Deviation	8.856		
	Percentile 25	1997.00		
	Percentile 50	2002.00		
	Percentile 75	2007.00		
	Labeled Values			
N	1	Deed	0	0.0%
	2	CfD	0	0.0%
	5	UCFD	0	0.0%

PurchaseCAT

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	339		

	Label	Categories for the year of purchase		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	pre-1989	238	18.5%
	2	1989-1996	203	15.8%
	3	1997-2002	196	15.2%
	4	2003-2007	175	13.6%
	5	2008-2010	87	6.8%
Missing Values	System		388	30.1%

NewCatGAP

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	340		
	Label	Categories for years between recording of title and purported year of purchase (from survey)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Less than 0	110	8.5%
	2	0	189	14.7%
	3	1 to 2 years	104	8.1%
	4	3 years	29	2.3%
	5	4 to 9 years	80	6.2%
	6	10 to 15 years	54	4.2%
	7	16 years or more	36	2.8%
Missing Values	System		685	53.2%

NewCatGAPNoNegatives

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	341		

	Label	Categories for years between recording of title and purported year of purchase (from survey), excluding negatives		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Less than 0	0	0.0%
	2	0	189	14.7%
	3	1 to 2 years	104	8.1%
	4	3 years	29	2.3%
	5	4 to 9 years	80	6.2%
	6	10 to 15 years	54	4.2%
	7	16 years or more	36	2.8%
Missing Values	System		795	61.8%